

Listochek 9. Calculus. Solutions.

Gleb Minaev @ 102 (20.Б02-МКН)

December 19, 2021

Contents

		Problem 4	3
		Lemma 2	3
Basic problems	1	Lemma 3	4
Problem 1	1	Problem 5	6
Problem 2	1	Problem 6	6
Lemma 1	2	Problem 7	6
Corollary 1.1	2	Problem 8	7
Problem 3	2		

Basic problems

Problem 1. TBA

Problem 2. Let

$$\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p \mapsto \frac{|p|^2}{\pi}.$$

Then for any point $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and radius $R \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iint_{B_R(p)} \rho(p + (x; y)) dx dy &= \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \rho(p + r(\cos(\varphi); \sin(\varphi))) r d\varphi dr \\
 &= \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(p_x + r \cos(\varphi))^2 + (p_y + r \sin(\varphi))^2}{\pi} r d\varphi dr \\
 &= \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} r \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + r^2 + 2r(p_x \cos(\varphi) + p_y \sin(\varphi))}{\pi} d\varphi dr \\
 &= \int_0^R 2r(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + r^2) dr
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(r^2 |p|^2 + \frac{r^4}{2} \right) \Big|_0^R \\
&= R^2 |p|^2 + \frac{R^4}{2} \\
&= \mu(B_R(p))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus measure $A \mapsto \int_A \rho(p) \lambda(dp)$ is defined in the same way as μ , so $\mu(A) = \int_A \rho(p) \lambda(dp)$. It already means that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ : if $\lambda(A) = 0$, then $\mu(A) = \int_A \rho(p) dp = 0$. But we can show that explicitly.

Lemma 1. *Let $A \subseteq B_R(0)$ be a set with zero Lebesgue measure. Then $\mu(A) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{B_i\}$ be a cover of A with balls such that $\sum_i \lambda(B_i) < \varepsilon$. If there are balls in the cover with center not in $B_R(0)$ we can change them in such way that their centers lie in $B_R(0)$, $\{B_i\}$ still is a cover of A , and $\sum_i \lambda(B_i)$ does not increase. Hence (if r_i and c_i are radius and center of B_i)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \mu(B_i) &= \sum_i (|c_i|^2 |r_i|^2 + |r_i|^4 / 2) \\
&\leq R^2 \left(\sum_i r_i^2 \right) + \left(\sum_i r_i^2 \right)^2 / 2 \\
&\leq \left(\sum_i \lambda(B_i) \right) \left(\frac{R^2}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_i \lambda(B_i) \right) / 2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} (R^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda(A) = 0$. □

Corollary 1.1. *If A is a set with zero Lebesgue measure, then $\mu(A) = 0$.*

Proof.

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap B_R(0)) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$
□

Problem 3. We need to show that if $F \subseteq E$, then $|F|O_F(f) \leq |E|O_E(f)$, which is the same as

$$\int_F \left| f - \int_F f \right| \leq \int_E \left| f - \int_E f \right|.$$

WLOG $\int_F f \leq \int_E f$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
a &:= \int_F f & b &:= \int_E f \\
F_1 &:= \{x \in F \mid x < a\} & F_2 &:= \{x \in F \mid x \in [a; b]\} & F_3 &:= \{x \in F \mid x > b\} \\
G_1 &:= \{x \in E \setminus F \mid x < b\} & G_2 &:= \{x \in E \setminus F \mid x \geq b\} \\
m_1 &:= |F_1| & m_2 &:= |F_2| & m_3 &:= |F_3| & n_1 &:= |G_1| & n_2 &:= |G_2| \\
f_1 &:= \int_{F_1} f & f_2 &:= \int_{F_2} f & f_3 &:= \int_{F_3} f & g_1 &:= \int_{G_1} f & g_2 &:= \int_{G_2} f.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
a(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) &= f_1 m_1 + f_2 m_2 + f_3 m_3 \\
b(m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + n_1 + n_2) &= f_1 m_1 + f_2 m_2 + f_3 m_3 + g_1 n_1 + g_2 n_2 \\
f_1 &\leq a \leq f_2 \leq b \leq f_3 \\
g_1 &\leq b \leq g_3 \\
m_1, m_2, m_3, n_1, n_2 &\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And we need to show

$$m_1(a - f_1) + m_2(f_2 - a) + m_3(f_3 - a) \leq m_1(b - f_1) + m_2(b - f_2) + m_3(f_3 - b) + n_1(b - g_1) + n_2(g_2 - b).$$

The last is equivalent to

$$m_1(a - b) + m_2(2f_2 - a - b) + m_3(b - a) \leq n_1(b - g_1) + n_2(g_2 - b).$$

Let's fix $a, b, m_1, m_2, m_3, f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1$ and g_2 . Let $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Then the only condition that restricts g_1 and/or g_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
b(m + n_1 + n_2) &= am + g_1 n_1 + g_2 n_2 \\
(b - a)m + (b - g_1)n_1 &= (g_2 - b)n_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $b - a \geq 0$, $(b - g_1) \geq 0$ and $g_2 - b \geq 0$. Then let's decrease n_1 and n_2 in such way that $n_1 = 0$. n_2 will be ≥ 0 , because $(b - a)m \geq 0$. But right-hand side of

$$m_1(a - b) + m_2(2f_2 - a - b) + m_3(b - a) \leq n_1(b - g_1) + n_2(g_2 - b)$$

will decrease, so we need to prove stricter condition. Then we have that

$$(b - a)m = (g_2 - b)n_2,$$

and need to prove that

$$m_1(a - b) + m_2(2f_2 - a - b) + m_3(b - a) \leq n_2(g_2 - b).$$

Obviously it means we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1(a - b) + m_2(2f_2 - a - b) + m_3(b - a) &\leq (b - a)(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) \\
m_2(2f_2 - 2b) &\leq (b - a)2m_1 \\
(f_2 - b)m_2 &\leq (b - a)m_1,
\end{aligned}$$

that is now obvious, because $f_2 - b \leq 0$ and $b - a \geq 0$.

Problem 4. Set E of points x for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ is not defined or is not $f(x)$ has zero measure. Hence if we change all f_n and f such that $f_n|_E = f|_E = 0$, then $f_n \rightarrow f$ on all X and all f_n and f won't change their class in L^2 . So now we may assume that $f_n \rightarrow f$ on all X .

Lemma 2. For any $t > 0$

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f| \geq t\}) \leq \frac{2}{1 + t^3}.$$

Proof. Let for some $t > 0$ $E := \{x \in X \mid |f| \geq t\}$ and

$$\mu(E) > \frac{2}{1+t^3}.$$

Then there is such $\delta > 0$ that

$$\mu(E) \geq \frac{2}{1+t^3} + 2\delta.$$

By continuity of $1/(1+t^3)$ there is such $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\frac{2}{1+t^3} + \delta > \frac{2}{1+(t-\varepsilon)^3}.$$

By definition of convergence for any $x \in X$ there is such $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$ that for any $n \geq n_x$

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence there are sets

$$E_n := \{x \in E \mid n_x \leq n\},$$

$E_{n+1} \supseteq E_n$ and $E = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$. Hence there is such m that

$$\mu(E_m) \geq \frac{2}{1+t^3} + \delta.$$

Then for any $x \in E_m$ and any $n \geq m$ we have that $n \geq m \geq n_x$, so

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

But $f(x) \geq t$, so $f_n(x) \geq t - \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\{x \in X \mid |f_m(x)| \geq t - \varepsilon\} \supseteq E_m.$$

But then

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |f_m(x)| \geq t - \varepsilon\}) \geq \mu(E_m) \geq \frac{2}{1+t^3} + \delta > \frac{2}{1+(t-\varepsilon)^3}.$$

Contradiction. □

Lemma 3. Let $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such measurable map that for any $t > 0$

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \geq t\}) \leq \frac{2}{1+t^3},$$

and E be a set of measure $\leq \frac{2}{a^{3/2}}$. Then

$$\int_E |g|^2 \leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{a}}$$

Proof. Let's quickly note that

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \geq t\}) \leq \frac{2}{t^3}.$$

Then

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)|^2 \geq t\}) = \mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)| \geq \sqrt{t}\}) \leq \frac{2}{1+t^{3/2}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_E |g|^2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)|^2 \geq t\} \cap E) \\
&\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \min(\mu(E), \mu(\{x \in X \mid |g(x)|^2 \geq t\})) \\
&\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \min\left(\frac{2}{a^{3/2}}, \frac{2}{t^{3/2}}\right) \\
&= \int_0^a \frac{2}{a^{3/2}} + \int_a^{+\infty} \frac{2}{t^{3/2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{a}} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{a}} \\
&= \frac{6}{\sqrt{a}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $a := \frac{(4 \cdot 6 \cdot 2)^2}{\varepsilon^2}$. By definition of convergence for any $x \in X$ there is such $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$ that for any $n \geq n_x$

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta := \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} / \left(1 - \frac{2}{a^{3/2}}\right).$$

Then there are sets

$$X_n := \{x \in X \mid n_x \leq n\},$$

$X_{n+1} \supseteq X_n$ and $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$. Hence there is such m that

$$\mu(X_m) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{a^{3/2}}.$$

Then for any $x \in X_m$ and any $n \geq m$ we have that $n \geq m \geq n_x$, so

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta.$$

Thus for any $n \geq m$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X |f_n - f|^2 &= \int_{X_m} |f_n - f|^2 + \int_{X \setminus X_m} |f_n - f|^2 \\
&\leq \int_{X_m} \delta + \int_{X \setminus X_m} 2(|f_n|^2 + |f|^2) \\
&\leq \delta \mu(X_m) + 4 \frac{6}{\sqrt{a}} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{4 \cdot 6}{(4 \cdot 6 \cdot 2)/\varepsilon} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \\
&\leq \varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $n \geq m$

$$\|f_n - f\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_X |f_n - f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which means that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2 .

Problem 5. We need to show that

$$\int_X (\|f + v\| - \|f\|) \geq \frac{1}{8} \|v\|,$$

with conditions only on $\|f\|$. Then let's minimize the left-hand side by changing f without changing $\|f\|$. It means we should minimize $\|f + v\|$. In that case f should be contradirectional to v , so

$$\int_X (\|f + v\| - \|f\|) \geq \int_X \left(\left| \|f\| - \|v\| \right| - \|f\| \right).$$

Let

$$X_1 := \{x \in X \mid \|f\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\|\}, \quad X_2 := \{x \in X \mid \frac{1}{4} \|v\| < \|f\| \leq \|v\|\}, \quad X_3 := \{x \in X \mid \|v\| < \|f\|\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_1} \left(\left| \|f\| - \|v\| \right| - \|f\| \right) &= \int_{X_1} (\|v\| - 2\|f\|) \geq \int_{X_1} (\|v\| - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \|v\|) = \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \|v\| = \frac{3}{8} \|v\|, \\ \int_{X_2} \left(\left| \|f\| - \|v\| \right| - \|f\| \right) &= \int_{X_2} (\|v\| - 2\|f\|) \geq \int_{X_1} (\|v\| - 2\|v\|) = -\mu(X_1) \|v\|, \\ \int_{X_3} \left(\left| \|f\| - \|v\| \right| - \|f\| \right) &= \int_{X_3} -\|v\| = -\mu(X_3) \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_X \left(\left| \|f\| - \|v\| \right| - \|f\| \right) \geq \frac{3}{8} \|v\| - (\mu(X_1) + \mu(X_2)) \|v\| = \frac{3}{8} \|v\| - \frac{1}{4} \|v\| = \frac{1}{8} \|v\|.$$

Problem 6. Let E do not contain any two points with rational distance between them. Then there is set E' such that $\lambda(E') > 0$, $E' + s \subseteq E$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $E' \subseteq [0; 1]$. Then

$$F := \bigsqcup_{q \in [0; 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}} E' + q$$

(for any different $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ sets $E' + q_1$ and $E' + q_2$ are disjoint) has infinite measure (because $\lambda(F) = \sum_{q \in [0; 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \lambda(E' + q) = \sum_{q \in [0; 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \lambda(E') = |[0; 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}| \cdot \lambda(E') = \infty$), and is contained in $[0; 3]$, which is contradiction. Thus E contains rationally distanced couple of points.

Problem 7. If $j = k$, then

$$\int_0^1 \varphi_j \varphi_k d\lambda = \int_0^1 \varphi_j^2 d\lambda = \int_0^1 d\lambda = 1.$$

Then WLOG $j < k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0; 1]} \varphi_j \varphi_k d\lambda &= \int_{[0; 1)} \varphi_j \varphi_k d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{2^j} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k-j-1}} \int_{\left[\frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)}{2^k}; \frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+1}{2^k} \right)} \varphi_j \varphi_k d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_{\left[\frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+1}{2^k}; \frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+2}{2^k} \right)} \varphi_j \varphi_k d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1}^{2^j} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k-j-1}} \int_{\left[\frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)}{2^k}; \frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+1}{2^k} \right)} (-1)^{n-1} \cdot 1 \, d\lambda \\
&\quad + \int_{\left[\frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+1}{2^k}; \frac{2^{k-j}(n-1)+2(m-1)+2}{2^k} \right)} (-1)^{n-1} \cdot (-1) \, d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{2^j} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{k-j-1}} (-1)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 8. f is summable iff $\int_E f < +\infty$ (because $f \geq 0$).

Let f be summable. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^l \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} l \cdot \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&\leq \int_E f \\
&< +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Let f not be summable. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\tilde{E}_k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^l \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} l \cdot \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} l \cdot \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (l+1) \cdot \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \mu(\{x \in E \mid f(x) \in [l; l+1)\}) \\
&\geq \int_E f - \mu(E) \\
&= +\infty - \mu(E) \\
&= +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$
