

Table S1: Number of samples per year at each assembling hedgerow site. Asterisks indicate the year of planting for each restoration site. Sampling was not conducted in 2010 because resources were allocated to other projects.

Site	Year									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
HR1	4	3*	3	3	-	3	4	5	3	
HR2	2	3*	3	3	-	3	4	5	3	
HR3	2	3	3*	3	-	3	4	5	3	
HR4	-	3	3*	3	-	3	4	5	3	
HR5	-	3	3*	3	-	3	4	5	3	

Table S2: Number of samples per year at each non-assembling hedgerow site. Asterisks indicate the site was sampled five or more times and thus included in the change point analysis.

Site	Year									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
HR6*	-	-	1	4	4	2	4	5	3	
HR7*	-	-	-	-	4	2	6	5	3	
HR8*	-	-	-	3	-	2	4	5	3	
HR9*	-	-	-	4	4	2	4	5	3	
HR10*	-	-	-	4	-	1	4	5	3	
HR11	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	
HR12	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	3	
HR13	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HR14	4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HR15	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	3	
HR16	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	
HR17	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	
HR18	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	2	
HR19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	
HR20	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	
HR21	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	-	
HR22	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	
HR23	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	
HR24	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	

Table S3: Number of samples per year at each non-assembling field margin site. Asterisks indicate the site was sampled five or more times and thus included in the change point analysis.

Site	Year									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
FM1*	4	3	3	3	-	3	4	5	3	
FM2*	4	3	3	3	-	3	4	5	3	
FM3*	-	-	-	3	4	-	3	5	3	
FM4	4	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FM5*	-	3	3	3	-	3	4	4	3	
FM6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	4	2	
FM7*	-	3	3	3	-	2	4	4	2	
FM8	-	-	-	4	-	-	4	5	3	
FM9	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	2	
FM10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FM11	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FM12*	-	3	3	3	-	3	4	5	3	
FM13*	4	3	3	3	-	3	3	5	3	
FM14	-	-	-	4	-	-	3	3	1	
FM15	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	
FM16	-	3	3	3	-	3	-	-	-	
FM17*	-	-	-	4	4	-	3	5	1	
FM18	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	
FM19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	
FM20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	
FM21	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	
FM22*	-	3	3	3	-	3	4	3	1	
FM23	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
FM24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
FM25	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	3	
FM26*	-	3	3	3	-	3	2	4	2	
FM27*	4	3	3	3	-	3	4	4	2	
FM28	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	5	2	
FM29	-	-	-	-	4	-	5	4	3	



(a)



(b)

Figure S1: Representative photographs of monitoring sites (a) immediately prior to its restoration and (b) several years post-restoration.




Figure S2: The log closeness of pollinators and plants decreased slightly through time. Points represent means for each species across sites. The solid line indicates the mean slope estimate and the dashed lines are the 95% confidence intervals around the estimate.




Figure S3: The network robustness to species extinction and sensitivity to cascading perturbation did not change with network assembly. The robustness to species extinction is measured by incrementally removing species by degree, though removing species by abundance did not yield qualitatively different results. The robustness of networks to cascading perturbations is measured as the algebraic connectivity, the second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix. Points are the value for each site at each year of assembly.