INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES

1605	
	In the arbitration proceeding between
1610	Astracommex Regional Satellite Communication Inc. (Claimant) and
	The Republic of Celestria (Respondent)
1615	ICSID Case No. ARB/22/99
1620	NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH DOCUMENT PRODUCTION
	20 June 2024
1625	
1630	For the Claimant: Ms. Astrid Stellaris AstroJuris Arbitration 3 Saturn St., 48798 Stelaria Nebuland

I. OVERVIEW

1640

1645

1655

- 1635 1. On 1 June 2024 the Tribunal issued PO2 ordering Parties to produce documents identified in Annex A and Annex B respectively.
 - 2. On 15 June 2024 at 8:36 pm, Celestria sent an e-mail to Astracommex Regional Satellite Communication Inc. ("Astracommex"), attaching the Privilege and Confidentiality Log, as well as the list of produced documents. Due to the size of the files, Celestria also attached a link to an external storage website, where the documents were available to download. Based on notification from the system, counsel for Astracommex logged in to the website at 8.53 pm and downloaded all documents.
 - 3. At 11:57 pm of the same day, Astracommex sent an e-mail to Celestria, also attaching the Privilege and Confidentiality Log, as well as the list of produced documents. Celestria downloaded these the following morning from an external storage website.
 - 4. Upon review, counsel for Astracommex noticed that Celestria did not produce an AS100 collision incident report dated 17 February 2021 under Request No. 23. Counsel for Astracommex therefore immediately notified Celestria, expecting this to be a clerical or technical error.
- 5. Celestria responded by e-mail dated 16 June 2024. It stated that "after conducting reasonable search, these were the documents responsive to Request No. 23 in possession of Celestria."
 - 6. Astracommex considers that this statement is false and is a purposeful tactic employed by Celestria to obstruct Astracommex's due process rights to argue its case. Astracommex became aware of the existence of the AS100 collision incident report when an anonymous source mailed a redacted first page of the report to Astracommex's offices on 13 February 2024. The heavily redacted version shows that the document is responsive under the Request No. 23.³⁴ Based on the seal and stamp attached to the document, it is clear that this document was prepared by Valinor in cooperation with Celestria's Department of Defense ("**DoD**") and is thus in possession of Celestria.

-

³⁴ Exhibit C-12.

- 7. Based on the available unredacted information, the governmental cubesat involved in the collision was not part of the declared missile detection network. Instead, it was a prototype cubesat being developed as part of an advanced anti-satellite weapon system, in collaboration with the Celestrian DoD. The document also suggested that the AS100 was mistakenly identified as its target. Consequently, this document appears to implicate Valinor as the cause of the collision, significantly jeopardizing Celestria's position in this arbitration. Given the contentious nature of anti-satellite weapons under international law, Celestria has a vested interest in concealing this document and refraining from its disclosure.
- Astracommex requests the Tribunal to draw an adverse inference from the fact that Celestria breached its obligation under the PO2 and did not produce responsive documents. Alternatively,
 Astracommex requests that the Tribunal orders Celestria to re-perform the search in relation to Request No. 23 of Annex A to the PO2 and produce all responsive documents, including the unredacted version of the incident report.

II. REQUEST TO THE TRIBUNAL

1680

- 9. Based on the above-mentioned, Astracommex respectfully requests the Tribunal to:
- i. **DRAW** adverse inference, assuming that unproduced document(s) would be detrimental to Celestria's case, from the fact that the Celestria failed to produce such document(s) as required by the Tribunal in PO2 under Request No. 23; or alternatively
 - ii. **ORDER** Celestria to repeat the search and produce any document(s) further responsive to Request No. 23, as ordered by the Tribunal in PO2, by 1 July 2024 at the latest.

For the Claimant.

AstroJuris Arbitration