This is page 587 Printer: Opaque this

15

Random Forests

15.1 Introduction

Bagging or bootstrap aggregation (section 8.7) is a technique for reducing the variance of an estimated prediction function. Bagging seems to work especially well for high-variance, low-bias procedures, such as trees. For regression, we simply fit the same regression tree many times to bootstrapsampled versions of the training data, and average the result. For classification, a committee of trees each cast a vote for the predicted class.

Boosting in Chapter 10 was initially proposed as a committee method as well, although unlike bagging, the committee of weak learners evolves over time, and the members cast a weighted vote. Boosting appears to dominate bagging on most problems, and became the preferred choice.

Random forests (Breiman, 2001) is a substantial modification of bagging that builds a large collection of de-correlated trees, and then averages them. de-correlated by the random On many problems the performance of random forests is very similar to boosting, and they are simpler to train and tune. As a consequence, random forests are popular, and are implemented in a variety of packages.

sampling of m variables from p

Definition of Random Forests 15.2

The essential idea in bagging (Section 8.7) is to average many noisy but approximately unbiased models, and hence reduce the variance. Trees are ideal candidates for bagging, since they can capture complex interaction

Algorithm 15.1 Random Forest for Regression or Classification.

- 1. For b = 1 to B:
 - (a) Draw a bootstrap sample \mathbf{Z}^* of size N from the training data.
 - (b) Grow a random-forest tree T_b to the bootstrapped data, by recursively repeating the following steps for each terminal node of the tree, until the minimum node size n_{min} is reached.
 - i. Select m variables at random from the p variables.
 - ii. Pick the best variable/split-point among the m.
 - iii. Split the node into two daughter nodes.
- 2. Output the ensemble of trees $\{T_b\}_1^B$.

To make a prediction at a new point x:

Regression: $\hat{f}_{rf}^B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B T_b(x)$.

Classification: Let $\hat{C}_b(x)$ be the class prediction of the *b*th random-forest tree. Then $\hat{C}_{\rm rf}^B(x) = majority\ vote\ \{\hat{C}_b(x)\}_1^B$.

structures in the data, and if grown sufficiently deep, have relatively low bias. Since trees are notoriously noisy, they benefit greatly from the averaging. Moreover, since each tree generated in bagging is identically distributed (i.d.), the expectation of an average of B such trees is the same as the expectation of any one of them. This means the bias of bagged trees is the same as that of the individual trees, and the only hope of improvement is through variance reduction. This is in contrast to boosting, where the trees are grown in an adaptive way to remove bias, and hence are not i.d.

An average of B i.i.d. random variables, each with variance σ^2 , has variance $\frac{1}{B}\sigma^2$. If the variables are simply i.d. (identically distributed, but not necessarily independent) with positive pairwise correlation ρ , the variance of the average is (Exercise 15.1)

$$\rho \sigma^2 + \frac{1 - \rho}{B} \sigma^2. \tag{15.1}$$

As B increases, the second term disappears, but the first remains, and hence the size of the correlation of pairs of bagged trees limits the benefits of averaging. The idea in random forests (Algorithm 15.1) is to improve the variance reduction of bagging by reducing the correlation between the trees, without increasing the variance too much. This is achieved in the tree-growing process through random selection of the input variables.

Specifically, when growing a tree on a bootstrapped dataset:

Before each split, select $m \leq p$ of the input variables at random as candidates for splitting.