



MATT KNIGHT // BASTILLE NETWORKS

DECODING LORA

EXPLORING NEXT-GENERATION WIRELESS

INTRODUCTION

- ▶ Matt Knight
- ▶ Software Engineer and Threat Researcher @ **Bastille**
- ▶ Background in electrical engineering, embedded software, etc.

matt@Bastille.net
@embeddedsec

BEFORE WE GET STARTED...

- ▶ No 0-days or exploits
- ▶ We're going to take apart a cutting edge protocol
- ▶ Quick show of hands...

WHY IS THIS RELEVANT

- ▶ Cisco IBSG: 50 billion devices by 2020
- ▶ Fewer wires every year
- ▶ Wireshark wasn't always a thing
- ▶ Monitor mode wasn't always a thing
- ▶ Low-level access to interfaces is paramount for enabling comprehensive security research

AGENDA

1. Introduce LPWANs
2. Review technical radio concepts
3. Demodulate and decode the LoRa PHY with SDR
4. Introduce `gr-lora`

IOT == EMBEDDED

EMBEDDED/IOT REALITIES

- ▶ Low intelligence (basic CPUs)
- ▶ Battery powered
- ▶ Hard-to-reach places
- ▶ Longevity/high endurance
- ▶ Devices require **installation and provisioning**

EMBEDDED/IOT COMMUNICATION — REQUIREMENTS

- ▶ Given these constraints, an **ideal IoT interface** is...
- ▶ Wireless
- ▶ Easy on the battery
- ▶ Capable of being installed anywhere
- ▶ No configuration/easy to provision
- ▶ Inexpensive!

EMBEDDED/IOT COMMUNICATION — REQUIREMENTS

- ▶ IoT interfaces **do NOT** require:
 - ▶ High throughput
 - ▶ Persistent/always-on connections
- ▶ Current IoT devices are grossly overserved

A large, stylized graphic in the top-left corner consists of several concentric arcs. The innermost arc is black, followed by three blue arcs of increasing size. This graphic represents a wireless signal or network connection.

IOT INTERFACES

STATE OF THE ART

802.15.4

(ZIGBEE)

802.11

BLUETOOTH

BLE

AND [. . .]

WHAT'S WRONG WITH [YOUR FAVORITE PROTOCOL]?

- ▶ Require local provisioning
- ▶ Some require gateways to connect out
- ▶ [802.11] Thirsty battery requirements
- ▶ What's ideal then?

HOW ABOUT CELLULAR?

1. IT WORKS EVERYWHERE
2. EASY TO INSTALL

IT'S THIRSTY

**AND IT'S GOING
AWAY***

***2G, THAT IS**

DEPRECATION: A DEVELOPER'S CONUNDRUM

- ▶ AT&T to sunset 2G on January 1, 2017
- ▶ Other major carriers to follow
- ▶ 2G advantages: ubiquitous, battery-conscious, somewhat inexpensive
 - ▶ Exactly what IoT devices require

REPLACING 2G

- ▶ 3G
 - ▶ More expensive
 - ▶ Harder power requirements
- ▶ LTE-M/NB-LTE Release 13
 - ▶ IoT focused cellular protocols
 - ▶ Not ready by the sunset date, which means...

VOID IN MARKET



INTRODUCING

LPWANS

LPWAN

- ▶ LPWAN: Low Power Wide Area Network
- ▶ Like cellular, but optimized for IoT/M2M
- ▶ Network of basestations worldwide
- ▶ Star network to endpoints, UL/DL traffic
- ▶ Range in miles

EMERGING STANDARDS



NB-LTE



nwave

LTE-M

uGENU

IEEE 802.11ah



EC-GSM




ZigBee3.0



AGGRESSIVE INVESTMENT



- ▶ SIGFOX raised **115MM** last year
 - ▶ WSJ: Possible US IPO soon
- ▶ Senet and Actility, LoRa backers, raised a combined **51MM**
- ▶ LoRa alliance membership tripled last year



LPWANS

THE STACKS

OPTIMIZED FOR IOT

- ▶ Battery-conscious
 - ▶ SIGFOX advertises 10 years on 1 AA battery
- ▶ Long range
 - ▶ LoRa advertises up to 13.6 miles
- ▶ Compare this with...
 - ▶ 2G: typically 1-2 miles, max 22 miles, a few days
 - ▶ 802.15.4: 10-100 meters, months-years
 - ▶ WiFi: 30 meters, a few days

HOW!?

COMPROMISES

EMBRACING COMPROMISE

- ▶ Conservative **duty-cycling** and listening
- ▶ Very **sparse** datagrams
- ▶ Highly **rate-limited**

EMBRACING COMPROMISE

- ▶ Examples
 - ▶ SIGFOX limits devices to 140 12-byte datagrams per day
 - ▶ Weightless-N is uplink-only
 - ▶ LoRa Class A devices can only receive downlink momentarily after uplinking

RADICALLY DIFFERENT

INTRODUCING

LORA

HISTORY

- ▶ LPWAN developed by Semtech
- ▶ PHY patented in June 2014
- ▶ LoRaWAN MAC/NWK stack released in January 2015
- ▶ Supported by LoRa Alliance




NOMENCLATURE

- ▶ LoRa vs. LoRaWAN
- ▶ LoRa: PHY layer
- ▶ LoRaWAN: MAC, NWK, and APP built on LoRa


LORAWAN NETWORK TOPOLOGY

- ▶ Gateway: low-IQ basestation / LoRa concentrator
- ▶ Network Server: routes between gateways and application servers
 - ▶ Roaming being defined
- ▶ Application Servers




LORAWAN SECURITY ARCHITECTURE

- ▶ NwkSKey: end device to network server
- ▶ AppSKey: end device to application server
- ▶ Keys can be preconfigured or sent OTA



LICENSURE, OR LACK THEREOF



- ▶ 900 MHz ISM
- ▶ US: 902-928 MHz
- ▶ EU: 868 MHz
- ▶ No special license required

LICENSURE, OR LACK THEREOF

DMA ¹	Call Sign	Move Off-Air	Move to Low VHF	Move to High VHF
New York, NY	WABC-TV	351,539,055	NA	
New York, NY	WCBS-TV	900,000,000	675,000,000	360,000,000
New York, NY	WDVB-CD	318,579,075	169,908,840	
New York, NY	WEBR-CD	282,381,525	150,603,480	
New York, NY	WEDW	336,077,775	179,241,480	
New York, NY	WEPT-CD	97,079,175	51,775,560	
New York, NY	WFTY-DT	328,627,800	175,268,160	
New York, NY	WFUT-DT	591,101,550	315,254,160	
New York, NY	WJLP	206,954,325	NA	NA
New York, NY	WLW	504,335,025	268,978,680	
New York, NY	WLNY-TV	362,780,775	193,483,080	
New York, NY	WMBC-TV	604,085,850	322,179,120	
New York, NY	WMBQ-CD	333,832,050	178,043,760	
New York, NY	WMUN-CD	273,441,825	145,835,640	
New York, NY	WNBC	651,870,450	347,664,240	
New York, NY	WNET	258,573,735	NA	
New York, NY	WNJB	277,438,455	NA	
New York, NY	WNJN	581,806,800	310,296,960	
New York, NY	WNJU	614,506,500	327,736,800	
New York, NY	WNYE-TV	577,694,700	308,103,840	
New York, NY	WNYJ-TV	469,129,050	250,202,160	
New York, NY	WNYW	618,691,500	329,968,800	
New York, NY	WPIX	254,062,620	NA	
New York, NY	WPXN-TV	559,386,675	298,339,560	
New York, NY	WRNN-TV	635,183,775	338,764,680	
New York, NY	WTBY-TV	512,963,550	273,580,560	
New York, NY	WVVF-CD	23,367,150	12,462,480	
New York, NY	WWOR-TV	607,529,700	324,015,840	
New York, NY	WXTV-DT	624,631,500	333,136,800	
New York, NY	WZME	362,751,750	193,467,600	
Los Angeles, CA	KABC-TV	178,190,460	NA	
Los Angeles, CA	KAZA-TV	418,093,650	222,983,280	
Los Angeles, CA	KBEH	463,139,775	247,007,880	
Los Angeles, CA	KCAL-TV	174,906,900	NA	
Los Angeles, CA	KCBS-TV	408,743,550	217,996,560	
Los Angeles, CA	KCET	369,360,675	196,992,360	
Los Angeles, CA	KCOP-TV	194,814,585	NA	
Los Angeles, CA	KDOC-TV	404,688,150	215,833,680	
Los Angeles, CA	KFTR-DT	430,350,975	229,520,520	
Los Angeles, CA	KHTV-CD	343,469,700	183,183,840	

- ▶ Compare this with cellular
- ▶ FCC auctions cellular spectrum licenses for billions
- ▶ Restricts building infrastructure to biggest telcos
- ▶ Left: opening bid list for FCC TV whitespace reverse auction

LORAWAN NETWORK PROVIDERS



- ▶ Senet
- ▶ Commercial network
- ▶ The Things Network
- ▶ Crowdsourced
- ▶ No licensed spectrum required...!!




OBScenely Short

RADIO CRASH COURSE


PHY LAYER

- ▶ Lowest layer in communication stack
- ▶ In wired protocols: voltage, timing, and wiring defining 1s and 0s
- ▶ In wireless: patterns of energy being sent over RF medium



WHAT IS RF?

- ▶ “Radio Frequency”
- ▶ Electromagnetic waves
- ▶ Energy




MANIPULATING RF

- ▶ Done with a radio
- ▶ Hardware defined
 - ▶ RF and protocol **in silicon**
- ▶ Software defined
 - ▶ Flexible silicon handles RF
 - ▶ Protocol-specific components implemented **in software**
(CPU or FPGA)



PHY COMPONENTS

- ▶ Modulation
 - ▶ How digital values are mapped to RF energy
- ▶ RF parameters that can be modulated:
 - ▶ Amplitude
 - ▶ Frequency
 - ▶ Phase
 - ▶ some combination of the above



MODULATION

- ▶ Modulators can modulate **analog or digital** information
- ▶ Digital modulation
 - ▶ **Symbols:** RF energy state representing some quantity of information




SYMBOLS ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ Time domain
- ▶ Top: FSK
- ▶ Bottom: OOK/ASK
- ▶ Compare with analog modulation
 - ▶ Analog = infinite possible symbols
 - ▶ Digital = finite number of possible symbols, defined by modulation

MORE COMPLICATED IOT PHYS

- ▶ Spread spectrum
 - ▶ Data bits are **encoded at a higher rate** and occupy more spectrum
 - ▶ Resilient to RF noise
- ▶ Examples
 - ▶ 802.15.4
 - ▶ Bluetooth
 - ▶ Bluetooth Low Energy




TOOLS USED IN THIS TALK



- ▶ **Transmitter:** Microchip LoRa RN2903 Module
 - ▶ Contains hardware-defined Semtech LoRa radio
- ▶ **Receiver:** Ettus B210
 - ▶ Software-defined radio
 - ▶ Python, GNURadio, and Baudline to process

LAST THING... FFT

- ▶ Fast Fourier Transform
- ▶ Decomposes a signal into its **component frequencies**
 - ▶ Any periodic signal can be modeled as the sum of harmonic sine waves
- ▶ Allows analysis and visualization of frequency domain



TECHNICAL DETAILS

LORA


LORA'S PROPRIETARY PHY

- ▶ Modulation: Chirp Spread Spectrum (CSS)
- ▶ What's a **chirp**?
 - ▶ A signal of continuously increasing or decreasing frequency
 - ▶ i.e. a "swept tone"

CSS CHIRPS

- ▶ Upchirp (top)
 - ▶ Increasing frequency

- ▶ Downchirp (bottom)
 - ▶ Decreasing frequency





CSS ADVANTAGES

- ▶ Great link budget
- ▶ Resilience to interference
- ▶ Performance at low power
- ▶ Resistance to multi-path effects
- ▶ Resistance to Doppler effect (mobile applications)
- ▶ Interesting set of pros... where else are chirps used?

RADAR

CHIRPS IN RADAR


- ▶ Various military and marine radars
- ▶ Wideband and pulse compression
- ▶ Open source GNU Chirp Sounder
 - ▶ Ionospheric radars
 - ▶ Space weather





SEEKING LORA


- ▶ December 2015: No LoRa sightings in Boston, Atlanta, San Francisco, or New York
- ▶ I encountered **Senet** at a Meetup event in Cambridge, MA
- ▶ While watching one of their marketing videos...

Portsmouth, NH!




ROAD TRIP






EXAMINING THE LORA PHY FRAME

- ▶ Repeated upchirps
 - ▶ Preamble/Training Sequence
- ▶ Two downchirps
 - ▶ Start of frame delimiter (SFD)
- ▶ Choppy upchirps of varying length
 - ▶ Data!



PHY DATA UNIT STRUCTURE

- ▶ Chirp frequency is static
- ▶ Chirp “jumps” throughout band
- ▶ Instantaneous frequency changes are result of data being modulated onto the chirps
- ▶ Chirp “phase”



DEMODULATING


LORA

BEFORE WE GET STARTED... WHAT DO WE KNOW?

- ▶ Technical documentation
 - ▶ Semtech European patent application 13154071.8
 - ▶ LoRa Alliance LoRaWAN spec (MAC/NWK only, not a PHY spec)
 - ▶ Semtech app notes AN1200.18 and AN1200.22
- ▶ Prior art
 - ▶ Partial implementation in open source `rtl-sdrangellove`
 - ▶ Observations at <https://revspace.nl/DecodingLora>

SOME DEFINITIONS...

- ▶ **Bandwidth:** width of spectrum occupied by chirp
- ▶ **Spreading factor:** number of bits encoded per symbol (RF state, remember?)
- ▶ **Chirp rate:** first derivative of chirp frequency



SOME DEFINITIONS...


- ▶ **Bandwidth:** width of spectrum occupied by chirp
- ▶ **Spreading factor:** number of bits encoded per symbol (RF state, remember?)
- ▶ **Chirp rate:** first derivative of chirp frequency

SOME NUMBERS...

- ▶ US: 125kHz, 250kHz, 500kHz
- ▶ US: [7-12] bits per symbol
- ▶ $\text{bandwidth}/(2^{*\text{spreading_factor}})$


SO WHAT'S A SYMBOL?


- ▶ Instantaneous change in frequency
- ▶ FM modulated chirps



DEMODULATING THE PHY

1. Identify the beginning of a frame
 2. Find the beginning of the PHY data unit
 3. Extract data from instantaneous frequency transitions
- How? We need to quantify the frequency transitions







TRANSFORMING THE SIGNAL

- ▶ De-chirping the signal makes analysis easier
- ▶ Generate local upchirp and downchirp at the appropriate chirp rate
- ▶ Multiply each against the signal and something interesting happens...

Waterfall Plot



Waterfall Plot




REMEMBER SYMBOLS

- ▶ Symbol: RF state representing some quantity of information
- ▶ LoRa spreading factor: number of bits encoded into each symbol
- ▶ How many possible symbols are there?
 - ▶ $2^{**\text{spreading_factor}}$


EXTRACTING SYMBOLS

- ▶ Channelize and resample signal to chirp bandwidth
- ▶ De-chirp with locally generated signal
- ▶ Take FFT of de-chirped signals, where length of FFT is equal to the number of possible symbols
- ▶ **Most powerful component in each FFT is the symbol!**



DEMODULATION SUMMARY

1. Identify the beginning of a frame
2. Find the beginning of the **PHY** data unit
3. Extract data from instantaneous frequency transitions




DEMODULATION SUMMARY

1. Identify the beginning of a packet

- ▶ Preamble signified by continuous up-chirp
- ▶ == same symbol being transmitted over and over
- ▶ Look for some number of consecutive FFTs with maximum power in the same bin


1 →



DEMODULATION SUMMARY


2. Find the beginning of the PHY data unit

- ▶ Repeat same process looking for SFD down-chirps
- ▶ Down-chirp is complex conjugate of the up-chirp
- ▶ PHY data unit begins 2 symbols after the SFD



BUT WAIT!

- ▶ Accurately finding SFD is essential for receiver synchronization
- ▶ Bad sync can spread symbol energy between adjacent FFTs
- ▶ == incorrect data!







SFD SYNC SOLUTION


- ▶ Increase FFT time-based precision once preamble is found
- ▶ Overlapping FFT sample buffers!

OVERLAPPING FFTS

- ▶ Top: non-overlapping FFT
 - ▶ Each sample processed exactly once
 - ▶ $[i*fft_len:(i+1)*fft_len-1]$
- ▶ Bottom: overlapping FFT
 - ▶ Samples shifted across multiple FFTs
 - ▶ $[i*(fft_len/n_overlaps):(i+1)*(fft_len/n_overlaps)-1]$









300


400

OVERLAPPING FFTS


- ▶ Use overlapping FFTs to synchronize to first sample in the first SFD symbol
- ▶ Re-compute with non-overlapping FFTs to get your data!




Unaligned, Non-Overlapped FFTs




Unaligned, Overlapped FFTs




Aligned, Non-Overlapped FFTs






Unaligned, Non-Overlapped FFTs




Aligned, Non-Overlapped FFTs



DEMODULATION SUMMARY

3. Extract data from chirp phase transitions

- ▶ Use described FFT method
- ▶ **Normalize** data about the value of the preamble (always value 0)



**WE'RE DONE,
RIGHT?**

NO.

DATA ENCODING

- ▶ Symbols represent encoded data
- ▶ What?
- ▶ Data is transformed before it is transmitted
- ▶ Why?
- ▶ Encoding increases OTA resiliency

“ARFZ BE LIKE IT IS.”

Marc Newlin

RF IS A BRUTAL ENVIRONMENT

- ▶ All systems see interference from weather, geomagnetic activity, etc.
- ▶ Some systems have protected/reserved frequencies
- ▶ LoRa is ISM – TONS OF CHATTER
 - ▶ RF contention/collision is guaranteed
- ▶ Encoding scrambles and replicates data within frame

WHAT KIND OF ENCODING?

- ▶ Semtech European patent application clues:
 - 1. Symbol “gray indexing” **Adds error tolerance**
 - 2. Data whitening **Induces randomness**
 - 3. Interleaving **Scrambles bits within frame**
 - 4. Forward Error Correction **Adds correcting parity bits**
- ▶ 4 distinct operations to reverse!

FORWARD ERROR CORRECTION

- ▶ Parity bits that can repair bit errors
- ▶ Hamming(M, N)
 - ▶ M : total bits per codeword [5:8]
 - ▶ N : number of bits which are data bits [4]
- ▶ Hamming error correction rule
 - ▶ $(2^{**\text{num_parity_bits}}) \geq (\text{num_parity_bits} + \text{num_data_bits} + 1)$

HAMMING(M,4) CAPABILITIES

- ▶ (5,4): Parity
- ▶ (6,4): Parity
- ▶ (7,4): Single bit error correction
- ▶ (8,4): Single bit error correction, double error detection

GRAY INDEXING

- ▶ Originally read as gray-coded before transmission
- ▶ Actually de-grayed before transmission

WHITENING

- ▶ Transmitter XORs frame with a pseudorandom sequence
- ▶ Receiver XORs RX'd frame with **same sequence**
 - ▶ Returns original frame, b/c XOR is its own inverse
- ▶ Why? Randomizing data helps receiver synchronization
 - ▶ Similar to Manchester encoding
 - ▶ **Manchester reduces bit rate**, whitening does not

FINDING THE WHITENING SEQUENCE

- ▶ Several whitening algos defined in Semtech AN1200.18
- ▶ Other examples in rtl-sdrangellove
- ▶ None of them worked

DERIVING THE WHITENING SEQUENCE

- ▶ data XOR 0 == data
- ▶ Transmitting a frame of all 0s actually transmits the whitening sequence!
- ▶ Hamming (8,4) of 0b0000 = 0b00000000
- ▶ Non-additive interleaving of 8x 0b00000000 = 8x 0b00000000

FINDING THE INTERLEAVER

- ▶ Semtech European patent application defines diagonal interleaver
- ▶ $\text{data}(\text{byte}, \text{bit}) = \text{symbol}(\text{bit}, (\text{bit} + \text{byte}) \% \text{len_word})$
- ▶ Also doesn't work!

DERIVING THE INTERLEAVER

- ▶ This was hard
- ▶ Exploit properties of Hamming FEC to reveal patterns
 - ▶ Most (8,4) codewords contain 4 set bits, however...
 - ▶ (8,4) 0b0000 = 0b00000000
 - ▶ (8,4) 0b1111 = 0b11111111

DERIVING THE INTERLEAVER, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0x0000000F	Payload: 0x000000F0	Payload: 0x00000F00	Payload: 0x0000F000
00100011	11000000	00001001	11010000
00010011	00100101	00000111	00001001
00001001	00010001	00000011	00000101
00000111	00001101	00000011	00000110
00000000	00001100	01000010	00001000
00000100	00000000	10000001	01000010
01000011	00000001	00100001	10000000
10000101	01000111	00010000	00100101

Payload: 0x000F0000	Payload: 0x00F00000	Payload: 0x0F000000	Payload: 0xF0000000
00000011	01000100	01000001	00001000
00000011	00000011	10000010	01000101
01000001	00000000	00100001	10000011
10000010	01000101	00010010	00100011
00100010	10001001	00001010	00010011
00010001	00100010	00000111	00001011
00001001	00010000	00000011	00000111
00000000	00001111	00000101	00000111

DERIVING THE INTERLEAVER, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0x0000000F	Payload: 0x000000F0	Payload: 0x00000F00	Payload: 0x0000F000
00 1 00011	1 1000000	0000 1 001	110 1 0000
000 1 0011	00 1 00101	00000 1 11	0000 1 001
0000 1 001	000 1 0001	000000 1 1	00000 1 01
00000 1 11	0000 1 101	0000001 1	000001 1 0
00000000	00001 1 00	0 1 000010	00001000
00000100	00000000	1 0000001	0 1 000010
0 1000011	0000000 1	00 1 00001	1 0000000
1 0000101	0 1 000111	000 1 0000	00 1 00101

Payload: 0x000F0000	Payload: 0x00F00000	Payload: 0x0F000000	Payload: 0xF0000000
000000 1 1	01000 1 00	0 1 000001	00001000
0000001 1	000000 1 1	1 0000010	0 1 000101
0 1000001	00000000	00 1 00001	1 0000011
1 0000010	0 1 000101	000 1 0010	00 1 00011
00 1 00010	1 0001001	0000 1 010	000 1 0011
000 1 0001	00 1 00010	00000 1 11	0000 1 011
0000 1 001	000 1 0000	000000 1 1	00000 1 111
00000000	0000 1 1111	0000010 1	000001 1 1

ALIGNING CODEWORDS

- ▶ Mapping diagonals returns bits in each code word
- ▶ However bits in each word are scrambled
- ▶ Solution: Transmit known words, read out diagonals, and look for recognizable Hamming code words


ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: **0xDEADBEEF**

bit 76543210

00110011
10111110
11111010
11011101
10000010
10000111
11000000
10000010



ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210
00110011
10111110
11111010
11011101
10000010
10000111
11000000
10000001

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210
0110011
10111110
1111010
11011101
1000010
10000111
1100000
10000010

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210

001	1	0011
1011	1	1110
11111	0	10
110111	0	1
1000001	0	
10000111		
11000000		
10000010		

	Top							Bot
D	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
E	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
A	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
D	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
B	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
E								
E								
F								

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210

0011	0	011
10111	1	110
111110	1	0
1101110	1	
10000010		
10000111		
11000000		
10000010		

	Top							Bot
D	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
E	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
A	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
D	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
E	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
B	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
E	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
F								

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210

00	1	10011
101	1	1110
1111	1	010
110111	1	01
100000	1	
1000011	1	
1 000000		
1 0000010		

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

bit 76543210

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

ALIGNING CODEWORDS, ILLUSTRATED

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

ALMOST THERE

APPLY FORWARD ERROR CORRECTION

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

APPLY FORWARD ERROR CORRECTION

- spreading_factor = 8, code_rate=4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Bin	(8,4) Parity
0xD	1101	1000
0xE	1110	0001
0xA	1010	1010
0xD	1101	1000
0xB	1011	0100
0xE	1110	0001
0xE	1110	0001
0xF	1111	1111

APPLY FORWARD ERROR CORRECTION

- ▶ spreading_factor = 8, code_rate = 4/8

Payload: 0xDEADBEEF

	Data								Preamble								Preamble																		
	p1				p2				p3				d1				d2				d3				d4										
	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1							
0x0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1								
0x1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1							
0x2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1						
0x3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1						
0x4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1						
0x5	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0					
0x6	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1					
0x7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0				
0x8	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0			
0x9	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1		
0xA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		
0xB	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		
0xC	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
0xD	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0xE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		
0xF	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		

THAT'S THE PHY

HOW DID THIS WORK?

► Decoding stages:

1. Symbol “gray indexing”
2. Data whitening
3. Interleaving
4. Forward Error Correction

CONTROLLED

CONTROLLED

?????

...pretty confident

Only 1 experimental variable!

WHAT'S NEXT?


**HOW ABOUT SOME
TOOLS?**

INTRODUCING

GR-LORA

GR-LORA

- ▶ OOT GNU Radio module
- ▶ Open-source implementation of the PHY




GR-LORA

- ▶ PoC receiver complete
- ▶ Full release between now and GNU Radio conference
- ▶ Who wants to see it?

LIVE DEMO

TO CONCLUDE

- ▶ LPWANs have momentum and are proliferating
- ▶ RF stacks are becoming more diverse
 - ▶ Wireless is not just WiFi anymore
- ▶ Shown how to go from obscure RF → bits
- ▶ Added a new tool to the RF security researcher's arsenal



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- ▶ Balint Seeber, Bastille Threat Research Team
- ▶ Josh Blum, hexameron, and Bertrik Sikken, open source contributors
- ▶ Johan Stokking, The Things Network
- ▶ DEFCON Wireless Village for hosting!

THANKS

matt@**Bastille**.net
@embeddedsec

QUESTIONS?

matt@**Bastille**.net
@embeddedsec