## COMS3261: Computer Science Theory

Fall 2013

Mihalis Yannakakis

Lecture 15, 10/28/13

## **ALGORITHMS for CFLs**

- We will discuss some more algorithms:
- Cleaning algorithms for CFG
   e.g. elimination of useless variables and productions
- Transformation to a simple form: Chomsky Normal Form

# Algorithm for Computing the Generating Variables

- · Generating variable: can derive some terminal string
- Initialization (Basis): K := T
- Loop (Induction): while (∃ production X→β such that X∉K but all symbols of β ∈ K) K := K ∪ {X}
- Return the variables in K
- Time: straightforward: O(|G|<sup>2</sup>), where |G| is the size of the grammar (includes sum of lengths of the productions)
- Can do in O(|G|) time with more care with appropriate data structure – see book, Sec 7.4.3

## Example

- S → ABE | AC
  - $A \rightarrow 1B \mid 0C$
  - $B \rightarrow 0D$
  - $C \rightarrow 1$
  - $D \rightarrow AB$
  - $E \rightarrow 0$
- K ={0,1}
- Add C, E, A, S
- ⇒ B, D not generating

#### Reachable Variables

- A variable X is called reachable if there is a derivation from start symbol S ⇒\* αXβ for some strings α,β
- Theorem: In every derivation of a terminal string from S, all the variables that appear in the derivation are generating and reachable
- Proof: Suppose X appears in a derivation of a terminal string w from S: S ⇒ ... ⇒ αXβ ⇒ ... ⇒ w
   Then X reachable (since S ⇒\* αXβ ) and X generating (derives a substring of w since αXβ ⇒\* w)
- ⇒ Nongenerating and unreachable variables are useless: If we remove these variables and all the productions where they appear (in head or body), then clearly language does not change.

## Algorithm for Reachable Variables

Initialization (Basis):  $R = \{S\}$ Loop (Induction): while ( $\exists$  production  $X \rightarrow \beta$  such that  $X \in R$  but not all variables of  $\beta$  are in R) add all variables of  $\beta$  to R

- Correctness: Easy inductions (HW)
- Time: Straightfoward O(|G|<sup>2</sup>)
   With little more care, O(|G|).

#### Reachable variables

- Can reduce also to Graph Reachability
- Construct graph:
- Nodes = variables
- Edges = A→B if ∃ production A→ ...B...
- Scan the productions and make for each variable A an adjacency list Adj(A) of the adjacent nodes = variables that appear in bodies of productions (B may appear several times).
- #edges = sum of lengths of Adj lists = sum |bodies|
- · Apply a graph searching algorithm out of S
- O(n) time, where n = length of CFG description

#### **Example**

•  $S \rightarrow ABE \mid AC$   $A \rightarrow 1B \mid 0C$ 

 $B \rightarrow 0D$   $C \rightarrow 1$   $D \rightarrow AB$   $E \rightarrow 0$ 

- All variables reachable: R:={S}; S adds A,B,C,E; then B adds D
- If we remove first nongenerating variables B,D and their productions where they appear (in head or body), we get:

 $S \rightarrow AC$   $A \rightarrow 0C$  $C \rightarrow 1$   $E \rightarrow 0$ 

• Reachable R ={S,A,C}. E is not reachable any more

#### Order of removal

- If we first remove all nongenerating variables (and productions that contain them) and then all unreachable variables, then no useless variables.
- Proof: All remaining variables reachable.
   Suppose some remaining variable X now nongenerating.
   Before the 2<sup>nd</sup> round, it was generating, i.e. X ⇒\* w for some terminal string. Since X not removed in 2<sup>nd</sup> round, reachable ⇒ all the variables that appear in the derivation X ⇒\* w also marked reachable ⇒ X still generating.

Other order may not work: if we first remove unreachable, then nongenerating, we may get more unreachable (cf. example)

#### **Chomsky Normal Form**

- Chomsky normal form (CNF): All productions are of the form A → BC or A →a (and can also ensure that there are no useless symbols)
- If  $\varepsilon \in L(G)$  then we allow also the production  $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$  and require that S not appear in the body of a production
- Transforming a CFG into Chomsky Normal Form:
- Can shorten the bodies of the productions to length ≤ 2; in fact either ≤ 2 variables or 1 terminal in each body
- Transform grammar to get rid of  $\epsilon$ -productions (i.e.,  $A \to \epsilon$ ) except that if  $\epsilon \in L$  then we lose it; can retain if we include  $S \to \epsilon$
- Transform to get rid of unit productions A →B
- And can eliminate useless variables.

#### Eliminate terminals from bodies > 1

- If a terminal a appears in a body of length ≥2, then introduce a new variable X<sub>a</sub>, add production X<sub>a</sub> → a, and use X<sub>a</sub> in place of a in all the bodies of length ≥2
- After this, no "mixed" bodies: all bodies of length ≥2 have only variables
- Bodies of length 1 may have a variable or a terminal

## Breaking up long bodies

• For each production  $A \to X_1 \ X_2 \dots X_k$  with  $k \ge 3$ , introduce new variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-2}$  (new for each production) and new productions that replace the original

$$A \rightarrow X_1 Y_1$$

$$Y_1 \rightarrow X_2 Y_2$$
....
$$Y_{k-2} \rightarrow X_{k-1} X_k$$

Example: A 
$$\rightarrow$$
 BCBD becomes A  $\rightarrow$  BY<sub>1</sub> 
$$Y_1 \rightarrow CY_2$$
 
$$Y_2 \rightarrow BD$$

#### Nullable variables

- Variable A nullable if A ⇒\* ε
- Algorithm for computing nullable variables
- Initialization (Basis):  $N = \{A \mid A \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ is in P}\}$
- Induction: while (∃ production A → β=B<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub> ...B<sub>k</sub> such that all B<sub>i</sub> ∈N but A ∉N) N := N∪{A}
- Linear time: data structure same as for generating

#### Elimination of ε-productions

- Each production A → X<sub>1</sub> X<sub>2</sub> ... X<sub>k</sub> that has some say m nullable symbols in body, replaced by 2<sup>m</sup> productions obtained by omitting in body any subset of nullable symbols, except if m=k we do not delete all m symbols
- Delete all ε-productions
- Example: A → BC | CD, where B,C are nullable: becomes: A → B | C | BC | D | CD

Cost: potentially exponential if we have long bodies

But if we first reduce to bodies of size ≤ 2; then a production

will be replaced by at most 3 productions ⇒ linear cost

#### Elimination of ε-productions ctd

• Theorem: If we eliminate the  $\epsilon$ -productions from cfg G to get cfg G' as above, then L(G') = L(G) –  $\{\epsilon\}$ 

#### Proof:

- $\subseteq$  In G' no ε-productions, so ε is not in L(G') Every production of G' can be simulated in G by the production that generated it combined with derivation of ε from the omitted nullable symbols
- $\supseteq$  By induction: If A  $\Rightarrow$  X<sub>1</sub> X<sub>2</sub> ... X<sub>k</sub>  $\Rightarrow$ \* w = w<sub>1</sub> w<sub>2</sub> ... w<sub>k</sub>  $\neq$   $\epsilon$  some of the w<sub>i</sub> may be  $\epsilon$  but can use the production of A that produces only the X<sub>i</sub> for the other i and by induction derive their w<sub>i</sub>, and get w
- If ε∈L(G), then to retain it in the language, we add new start symbol S' and productions
   S' → S | ε

## Unit productions and unit pairs

- Unit production: A → B for two variables A,B
- They do wasteful work: may have long sequence  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \dots$
- Unit pair (X,Y): X ⇒\* Y via a sequence of unit productions
- Observation: If no ε-productions then X ⇒\* Y iff (X,Y) is a unit pair, because once we introduce a second symbol in a derivation, we can't get rid of it.
- Not true if there are ε-productions

## Computation of unit pairs

- Directed graph D = (V,E): nodes =variables,
- Edges = unit productions A→B
- Reachable pairs = unit pairs

#### Algorithm:

Basis:  $U = \{(A,A) \mid A \in V\}$ 

Induction: If  $(A,B) \in U$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  a production then add

(A,C) to U.

At the end : U = set of unit pairs.

Time: all pairs reachability O( |V| · #unit productions)

## Example of unit pairs

```
I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid I0 \mid I1
```

 $F \rightarrow I \mid (E)$ 

 $T \rightarrow F \mid T * F$ 

 $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{T} \mid \mathsf{E} + \! \mathsf{T}$ 

Unit productions:  $\mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{I}$  ,  $\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{F}$  ,  $\mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{T}$ 

Unit pairs: (F,I), (T,F), (T,I), (E,T), (E,F), (E,I), and self-pairs

## Elimination of unit productions

- For each unit pair (A,B) and each production B→ β, add production A → β
- Remove the unit productions
- Example

```
I \rightarrow a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid I0 \mid I1

F \rightarrow (E) \mid a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid I0 \mid I1

T \rightarrow T * F \mid (E) \mid a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid I0 \mid I1

E \rightarrow E + T \mid T * F \mid (E) \mid a \mid b \mid Ia \mid Ib \mid I0 \mid I1
```

#### Elimination of unit productions may create useless symbols

Example: {S →A, A →a} becomes {S →a, A →a}
 A is useless now (unreachable)

#### Summary: Transformation to CNF

[optional: eliminate useless symbols]

- Eliminate terminals from bodies of length >1
- Eliminate bodies of length >2
- Eliminate ε-productions
- Eliminate unit productions
- Eliminate useless symbols
  - a. eliminate nongenerating variables
  - b. eliminate unreachable variables

Order important for correctness

The first group of transformations could be done after the second – but if there are long bodies that contain nullable symbols, better to break them up first

## **Chomsky Normal Form Theorem**

- Theorem: Given a CFG G, we can construct a CNF grammar G' such that L(G') = L(G)
- All productions of the form A → BC or A →a and no useless symbols
- If  $\varepsilon$  is in L(G), we allow also S  $\to \varepsilon$  and S does not appear in the body of any production
- Time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of G