Concluding Questionnaire * Required

1.	Did you find it easier to debug with or without the tool? * Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither
2.	Did you feel more efficient debugging with or without the tool? * Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither
3.	Did you prefer debugging with or without the tool? * Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither
4.	Did you find it easier to implement a feature with or without the tool? * Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither
5.	Did you feel more efficient implementing a feature with or without the tool? Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither
6.	Did you prefer implementing a feature with or without the tool? * Mark only one oval.
	With the tool
	Without the tool
	Neither

	4	^	^	4	_			
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly disagree						Strongly agree		
I felt confident using the tool. Mark only one oval.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly disagree						Strongly agree		
The tool was unnecessarily complex. Mark only one oval.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly disagree						Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval.		2	3	4	5			
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be	1 useful	2	3	4	5	Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be	1 useful	2	3	4	5	Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval.	1 useful	2 for imp	3 lementi	4 ong cros	5 ss-device	Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree I would use the too	1 useful	2 for imp	3 lementi 3	4 ng cros	5 ss-device 5	Strongly agree applications. Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree I would use the too	1 useful	2 for imp	3 lementi 3	4 ng cros	5 ss-device 5	Strongly agree applications. Strongly agree		
The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree I would use the too Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree	1 useful	2 for imp 2 ebuggir	3 lementi 3	4 ng cros	5 ss-device 5 applica	Strongly agree applications. Strongly agree		
Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree I would use the too Mark only one oval.	1 useful 1 ol for de	2 for imp 2 ebuggir 2	3 lementi 3 ng cross	4 ong cross 4 ong-device 4	5 es-device 5 es applica	Strongly agree e applications. Strongly agree ations. *		
Strongly disagree The tool would be Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree I would use the too Mark only one oval. Strongly disagree	1 useful 1 ol for de	2 for imp 2 ebuggir 2	3 lementi 3 ng cross	4 ong cross 4 ong-device 4	5 es-device 5 es applica	Strongly agree e applications. Strongly agree ations. *		

7. It was easy to learn how to use the tool. *

14.	Which of the following features would you use for debugging cross-device applications? * Check all that apply.					
	Device emulation					
	Connecting of real devices					
	Connection features					
	Shared JavaScript console					
	Function debugging					
	Shared CSS editor					
15.	Which of the following features would you use for implementing cross-device applications? *					
	Check all that apply.					
	Device emulation					
	Connecting of real devices					
	Connection features					
	Shared JavaScript console					
	Function debugging					
	Shared CSS editor					
	Do you have any comments about the existing features of our tool?					
17.	Do you have any suggestions for additional features that would be useful for our tool?					
18.	Do you have any other comments?					

Powered by
Google Forms