





Background

Perceiver Effects (PEs) defined as:




PEs are related to psychopathology →
Therefore, utility in validating PID-5 constructs



What domains of personality pathology predict positive/negative perceptions of others?
Who can predict these perceptions – the self and/or an informant?

Sample/Method


First Impression Round Robin Ratings (TIPI)
Self & Informant Ratings (PID-5-BF)



PEs via Social Relations Model and PCA <- PID-5-BF scores
(Regression and Structural-After-Measurement SEM)


Results

Antagonism




Jonckheere's Trend Test: 2/5 items $p_{\text{corr}} < .05$

Disinhibition




Jonckheere's Trend Test: 4/5 items $p_{\text{corr}} < .05$


Total




Negative Affect



Detachment



Psychoticism



Conclusions

- Those with more self-reported Antagonism and Disinhibition tend to also see others more negatively
- Informant-ratings had null results (likely low power)

Future Directions:

- Response Surface Analysis
- Whether negative PEs associated with dysfunctional outcomes
- Metaperceptions

Contact:

michael.carnovale@mail.utoronto.ca



@mike_carnovale



github.com/michaelcarnovale