## RSpec patterns and shmatterns

## RSpec patterns and shmatterns

Patterns are boring. Shmatterns are fun.

describe "Test-driven development" do
 it { should be\_fun }
end

What matters (or, "what do I test?")

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have
- Understanding example groups

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have
- Understanding example groups
- Spec outline

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have
- Understanding example groups
- Spec outline
- Shared example groups

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have
- Understanding example groups
- Spec outline
- Shared example groups
- How SEGs correspond to Ruby modules on implementation side

- What matters (or, "what do I test?")
- Cases 80% of applications have
- Understanding example groups
- Spec outline
- Shared example groups
- How SEGs correspond to Ruby modules on implementation side
- 72 slides

### Before we start

 git clone git://github.com/michaelklishin/ ruby\_barcamp\_kiev\_nov\_2009.git

Public API

- Public API
- Something object exposes to other objects

- Public API
- Something object exposes to other objects
- Communication between objects matters

- Public API
- Something object exposes to other objects
- Communication between objects matters
- How exactly work gets done is secondary

What role object plays

- What role object plays
- Observer

- What role object plays
- Observer
- Observable

- What role object plays
- Observer
- Observable
- SubscriptionTopic

- What role object plays
- Observer
- Observable
- SubscriptionTopic
- TopicSubscriber

What object responds to

- What object responds to
- Edge cases & contexts

- What object responds to
- Edge cases & contexts
- Language: RSpec was created for less tech savvy people

# Example: messages object responds to

```
describe "Twitter account" do
  it { should respond_to(:sign_in) }
  it { should respond_to(:tweet) }
  it { should respond_to(:subscribe) }
  it { should respond_to(:unsubscribe) }
  it { should respond_to(:unsubscribe) }
  end
```

## Example: contexts

```
describe "Basketball lover" do
   context "in the summer" do
     it "plays outdoors"
   end

context "in the winter" do
   it "plays indoors"
   end
end
```

## Example: poor language

```
describe "Blog post" do
  it "is validating title presence"
end
```

Here we see how language used in the spec does not really explain what happens and why

# Example: better language

```
describe "A blog post" do
   context "without title" do
     it "IS NOT valid"
   end

context "with a title 25 characters long" do
   it "is valid"
   end
end
```

#### 80%

- Valid vs invalid
- Public vs private
- Entitled (with title)
- Textual body
- Authored (things that have author)
- Groups of people (projects, communities, et cetera)
- Subscribe/unsubscribe

## Valid vs invalid: what matters

- What states object can be in
- In what states it is valid
- In what states it is NOT valid
- What happens when state changes

#### Example: valid vs invalid, spec outline

```
describe "A blog post" do
  context "without title" do
    it "IS NOT valid"
  end
  context "with a title O characters long" do
    it "IS NOT valid"
  end
  context "with a title 25 characters long" do
    it "is valid"
  end
  context "with a title 37000 characters long" do
    it "IS NOT valid"
  end
end
```

## Spec outline

- Example group with contexts
- Contexts have example titles
- Examples have no bodies
- Used to communicate your ideas to other people
- A great lightweight replacement to the ugly bloated monster that UML is
- Is manifold times more useful if you use
   Emacs + GitHub Gist + Campfire + Skype

## Spec outline helps...

- Understand what is unclear in requirements
- Identify contexts
- Reveal edge cases
- Improve API design
- Improve language in specs & implementation (module namems, method names, etc)

#### Example: capturing similarities

```
describe "A project" do
  context "when just started" do
    it "has only one membership"
    it "has only one member"
    it "has one administrator"
  end
  context "when one more person is added" do
    it "has two membership"
    it "has two members"
    it "still has one administrator"
    it "still has one regular member"
  end
end
```

#### Example: capturing similarities

```
describe "A community" do
  context "when just started" do
    it "has only one membership"
    it "has only one member"
    it "has one administrator"
  end
  context "when one more person is added" do
    it "has two membership"
    it "has two members"
    it "still has one administrator"
    it "still has one regular member"
  end
end
```

```
shared_examples_for "Group of people" do
  context "when just started" do
    it "has only one membership"
    it "has only one member"
    it "has one administrator"
  end
  context "when one more person is added" do
    it "has two membership"
    it "has two members"
    it "still has one administrator"
    it "still has one regular member"
  end
end
describe "A community" do
  it_should_behave_like "Group of people"
end
describe "A project" do
  it_should_behave_like "Group of people"
end
```

# Shared example groups (SEGs) help

- Remove duplication in specs
- Identify reusable pieces of behavior in implementation (hint: Ruby modules)

### Full SEG example

 See in Git repository at spec/ full\_group\_of\_people\_example\_seg.rb

- Step one: @model
- See spec/ group\_of\_people\_with\_ivar\_for\_state\_sharing\_exa mple\_seg.rb

- Step one: @model
- Step two: #model method

- Step one: @model
- Step two: #model method
- Step three: RSpec's built-in #subject

- Step one: @model
- Step two: #model method
- Step three: RSpec's built-in #subject
- Step four: #subject can event be omitted

```
shared_examples_for "Group of people" do
  context "when just started" do
    it "has only one membership" do
      # use #count or have(1).memberships here,
     # it is not the point at all
      subject.memberships.size.should == 1
    end
    it "has only one member" do
      subject.members.size.should == 1
    end
    it "has one administrator" do
      subject.administrators.size.should == 1
    end
    it "has NO regular members" do
      subject.regular_members.should be_empty
    end
  end
  context "when one more person is added" do
    it "has two memberships" do
      subject.memberships.size.should == 2
    end
    it "has two members" do
      subject.members.size.should == 2
    end
    it "(still) has one administrator" do
      subject.administrators.size.should == 1
    end
    it "has one regular member" do
      subject.regular_members.size.should == 1
    end
  end
end
```

#### Common API

- :memberships
- :members
- :membership\_of(person)
- :member?(person)
- :add\_member(person, options = {})
- :remove\_member(person)
- :administrators
- :regular\_members

```
module <u>Traits</u>
  module <u>GroupOfPeople</u>
    # Public API
    def members
    end # members
    def memberships
    end # memberships
    def administrators
    end # administrators
    def regular_members
    end # regular_members
    def add_member(person, options = {})
    end # add_member(person)
    def remove_member(person)
    end # remove_member(person)
  end # GroupOfPeople
end # Traits
```

### Modules are just SEG counterparts in implementation land

• "group of people" => Traits::GroupOfPeople

### Publish/Subscribe at GitHub

- You can subscribe to people
- You can subscribe to repositories

#### Publish/subscribe: contexts

- Initially
- Before subscribing
- After subscribing
- After subscribing and then unsubscribing
- When subscribing to same topic twice
- When unsubscribing from same topic twice

```
#
# SEGs
#

describe "Repository" do
    it_should_behave_like "Traits::SubscriptionTopic"
end

describe "Person" do
    it_should_behave_like "Traits::SubscriptionTopic"
end
```

```
module <u>Traits</u>
  module SubscriptionTopic
    # Public API
    def subscribe(person)
    end # subscribe(person)
    def unsubscribe(person)
    end # unsubscribe(person)
    def subscription_of(person)
    end # subscription_of(person)
    def subscribed?(person)
    end # subscribed?(person)
  end # SubscriptionTopic
end # Traits
```

#### Public vs private: contexts

- Initially
- Before publishing
- After publishing
- After publishing and then unpublishing

#### Public vs private: trait (module)

- Traits::Publishable
- :publish
- :unpublish
- :published?
- :public?
- :private?

Write spec outline

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior
- Write specs one example at a time

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior
- Write specs one example at a time
- Write implementation to make 'em pass

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior
- Write specs one example at a time
- Write implementation to make 'em pass
- Extract SEGs

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior
- Write specs one example at a time
- Write implementation to make 'em pass
- Extract SEGs
- Extract traits/modules

- Write spec outline
- Show it to someone else
- Correct language & behavior
- Write specs one example at a time
- Write implementation to make 'em pass
- Extract SEGs
- Extract traits/modules
- Group SEGs & traits into libraries

Works well with people long ways away from you

- Works well with people long ways away from you
- Does not work with lazy bastards

- Works well with people long ways away from you
- Does not work with lazy bastards
- Requires certain level of discipline (like TDD itself)

- Works well with people long ways away from you
- Does not work with lazy bastards
- Requires certain level of discipline (like TDD itself)
- Leads to perspective shift fairly quickly

Analysis paralysis

- Analysis paralysis
- "Over-generalization"

- Analysis paralysis
- "Over-generalization"
- It is hard to pick great names

- Analysis paralysis
- "Over-generalization"
- It is hard to pick great names
- Never try to generalize before you see real similarities or duplication

# A minimum to get started

Start using spec outlines

# A minimum to get started

- Start using spec outlines
- Show them to other people

## A minimum to get started

- Start using spec outlines
- Show them to other people
- Attach them to tickets so others can see them

### l've told you

RSpec shmatterns are fun

### Thank you