Kemark: Converse of the previous result (strict rélationarity + existence of moments upto order 2 => Weak Adionarity) is NOT true (in general) A counter example for weak stationarity \$> Strict stationarity Let the time series {Xt} be a seq of indep v.v.s & X_E~{exp(i), If t is odd [N(i,i), If t is even EXF=1 +F $(ov(x_{E}, x_{E+h}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0, & \text{indep of } t \end{cases}$ $t^{n} of h only$ => {xt} la Covariance Mationary Realize that dist of X, & X2 are different and hence {xt} com not be strict stationary. Remark: Can you give an example of a time series which is strict statiomary but is not covariance stationary? Example is obvious!! Isn't it?

Helm: Graunian process

A time series {X_{t}} is said to be Graunian it

for any n and any adminsible ti, --, tn, the

it dist" of (X_{t_1}, --, X_{t_n}) is multivariate

normal; i.e. (X_{t_1}, -.., X_{t_n})'n N_n

Def of multironiate normal

A multivariate random vector X_{px_1} with mean vector E(X) = M and Covariance matrix $E(X - M)(X - M)' = \Sigma = Cov(X) \text{ is said to}$ follow a multivariate normal iff $\forall X \in \mathbb{R}^p (X \neq \emptyset)$

He write $X \sim N_{b}$ (\underline{M}, Σ)

He write $X \sim N_{b}$ (\underline{M}, Σ)

He write $X \sim N_{b}$ (\underline{M}, Σ)

If $\Sigma > 0$, then the it $\beta \cdot d \cdot f \cdot \delta = \sum_{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^{1/2}) \left(x - \mu \right)$

Note that N_p dist in completely objectful by $\underline{\mathcal{U}}$ $\Delta \Sigma$ $T + \underline{\mathcal{X}} \sim N_p(\underline{\mathcal{U}}, \Sigma)$

Lovariance stationary Gransian process Suppose {xt} is a Gaussian process and further that the process {xt} is corasiance stationary ire. EXE= W + F lov(Xt, Xt+h)=fn of honly +t; VXt=02+t Since {XE] is Gaussian, the It dist of $Z = (X_{E_1}, \dots, X_{E_n})$ $N_{n}(U, \Sigma)$ Where $M = E(\frac{2}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1} \\ \frac{1}{1} \\ \frac{1}{1} \end{pmatrix}$ De fort 1 = 2 angula

Consider now ishifted net of r.vs X = (XEI+K, ..., XEn+K) for any int K $\frac{y}{x} \sim N_n \text{ with } E(\underline{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{u} \\ \underline{u} \end{pmatrix}$ $Cov(Y) = \begin{cases} V(X_{b_1+K}) & Cov(X_{b_1+K}, X_{b_2+K}) - - Lov(X_{b_1+K}, X_{b_2+K}) \\ V(X_{b_2+K}) & Cov(X_{b_2+K}, X_{b_n+k}) \end{cases}$ $V(X_{b_n+K}) + V(X_{b_n+K}) + V(X_{b_n+K$ · V(x_{bntk})/ $= \begin{pmatrix} T^{2} & \int_{0}^{\Lambda} f(b_{1}-b_{1}) \text{ only} \\ \int_{0}^{\Lambda} f(b_{2}-b_{1}) \text{ only} \end{pmatrix}$ $= \begin{pmatrix} T^{2} & \int_{0}^{\Lambda} f(b_{2}-b_{1}) \text{ only} \\ \int_{0}^{\Lambda} f(b_{2}-b_{2}) \text{ only} \end{pmatrix}$ $\Rightarrow \left(X^{p_1}, \dots, X^{p_N} \right) \stackrel{V}{=} \left(X^{p_1 + \kappa}, \dots, X^{p_N + \kappa} \right)$ identical in dist yman itak to first a lifty} Thus, if [XE] is Gaursian; then if {XE] is Lovariance stationary & => {xt } b shirt stationary Note: This is a of case when cor dat > strict stationary

Some examples

Example 1: {X_{}} io a seq of i.i.d. random vaniables
{X_{}} io retrict retationary

15 {X_{}} io retrict retationary

Alternately, suppose {XE} is a seq of i.i.d. random variables with finite variance of Then {XE] is clearly wranisma stationary and strict stationary.

Example 2:

 $Y_{t} = X + \beta t + \epsilon_{t}$ $\{\epsilon_{t}\} \text{ is a seq of i.i.d. random variables}$ $\ni E(\epsilon_{t}) = 0 + t + (\text{ov}(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{s}) = \{T^{2}, t = s\})$ $\begin{cases} \{Y_{t}\} \text{ is thus an independent random variable} \end{cases}$ $\text{Lift } EY_{t} = X + \beta t \leftarrow f^{2} \text{ of } t$

As EYE's at " of E, {YE] is not even mean.
Actionary and hence is not covariance stationary.

8 1 1 = 25