

→ Compact subsets of  $C(X)$  where  $X$  is a compact metric space.

Recall that  $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a complete metric space.

And that,

$(M, d)$  is a compact metric space  $(\Rightarrow) (M, d)$  complete and totally bdd.

$C(X)$  is not a compact metric space.

What about compact subsets of  $C(X)$ ?

→ For  $A$  a closed set in  $C(X)$ ,  $A$  is compact  $(\Rightarrow) A$  is totally bdd.

Familiar model:  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  closed.

$A$  is compact  $(\Rightarrow) A$  is bdd.

Recall: Totally bdd  $\Rightarrow$  bdd.

~~$\Leftarrow$~~  (in general)

Target: Totally bdd.  $(\Rightarrow)$  bdd. + ?

(suffices to figure out for any set  $A$ , b/c  $A$  is totally bdd  $(\Leftrightarrow) \overline{A}$  is totally bdd.)

In  $C(X)$ , we are able to come up with a condition which fills up the (?) above.

Recall: A cts. function on a compact metric space is unif. cts.

That is,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta_\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $d(x, y) < \delta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Going to "strengthen" this unif. cts. property of each cts. function to a family of cts. func.

Def<sup>n</sup>:

For  $A \subset C(X)$ ,  $A$  is said to be equicontinuous if

for each  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta_\varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall f \in A, d(x, y) < \delta_\varepsilon \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

In general: If  $A$  is equicontinuous, then  $A$  may not be totally bdd.

(HW) Example: For  $0 < K < \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$A = \{ f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ ch. s.t. } |f(x) - f(y)| \leq K |x - y|^\alpha \}$$

Show that  $A$  is equicont. but not totally bdd.

Q. If  $A \subset C(X)$  is uniformly bdd, then is  $A$  totally bdd.?

A. NO! Let  $(f_n)$  be unif. bdd. To check whether  $(f_n)$  is totally bdd, need to check if  $\exists$  a Cauchy subseq. of  $(f_n)$ . That is, if  $\exists (f_{n_k})$  s.t.  $(f_{n_k})$  uniformly convs. (why?).

Example: (A seq.  $(f_n)$  which is unif. bdd. but does not have any unif. conv. subseq.)

Define  $f_n: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f_n(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + (1-nx)^2}$ ,  $n=1,2,3,\dots$

Note that  $|f_n(x)| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in [0,1], \forall n \geq 1$ .

Moreover  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  ptwise.

Since  $f_n(\frac{1}{n}) = 1 \ \forall n$ , there is no subseq. of  $(f_n)$  that convs. unif.

Remark: One cannot generalize the Bolzano-Weierstrass thm. on  $\mathbb{R}$  to arbitrary metric spaces (even if it's complete).

Upshot: Uniformly bdd. set  $A \not\Rightarrow$  totally bdd.  
Equicontinuous set  $A \not\Rightarrow$  totally bdd.

Lemma 11.16 (Carothers). Let  $A$  be a totally bdd. set in  $C(X)$ .  
Then  $A$  is unif. bdd. and equicontinuous. | Self-read.

Q. Is the converse true?

A. YES! (Arzela-Ascoli Thm)

→ Arzela-Ascoli Thm:  $X$ : compact metric space and  $A \subset C(X)$ .  
Then  $A$  is compact iff  $A$  is closed, unif. bdd, and equicontinuous.

Idea:  $\Rightarrow$  Previous lemma.

$\Leftarrow$ : Enough to show that every seq.  $(f_n)$  has a uniformly cgt. subsequence.

Idea is to construct a uniformly Cauchy subsequence.

Since  $(f_n)$  is eqtcts., for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  
 $d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon$ . — (1)

Since  $X$  is compact,  $X$  is totally bdd, hence  $\exists \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset X$  s.t.  
 $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_i, \delta)$ .

Since  $(f_n)$  unif. bdd,  $(f_n(x_i))$  is bdd. in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

$x_1$ :  $f_{1,1}(x_1), f_{1,2}(x_1), \dots$  cgs.

Consider  $(f_{1,k})$  as the seq. and one has  $(f_{1,k}(x_2))$  also bdd.

$f_{2,1}(x_2), f_{2,2}(x_2), \dots$  cgs.

$\dots \dots f_{3,1}(x_3), f_{3,3}(x_3), \dots$  cgs.

$\vdots$

Pick the diagonal seq:  $(f_{1,1}, f_{2,2}, f_{3,3}, \dots)$

Then, for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $(f_{n,n}(x_i))$  cgs.

Since there are finitely many  $i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , for which  $(f_{n,n})$  cgs.

So,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall m, n \geq N$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$|f_{h,n}(x_i) - f_{m,m}(x_i)| < \varepsilon. \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Given  $x \in X$ ,  $\exists 1 \leq i \leq k$ , s.t.  $x \in B(x_i, \delta)$ . and  $\forall m, n \geq N$ ,

$$|f_{h,n}(x) - f_{m,m}(x)| \leq \underbrace{|f_{h,n}(x) - f_{h,n}(x_i)|}_{< \varepsilon \text{ via (1)}} + \underbrace{|f_{h,n}(x_i) - f_{m,m}(x_i)|}_{< \varepsilon \text{ via (2)}} + \underbrace{|f_{m,m}(x_i) - f_{m,m}(x)|}_{< \varepsilon \text{ via (1)}}$$

Hence the subseq.  $(f_{h,n})$  of  $(f_n)$  is unif. Cauchy.  $\square$ .