

BLP eqns:

$$\frac{\partial S(a)}{\partial a_j} = 0 \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_0} = 0 \text{ gives}$$

$$E\left(X_{n+h} - a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right) = 0 \quad - (i)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_j} = 0 \text{ gives}$$

$$j = 1, \dots, n \quad E\left(X_{n+h} - a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right) X_{n+1-j} = 0 \quad - (ii)$$

$$(i) \Rightarrow \mu - a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } a_0 = \mu \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)$$

$$(ii) \Rightarrow E(X_{n+h} X_{n+1-j}) - a_0 \mu - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_{n+1-i} X_{n+1-j}) = 0$$

$j = 1(1)n$

$$\text{i.e. } E(X_{n+h} X_{n+1-j}) - \mu^2 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_{n+1-i} X_{n+1-j}) = 0$$

$j = 1(1)n$

$$\text{i.e. } \left(E(X_{n+h} X_{n+1-j}) - \mu^2\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(E(X_{n+1-i} X_{n+1-j}) - \mu^2\right) = 0$$

$j = 1(1)n$

$$\text{i.e. } Y_{n+j-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Y_{i-j}; \quad j = 1(1)n$$

Thus the BLP prediction eqns are

$$a_0 = \mu \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) \quad - (iii)$$

$$Y_{n+j-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Y_{i-j}; \quad j = 1(1)n \quad - (iv)$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{y}_n(h) = (y_h, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_{h+n-1})'$$

$$\underline{a}_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)'$$

$$\Gamma_n = ((\gamma_{i-j}))$$

$$(iv) \text{ is } \underline{y}_n(h) = \Gamma_n \underline{a}_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BLP is } P_n X_{n+h} &= \mu \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+h-i} \\ &= \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+h-i} - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{a}_n \ni \underline{y}_n(h) = \Gamma_n \underline{a}_n$$

Note (i)  $E(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad E(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h})^2 \\ = E(X_{n+h} - \mu - \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\underline{y}_n = (X_n - \mu, \dots, X_1 - \mu)')$$

$$= E((X_{n+h} - \mu) - \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n)((X_{n+h} - \mu) - \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n)'$$

$$= E(X_{n+h} - \mu)^2 + \underline{a}_n' E \underline{y}_n \underline{y}_n' \underline{a}_n - 2 E(X_{n+h} - \mu) \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n$$

$$= \gamma_0 + \underline{a}_n' \Gamma_n \underline{a}_n - 2 \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n(h)$$

$$= \gamma_0 + \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n(h) - 2 \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n(h) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \underline{a}_n \text{ is } \ni \\ \underline{y}_n(h) = \Gamma_n \underline{a}_n \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \underline{\gamma_0 - \underline{a}_n' \underline{y}_n(h)}$$

This is the mean square prediction error  
corresponding to BLP.

Note (iii)  $E(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_j = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$

Note (iv) If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $a_0 = 0$ , so we can start with prediction  $eq^*$  without a constant

Remark: sp case - one step ahead prediction for zero mean

$$P_n X_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i X_{n+1-i}$$

$$\beta_n = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)' \text{ is } \exists$$

$$\Gamma_n \beta_n = \gamma_n(1)$$

$$\gamma_n(1) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)' \quad \Gamma_n = ((\gamma_{i-j}))$$

$$\beta_n^{(BLP)} = \Gamma_n^{-1} \gamma_n(1)$$

use estimates  $\hat{\gamma}_i$  to get

$$\hat{\beta}_n^{BLP} = \hat{\Gamma}_n^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_n(1)$$

$$\text{Predicted } X_{n+1}: \hat{X}_{n+1}^{BLP} = (\hat{\Gamma}_n^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_n(1))' \hat{X}_n$$

Example: Prediction for AR(2)

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \epsilon_t$$

Prediction of  $X_2$  using  $X_1$

$$P_{X_1} X_2 = \beta_1 X_1$$

$\beta_1$  is  $\exists$   $E(X_2 - \beta X_1)^2$  is minimized w.r.t.  $\beta$

$$q(\beta) = E(X_2 - \beta X_1)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial \beta} = 0 \Rightarrow E(X_2 - \beta X_1) X_1 = 0$$

$$\text{i.e. } \gamma_1 = \beta \gamma_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} = \rho_1$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial \beta^2} = +\gamma_0$$

$$P_{X_1} X_2 = \rho_1 X_1$$

Prediction of  $X_3$  using  $X_2$  &  $X_1$

$$P_{(X_2, X_1)} X_3 = \beta_1^* X_2 + \beta_2^* X_1$$

$\beta_1^*, \beta_2^*$  are  $\exists \ g(\beta_1, \beta_2) = E(X_3 - \beta_1 X_2 - \beta_2 X_1)^2$  is  
minimised w.r.t.  $\beta_1$  &  $\beta_2$

BLP eq<sup>n</sup>s :  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \beta_1} = 0 \Rightarrow E(X_3 - \beta_1 X_2 - \beta_2 X_1) X_2 = 0$

i.e.  $\gamma_1 = \beta_1 \gamma_0 + \beta_2 \gamma_1$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \beta_2} = 0 \Rightarrow E(X_3 - \beta_1 X_2 - \beta_2 X_1) X_1 = 0$$

i.e.  $\gamma_2 = \beta_1 \gamma_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^* \\ \beta_2^* \end{pmatrix}_{BLP} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{as expected}$$

Notice the similarity of BLP eq<sup>n</sup>s with Yule-Walker eq<sup>n</sup>s.

Further,

$$\forall n \geq 2; \quad P_{(X_n, \dots, X_1)} X_{n+1} = \phi_1 X_n + \phi_2 X_{n-1}$$

i.e.  $\beta_1^* = \phi_1, \beta_2^* = \phi_2; \beta_3^* = \dots = \beta_n^* = 0$