

Recall: The Bolzano-Weierstrass Thm (for seq.):

A bounded seq. has a cvgt. subseq. in  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ .  
(A bounded seq. has a cvgt. subseq. in  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\|\cdot\|_2})$ )

Consider  $(M, d) = (\ell_\infty, d_{\|\cdot\|_\infty})$

Take  $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\ell_\infty$  where  $X_n := (0, 0, \dots, \underset{n\text{-th}}{1}, \underbrace{\phantom{0, 0, \dots}}_{\infty})$

$(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bdd. seq. but does not have any cvgt. subseq.

Note that if a set  $A$  is bounded, then  $\exists x \in M$  s.t.  $A \subset B(x, r)$  for "some  $r > 0$ ".  
"loose a bit" "tighten"

$$\forall r > 0, \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in M \text{ s.t. } A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n B(x_j, r)$$

Def<sup>n</sup>:  $(M, d)$ : metric space

$A \subset M$  is said to be **totally bdd.** if, given  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  s.t.  $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n B(x_j, \varepsilon)$ .

- Every totally bdd. set is a bdd. set.

~~✗~~  
e.g. Consider  $X_n \in \ell_\infty$ . Then  $\|X_n - X_m\|_\infty = 1 \quad \forall n, m$ .

Take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . For any finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset M$ , consider  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n B(x_j, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Then  $A = \{X_n\} \not\subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n B(x_j, \frac{1}{2})$  (why?)

(HW)  
→

$A$  is totally bdd iff  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there are finitely many sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset A$ , with  $\text{diam}(A_j) < \varepsilon$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , s.t.  $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$ .

For  $(x_n)$  a seq. in  $(M, d)$ , let  $A := \{x_n | n \geq 1\}$ .

→  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is totally bdd. iff  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  finitely many sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset A$  s.t.  $\text{diam}(A_j) < \varepsilon$  and  $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j$ . (Small Cauchy ???)

Recall:  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  Consider  $(x_n)$ : Cauchy seq.

Then,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon$  s.t.  $\forall n, m \geq N_\varepsilon, |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$

$$\forall n \geq N_\varepsilon, |x_n| \leq |x_n - x_{N_\varepsilon}| + |x_{N_\varepsilon}| < \varepsilon + |x_{N_\varepsilon}|.$$

$$\text{let } C := \max \{ |x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_{N_\varepsilon-1}|, \varepsilon + |x_{N_\varepsilon}| \}$$

Then  $|x_n| \leq C \forall n \geq 1$ . Hence  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is a bdd set.

$(x_n)$ : Cauchy seq. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $A_\varepsilon := \{x_n | n \geq N_\varepsilon\}$ . Then  $\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ .

Consider  $B(x_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B(x_{N_\varepsilon-1}, \varepsilon), A_\varepsilon$

$$A_j := B(x_j, \varepsilon) \cap \{x_n | n \geq 1\} = \{x_j\}, \forall 1 \leq j \leq N_\varepsilon - 1$$

Note that  $A = \{x_n | n \geq 1\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_\varepsilon-1} A_j \cup A_\varepsilon$ . where  $\text{diam}(A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  and  $\text{diam}(A_j) = 0 < \varepsilon$

→ If  $(x_n)$  Cauchy, then  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is totally bdd. ( $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ : totally bdd  $\Leftrightarrow$  bdd.)

→ If  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is a bdd set, then  $\exists$  a cvgt. subsequence (BW-Thm)  
(Completeness property of  $\mathbb{R}$ )

Upshot:  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ :  $(x_n)$  Cauchy  $\Rightarrow \{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is a bdd set. (equivalently, totally bdd.)  
 $\exists$  cvgt. subseq.  $\Leftarrow$

- In general metric spaces, not every bdd seq. has a cvgt. subseq.
- In a metric space, totally bdd set is a bdd set, but converse is not true.

Let's set back to the blue result

generalization:  $(M, d)$ : metric space and  $(x_n)$  a Cauchy seq.

Then  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is totally bdd.

Q: What about the converse? That is, if  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is totally bdd, then is  $(x_n)$ : a Cauchy seq. ???

Doodle:  $A = \{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  totally bdd. Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists A_1, \dots, A_{N(\varepsilon)} \subset A$  s.t.  
 $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} A_j$  and  $\text{diam}(A_j) < \varepsilon$ . Q: How to guarantee after a certain  $N$  onwards,  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$  ???

→ Analyze "what it means to say  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is a totally bdd. set?"

- If  $A$  is a finite set, then  $\exists (n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $x_{n_k} = x_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $\forall k \geq 1$ .  
 (say,  $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ )

Then,  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a const. subseq.

- Suppose  $A$  is an infinite set which is totally bdd.

For  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $\exists$  finitely many sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{N(1)}$  s.t.  $\text{diam}(A_j) < 1$  and  
 $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N(1)} A_j$ .

Since  $A$  has infinitely many pts,  $\exists$  a set in  $\{A_1, \dots, A_{N(1)}\}$  which contain infinitely many pts. of  $A$ . Let say that set is  $A_1$ .

Since  $A$  is totally bdd,  $A_1$  is totally bdd. (H.W). So for  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2}$   $\exists$  a finite collection of subsets of  $A_1$  s.t. diameter of those sets are less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Continuing this way, one obtains

$A \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$  s.t.  $A_k$  has infinitely many pts. of  $A$   
 and  $\text{diam}(A_k) < \frac{1}{k}$ .

Choose a subseq.  $(x_{n_k})$  s.t.  $x_{n_k} \in A_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K_{\varepsilon}$  s.t.  $\forall k \geq K_{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$ .

Moreover,  $d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_m}) < \frac{1}{k}, \forall k, m \geq K_{\varepsilon}$ . Therefore,  $(x_n)$  has a Cauchy subseq.

If  $A = \{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  is totally bdd, then  $\exists$  a Cauchy subsequence of  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

Upshot:  $\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  totally bdd.  $\Rightarrow \exists (x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  a Cauchy subseq.

$(M, d)$

$\{x_n | n \geq 1\}$  totally bdd.  $\Leftarrow (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  a Cauchy seq.

## (Sequential Characterization of totally bdd. sets)

Thm:

$A$  is totally bdd. iff every seq. in  $A$  has a Cauchy subseq.

Idea:  $\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $A$  is not totally bdd. (Hence  $A$  is an infinite set.)

Then,  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $A$  cannot be covered by finitely many sets in  $A$  with diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ .

In particular, for  $a_1 \in A$  consider  $B(a_1, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $A \not\subset B(a_1, \varepsilon)$ , one has  $a_2 \in A$  s.t.  $a_2 \notin B(a_1, \varepsilon)$  i.e.,  $d(a_1, a_2) \geq \varepsilon$ .

Consider  $B(a_1, \varepsilon) \cup B(a_2, \varepsilon)$ . Then  $A \not\subset B(a_1, \varepsilon) \cup B(a_2, \varepsilon)$ . Hence  $\exists a_3 \in A$  s.t.  $d(a_1, a_3) \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $d(a_2, a_3) \geq \varepsilon$ . s.t.  $d(a_n, a_m) \geq \varepsilon, \forall n \neq m$ .

Continuing this way, one obtains a seq.  $(a_n)$  in  $A$  which does not have any Cauchy subseq. contradicting the hypothesis that every seq. in  $A$  has a Cauchy subseq.

■

Corollary: (HW) (Bolzano-Weierstrass Thm) Every bdd. infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  has a limit pt. in  $\mathbb{R}$