

→ $C[a, b]$ is separable.

Consider $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

If (P_n) is a seq. of polynomials s.t. $P_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then f is cts. on $[a, b]$.

Q. Is the converse true? That is, for $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts.,
does \exists a seq. of polynomials P_n s.t. $P_n \rightarrow f$ unif.

A. YES! Weierstrass Approximation Thm. (Intuitive Idea: Carothers Thm. 11.2)

→ Weierstrass Approximation Thm:

$f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts. and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then \exists a polynomial p with real coefficients s.t. $|f(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$.

Pf: claim: Suffices to prove the thm. for $[0, 1]$.

Pf. of the claim: If $a = b$, take $p(x) := f(a)$. Then $|f(a) - f(a)| = 0 < \varepsilon$.

Assume $a < b$.

Then $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [a, b]$ defined as $\varphi(t) = (b-a)t + a$ is cts.

And, $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(t) := f(\varphi(t))$ is cts.

Suppose we have the Weierstrass Approx. thm. for $[0, 1]$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists p': [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
s.t. $|g(t) - p'(t)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Let $x = (b-a)t + a$. Then $t = (x-a)/(b-a)$.

Hence, $|f(x) - \underbrace{p'((x-a)/(b-a))}_{p(x)}| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. This proves the claim.

For each n : define the Bernstein polynomial

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

$$\bullet \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = [x + (1-x)]^n = 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

(Binomial thm.)

- Differentiate (1) w.r.t. x and then multiply throughout by $x(1-x)$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} (k-nx) = 0. \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

- Differentiate (2) by considering $x^k(1-x)^{n-k}$ as one of the factors in the product rule, one obtains:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} [-nx(1-x)^{n-k} + x^{k-1}(1-x)^{n-k-1}(k-nx)^2] = 0 \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

Apply (1) to (3): $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k-1} (k-nx)^2 = n$. Multiply this by $x(1-x)$ and then divide both sides by n^2 .

One obtains :
$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \left(x - \frac{k}{n}\right)^2 = \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

claim: $B_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ uniformly.

Ans. Using (1):

$$f(x) - B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \left[f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right],$$

Taking absolute value both sides and using the fact that f is unif. cts. on $[0,1]$,
for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|x - \frac{k}{n}| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| < \varepsilon/2$, for suff. large n .

Split the R.H.S. into two parts Σ and Σ' s.t. Σ is the sum of those terms for which $|x - \frac{k}{n}| < \delta$ and Σ' is the sum of the remaining terms.

(HW) $\Sigma < \varepsilon/2$. Consider Σ' : Since f is odd, $|f(x)| \leq K$ for some $K > 0$, $\forall x \in [0,1]$.

So, $\Sigma' \leq 2K \sum_k \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ where k is over all terms for which $|x - \frac{k}{n}| \geq \delta$.
 $\hookrightarrow \{k : |x - \frac{k}{n}| \geq \delta\} = S$

The identity (4) implies that $\delta^2 \sum_{k \in S} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n}$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k \in S} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n \cdot \delta^2}.$$

Since $x(1-x) \leq 1/4 \quad \forall x \in [0,1]$, $\sum_{k \in S} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \frac{1}{4\delta^2 n}$.

Choose n suff. large s.t. $\frac{1}{4\delta^2 n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4K}$.

Q. Show that $C[0,1]$ is separable.

Remark: X : compact metric space.

$C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ cts. functions}\}$ w.r.t. sup-norm.

Stone-Weierstrass Thm: (Real-valued): X : compact metric space

Let A be a subalgebra of $C(X)$.

If A separates pts. in X and vanishes at no pt. in X ,
 Then A is dense in $C(X)$.