

The QR Algorithm

Part 1: "Pure" QR for Symmetric Matrices

In this lecture, $A = A^T$ (real symmetric)

$$\Rightarrow A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & | & | & | \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \\ 1 & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & | & | & | \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \\ 1 & | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} Λ
 ↳ orthogonal matrix ↳ real eigenvalues
 $\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}^*$

In contrast to $A = LU$ and $A = QR$, there is no finite-length algorithm to compute $A = \mathbf{V}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^*$.

Instead, we will approximate \mathbf{V} and Λ iteratively.

"Pure" QR - algorithm (not to be confused with QR factorization)

$$A^{(0)} = A$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)}$$

[QR factorization of $A^{(k-1)}$]

[Combine factors "backward"]

Remarkably, the iteration converges as follows:

Strictly,
this is
true
when
 $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$
for $i \neq j$

$$A^{(k)} \rightarrow I \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} \dots Q^{(k)} \rightarrow V \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

↑ Strictly speaking, signs of columns can change - more later.

Note, convergence occurs in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In practice, we need a stopping criterion so that the for loop terminates in finitely many operations. The outputs $A^{(k_n)}$ and $Q^{(k_n)}$ will be approximations to I and V .

Power iterations

To understand the accuracy of the approx.

$A^{(k)}$ and $Q^{(k)}$, we can relate them to simpler iterates whose convergence is easier to study.

Given $\hat{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\|\hat{x}^{(0)}\| = 1$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$x^{(k)} = A \hat{x}^{(k-1)}$$

$$\hat{x}^{(k)} = x^{(k)} / \|x^{(k)}\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \hat{x}^{(k)T} A \hat{x}^{(k)}$$

Power iteration

Normalize vector

"Rayleigh-Quotient"

Convergence of Power Iterations

Assumptions:

$$1) |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (\text{spectral gap})$$

$$2) c_i := v_i^T \hat{x}^{(0)} \neq 0 \quad (\text{initial } \hat{x}^{(0)} \text{ non-deficient})$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}^{(k)} = \frac{A\hat{x}^{(k-1)}}{\|\hat{x}^{(k-1)}\|} = \dots = \frac{A^k \hat{x}^{(0)}}{\|\hat{x}^{(0)}\| - \|\hat{x}^{(0)}\|} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{just a} \\ \text{scalar factor} \\ = \alpha_k \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{x}^{(k)} &= \alpha_k^{-1} A^k \underbrace{[c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n]}_{\hat{x}^{(0)}} \\ &= \alpha_k^{-1} \lambda_1^k \left[c_1 v_1 + c_2 \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1^k} v_2 + \dots + c_n \frac{\lambda_n^k}{\lambda_1^k} v_n \right] \\ &\quad \underbrace{\phantom{\alpha_k^{-1} \lambda_1^k \left[c_1 v_1 + c_2 \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1^k} v_2 + \dots + c_n \frac{\lambda_n^k}{\lambda_1^k} v_n \right]}}_{= O(1 \frac{\lambda_2^k}{\lambda_1^k} |^k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sim \alpha_k^{-1} \lambda_1^k c_1 v_1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\|\hat{x}^{(k)}\| = 1$ for every $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we must have

$$\alpha_k^{-1} \lambda_1^k c_1 \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{b/c } \|v_1\| = 1$$

(orthogonal agrees)

so that $\hat{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Then } \hat{x}^{(k)}^T A \hat{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow v_1^T A v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1^T v_1 = \lambda_1.$$

Simultaneous Power Iterations

What about v_2, v_3, \dots and $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots$?

Idea: run power iteration on multiple vectors simultaneously.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1^{(k)} & x_2^{(k)} & \dots & x_n^{(k)} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hat{x}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ q_1^{(k-1)} & q_2^{(k-1)} & \dots & q_n^{(k-1)} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hat{Q}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Power iteration

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ q_1^{(k)} & q_2^{(k)} & \dots & q_n^{(k)} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hat{Q}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & R^{(k)} & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1^{(k)} & x_2^{(k)} & \dots & x_n^{(k)} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \hat{x}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

orthonormalize vectors

$$\hat{A}^{(k)} = \hat{Q}^{(k)\top} A \hat{Q}^{(k)}$$

"generalized Rayleigh quotient"

Intuition: The first column is just power iteration so $q_1^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. As $q_2^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_2$, then $v_1^\top q_2^{(k)} \approx q_1^{(k)\top} q_2^{(k)} = 0$, so $q_2^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_2$ the second largest eigenvalue's eigenvector. Similarly, $q_3^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_3$, $q_4^{(k)} \rightarrow \pm v_4$, and so on. In other words $Q^{(k)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} V$.

where $\xrightarrow{+}$ means convergence up to column signs.
 Moreover, $\hat{A}^{(k)} = \hat{Q}^{(k)\top} A \hat{Q}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{+} V^\top A V = \Lambda$.

Theorem 1 Suppose that A has distinct eigenvalues with $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n|$, and let $\hat{Q}^{(0)}$ have orthonormal columns with $V^\top \hat{Q}^{(0)}$ of full (column) rank. Then

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{+} V \text{ and } \hat{A}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{+} \Lambda$$

"Pure" QR-algorithm as Simultaneous Iteration

Analysis of QR-algorithm rests on its connection to Simultaneous Iteration with initial vectors $\hat{Q}^{(0)} = I$ (identity matrix).

Simultaneous Iter.

$$\hat{Q}^{(0)} = I$$

$$\hat{X}^{(k)} = A \hat{Q}^{(k-1)}$$

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k)} = \hat{X}^{(k)}$$

$$\hat{A}^{(k)} = \hat{Q}^{(k)\top} A \hat{Q}^{(k)}$$

QR-algorithm

$$A^{(0)} = A$$

$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)}$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{R}^{(k)} = R^{(k)} R^{(k-1)} \cdots R^{(1)}$$

$$\underline{Q}^{(k)} = Q^{(1)} Q^{(2)} \cdots Q^{(k)}$$

Theorem 2 Simultaneous Iteration generates identical sequences of matrices

$$\hat{A}^{(k)} = A^{(k)}$$

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} = \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

and

$$R^{(k)} = \hat{R}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k-1)} \cdots \hat{R}^{(1)}$$

Moreover, $A^k = \underline{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)}$ and $A^{(k)} = \underline{Q}^{(k)T} A \underline{Q}^{(k)}$

Pf Look at the first iteration and proceed by induction.

SI

k=1

$$\hat{Q}^{(1)} \hat{R}^{(1)} = \hat{X}^{(1)} = A \mathbf{I} = A$$

$$A^{(1)} = \hat{Q}^{(1)T} A \hat{Q}^{(1)}$$

QR

$$\underline{Q}^{(1)} \underline{R}^{(1)} = A^{(1)} = A$$

$$A^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)} \underline{Q}^{(1)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underline{Q}^{(1)T} A}_{R^{(1)}} \underline{Q}^{(1)}$$

K_{2,1} Suppose this holds for first k-1 iterations, show that it then must hold for kth iteration.

SI

$$A^k = \underbrace{A}_{\text{inductive}} \underbrace{Q^{(k-1)} R^{(k-1)}}_{\text{hypothesis}}$$

$$= (\hat{Q}^{(k)} R^{(k)}) \underline{R}^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \hat{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)} \quad (\text{def. of } \underline{R}^{(k)})$$

Since QR is unique,

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} = \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

$$\therefore A^k = \underline{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)} \quad \checkmark$$

Then,

$$A^{(k)} = \hat{Q}^{(k)\top} A \hat{Q}^{(k)}$$

$$= \underline{Q}^{(k)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{inductive hypothesis}$$

$$A^{(k-1)} = \underline{Q}^{(k-1)} \underline{R}^{(k-1)}$$

QR

$$A^k = \underbrace{A}_{\text{by ind. hyp.}} \underbrace{\underline{Q}^{(k-1)} R^{(k-1)}}_{\underline{Q}^{(k-1)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k-1)}}$$

$$= \underline{Q}^{(k-1)} A^{(k-1)}$$

by ind. hyp.

$$A^{(k-1)} = \underline{Q}^{(k-1)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \underline{Q}^{(k-1)} \underbrace{A^{(k-1)}}_{\underline{Q}^{(k)}} \underline{R}^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \underline{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underline{Q}^{(k-1)}}_{\underline{Q}^{(k)}} \underbrace{\underline{Q}^{(k)} R^{(k)}}_{\underline{R}^{(k)}}$$

$$\therefore A^k = \underline{Q}^{(k)} \underline{R}^{(k)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{Now, } A^{(k)} = \underline{R}^{(k)} \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

$$= [\underline{Q}^{(k)\top} A^{(k-1)}] \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

$$\text{b/c } \underline{Q}^{(k)\top} \underline{R}^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$$

$$\text{Then, } A^{(k)} = \underline{Q}^{(k)\top} \underbrace{A^{(k-1)}}_{\underline{Q}^{(k-1)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k-1)}} \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\underline{Q}^{(k-1)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \underline{Q}^{(k)\top} A \underline{Q}^{(k)} \quad \checkmark$$

The connection between SI and QR immediately establishes the convergence of QR-algorithm under hypotheses of Thm 1.

To make QR-algorithm computationally efficient, we need to make sure that

(1) each iteration is not too expensive

(2) The iterates converge quickly

Next time, we'll address both (1)-(2)

and describe how to adapt QR to

compute the SVD of general non-symmetric

matrices.