CS11 Advanced C++

Lecture 3
Spring 2012-2013

Complex Numbers

Write a complex number class:

```
class Complex {
    float real, imag;

public:
    Complex(float re, float im) :
        real(re), imag(im) { }
    ...
};
```

Construct complex numbers like this:

```
Complex a(2, 3); // 2 + 3i
```

What other ways to initialize complex numbers?

```
Complex b; // 0 + 0i
Complex c(5); // 5 + 0i
```

Default Values

 Instead of creating multiple constructors, can specify default values for arguments

```
class Complex {
    ...
public:
    Complex(float re = 0, float im = 0) :
        real(re), imag(im) { }
    ...
};
```

Supports all desired call patterns:

```
Complex a(2, 3); // Both re and im specified

Complex b; // Both re and im default to 0

Complex c(5); // re = 5; im defaults to 0
```

Rules for Default Values

- Default values can only be specified once
 - Typically specified in declaration, not in definition
- Arguments with default values must be at end of argument-list
 - This is invalid:

```
void foo(int i, char *psz = 0, double x, int j = -1);
```

Move all default args to end:

```
void foo(int i, double x, char *psz = 0, int j = -1);
```

Rules for Default Values (2)

- When calling a function with default values, can't skip over some arguments
 - Only trailing arguments may be left unspecified
- Continuing previous example:

```
void foo(int i, double x, char *psz = 0, int j = -1);

This is invalid:
foo(3, 2.25, , 15);  // let psz default to 0
```

These are the only valid call patterns:

Function Pointers and Default Values

- All arguments are part of a function's type
 - ...even those with default values
- Example:

```
void f(int i, int j = 0);
...
void (*fp1)(int, int) = f; // OK
void (*fp2)(int) = f; // COMPILE ERROR
```

Another Design Example

Create a 2D point class

```
    Default coordinates to (0, 0)

   class Point {
       float x coord, y coord;
   public:
       Point(float x = 0, float y = 0):
           x coord(x), y coord(y) { }
Do all valid call patterns make sense?
           // defaults to (0, 0)
   Point a;
   Point b(15, 2);
   Point c(3); // (3, 0) ???
```

Default Arguments

- When using default arguments, make sure that <u>all</u> valid call patterns make sense.
- For 2D points, no reason to specify X coordinate and let Y default to 0.
- Create two separate constructors:

```
Point() : x_coord(0), y_coord(0) { }
Point(float x, float y) :
   x_coord(x), y_coord(y) { }
```

Disallow the call-pattern Point(x)

Class Members

Class-members are usually associated with objects

```
class Matrix4F {
   float values[16];
public:
   ...
  bool isOrthogonal() { ... }
};
```

- Each matrix-object has its own copy of values member
- Calling isOrthogonal () requires a specific object

```
Matrix4F m = ...;
if (m.isOrthogonal())
    m.transpose(); // can invert by transposing
```

Static Members

- Might want to provide constants for our class
 - Only want <u>one</u> copy of the constant for the entire class, not one per object!
- Also might want to provide general utility functions for our class
 - Functions that help with the class, but don't need to be called on a specific object
- Static class-members aren't associated with a specific object
 - The member is associated with the class itself, not with individual objects

Static Constants

- Add an Identity constant to our matrix class
- Updated declaration, in our matrix.hh file:

```
class Matrix4F {
    ...
public:
    ...
    // Identity matrix constant
    static const Matrix4F Identity;
};
```

- Static member is <u>not</u> defined or initialized in the declaration!
 - Separately initialized in corresponding matrix.cc file
 - (reasons are gross and involve compilation/linking issues)

Static Constants (2)

Within the corresponding matrix.cc file:

```
const Matrix4F Matrix4F::Identity =
    Matrix4F().setIdentity();
```

- Only use static keyword in declaration, not in definition
- Refer to static member by its qualified name:Matrix4F::Identity
- To use default initialization for static member:

```
const Matrix4F Matrix4F::Identity;
```

- Still must appear in the .cc file! Otherwise, linker errors...
- Other code can refer to the static constant:

```
if (m == Matrix4F::Identity)
```

#include "matrix.hh"

Static Functions

 Add a static function to convert Euler angles into corresponding transform matrix

```
class Matrix4F {
    ...
public:
    ...
    static Matrix4F getEulerTransform(
        const EulerAngles &a);
};
```

- Function definition can appear in class declaration or in definition
- (only static member-variables are finicky)

Static Functions (2)

Can call static function without requiring an object #include "matrix.hh"

```
Matrix4F m;
EulerAngles a;
...
m = Matrix4F::getEulerTransform(a);
```

- Use qualified name to refer to static member-function
- Within the Matrix4F class definition, don't need fully qualified name to refer to static member

C++ Templates

- C++ supports both class templates and function templates
- Example class template:

```
template<typename T> class Point {
    T x_coord, y_coord;

public:
    Point() : x_coord(0), y_coord(0) { }
    Point(T x, T y) : x_coord(x), y_coord(y) { }
    ...
};

Instantiate template as follows:
Point<float> p1(3.31, 2.67);
Point<int> p2(15, -6);
```

Function Templates

Function templates are declared in a similar way:

```
template <typename T>
T square(T val) {
    return val * val;
}
```

- Squares its input
- Works on any type that supports multiplication operator *
- To use:

```
int i = 15;
cout << i << " squared = " << square(i) << endl;
Matrix m(3, 3);  // Matrix provides operator*
Matrix m2 = square(m);</pre>
```

Function Templates (2)

Function templates don't require the template parameters to be specified!

```
int i = 15;
cout << i << " squared = " << square(i) << endl;
Matrix m(3, 3);
Matrix m2 = square(m);</pre>
```

- Compiler figures it out from the function's context
- Function-template declaration:

```
template <typename T> T square(T val)
```

- □ When arg is an int, square is instantiated with T = int
- When arg is a Matrix, square is instantiated with
 T = Matrix

Function Templates (3)

- Can specify template parameters if you want: double result = square<double>(3);
 - □ 3 is an int by default, so square(3) would instantiate square(int>() ...
 - ...but that wouldn't actually change the answer.
 - Explicitly specifying function-template params is rarely necessary
 - Primarily needed when passing a function-template instantiation to another function (or function-template)

Functor Adapters

- STL provides "function object adaptors"
 - not1, not2 negate a unary or binary predicate
 - bind1st, bind2nd turn a binary functor into a unary functor
 - Bind a value into the first or second argument
 - compose1, compose2 compose unary or binary functions
 - e.g. compose f(x) and g(x) to produce f(g(x))
- These are actually <u>functions</u> that create functionobject adaptors for you
 - Functor adapters are <u>structs</u> that implement <u>operator()</u>
 - See STL docs for more details...

Adaptable Function Objects

- Functor adapters only work on Adaptable Function Objects
 - Another concept in the STL functor specification
 - Functor adapters also produce Adaptable Function Objects
- Adaptable Function Objects are:
 - Function objects that specify the types of their arguments and return-value as nested typedefs...
 - Adaptable function objects are classes or structs that implement operator()
- STL provides:

```
unary_function<class Arg, class Result>
binary function<class Arg1, class Arg2, class Result>
```

Adaptable Function Objects (2)

Example implementation of STL unary function: typedef template <class Arg, class Result> struct unary_function { typedef Arg argument type; typedef Result result type; } unary function; Our adder functor from lecture 1: struct adder : public unary function<int, void> { int sum; adder() : sum(0) { } void operator()(int x) { sum += x; } **}**; Derived from unary function so that our adder is <u>adaptable</u> Can use our adder functor with the functor adapters

Function Pointers and Adapters

- Simple function-pointers aren't adaptable
 - Adaptable functors must provide nested typedefs
 - Simple function pointers can't provide this!
- STL provides wrapper-structs for function pointers pointer_to_unary_function<Arg, Result>
 - Derives from unary_function
 pointer_to_binary_function<Arg1, Arg2, Result>
 - Derives from binary_function
- Wrapper struct stores the function-pointer
 - Necessary typedefs are also provided
 - Wrapper's operator() calls the function-pointer

Function Pointers and Adapters (2)

- Typing long class-template names is lame...
 - Plus you have to specify the template parameters since it's a class-template
- STL also provides ptr_fun() function-template to generate an appropriate wrapper
- Example implementation of ptr fun():

```
template <class Arg, class Result>
pointer_to_unary_function<Arg, Result> // Return type
ptr_fun(Result (*func)(Arg)) {
    // Instantiate the wrapper struct, then return it.
    return pointer_to_unary_function<Arg,Result>(func);
}
```

- Template parameters are inferred from the context!
- A second version of ptr_fun() for binary functions, too

Function Pointer Example

Predicate for identifying interesting widgets bool isInteresting(const Widget *pw) { ... } Find the first interesting widget: list<Widget *> widgetPs; list<Widget *>::iterator iter = find if(widgetPs.start(), widgetPs.end(), isInteresting); find if doesn't require adaptable functors Find first <u>uninteresting</u> widget: list<Widget*>::iterator iter = find if(widgetPs.start(), widgetPs.end(), not1(ptr_fun(isInteresting))); not1 requires an adaptable functor... ptr fun turns isInteresting into an adaptable functor.

Functor Tips

- STL containers and algorithms don't actually require Adaptable Function Objects
 - It's a refinement of the simple Function Objects, only used by the Function Object Adapters
- When you write your own function objects, consider making them adaptable
 - i.e. structs or classes that provide operator()
 - Then you and others can use functor adapters

STL and Copying Objects

- When you put a value into an STL container:
 - STL stores a copy of the value.
- When you get a value out of STL container:
 - STL returns a copy of the object.
- Similarly, if you permute, sort, ... an STL container: all kinds of copying is going on!
- "Copy in, copy out. That's the STL way."

How Does STL Copy This Stuff?

- STL relies on two things:
 - Copy constructor:
 - Widget(const Widget&);
 - Copy-assignment operator:
 - Widget & operator=(const Widget &);
- "Make copying cheap and correct for objects in containers." - <u>Effective STL</u>, Item 3.
- Slow copy + containers → your program will crawl
- If copying has a nonstandard meaning, things break
 - □ For a few classes, copying actually <u>changes</u> what is copied

It Slices, It Dices!

 STL containers mixed with inheritance can lead to <u>slicing</u>

```
class Widget {...};
class SpecialWidget : public Widget {...};

vector<Widget> widgets;
SpecialWidget sw(...);
widgets.push_back(sw);
```

- SpecialWidget is copied as a Widget!
 - All SpecialWidget data-members are sliced off
- Be careful mixing inheritance and containers

Avoiding Copy Problems

- Instead of storing instances, store pointers
 - ...but now you have to clean things up vector<Widget *> widgetPs; ... // Do Widget work. // Clean up! vector<Widget *>::iterator iter; for (iter = widgetPs.begin(); iter != widgetPs.end(); iter++) { delete *iter;

Using for_each to Clean Up

Turn delete into a functor

```
template<typename T> struct DeleteObject :
    public unary_function<const T *, void> {
    void operator()(const T *ptr) const {
        delete ptr;
    }
};
```

Now you can clean up like this:

```
for_each(widgetPs.begin(), widgetPs.end(),
    DeleteObject<Widget>());
```

DeleteObject template requires the type of object that's pointed to

That's Nice, But...

- This is still prone to error!
- You have to make sure your types match vector<SpecialWidget *> specialPs;

```
for_each(specialPs.begin(), specialPs.end(),
    DeleteObject<Widget>());
```

- If Widget doesn't have a virtual destructor, you're in trouble again!
 - "Deletion of derived object via base-class pointer, where there is no virtual destructor..."

The Best Way...

 Change to using a function-template within the delete functor

```
struct DeleteObject {
    template<typename T>
    void operator()(const T *ptr) const {
        delete ptr;
    }
};
```

Now it's type-safe. And you type less.

Now the compiler will infer the type information

Adaptable Delete Functors?!

First delete functor:

```
template<typename T> struct DeleteObject :
    public unary_function<const T *, void> {
    void operator()(const T *ptr) const {
        delete ptr;
    }
};
```

- It's adaptable; derives from unary_function
- ...but no real value in making delete functors adaptable!
- 2nd delete functor isn't adaptable, but easier to use
 - Doesn't always make sense to create adaptable functors!

This Week's Lab

- Continue implementing ray tracer classes
- Scene-object class hierarchy
 - Sphere and plane, for now
- Simple representation for point-lights
- Object to represent the whole scene
 - Use STL vectors to store collections of scene objects and lights
 - (specifically, pointers to these objects)
- Object to represent a ray being traced