Program Slicing

- Static slicing
 - Following control and data dependences
 - Executable slices
 - Intra- versus interprocedural slicing
 - Weiser algm
 - Horwitz, Reps, Binkley algm
- An "SDG" for OO programs

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

F. Tip, "A Survey of Program Slicing Techniques, Jl of Programming Languages, Vol 3, 1995, pp 121-189.

Slicing

- A program *slice* is comprised of the parts of a program that directly or indirectly affect a computation of interest
 - Identify a variable at a program point whose value is the focus -- slicing criterion
 - Introduced by Mark Weiser of Xerox Parc in late 1970s-early 1980s
 - "A program slice S is the reduced, executable program obtained from a program P by removing statements, such that S replicates part of the behavior of P" (Tip, JPL'95)
- Static slice makes no assumptions about program input
- Dynamic slice relies on a specific program run

Backward Static Slicing

Tip, JPL'95

```
read(n);
k := 1;
sum := 0;
product := 1;
while k<= n do
{    sum := sum + k;
    product := product * k;
    k := k + 1;
}
write (sum);
write (product);
Original Program</pre>
```

```
read (n);
k := 1;


product := 1;
while k <= n do
{
    product := product * k;
    k := k + 1;
}


write (product);//**
Static slice wrt (**, product)</pre>
```

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

Program Dependence Graph

- A data structure that removes unnecessary sequential flow of control from a program
 - Nodes are computations (e.g., statements)
 - Edges connect computations along immediate def-use dependences and along immediate control dependences
 - Historically was used for parallelization but also uncovered relevant relations to slicing





Mark Weiser's Static Slices

- Slicing criterion (n,V) st n is node in cfg and V is a subset of the program's variables
- Slice S will be subset of the program's statements s.t.
 - S is a valid program
 - Whenever P halts on an input then S also halts for that input, computing the same values for variables in V whenever the statement in node n is executed.
- Finding *minimal-sized* executable slices is *undecidable*
- Not all static slicing methods produce executable slices

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

How to deal with procedures?

- Weiser's slicing:
 - Calculate interprocedural Mod(P), Use(P)
 - Calculate intraprocedural slice, using Mod(P) and Use(P) at call statements
 - Iteratively generates a new slicing criterion wrt the intraprocedural slices in 2nd step to explore cfg's of affected procedures (callers and callees of procedure in focus)
 - Q called by P, slice for relevant variables (actuals substituted for formals) backward from last stmt of Q
- Problem: calling context problem-- too many infeasible call path
 - · Also doesn't associate output params with specific input params

Example

Tip, JPL'95

```
{ 1. a := 17;

2. b := 18;

3. P(a,b,c,d);

4. write(d);

}

Procedure P(v, w, x, y)

{ 5. x := v;

6. y := w;

}

Slicing criterion: (4, {d}).
```

```
{ 1. a := 17;

2. b := 18;

3. P(a,b,c,d);

}

Procedure P(v, w, x, y)

{

6. y := w;

}

Weiser slice
```

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

9

Example

Tip, JPL'95

```
{ 1. a := 17;

2. b := 18;

3. P(a,b,c,d);

4. write(d);

}

Procedure P(v, w, x, y)

{ 5. x := v;

6. y := w;

}

Slicing criterion: (4, {d}).
```

```
{
    2. b := 18;
    3. P(a,b,c,d);
}
Procedure P(v, w, x, y)
{
    6. y := w;
}
HRB slice
```

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

10

Horwitz-Reps-Binkley Slicing

Horwitz, Reps, Binkley, "Interprocedural Slicing Using Dependence Graphs", TOPLAS, Jan 1990, vol 12, no 1

Three part algorithm

- Form the System Dependence Graph (SDG) from the PDGs of each procedure
- Compute interprocedural summary info, adding summary edges to SDG between input and output params
- In 2 passes, extract interprocedural slices from an SDG
- Modeled parameter passing by value-result
- Slices computed are not necessarily executable
 - Need to deal with calls to procedures some of whose params are sliced away


Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder 11


SDG


- Formed from PDGs for each procedure and main
 - Intraprocedural
 - Actual-in, actual-out vertices for params. Control dep on the callsite vertex
 - Formal-in, formal-out vertices control dep on procedure entry vertex
 - Interprocedural
 - Entry vertex of callee is control dep on call-site vertex
 - · Param-in edge between actual-in and formal-in vertices
 - · Param-out edge beetween actual-out and formal-out vertices
 - Summary edges representing transitive interprocedural data dependences;


Extracting Slices from the SDG


- Assume start slice at vertex x
- Find all vertices from which x can be reached without descending into procedure calls
- Find all remaining vertices by descending into all previously encountered procedure calls, but *not ascending* up into callers.











Imprecision

program Main
 sum := 0;
 i := 1;
 while i < 11 do
 call A (sum, i)
 od
 end(sum, i)

procedure A(x, y)
call Add(x, y);
call Increment(y)
return

procedure Add(a, b) a := a + breturn procedure Increment (z) cail Add (z, 1) return

Can see that Add does not affect value of b parameter, so that call of Add in A() should not be in slice of Increment wrt its output parameter z in the call in A();

Problem is that y(out) vertex is included in dependence graph of A() even though Add preserves the value of its second parameter. Can use global interprocedural mod analysis to avoid putting edges for such parameters in the graph. (Essentially don't need to copy-back these preserved-value parameters.)

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

19

CIDG: An "SDG" for OO

- Construct SDGs called class dependence graphs (CIDG) for groups of OO classes (in C++) Larsen & Harrold, ICSE'96
 - Form method PDG w entry vertex; model class w class entry vertex that connects to entries of all methods
 - Treats instance fields as globals passed into and out of every method (except constructor & destructor)
 - Derived class CIDG reuse reps of all inherited methods
 - Make explicit edges for implicit constructor calls; other method calls are analogous to in HRB SDG
 - Polymorphic call sites have new vertex representing dynamic dispatch choice w targets resolved by type-based call graph construction

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

20

CIDG construction

• Incomplete systems

- Modeled with supernode representing a driver consisting of a nondeterministic loop choosing one of each public entry methods of class on each iteration
- Add data dep edges for instance variables between possible method calls (var(out) to var(in))

Case study reported

 C++ program, 9 classes, 65 methods, hierarchy 3 levels deep; had 1257 vertices in CIDG

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

Problems with CIDG

- Some CIDG design choices led to imprecision
- New assumptions
 - C++ w/o exceptions
 - Points-to analysis results available
 - Data members accessible only through get methods
 - Static members are globals, static methods are global procedures

Differences with old CLDG

- Objects used as parameters with explicit rep of fields (truncate recursive data structures using k-limiting
- Polymorphic objects -- a tree of possible object types (with child fields or child call-site vertices)
- Don't always reuse method reps in derived classes (may need rep for new overloaded method or for a method that calls a redefined method in another derived class)

Slicing-1, Sp06 © BGRyder

Liang & Harrold, "Slicing Objects Using System Dependence Graph", ICSM 1998

22

21

Slicing an Object

- To identify slice stmts relevant to a specific object that affects the slicing criterion
 - Obtain the full slice
 - Identify method calls where object o is a receiver; this is set of *interesting* methods that captures how object o affects the slicing criterion
 - Identify stmts included in the slice because of these call sites these are object o's slice
 - Claim this is good for browsing a slice when debugging or for program understanding