

# Escape direction does not matter for some fish prey

Alberto Soto, William J. Stewart and Matthew J. McHenry

Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology,

University of California, Irvine

February 15, 2015

Supplemental Materials

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Performance Plateau when <math>K &lt; 1</math></b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Distance increases for a range of $\alpha$ values . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Initial Lateral Displacement</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Distance function with initial lateral displacement . . . . .	3
2.2	Minimum distance in polar coordinates . . . . .	4
2.3	Greatest minimum distance: solving for $\alpha$ . . . . .	5

# 1 Performance Plateau when $K < 1$

In this section, we provide details to show that when the prey is faster than the predator ( $K < 1$ ), then there exists a performance plateau. That is, an equivalent greatest minimum distance is achieved for a large range of escape angles  $\alpha$ . For escape angles in this range, the prey performs equally well.

## 1.1 Distance increases for a range of $\alpha$ values

Here we show that the minimum distance function is not affected by a large range of escape angles. For reference, the distance function is given by the following equation:

$$D^2 = ((X_0 - Ut) + Vt \cos \alpha)^2 + (Vt \sin \alpha)^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $X_0$  is the starting position of the prey. Note that at  $t = 0$ ,  $D^2 = X_0^2$ .

For this case, we are interested in escape angles between the two solutions given by Weihs and Webb (1984)

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq \arccos(K). \quad (2)$$

The above leads to an important inequality given by

$$K \leq \cos \alpha \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

This inequality plays a crucial role in the following analysis. To show that the minimum distance does not change for this range of escape angles and  $K < 1$ , it suffices to show that the distance function is always increasing. That is, we want to show that the derivative of Eqn. 1 with respect to time is greater than or equal to zero.

Taking the derivative with respect to time yields

$$\frac{\partial D^2}{\partial t} = 2(t(U^2 + V^2) - UX_0 + V(X_0 - 2tU) \cos \alpha) \quad (4)$$

Let  $U = 1$ . Since  $K = U/V$ , the assumption on the predator's speed leads to  $V > 1$ . Then equation (4) becomes

$$\frac{\partial D^2}{\partial t} = 2(1 + V^2 - 2V \cos \alpha)t + 2X_0(V \cos \alpha - 1), \quad (5)$$

which is a linear function in time. To show Eqn. 5 is nonnegative for  $t \geq 0$ , it suffices to show that the slope is positive and the intercept (when  $t = 0$ ) is nonnegative.

- Positive slope;

Eqn. 3 implies  $V \cos \alpha \leq V$ . Multiplying by  $-2$  and adding  $1 + V^2$  on both sides yields

$$1 + V^2 - 2V \cos \alpha \geq 1 - 2V + V^2 = (V - 1)^2 > 0. \quad (6)$$

- Nonnegative intercept;

Here we simply need to show that  $V \cos \alpha - 1 \geq 0$ . From Eqn. 3 we have:

$$K \leq \cos \alpha \implies 1 = VK \leq V \cos \alpha \implies 0 \leq V \cos \alpha - 1. \quad (7)$$

Eqns. 6 and 7 together show that the distance is always increasing for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \arccos(K)$  and  $K < 1$ . An analogous argument applies for  $-\arccos(K) \leq \alpha < 0$ . Thus, the minimum occurs at  $t = 0$  and is  $D^2 = X_0^2$ . This defines a performance plateau for the prey as a wide range of angles yield equally successful escapes.

## 2 Initial Lateral Displacement

The distance function given by Eqn. 1 is based on the assumption that a predator is heading in the direction of the prey. To model a predator that does not perfectly align its motion with the prey's direction, as is presented in the main text for a robot predator, we introduce a lateral initial displacement.

### 2.1 Distance function with initial lateral displacement

The distance function is now given by

$$D^2 = ((X_0 - Ut) + Vt \cos \alpha)^2 + (Y_0 + Vt \sin \alpha)^2. \quad (8)$$

In the following sections, we detail the steps for finding the optimal escape angle. Note that the introduction of  $Y_0$  in the distance function allows us to rewrite the equation in polar coordinates.

## 2.2 Minimum distance in polar coordinates

To simplify the forthcoming analysis, we rewrite Eqn. 8 in polar coordinates  $(R, \theta)$ . We do this by setting  $R_0^2 = X_0^2 + Y_0^2$ , and  $\theta_0 = \arctan(Y_0/X_0)^*$ . This yields

$$D_0^2 = R_0^2 + (1 + K^2)t^2V^2 - 2Vt(KVt \cos \alpha - R_0 \cos(\alpha - \theta_0) + KR_0 \cos \theta_0) \quad (9)$$

To find the time at which Eqn. 9 is minimized, we find the roots of the derivative of Eqn. 9 with respect to  $t$  which yields

$$t_{\min} = \frac{R_0}{V} \frac{[K \cos \theta_0 - \cos(\alpha - \theta_0)]}{1 - 2K \cos \alpha + K^2} \quad (10)$$

The above is negative when  $K \cos \theta_0 < \cos(\alpha - \theta_0)$ . Rewriting this inequality gives the range of  $\alpha$  for which the distance is solely increasing. Explicitly, this is given by

$$\theta_0 - \arccos(K \cos \theta_0) < \alpha < \theta_0 + \arccos(K \cos \theta_0) \quad (11)$$

For these values of  $\alpha$ , the minimum distance occurs at  $t = 0$  and is thus equal to the initial distance  $R_0^2$ . This defines a performance plateau when  $K < 1$ .

If we now substitute  $t_{\min}$  for  $t$  in Eqn. 9, we get the minimum distance as a function of  $\alpha$  with parameters  $K$  and  $\theta_0$ . This is given by the following:

$$\overline{D}_{\min}^2 = \frac{D_{\min}^2}{R_0^2} = \frac{(\sin(\alpha - \theta_0) + K \sin \theta_0)^2}{K^2 - 2K \cos \alpha + 1} \quad (12)$$

---

\*For this analysis, we assume  $Y_0 \geq 0$ , but the final results are presented for the more general case.

## 2.3 Greatest minimum distance: solving for $\alpha$

To find the escape angle which yields the largest minimum distance, we solve the following equation

$$0 = \frac{\partial \bar{D}_{\min}^2}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{2(K \cos \alpha - 1)(K \cos \theta_0 - \cos(\alpha - \theta_0))(K \sin \theta_0 + \sin(\alpha - \theta_0))}{(K^2 - 2K \cos \alpha + 1)^2} \quad (13)$$

The solutions to this equation are found by finding where the numerator is equal to zero which is done by considering the following three cases:

**Case 1:** Solving the equation  $K \cos \alpha - 1 = 0$  yields

$$\alpha_1 = \pm \arccos K^{-1}$$

This solution is valid for  $K \geq 1$ .

**Case 2:** For the equation  $K \cos \theta_0 - \cos(\alpha - \theta_0) = 0$  a more careful analysis (detailed below) is required since the solution can be a complex number for some combinations of  $K$  and  $\theta_0$ . Rewriting the equation yields

$$\cos(\alpha - \theta_0) = K \cos \theta_0. \quad (14)$$

This equation gives the conditions that  $K$  and  $\theta_0$  must satisfy so that solutions are real-valued. Explicitly, the condition is given by the following

$$|K \cos \theta_0| \leq 1 \quad (15)$$

When  $K$  is between 0 and 1, Eqn. 15 is always satisfied. If, on the other hand,  $K > 1$ , then we must have that  $|\cos \theta_0| \leq 1/K$ . This leads to the following bound for  $\theta_0$ :

$$\arccos(K^{-1}) \leq \theta_0 \leq \arccos(-K^{-1}) \quad (16)$$

Keeping these restrictions in mind we proceed to solve Eqn. 14. The solutions are given by

$$\alpha_2 = \theta_0 + \arccos(K \cos \theta_0)$$

$$\alpha_3 = \theta_0 - \arccos(K \cos \theta_0)$$

For  $K < 1$ , these solutions define the boundaries of the performance plateau. For  $K > 1$  the solutions are not optimal unless  $\theta_0$  satisfies Eqn. 16.

**Case 3:** Solving the equation  $K \sin \theta_0 + \sin(\alpha - \theta_0) = 0$  yields

$$\alpha_4 = \theta_0 - \arcsin(K \sin \theta_0)$$

$$\alpha_5 = \pi + \theta_0 + \arcsin(K \sin \theta_0)$$

78 For  $K < 1$ ,  $\alpha_4$  is contained within the bounds defined by Eqn. 11 and  $\alpha_5$  is a local  
79 minimum. When  $K > 1$ , the both solutions are local minimums and thus not optimal.