

# Fundamentos de los Sistemas Operativos (FSO)

Departamento de Informática de Sistemas y Computadoras (DISCA)  
*Universitat Politècnica de València*

Part 4: Memory management

Unit 9

Memory management

f SO

DISCA




UNIVERSITAT  
POLITÈCNICA  
DE VALÈNCIA

- **Goals**
  - To introduce the basic concepts related to **memory management**
  - To understand the difference between **logical and physical memory**
  - To understand the **contiguous memory allocation** concept
  - To analyse the **fragmentation** problem associated to contiguous memory allocation
  - To study **contiguous allocation strategies**
- **Bibliography:**
  - Silberschatz, chapter 8
  - Carretero, chapter 5


- **Introduction**
- Memory management issues
- Logical vs. physical addresses
- Memory management unit
- Contiguous memory allocation

- To execute a program
  - Both **instructions** and **data** must be allocated in **main memory**
- To get more **system efficiency** → **multiprogramming**
  - Processes in a **multiprogrammed system**
    - Share CPUs → **Process scheduling**
    - Share main memory → **Memory management**



# Introduction


- Computer dynamic storage is available at several levels:
  - CPU registers
  - Cache memory
    - Small buffer that balances memory access and CPU speeds
  - Main memory
- Main memory
  - It is made by a big **binary word or byte vector**, every one with its own **physical address**
  - It is a **critical resource**
    - Its availability is fundamental to system operation because it is accessed continuously -> **instruction execution cycle**
    - It has a **limited allocation capability**



- Introduction
- **Memory management issues**
- Logical vs. physical addresses
- Memory management unit
- Contiguous memory allocation

# Memory management issues

- A key OS issue is to offer a good and efficient memory management, facing the following problems:
  - Allocation
  - Protection
  - Shortage
  - Relocation
- Modern OSs own techniques and mechanisms that have evolved and improved to solve former problem
  - Logical address space
  - MMU
  - Dynamic libraries
  - Virtual memory
  - Allocation techniques





- Introduction
- Memory management issues
- **Logical vs. physical addresses**
- Memory management unit
- Contiguous memory allocation

# Logical vs. physical addresses


fso

- **Logical addresses**

- Every process has its own independent address space
- It allows the code not being involved with machine features -> relocation



- Need of logical to physical translation
  - **What physical address corresponds to a given logical address?**
  - Using a logical addressing (LA) and a physical addressing (PA) it is required:
    - A translation function from LA to PA
    - To decide how to implement it,
      - Hardware? Software?
    - **When** the translation is done
      - **At compilation time**
        - » Absolute code -> non relocatable
      - **At program load time**
        - » Relocatable code at load time
      - **At execution time**
        - » The process can relocate while it is executed




- Introduction
- Memory management issues
- Logical vs. physical addresses
- **Memory management unit**
- Contiguous memory allocation

# Memory management unit


fSO

- Translating logical addresses into physical addresses is an overhead
  - MMU → hardware translation **MINIMUM OVERHEAD**



# Memory management unit

- Simple MMU model
  - Based on base and limit registers



!!!!!! It guarantees relocation at execution time and protection


- Introduction
- Memory management issues
- Logical vs. physical addresses
- Memory management unit
- **Contiguous memory allocation**

- Contiguous allocation memory management model
  - A **process** is allocated in a unique section in memory with a **contiguous range of physical addresses**
- Memory is usually divided in two parts:
  - The OS allocation area
  - The user process allocation area
- Contiguous allocation strategies:
  - A priory definition (system configuration) of memory section to allocate processes: **fixed partitions**
  - To allow the system allocating processes into available holes: **variable partitions**

- **Fixed partitions**


- Memory is supposed to be divided into **different fixed size partitions**
- The OS maintains a **free partitions list**
- Variations:
  - Single process queue
  - Multiple process queue

- Problem:
  - **Internal fragmentation**



- **Fixed partitions**

- Memory is supposed to be divided into **different fixed size partitions**
- The OS maintains a **free partitions list**
- Variations:
  - Single process queue
  - Multiple process queue
- Problem:
  - **Internal fragmentation**



- **Variable partitions**


- Initially process available memory is all available into a single hole
- As process demands arrive memory is allocated
- The OS maintains a free hole list with hole address and size



- Problem:
  - **External fragmentation**


- Hole allocation strategies:

- **Best Fit**
  - The smallest satisfying hole is allocated
- **Worst Fit**
  - The biggest satisfying hole is allocated
- **First Fit**
  - The first found satisfying hole is allocated



- **Variable Partitions**


Where the P(f) process would be located in each of the allocation strategies?





# Contiguous memory allocation

fSO

- Best Fit




Hole list sorted by size



# Contiguous memory allocation


- First Fit



# Contiguous memory allocation

fSO


- Worse Fit



# Contiguous memory allocation

fSO


- **External fragmentation**
  - The added size of available holes may be enough but it cannot be allocated because it is not contiguous
- **Compaction**
  - External fragmentation solution
  - Processes should be relocated in main memory
  - Relocatable code at execution time required



# Contiguous memory allocation

## Example 1

- Consider an OS that manages memory by means of **contiguous allocation with variable partitions**. From memory state “Start” memory occupation has evolved as shown in the following figure:



- What is the allocation algorithm selected between best fit, worst fit or first fit?

# Contiguous memory allocation

## Example 2

- An OS manages its 8196 main memory words by means of contiguous allocation with variable partitions. At instant  $t$  there are three processes in execution with the following sizes:

Process A 1024 words

Process B 3072 words

Process C 3584 words

Are the following independent situations possible?

- Process **B** generates logical address **960** that is translated into **physical address 725**
- Process **A** generates logical address **1500** that is translated into **physical address 1500**
- Process **C** at time  $t$  generates logical address 525, that is translated into physical address 2061 and at time  $t+10$  the same logical address is translated into physical address 1549

- Interactive learning objects:
  - [http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Fijas Multiples colas.htm](http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Fijas_Multiples_colas.htm)
  - [http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Fijas Una cola.htm](http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Fijas_Una_cola.htm)
  - [http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Best Fit.htm](http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Best_Fit.htm)
  - [http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Worst Fit.htm](http://labvirtual.webs.upv.es/Worst_Fit.htm)