Some remarks on "left-inverses" and "right-inverses."

math272 fall 2017 9/19/17 page l

Mnotessential to the course, but may help you put things in context. Recall that a matrix B is an inverse of a matrix A if both

$$A \cdot B = I$$
 and $B \cdot A = I$ hold.

I've told you (without proof. for now) that for square (nxn) matrices, either $A \cdot B = I$ or $B \cdot A = I$ automatically implies the other. This handout shows how things can be different for non-square matrices.

example 1 Let
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then A has a "right-inverse," namely $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $A \cdot B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. the zx2 identity.

This means in part that <u>solutions</u> exist to any <u>linear system</u> $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$:

if $\vec{x} = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{b}$, then $A\vec{x} = (AB)\vec{b} = \vec{b}$ so $\vec{x} = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{b}$ is a solution to $A\vec{x} - \vec{b}$.

eg. one solution to

is
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \lambda \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 \\ 0.1 \\ 1.0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

But the solution isn't unique: (3) is another.

In fact, the night-inverse itself isn't unique; $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is also a "night-inverse."

example 2 Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$. Then A_n has a

"left-invase,"
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, since $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

This mean that if a solution to a linear system exists, then it is necessarily unique.

if $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, then $\vec{x} = (BA)x = B\vec{b}$.

ie. X=Bb is the only possible solution.

eq. $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} z & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the unique solin to $\begin{pmatrix} z & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.

But the solution doesn't necessarily exist... eq. $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{0}{3}) = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{3}) = (\frac{1}{3},$

isn't actually a solution!

So: B can tell you a candidate solution; If it is actually a solin, then it's the unique one, but If it isn't then there isn't a solution at all.

Also, the left-invence isn't unique either, eq. $B' = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -8 & 1 \\ 5 & -5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is another one.

This is part of what makes square matrices so special. There is no need to worry about left versus night inverses, and existence & uniquenen of solution to linear systems go hand in hand.