

# Heuristic Analysis for Planning Project

June 6, 2017

## 1. OPTIMAL SOLUTION FOR EACH PROBLEM

**Problem 1** initial state and goal:

```
Init(At(C1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(C2, JFK)
     $\wedge$  At(P1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(P2, JFK)
     $\wedge$  Cargo(C1)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C2)
     $\wedge$  Plane(P1)  $\wedge$  Plane(P2)
     $\wedge$  Airport(JFK)  $\wedge$  Airport(SFO))
Goal(At(C1, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C2, SFO))
```

An optimal plan for Problem 1:

```
Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
```

**Problem 2** initial state and goal:

```
Init(At(C1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(C2, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C3, ATL)
     $\wedge$  At(P1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(P2, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(P3, ATL)
     $\wedge$  Cargo(C1)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C2)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C3)
     $\wedge$  Plane(P1)  $\wedge$  Plane(P2)  $\wedge$  Plane(P3)
     $\wedge$  Airport(JFK)  $\wedge$  Airport(SFO)  $\wedge$  Airport(ATL))
Goal(At(C1, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C2, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(C3, SFO))
```

An optimal plan for Problem 2:

```
Load(C3, P3, ATL)
Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)
Unload(C3, P3, SFO)
Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
```

**Problem 3** initial state and goal:

```
Init(At(C1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(C2, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C3, ATL)  $\wedge$  At(C4, ORD)
     $\wedge$  At(P1, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(P2, JFK)
     $\wedge$  Cargo(C1)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C2)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C3)  $\wedge$  Cargo(C4)
     $\wedge$  Plane(P1)  $\wedge$  Plane(P2)
     $\wedge$  Airport(JFK)  $\wedge$  Airport(SFO)  $\wedge$  Airport(ATL)  $\wedge$  Airport(ORD))
Goal(At(C1, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C3, JFK)  $\wedge$  At(C2, SFO)  $\wedge$  At(C4, SFO))
```

An optimal plan for Problem 3:

```
Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)
Load(C4, P2, ORD)
Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)
Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)
Load(C3, P1, ATL)
Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)
Unload(C4, P2, SFO)
Unload(C3, P1, JFK)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
```

## 2. ANALYSIS OF UNINFORMED PLANNING ALGORITHMS

This section compares three uninformed planning algorithms, `breadth_first_search`, `depth_first_graph_search` and `greedy_best_first_graph_search`, for each problem.

As seen in Figure 1a, 1b and 1c, `breadth_first_search` found the optimal solution for each problem. However, it is more time-consuming and requires much more memory. According to Norvig and Russell's textbook *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (3e) (AIMA), space complexity is a bigger problem for `breadth_first_search` than is time complexity. Therefore, while I'd recommend this type of search for relatively simple problems like these Air Cargo problems, I would think twice for much more complex problems that have very large branching factors.

`depth_first_graph_search` is less costly in terms of time and space usage for these three problems, but the plan lengths are extremely long, especially for problems 2 and 3. None of the solutions are optimal, just as the AIMA textbook mentions that `depth_first_search` is not optimal. I would not recommend using it for these not-so-complicated problems.

`greedy_best_first_graph_search` appears to be a nice compromise. It is much faster than `breadth_first_search`. Without any heuristic function, it was able to find an optimal plan for problem 1, and the plan lengths for the other two problems are not so bad compared to `depth_first_graph_search`. As mentioned in the AIMA textbook, `greedy_best_first_graph_search` will perform even better with a good heuristic function. Therefore, while I do not recommend `greedy_best_first_graph_search` for these three problems as it can't find the optimal solution most of the time, it can be used instead of `breadth_first_search` for much more complex problems to save time and memory while achieving reasonably good results.

**Figure 1a – Metrics of `breadth_first_search`, `depth_first_graph_search` and `greedy_best_first_graph_search` for Problem 1**

	<code>breadth_first_search</code>	<code>depth_first_graph_search</code>	<code>greedy_best_first_graph_search</code>
Node expansions	43	12	7
Goal tests	56	13	9
Time elapsed (seconds)	0.0511	0.0225	0.0099
Plan length	6	12	6
Optimality of solution	Yes	No	Yes

**Figure 1b – Metrics of breadth\_first\_search, depth\_first\_graph\_search and greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search for Problem 2**

	breadth_first_search	depth_first_graph_search	greedy_best_first_graph_search
Node expansions	3343	582	998
Goal tests	4609	583	1000
Time elapsed (seconds)	14.9	3.5	2.9
Plan length	9	575	21
Optimality of solution	Yes	No	No

**Figure 1c – Metrics of breadth\_first\_search, depth\_first\_graph\_search and greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search for Problem 3**

	breadth_first_search	depth_first_graph_search	greedy_best_first_graph_search
Node expansions	14663	627	5578
Goal tests	18098	628	5580
Time elapsed (seconds)	104.7	3.6	17.9
Plan length	12	596	22
Optimality of solution	Yes	No	No

### 3. ANALYSIS OF A\* SEARCHES USING HEURISTICS

This section compares two A\* planning searches using “ignore-preconditions” and “level-sum” from the Planning Graph. Both searches achieve an optimal solution. However, A\* search with “ignore-preconditions” is much faster. Although it has more node expansions than A\* search with “level-sum”, the space complexity is not so bad. For these problems, I would recommend A\* search with “ignore-preconditions”.

**Figure 2a – Metrics of A\* planning searches using “ignore-preconditions” and “level-sum” for Problem 1**

	A* search with “ignore-preconditions”	A* search with “level-sum”
Node expansions	41	11
Goal tests	43	13
Time elapsed (seconds)	0.0597	0.6211
Plan length	6	6
Optimality of solution	Yes	Yes

**Figure 2b – Metrics of A\* planning searches using “ignore-preconditions” and “level-sum” for Problem 2**

	A* search with “ignore-preconditions”	A* search with “level-sum”
Node expansions	1450	86
Goal tests	1452	88
Time elapsed (seconds)	4.8	39.5
Plan length	9	9
Optimality of solution	Yes	Yes

**Figure 2a – Metrics of A\* planning searches using “ignore-preconditions” and “level-sum” for Problem 1**

	A* search with “ignore-preconditions”	A* search with “level-sum”
Node expansions	5040	318
Goal tests	5042	320
Time elapsed (seconds)	17.8	196.6
Plan length	12	12
Optimality of solution	Yes	Yes

**CONCLUSION:** A\* searches with heuristics are overall better than the three uninformed searches analyzed in section 1. In particular, A\* search with “ignore-preconditions” is faster and requires less memory than breadth\_first\_search and is able to find the optimal solution. Therefore, A\* search with “ignore-preconditions” is the best choice for Air Cargo problems.