## UMBRAL: A THRESHOLD PROXY RE-ENCRYPTION SCHEME

# DAVID NUÑEZ

Network, Information and Computer Security Laboratory (NICS Lab) University of Malaga, Spain

ABSTRACT. This document describes the Umbral proxy re-encryption scheme used by NuCypher KMS [1]. Umbral is a threshold proxy re-encryption scheme based on ECIES-KEM [2], the BBS98 proxy re-encryption scheme [3], and Shamir's Secret Sharing [4]. With Umbral, Alice (which in the generic name for data owners in NuCypher KMS) can delegate decryption rights to Bob for any ciphertext intended to her, through a re-encryption process performed by a set of N semi-trusted proxies. When at least t of these proxies (out of N) participate by performing re-encryption, Bob is able to combine these independent re-encrytions and decrypt the original message using his private key. ... The name "Umbral" comes from the Spanish word for "threshold", emphasizing this characteristic of the scheme, given its central role in the NuCypher KMS architecture.

### 1. Introduction

Umbral ciphertexts have "almost" the same form than ECIES ciphertexts. We say "almost" because we introduce some differences that are not supported by the various ECIES specifications; in any case, compliance with ECIES specifications is not one of our goals.

# 2. Preliminaries

- 2.1. **Notation.** Although the additive notation is the norm when dealing with elliptic curve cryptography, in this document we adopt the multiplicative notation to express the operations in the elliptic curve group, which is the usual approach in the proxy re-encryption literature (where schemes are usually defined in generic groups).
- 2.2. **Proxy Re-Encryption.** (TODO: General description of proxy re-encryption, properties, etc)

Proxy re-encryption is a special type of public-key encryption that permits a proxy to transform ciphertexts from one public key to another, without the proxy being able to learn any information about the original message [?]. Thus, it serves as a means for delegating decryption rights, opening up many possible applications that require of delegated access to encrypted data.

2.3. **ECIES.** The Asymmetric Encryption Scheme defined in standard ANSI X9.63 [2], also known as Elliptic Curve Integrated Encryption Scheme (ECIES), is a hybrid encryption algorithm based on elliptic curve cryptography, symmetric encryption and message authentication codes. This algorithm is of public knowledge, and variants have been standardized also by ISO/IEC 18033-2 [5] and IEEE P1363A [6]. A comparison of the different variants of ECIES can be found in [7].

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: dnunez@lcc.uma.es.}$ 

1

When producing a ciphertext with ECIES, the sender first creates an ephemeral public key and uses it for a Diffie-Hellman key agreement together with the public key of the intended recipient. The resulting shared secret is used to create the keys for the symmetric encryption and message authentication code algorithms used internally. The final ciphertext consists of the ephemeral public key, as it is necessary for decryption, and the output of the symmetric encryption and message authentication code.

A Sender can be any entity that generates data and wants to send it confidentially to a receiver, in the form of a ciphertext encrypted under the public key of the receiver. A Receiver can be any entity that is entitled to read ciphertexts encrypted under his public key. We distinguish two types of receivers. The original receiver, which is the recipient of the data originally intended by the sender, and the delegated receiver, whom the original receiver entrusts to be able to decrypt ciphertexts initially intended to him. Therefore, there is a relation of delegation between the original receiver and the delegated one. The Intermediary is an entity that controls the process of switching the public key of ciphertexts, from the public key of the original receiver to the public key of a delegated receiver, without being able to learn anything from the data. The Intermediary needs a key-switching key between the original and delegated receivers in order to be able to perform the key-switching process.

# 2.3.1. Differences between Umbral and ECIES. The two most important differences we introduce are the following:

• Single Hash Mode activated: During encryption and decryption, the ephemeral public key is not included in the KDF input. This has some theoretical implications with respect to security, as it can make ECIES encryption malleable. For example, when the KDF only takes the x-coordinate of the input EC point, an attacker may replace the ephemeral public key (which is part of the ciphertext) by its inverse; however, this attack is very limited, to the point that Shoup calls this "benign malleability" [?]. As countermeasure, some ECIES variants allow to include the ephemeral public key as part of the KDF input. In particular, the ISO/IEC 18033-2 standard specification defines an option called Single Hash Mode, which, when activated, removes the ephemeral public key as input to the KDF. Note that this mode is off by default in the ISO/IEC 18033-2 standard (i.e., the ephemeral public key is included).

We must point out that Umbral takes advantage of this malleability during the reencryption process, in order to transform ciphertexts from one recipient (Alice) to another (Bob). This is done precisely by altering the ephemeral key in the ciphertext. In order to do so, we require that Single Hash Mode is activated so the alteration of the ephemeral key doesn't affect the key derivation process.

As a final comment, we remark that a certain degree of malleability is unavoidable in any proxy re-encryption scheme, given that its goal is to *transform* ciphertexts from one recipient to another. See [8] for a deeper discussion on the dichotomy between malleability and re-encryption.

• Authenticated Encryption: The original design of ECIES, in all its variants, uses a symmetric encryption algorithm and a message authentication code for protecting data's confidentiality, integrity and authenticity. However, for the sake of simplicity, we will use a single authenticated encryption primitive that combines all these functionalities. This same approach is used by others, such as the ECIES implementation in Google Tink [9].

# 3. The Umbral PRE cryptosystem

In this section we present the Umbral PRE cryptosystem, defined by the following algorithms:

- KeyGen(): Sample  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  uniformly at random, compute  $g^x$  and output the keypair  $(pk, sk) = (g^x, x)$ .
- ReKeyGen $(sk_A, sk_B, N, t)$ : On input the secret keys  $sk_A = a$  and  $sk_B = b$ , a number of fragments N, and a threshold t, the re-encryption key generation algorithm ReKeyGen computes N fragments of the re-encryption key between A and B. First, it randomly samples t-1 elements  $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , with  $1 \le i \le t-1$ , and computes  $f_0 = a \cdot b^{-1} \mod q$ . The next step is using these values, including  $f_0$ , to construct a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_q[x]$  of degree t-1, such that  $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + ... + f_{t-1} x^{t-1}$ . Next, it randomly samples a set  $ID = \{id_j \in \mathbb{Z}_q \mid 1 \le j \le N\}$ . The algorithm outputs the set of re-encryption key fragments  $KF = \{(id_j, f(id_j)) \mid id_j \in ID\}$ .
- Encrypt $(pk_A, M)$ : On input the public key  $pk_A$  and a message  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , the encryption algorithm Encrypt first computes  $(K, encKey) = \mathsf{Encapsulate}(pk_A)$ . encData is the result of applying the authenticated encryption algorithm to M with key K. Finally, it outputs the ciphertext C = (encKey, encData).
- Decrypt $(sk_A, C)$ : On input the secret key  $sk_A$  and a ciphertext C = (encKey, encData), the decryption algorithm Decrypt computes the key  $K = \text{Decapsulate}(sk_A, epk)$ , and decrypts ciphertext encData using the decryption function of the authenticated encryption scheme to obtain message M if decryption is correct, and  $\bot$  otherwise. Finally, it outputs message M (or  $\bot$  if decryption was invalid).
- ReEncFrag(kFrag, encKey): On input a re-encryption key fragment kFrag, and an encapsulated key encKey, the fragmented re-encryption algorithm ReEncFrag first parses kFrag = (id, rk), and computes  $encKey' = (encKey)^{rk}$ . Finally it outputs the encapsulated key fragment F = (encKey', id).
- DecryptFrags( $sk_A$ ,  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^t$ , encData): On input the secret key  $sk_A$ , a set of t fragments of an encapsulated key, each of them labeled as  $F_i$ , and the encrypted data encData, the fragments decryption algorithm DecryptFrags first computes  $encKey' = \mathsf{Combine}(\{F_i\}_{i=1}^t)$ . With this result, it returns the output of  $\mathsf{Decrypt}(sk_A, C')$ , where C' = (encKey', encData).

## **Auxiliary Functions**

- Encapsulate( $pk_A$ ): On input the public key  $pk_A$ , the encapsulation algorithm Encapsulate first randomly generates an ephemeral keypair  $(epk, esk) = (g^r, r)$ , performs a Diffie-Hellman key agreement between  $pk_A$  and esk to compute a shared secret  $S_A$ , and uses this shared secret as input to the KDF to produce the key K. The encapsulated key encKey is the ephemeral public key epk. Finally, it outputs (K, encKey).
- Decapsulate( $sk_A$ , encKey): On input the secret key  $pk_A$ , and and encapsulated key encKey, the decapsulation algorithm Decapsulate first checks that encKey is a valid public key. Next, it performs a Diffie-Hellman key agreement between encKey and  $sk_A$  to compute a shared secret  $S_A$ , and uses this shared secret as input to a KDF to produce the key K. Finally, it outputs K.
- Combine( $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^t$ ): On input a set of t fragments of an encapsulated key, each of them labeled as  $F_i$ , the combination algorithm Combine, first parses each fragment  $F_i$  as  $(encKey_i, id_i)$ . Let  $I = \{id_i\}_{i=1}^t$ . Next, it computes the value encKey' as follows:

$$encKey' = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (encKey_i)^{\lambda_{i,I}}$$
, where  $\lambda_{i,I} = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{t} \frac{id_j}{id_j - id_i}$ 

Finally, it outputs epk'.

3.1. Parameters of Umbral instantiation in NuCypher KMS. The only restriction that the Umbral cryptosystem imposes on the choice of EC curve is that it should generate a group

of prime order, since we need to compute inverses modulo the order of this group. In our current setting, we use the secp256k1 curve, since it fulfills this latter requirement; we are exploring other curve choices that could improve performance.

As per the authenticated encryption scheme, we use PyNaCl's SecretBox implementation, which in turn uses Salsa20-Poly1305.

For the KDF, we use HKDF with SHA-512 as hash function.

### References

- [1] Michael Egorov, MacLane Wilkison, and David Nuñez. Nucypher KMS: decentralized key management system. CoRR, abs/1707.06140, 2017.
- [2] American National Standards Institute (ANSI) X9.F1 subcommittee. ANSI X9.63 Public key cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: Elliptic curve key agreement and key transport schemes, July 5, 1998. Working draft version 2.0.
- [3] M. Blaze, G. Bleumer, and M. Strauss. Divertible protocols and atomic proxy cryptography. *Advances in Cryptology—EUROCRYPT'98*, pages 127–144, 1998.
- [4] Adi Shamir. How to share a secret. Communications of the ACM, 22(11):612-613, 1979.
- [5] Victor Shoup. ISO 18033-2: An emerging standard for public-key encryption. http://shoup.net/iso/std6.pdf, December 2004. Final Committee Draft.
- [6] IEEE P1363a Committee. IEEE P1363a / D9 standard specifications for public key cryptography: Additional techniques. http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/1363/index.html/, June 2001. Draft Version 9.
- [7] V Gayoso Martínez, L Hernández Encinas, and A Queiruga Dios. Security and practical considerations when implementing the elliptic curve integrated encryption scheme. Cryptologia, 39(3):244–269, 2015.
- [8] R. Canetti and S. Hohenberger. Chosen-ciphertext secure proxy re-encryption. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM conference on Computer and communications security*, pages 185–194. ACM, 2007.
- [9] Tink. https://github.com/google/tink, 2017.