MIREOT: the Minimum Information to Reference an External Ontology Term

Author One^{1,2}, Author Two², Author Three^{1,2}
¹One Location, USA, ²Another Location, UK

Abstract

While the Web Ontology Language (OWL) provides a mechanism to import ontologies, this mechanism is not always suitable. First, given the current state of editing tools and the issues they have working with large ontologies, direct OWL imports are not practical for day-to-day development. Second, the other ontologies used may be under active development and not aligned with the chosen development methodology. Importing heterogenous ontologies in their entirety may lead to inconsistencies or unintended inferences. In this paper we propose a set of guidelines for importing required terms from an external artefact into a target ontology. We describe the guidelines, their implementation, present some examples of application, and outline future work and extensions.

Introduction

The Web Ontology Language (OWL¹) provides a built-in mechanism to import ontologies (owl:imports). The *owl:imports* mechanism has proved insufficient for the needs of the authors during development of the Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (OBI ??), a large integrative ontology for the description of life-science and clinical investigations. Limitations in the currently available tools and reasoners can make the implementation of the owl:imports mechanism problematic. For example, most current OWL tools can neither load nor reason over very large ontologies, such as the NCBI Taxonomy² or the Foundational Model of Anatomy³, making direct OWL imports of such ontologies impractical.

Ontologies are typically dynamic knowledge resources, and must be not only maintained but constantly updated. This is evident within the bioontology domain, where the codification of knowledge must keep pace with scientific knowledge discovery. The flux of knowledge within an ontology can also present issues when trying to import or integrate different resources. The individual resources may have been constructed using different desing principles, which may not align. These issues of dynamic development, and different design principles could lead to inconsistencies or unintended inferences, when integrated.

To address these issues, we have developed a set enough to consistently reference an external term:

of guidelines for importing classes from multiple ontology resources.

Our solution is a new standard we call the Minimum Information to Represent an External Ontology Term (MIREOT). MIREOT provides guidelines on importing selected terms without the overhead of importing the complete ontology from which the terms derive.

MIREOT was created to aid the development of the Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (OBI⁴). OBI uses the Basic Formal Ontology (BFO⁵) as upper-level ontology and is part of the OBO Foundry⁶. One of the fundamental principles of the OBO Foundry is to reuse, where sensible, existing ontology resources, therefore avoiding duplication of effort and ensuring orthogonality.

MIREOT describes a methodology for the import of external terms from ontologies not yet using BFO, using other OWL flavours or even different syntax formats such as OBO.

Policy

In deciding upon a minimum unit of import, our first step was to consider the practices of other ontologies. The practice of the Gene Ontology (GO⁷) is that the intended meaning of classes remains stable. The repair or reorganization of an ontology should not change the intended meaning of individual terms, but rather provide a better expression of the logical relations between them. When a term's definition changes meaning, the term is deprecated rather than creating a situation where a term's semantics changes⁸. We can therefore consider a term as stable, in isolation from the rest of the ontology, and use terms (i.e. classes) a basic unit of import.

The minimum amount of information needed to *reference* an external class is the ontology URI and the term's URI. Generally, these items remain stable and can be used to unambiguously reference the external class from within the importing target ontology. The minimum amount of information to *integrate* this class is its position in the hierarchy, specifically the URI of the parent class from the target ontology.

Taken together, the following minimal set is enough to consistently reference an external term:

source ontology URI The logical URI for the ontology containing the term(s) to be imported.

source term URI The logical URI for the specific term to import.

target parent URI The logical URI for the new parent class in the target ontology for the source term to be imported.

To ease development of the target ontology we also recommend, although do not require, additional metadata about the imported classes such as their label and definition.

Implementation

A practical implementation of the MIREOT standard has been performed in the context of the OBI project, and involved a two-step process:

- 1. gather the minimum information for external terms, and
- 2. use this minimum information to fetch additional elements, like labels and definitions.

Once the classes to import have been identified by the curators, the first step is to gather their corresponding minimum information set. This set is stored in a file that we call *external.owl*, either by creating it, or by appending the new information to the pre-existing one if some terms were already imported. This file can be edited by hand, but to ease the process of importing external terms, we provide a perl script that can be used in command line to append to the file. This step could be made more user friendly in the future by implementing a Protégé plugin.

This perl script, add-to-external.pl, takes as arguments the identifiers of the term to be imported and its parent in the OBI hierarchy.

A mapping mechanism between the prefix used in the identifier and the source ontology URI is built in the script.

Curators therefore need only specify the ID of the term to import and its superclass' ID in the target ontology. For example:

```
perl add-to-external.pl CHEBI:23367 IAO:0000018
```

will add the class molecular entities (CHEBI:23367) as subclass of the class material entity (IAO:0000018), and set the source ontology URI as http://purl.org/obo/owl/CHEBI.

Once the minimum information has been collected and stored in the *external.owl* file, we can use

```
prefix rdfs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>
prefix owl: <a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#">prefix owl: <a href="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#">http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#</a>
prefix obi: <http://purl.obofoundry.org/obo/>
prefix tax: <http://purl.org/obo/owl/NCBITaxon#NCBITaxon>
prefix obo: <http://www.geneontology.org/formats/oboInOwl#>
prefix iao: <http://purl.obofoundry.org/obo/>
construct.
  _ID_GOES_HERE_ rdf:type owl:Class.
  \_{\tt ID\_GOES\_HERE\_} alias:preferredTerm ?label.
  _ID_GOES_HERE_ rdfs:label ?label.
  _{\rm ID\_GOES\_HERE\_} alias:definition ?definition.
where
        _ID_GOES_HERE_ rdfs:label ?label. }
  UNION
        _ID_GOES_HERE_ obo:hasDefinition ?blank.
       ?blank rdfs:label ?definition}
```

Figure 1: Template SPARQL query. For convenience, we use alias:preferredTerm and alias:definition to reference our annotations properties IAO_0000111 and IAO_0000115 respectively

it in order to get additional elements programatically.

In order to do so, we created a set of SPARQL⁹ CONSTRUCT queries that we use as template. These queries specify which extra information about the class to gather, such as the definition and preferred label, and how to map these into the corresponding OBI annotation properties.

For example, in the current OWL rendering of OBO files, definitions are individuals and the rdfs:label of that individual records the text of the definition. The query (figure 1) will map the rdfs:label of the oboInOwl:Definition instance into the value of the obi:definition property. Within the OBI implementation of MIREOT, only annotation properties which map directly to our own metadata are mapped: new properties are not created. Additional metadata is integrated in accordance with the agreed minimal metadata policy (Add URL as long minimal metadata is not out), e.g., curation status annotation property, definition editor or definition source. The term is directly imported from the external resource, with the status and definition as defined by the outside resource.

A script iterates through the minimum information stored in *external.owl*. Depending on the source ontology, it then selects the correct SPARQL template and substitutes the relevant ID. The queries are executed against the Neurocommons SPARQL endpoint ¹⁰

This supplementary information, which is prone to change as the source ontologies evolve, is stored in a second file, *externalDerived.owl* and generated via a script. This file can be removed



Figure 2: The "cell" class in the Cell Type Ontology. "cell by class" and "cell by organism" are examples of classes we would rather not import, because....

on a regular basis (e.g. for a slim release), and rebuilt via script based on *external.owl*, allowing us to keep imported information up-to-date.

The two files, *external.owl* and *externalDerived.owl*, are then imported by *obi.owl*, providing the necessary information to OBI editors while at the same time keeping it isolated from the core OBI ontology.

Use Case One - Cell class

As an example, we replaced the OBI class Cell with that from the OBO Foundry Cell Type (CL) ontology (cf figure 2). The Cell Type Ontology 11 is part of the OBO foundry effort, and we would like to use the cell class as defined by this resource, instead of creating our own redundant class.

Following the MIREOT guidelines, the minimum information required is:

- 1. source ontology URI: the ontology from which
 the term is imported: http://purl.org/
 obo/owl/CL
- 2. source term URI: the URI of cell: http://purl.org/obo/owl/CL#CL_0000000
- 3. *target parent URI*: the position of *cell* in the OBI hierarchy: as a subclass of material entity

The imported *cell* class can be used within axiomatisations as with any other OBI class: for example, using Manchester OWL syntax, the process *electroporation* is defined as:

```
is_a cell permeabilzation
has_specified_input some cell
has_specified_output some
  (cell and has_quality some electroporated)
utilizes_device some power supply
```

It can also be subclassed, either by other imported classes or by OBI classes.

Use Case Two - taxonomic information

It is expected that in most cases we will want to import information as described in the cell use case above, i.e. a simple one-to-one mapping towards an external class. However in some cases we might want or need more than that, and the MIREOT mechanism has been devised to be flexible and allow to store other types of information as deemed necessary.

OBI currently uses the NCBI taxonomy (REF?) for its mammalian species terms.

Consider the scenario in which we have two experiments, one in human and one in mouse. The files are annotated with the classes human and mouse from OBI, which are in turn individually mapped from the NCBI taxonomy.

We can easily imagine that somebody would want to have a query like "give me all experiments in mammals". In this case, we would need to know that human and mouse are subclasses (even indirect) of mammals in the NCBI taxonomy. Therefore, when mapping towards an NCBI term, it is needed to get the class itself and all its superclasses up to the root of the NCBI taxonomy. In this case, we use a specific SPARQL query, which retrieves all direct superclasses up to one of a set of upper-level classes in the taxonomy. As per the mechanism described above, the minimum information about the mapped class (e.g., Mus musculus) is defined in external.owl, whereas the additional information (rank information - genus, kingdom, phylum, etc.) is stored in externalDerived.owl.

Discussion

The MIREOT standard provides a lightweight import mechanism at the cost of partial consistency checks on the imported code, whereas complete importing via *owl:imports* provides a heavyweight mechanism with complete consistency checking.

By copying only parts of an ontology there is the risk that inferences drawn may be incomplete or incorrect: correct inference using the external classes is only guaranteed if the full ontologies are imported. When deciding to import an external term we review the textual definition and, if needed, talk with the original editor. As we are importing from OBO Foundry ontologies we have a community process for monitoring change, a shared understanding of the basics of our domain, and the intention to eventually share the same upper-level ontology. Therefore, we expect that terms will be deprecated if there is a signifi-

cant change in meaning, and are flexible enough to adjust and update our import of terms as the other ontologies start enhancing their logical definitions.

Another consideration using this approach is the status of assertions made on external terms. In adding axioms such as the subclass axiom when importing the external term, the aim is to only assert true statements about the terms. We can also add extra restrictions to these imported classes: for example in OBI, cell is the bearer of the role reagent role or specimen role. These additional restrictions should be stored in the target ontology: the *external.owl* and *externalDerived.owl* are meant to include only the imported information.

We anticipate that some of these statements may migrate to the source ontologies at some point in the future; a fruit of the collaborative nature of OBO Foundry ontology development.

Future work

Even though we aim to provide an easy mechanism for our editors to use the MIREOT standard, we still rely on command-line scripts. Ideally, a Protégé ¹² plugin could be developed to improve the interaction between the curators and the tool.

In the future, we also expect to provide an option in the OBI distribution that replaces *external.owl* with *imports.owl*, a file of imports statements generated by extracting the ontology URIs mentioned in *external.owl*.

The MIREOT method is currently being implemented by other ontologies and we ultimately hope that combined feedback will allow us to perfect the mechanism.

Acknowledgements

In memory of our friend and colleague William Bug, Ontological Engineer.

The OBI consortium is (in alphabetical order): Ryan Brinkman, Bill Bug, Helen Causton, Kevin Clancy, Christian Cocos, Mélanie Courtot, Dirk Derom, Eric Deutsch, Liju Fan, Dawn Field, Jennifer Fostel, Gilberto Fragoso, Frank Gibson, Tanya Gray, Jason Greenbaum, Pierre Grenon, Jeff Grethe, Yongqun He, Mervi Heiskanen, Tina Hernandez-Boussard, Philip Lord, Allyson Lister, James Malone, Elisabetta Manduchi, Luisa Montecchi, Norman Morrison, Chris Mungall, Helen Parkinson, Bjoern Peters, Matthew Pocock, Philippe Rocca-Serra, Daniel Rubin, Alan Ruttenberg, Susanna-Assunta Sansone, Richard Scheuermann, Daniel Schober, Barry Smith, Larisa Soldatova, Holger Stenzhorn, Chris Stoeckert, Chris

Taylor, John Westbrook, Joe White, Trish Whetzel, Stefan Wiemann, Jie Zheng.

References

- [1] Web Ontology Language (OWL), http://www.w3.org/2004/OWL/.
- [2] D. L. Wheeler, T. Barrett, D. A. Benson, S. H. Bryant, K. Canese, D. M. Church, M. DiCuccio, R. Edgar, S. Federhen, W. Helmberg, D. L. Kenton, O. Khovayko, D. J. Lipman, T. L. Madden, D. R. Maglott, J. Ostell, J. U. Pontius, K. D. Pruitt, G. D. Schuler, L. M. Schriml, E. Sequeira, S. T. Sherry, K. Sirotkin, G. Starchenko, T. O. Suzek, R. Tatusov, T. A. Tatusova, L. Wagner, and E. Yaschenko. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. *Nucleic acids research*, 33(Database issue):D39–45, Jan 1 2005. LR: 20081120; JID: 0411011; OID: NLM: PMC540016; ppublish.
- [3] C. Golbreich, S. Zhang, and O. Bodenreider. The foundational model of anatomy in owl: Experience and perspectives. *Web semantics* (*Online*), 4(3):181–195, 2006.
- [4] OBI Ontology, http://purl.obofoundry.org/obo/obi.
- [5] P. Grenon, B. Smith, and L. Goldberg. Biodynamic ontology: applying bfo in the biomedical domain. *Studies in health technology and informatics*, 102:20–38, 2004.
- [6] B. Smith, M. Ashburner, C. Rosse, J. Bard, W. Bug, W. Ceusters, L. J. Goldberg, K. Eilbeck, A. Ireland, C. J. Mungall, OBI Consortium, N. Leontis, P. Rocca-Serra, A. Ruttenberg, S. A. Sansone, R. H. Scheuermann, N. Shah, P. L. Whetzel, and S. Lewis. The obo foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration. *Nature biotechnology*, 25(11):1251–1255, Nov 2007. PUBM: Print; JID: 9604648; ppublish.
- [7] Gene Ontology Consortium. The gene ontology (go) database and informatics resource. *Nucleic acids research*, 32(90001):D258–D261, 01/01/2004.
- [8] Go editorial style guide http://www.geneontology.org/GO.usage.shtml.
- [9] SPARQL Query Language for RDF - http://www.w3.org/TR/ rdf-sparql-query/.

- [10] Neurocommons sparql endpoint http://sparql.neurocommons.org/.
- [11] J. Bard, S. Y. Rhee, and M. Ashburner. An ontology for cell types. *Genome biology*, 6(2):R21,

2005.

[12] The Protégé Ontology Editor and Knowledge Acquisition System, http://protege.stanford.edu/.