An Empirical Analysis of Monero Cross-Chain Traceability

Abraham Hinteregger^{1,2} Bernhard Haslhofer¹

¹Austrian Institute of Technology

²Vienna University of Technology

February 19, 2019

- Public nature of Bitcoin TX history prevents meaningful level of anonymity
- Monero (based on CryptoNote, [Van Saberhagen, 2013]) addresses this with the following methods:
 - Stealth Addresses (hide recipient addr.) → unlinkability

- Public nature of Bitcoin TX history prevents meaningful level of anonymity
- Monero (based on CryptoNote, [Van Saberhagen, 2013]) addresses this with the following methods:
 - Stealth Addresses (hide recipient addr.) → unlinkability
 - lacktriangle Ring Signatures (obfuscate spent TXO) ightarrow untraceability

- Public nature of Bitcoin TX history prevents meaningful level of anonymity
- Monero (based on CryptoNote, [Van Saberhagen, 2013]) addresses this with the following methods:
 - Stealth Addresses (hide recipient addr.) → unlinkability
 - Ring Signatures (obfuscate spent TXO) → untraceability
 - lacktriangle Confidential Transactions (hide amounts) o fungibility


- Public nature of Bitcoin TX history prevents meaningful level of anonymity
- Monero (based on CryptoNote, [Van Saberhagen, 2013]) addresses this with the following methods:
 - Stealth Addresses (hide recipient addr.) → unlinkability
 - Ring Signatures (obfuscate spent TXO) → untraceability
 - lacktriangle Confidential Transactions (hide amounts) o fungibility

■ Each TX input references:

- Each TX input references:
 - Bitcoin: Output from older TX (TXO)


- Each TX input references:
 - Bitcoin: Output from older TX (TXO)
 - Monero: Non-empty set of TXOs (a ring)

- Each TX input references:
 - Bitcoin: Output from older TX (TXO)
 - Monero: Non-empty set of TXOs (a ring)
- One ringmember is real, the others are decoys (mixins)



Source: https://cryptonote.org/inside/

- Each TX input references:
 - Bitcoin: Output from older TX (TXO)
 - Monero: Non-empty set of TXOs (a ring)
- One ringmember is real, the others are decoys (mixins)



Source: https://cryptonote.org/inside/

Decoys are sampled from set of eligible outputs

■ Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)
 - generalized ZMR; "closed set attack"

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)
 - generalized ZMR; "closed set attack"
- Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)
 - generalized ZMR; "closed set attack"
- Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)
 - outputs were split up into denominations; if two outputs from a single TX are redeemed in another TX, assume that those inputs are real

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)
 - generalized ZMR; "closed set attack"
- Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)
 - outputs were split up into denominations; if two outputs from a single TX are redeemed in another TX, assume that those inputs are real
- Guess Newest Heuristic (GNH)

- Zero Mixin Removal (ZMR)
 - remove known spent outputs from rings
- Intersection removal (IR)
 - generalized ZMR; "closed set attack"
- Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)
 - outputs were split up into denominations; if two outputs from a single TX are redeemed in another TX, assume that those inputs are real
- Guess Newest Heuristic (GNH)
 - temporal distribution of mixins and real spending behavior didn't match - most recent input often the real one

Improvements to the protocol


- ZMR works like a chain reaction from an initial set of inputs without decoys.
 - Since 2016, the mandatory minimum ringsize has been increased
 - Minimum ringsizes + RingCT TX were effective
 - Ringsize $\equiv 11$ since last update
- Mixin sampling has been improved with different approaches
 - Triangular distribution
 - Recent zone: Force 25-50% recent outputs
 - Gamma distribution: Distribution based on empirical analysis

Contribution of this work

- Reevaluation of existing methods
 - Previous studies published shortly after introduction of RingCT
 - Changes to mixin sampling and ringsize in 09/2017 and 04/2018.
- Quantification of impact due to recent (Spring 2018)
 Monero hardforks
 - Monero Original: Continuation of Monero v6 (ASIC compatible)
 - MoneroV: Fork with some changes to emission curve

Currency hardforks


 A cryptocurrency can be forked, resulting in two currencies with a shared TX history



- Pre-fork funds can be spent on both chains
- Monero prevents double spends with key images (unique identifier derived from spent output)

Currency hardforks

 A cryptocurrency can be forked, resulting in two currencies with a shared TX history




- Pre-fork funds can be spent on both chains
- Monero prevents double spends with key images (unique identifier derived from spent output)
- If two rings on separate branches share a key image, they spend the same output.


Dataset & Method

- Exported Monero (XMR), MoneroV (XMV) and Monero Original (XMO) blockchain up to Aug. 31th, 2018.
- Employed Zero Mixin Removal & Intersection Removal
- Added fork data and applied cross chain analysis (+ZMR/IR)
- 4 Applied heuristics from [Kumar et al., 2017] and [Möser et al., 2018]:
 - Guess Newest Heuristic
 - Output Merging Heuristic
- **5** Evaluated accuracy with ground truth (where possible) with results from steps 3 (OMH see paper).

Traced Inputs



Guess Newest Heuristic



■ Nowadays, most Monero TXs are untraceable with known passive attack vectors

- Nowadays, most Monero TXs are untraceable with known passive attack vectors
- Guess Newest Heuristic does not work with current mixin sampling technique

- Nowadays, most Monero TXs are untraceable with known passive attack vectors
- Guess Newest Heuristic does not work with current mixin sampling technique
- Impact from Cross Chain Analysis not very large

- Nowadays, most Monero TXs are untraceable with known passive attack vectors
- Guess Newest Heuristic does not work with current mixin sampling technique
- Impact from Cross Chain Analysis not very large
 - 1 Forks so far didn't have a lot of traction (maybe disputes over ASICs change that)


- Nowadays, most Monero TXs are untraceable with known passive attack vectors
- Guess Newest Heuristic does not work with current mixin sampling technique
- Impact from Cross Chain Analysis not very large
 - I Forks so far didn't have a lot of traction (maybe disputes over ASICs change that)
 - 2 Mandatory ringsize of 7 enough to prevent chain reactions (11 is even better)

Data & source available:




References


- Kumar, A. et al. (2017).
 A traceability analysis of Monero's blockchain.
 In European Symposium on Research in Comp. Sec.
- Möser, M. et al. (2018). An Empirical Analysis of Traceability in the Monero Blockchain. PoPET, 2018(3):143–163, DOI:
 - 10.1515/popets-2018-0025.
- Van Saberhagen, N. (2013).
 Cryptonote v 2. 0.
 https://cryptonote.org/whitepaper.pdf.




Outputs O1-O4 are referenced in rings R1-R4




- Outputs O1-O4 are referenced in rings R1-R4
- lacksquare R1 only references O1 \Longrightarrow must be the real input



- Outputs O1-O4 are referenced in rings R1-R4
- \blacksquare R1 only references O1 \Longrightarrow must be the real input
- $I = \{R3, R4\}$ reference $O = \{O3, O4\}$ $|I| = |O| \implies O3 \& O4$ spent in R3 & R4



- Outputs O1-O4 are referenced in rings R1-R4
- \blacksquare R1 only references O1 \Longrightarrow must be the real input
- $I = \{R3, R4\}$ reference $O = \{O3, O4\}$ $|I| = |O| \implies O3 \& O4$ spent in R3 & R4
- R2 only has one non-mixin reference remaining.




- Outputs O1-O4 are referenced in rings R1-R4
- R1 only references O1 ⇒ must be the real input
- $I = \{R3, R4\}$ reference $O = \{O3, O4\}$ $|I| = |O| \implies O3 \& O4$ spent in R3 & R4
- R2 only has one non-mixin reference remaining.


Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)

- Output merging mostly due to denomination splitting:
 - Initially, amounts were disclosed on blockchain
 - Ring signatures required multiple outputs with identical amounts
 - lacksquare Outputs were partitioned to facilitate this (7 o 5 + 2)

Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)

- Output merging mostly due to denomination splitting:
 - Initially, amounts were disclosed on blockchain
 - Ring signatures required multiple outputs with identical amounts
 - Outputs were partitioned to facilitate this $(7 \rightarrow 5 + 2)$







■ TX4 has two inputs which reference a TXO from TX2

Output Merging Heuristic (OMH)


- Output merging mostly due to denomination splitting:
 - Initially, amounts were disclosed on blockchain
 - Ring signatures required multiple outputs with identical amounts
 - Outputs were partitioned to facilitate this $(7 \rightarrow 5 + 2)$







- TX4 has two inputs which reference a TXO from TX2
- OMH assumes that these outputs are real


Monero Activity







Output Merging Heuristic



Inputs/Outputs (per TX)

