

 **omigroup** / **omigroup** Public[Code](#) [Issues 38](#) [Pull requests 1](#) **[Discussions](#)** [Projects 1](#) [...](#)

## 09/06/23 Weekly Meeting 123 Notes/Agenda #415

**mrmetaverse** started this conversation in **General**



**mrmetaverse** yesterday Maintainer

edited ▼

Weekly Meeting 123 is happening on Wednesday, September 6, 2023 from 9:00 AM PDT (16:00 UTC) - 10:00 AM PDT (17:00 UTC)

You can add it to your calendar [here](#).

We'll be meeting again in the omi-weekly-meeting channel of the [AngellXR/OMI Discord](#).

We regularly use the # omi-meeting-chat channel for text chat.

Who we are and why we're here:

The Open Metaverse Interoperability Group (OMI) is focused on bridging virtual worlds by designing and promoting protocols for identity, social graphs, inventory, and more. Our members include businesses and individuals working towards this common goal.

If you're an observer or here for the first time, this is a meeting where people who work on the OMI group initiatives discuss updates and contribution opportunities for current projects and goals. This is your chance to jump in and help!

We welcome you to introduce yourself in #omi-meeting-chat as we dive into the agenda items. There will be an open floor in the last 15 minutes of the meeting (agenda permitting) where we can discuss any items you feel are relevant to the current or near future OMI goals.

Agenda:

- shoutout to the fresh voices
- champions moved to this Friday
- open collective did approve now, but I would like help (mm)
- election? Where did we leave off with that planning?

Continued:

- working group check-ins
- Festival name vote ends today 🚩

Last week: [#414](#)

↑ 1

**5 comments** · 18 replies

**Oldest**

Newest

Top

**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

## Demos

 1

0 replies

**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

## re: Election

- we discussed the vacant chair seat and the potential for a new election.
- First, we discussed the meta behind the election itself. Before nominating anyone, we are sharing thoughts about the idea of an election.
- Shadow proposed focusing moreso on the tasks that anyone can do, rather than putting too much emphasis on a new set of chairs who "all the work may fall on".
- if we don't have an election, what is our plan for a future election? and how do we support the existing elected leaders?
- before nominating, we should consider what jobs need to be done, what the need is for a chair(s) and set a date or triggering event for a future election.

 1

3 replies

**indiebio** yesterday

Important for a chair to be consistent.

I think a chair is also a "first port of call" for newcomers, like a mentor maybe

**indiebio** yesterday

Chairs are also about accountability. This links to governance, how do we gently manage accountability?

**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

e.g. <https://hackmd.io/@mrmetaverse/omi-tasks-audit>

also: [#157](#)

and [#165](#)

**indiebio** yesterday

edited ▼

What are the big goals of OMI?

1. Implementers
2. Contributors


↑ 1

11 replies

[Show 6 previous replies](#)**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

**indiebio** yesterday

Encourage non-technical people to get more involved. Both in this group, and with creating/exploring the metaverse as well.

**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

"An open protocol cannot be built by one person"

"consensus and conversation are critical to a proper open protocol"

**indiebio** yesterday

edited ▼

Dogfood, show > tell:

Map the virtual worlds: which worlds are interoperable? (show results as we go on the website?).

On that, see KEYFRAME: I'm working on launching this digital museum 2024, reach out if you want to collab <https://www.virtualworlds.museum/> a continuation of my mapping work.

**indiebio** yesterday

Lets find our first 5.

5 examples of creators using OMI\_Seat, OMI\_Physics, and OMI\_Personality

lets find our first 5 platforms to implement 1 OMI protocol. (maybe OMI SEAT and OMI Physics)

**indiebio** yesterday

We need a better public interface.

Discoverability, curation, aggregators, public interface, like blogs, of what content / projects / etc are out there that we like

↑ 1

2 replies

**indiebio** yesterday

Also, from a principles perspective, are we fully open, are we happy to incorporate more commercial companies too?

**indiebio** yesterday

Everyone wants something different, but we can take aim together from M3 charter [https://mirror.xyz/m3org.eth/A7LmzSoB36dF8-rLkT8onBO\\_7vjAzEU1b4LxCeHxCi4](https://mirror.xyz/m3org.eth/A7LmzSoB36dF8-rLkT8onBO_7vjAzEU1b4LxCeHxCi4)

**indiebio** yesterday

Lets find our first 5.

5 examples of creators using OMI\_Seat, OMI\_Physics, and OMI\_Personality

lets find our first 5 platforms to implement 1 OMI protocol. (maybe OMI SEAT and OMI Physics)

OMI\_seat and OMI\_spawn\_point are two low-hanging fruits, they are simple, easy to implement, and are unlikely to change in the future. We could spearhead with getting these used by 5 platforms.

↑ 1

2 replies

**mrmetaverse** yesterday

Maintainer

Author

edited ▼

Thanks @indiebio! I have created this ticket for review: [#416](#)

👍 1

**indiebio** yesterday

if interested in logistics for virtual event production: <https://github.com/M3-org/logistics>

### Category



General

### Labels

Consistently deliver ...

2 participants

