

Compilation of initiatives related to "Social Interoperability" #516

indiebio started this conversation in **General**



indiebio

last week

Maintainer

edited

▼

From a conversation on Discord and summarised in a community meeting on 5 February 2025 ([#515](#)) we are toying with three areas to focus on in the first half of 2025:

- 3D asset interoperability
- Protocol interoperability
- Social interoperability
(what should we consider next?)

The group asked for a bsky (social platform) starter pack, which is a list of initiatives and people to follow. This page is where we can add what we find for the third category as a work in progress. Please add any resources in the comments.

This is wider than social [platform] interoperability (while of course including that!), but more concerned about how people in different areas get each other to understand what they mean. How to communicate across corporate/indie culture, languages, jargon, technical expertise (e.g. the academic terms inter and trans-disciplinary), and how to get into new groups easily without needing to understand their entire collection of archives first (through assisting tools, for example AI agents we call librarians).

↑

1

4 comments · 5 replies

Oldest

Newest

Top



indiebio

last week

Maintainer

Author

edited

▼

Things relating to open culture, open science, open process

↑

1

4 replies



indiebio

last week

Maintainer

Author

<https://bsky.app/profile/creativecommons.bsky.social>

The nonprofit behind the licenses & tools the world uses to share 🌐

specifically: <https://network.creativecommons.org/cc-openculture-platform/>

share resources, enhance collaboration and raise awareness on open access to digital cultural heritage,



indiebio last week

Maintainer

Author

edited ▼

Open Science Foundation: <https://osf.io/>

but particularly the Open Process youtube: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cZCvR37dzzA&list=PLChfyH8TVDGmadoly93RhDIRx5dN9mqc&index=3>

it's not about being right, but how people approach getting there, that builds trust

closed model:

opaque, glimpse in time, dichotomous, final.

open model:

transparent, lifecycle, many evaluations, versioned



indiebio last week

Maintainer

Author

(from personal notes)

<https://www.ub.edu/ire/en/open-access-and-open-science-not-one-and-the-same-thing/>

open access + open data + open source = open science

Open Science could be defined, according to the [UNESCO Open Science Recommendation](#) 2021, “as an inclusive construct that combines diverse movements and practices in order to make multilingual scientific knowledge openly available and accessible to all and reusable by all, to increase scientific collaborations and information sharing for the benefit of science and society, and to open up the processes of creation, evaluation and communication of scientific knowledge to social actors beyond the traditional scientific community”.

the difference between a lecture and a discussion.

the three things link to me, to the reflections thing - ethic of care, curiosity and linked data. Perhaps, through jonas project: According to Schraube (2024), more reflective learning – referred to as tentacular learning

<https://cnr.ncsu.edu/geospatial/news/2023/11/16/what-is-open-science/>

- open source — software used in scientific research that is free to use and modify
- open data — information either analyzed or produced (or both) by a research project
- open access — research publications that are free to read
- open educational resources — free and reusable teaching materials
- open methodology — free, modifiable instructions for how to do or create something else
- open peer review — transparent critique, and revision, of research reports submitted for publication in scientific journals

I would add open process as well.

**indiebio** last week

Maintainer

Author

<https://publiclab.org/about>

The first paper in this special issue is by Sascha Dickel, Christoph Schneider, Carolin Thiem and Klara-Aylin Wenten, who focus on ==civic techno-science== (focused on constructing viable technological worlds) and point to the need of distinguishing it in contradistinction to citizen science and clarifying the differences and respective implications involved.

**indiebio** last week

Maintainer

Author

edited ▼

The Eternal Network: The ends and becomings of network culture Edited by Kristoffer Gansing and Inga Luchs 2020

<https://networkcultures.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/TheEternalNetwork.pdf>

It's a book, so maybe the authors?

Introduction: Network Means and Ends 6

Kristoffer Gansing

What Was the Network? 14

Clemens Apprich, Daphne Dragona, Geert Lovink, and Florian Wüst

Conversation moderated by Kristoffer Gansing

etworks and etworlds

The Never-ending Network: A Repetitive and (thus)

Differentiating Concept of Our Time 24

Clemens Apprich

Networks and Life-worlds: Ends and Endings 33

Daphne Dragona

There Are Words and Worlds That Are Truthful and True 45

Luiza Prado de O. Martins

Human, onuman and

etworks in Between

Network Topologies: From the Early Web to Human

Mesh Networks 58

Alessandro Ludovico

Another Net is Possible 68

Rachel O'Dwyer

Everything We Build 81

In Conversation: Aay Liparoto and Lorena Juan

Molecular Sex and Polymorphic Sensibilities 89

Johanna Bruckner

endings and new ecomings

Requiem for the Network 102

Geert Lovink

Other Geometries 116

Femke Snelting

Seven Theses on the Fediverse and the Becoming of FLOSS 124

Aymeric Mansoux and Roel Roscam Abbing

↑ 1

0 replies



indiebio last week

Maintainer

Author

Curiosity book and article. Also Naomi Klein's latest book Doppelganger

<https://indiebio.co.za/notes-from-naomi-kleins-doppelganger-part-3/>

<https://bsky.app/profile/naomiaklein.bsky.social/post/3lbcxtfq3wc2h>

<https://indiebio.co.za/the-waking-amid-the-woke/>

Anand Giridharadas, called "The Persuaders: Winning Hearts and Minds in a Divided Age"

<https://bsky.app/profile/anandwrites.bsky.social>

"Scientifically literate people, remember, were more likely to be polarised in their answers to politically charged scientific questions. But scientifically curious people were not.

*** Curiosity brought people together in a way that mere facts did not. ***

<https://timharford.com/2017/03/the-problem-with-facts/>

Academic article this was based on: Kahan et al <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/pops.12396>

↑ 1

1 reply



indiebio last week

Maintainer

Author

More on curiosity: <https://bsky.app/profile/mikeachim.bsky.social>



indiebio 4 days ago

Maintainer

Author

edited ▼

from maximus: <https://petrapalusova.com/articles/open-source-open-standards-system-interoperability-xr>
(not on bsky)

↑ 1

0 replies

Category



General

Labels

None yet

1 participant

