

OMI gITF Working Group Meeting 6/8/2023 #170

robertlong started this conversation in General



This meeting is on 5/25/2023 at 9:30 PM (UTC) / 2:30 PM (PST) in the OMI Discord within the #omi-weekly-meeting channel. During the meeting, we will be using the #omi-gltf-extensions channel to manage a speaker queue, post links, and for any sidebar discussions.

To be notified of this meeting and others, subscribe to the OMI Meetings and Events Calendar or add yourself to the @omi-gltf-subgroup role in the #roles channel of the OMI Discord.

We have a bit of a problem due to DST, since the meeting time changes differently in different parts of the world

Agenda:

- OMI Physics Extensions VS MSFT Extensions
 - ∘ Q GLTF Physics Standard omigroup#393
 - Khronos Feedback
- Lightmap extension comparisons. Action items?
- OMI physics vehicles extension discussion
- OMI_physics_joint PRs:
 - \$\frac{1}{2}\ Add OMI_collider and OMI_physics_body extension validation gITF-Validator#2
 - : Add OMI_physics_joint extension validation glTF-Validator#3
- Roblox gITF importer
 - Outreach for OMI extensions
- EXT manifold
- OMI_personality quotes property
- KHR_audio comments
- OMI_collider trimesh primitives

Backlog:

- OMI Vehicles Extensions Research
- OMI / MX Components Extension
- We discussed https://openmv.org (Open Metaverse Foundation) and how we can synergize with their efforts. Should we make a showcase of our projects to open up a broader discussion?
- jin proposals how to make our specs and work more accessible to others. showcase gltf models
- Review pull requests https://github.com/omigroup/gltf-extensions/pulls
- EXT_skin_humanoid
- OMI_link
- OMI_spawn_point
- Review MSFT_physics
 - glTF_Physics_Blender_Exporter

- glTF_Physics_Godot_Importer
- Licensing Extension https://twitter.com/superhoge/status/1596757861882740736
- KHR_audio / Khronos feedback
 - o gltf-sample-assets
- Third Room Extensions
- glXF
- Show and tell
- Create next weeks agenda

To propose another item for the agenda, comment below (preferably before the meeting).

1 2

2 comments





aaronfranke 2 weeks ago Collaborator

Discussed in call between Aaron and Robert: The MSFT approach to colliders by having the type be a key with separate sub-JSON would make it difficult to implement. An implementation could look for the first key, but then that would break in this case:

```
{
   "extensions": {}
   "box": { "size": [1, 1, 1] }
}
```

(↑ 1)

0 replies



robertlong 2 weeks ago Maintainer Author

For generating Typescript types from the qITF spec's JSON Schema https://github.com/robertlong/qltf-typescript-generator



0 replies

Category



Labels

None yet

2 participants

