☐ **omigroup** / **omigroup**   `Public`

<> Code   ⊙ Issues `38`   ⇄ Pull requests   💬 **Discussions**   ⊞ Projects `2`   **···**

02/15/23 Weekly Meeting 95 Agenda/Notes #359

`Pinned`   **mrmetaverse** started this conversation in **General**

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer`   edited ▾

Weekly Meeting 95 is happening on Wednesday, February 15, 2023 from 9:00 AM PST(17:00 UTC) - 10:00 AM PST (18:00 UTC)

You can add it to your calendar here.

We'll be meeting again in the omi-weekly-meeting channel of the AngellXR/OMI Discord.
We regularly use the # omi-meeting-chat channel for text chat.

Who we are and why we're here:

The Open Metaverse Interoperability Group (OMI) is focused on bridging virtual worlds by designing and promoting protocols for identity, social graphs, inventory, and more. Our members include businesses and individuals working towards this common goal.

If you're an observer or here for the first time, this is a meeting where people who work on the OMI group initiatives discuss updates and contribution opportunities for current projects and goals. This is your chance to jump in and help!

We welcome you to introduce yourself in #omi-meeting-chat as we dive into the agenda items. There will be an open floor in the last 15 minutes of the meeting (agenda permitting) where we can discuss any items you feel are relevant to the current or near future OMI goals.

Agenda

- Volunteer to take notes? Volunteer to record?
- Welcome newcomers

Working Group Updates:

- MSF delegates (setting up the repo)
- UX Research
- Scripting group
- glTF Extensions Group
  - update draft protocol submission ⊙ **Teleport: a real-time network protocol for VR** #356

- ○ omi_personality
  - ○ Progress on OMI_ref and authoring extensions
- Media Group
- M3 avatar interop
- OMI Website update
- any others?

Discussions:

- Retro part II is today, continuing on our work from 2/1/23, a number of action items emerged and were then refined during the OMI Champions meeting on 02/03/23
- Christine Perey sent an email about OMI as a MSF POG.
- 100 week celebration?
- A time poll was posted, I am happy to find a time now. I can meet weekly, let's put something special together.
- ⊙ **A shared space on Matrix: openmetaverse:matrix.org** #358

Next week:

- review action items from the retro(s)
- OMI 100 BUILD

Future demo themes:

- `OMI-audio-emitter` exploration and demo
- `OMI-collider` exploration and demo
- `IPSME` and Me
- `Generative and AI tooling`
- Any other suggestions?

↑ 1

---

5 comments · 5 replies   Oldest | Newest | Top

---

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   Maintainer   Author

MSF Delegates

- last week a good bit of conversation and productive debate in the oversight meetings about the no-dues criteria
- for context: MSF have different levels of membership, and the new proposal involves dues they are thinking through how small orgs and non-profits can obtain no-dues, but still participate.
- notes from last week:
- Notes from last week 💬 **02/08/23 Metaverse Standards Forum: Oversight** MSF-Delegates#42

- No-dues criteria DRAFT:
  https://docs.google.com/document/d/11qoQDDrTVnK4iVHIt9OSMolOQLcGEBlDxG6peECgxG8/edit?usp=sharing
- we were able to point out that the criteria defined didn't really include OMI and groups like OMI.
- AvatarJoy has been in active conversation with the Open AR Cloud and other groups, who jumped on board in the convo and assisted in providing context to the concern.
- Avi of XRGuild was also there and have been collaborating with Funksoup on how orgs like ours who contribute to standards definition, etc.
- A lot of positivity from Neil and the broader MSF community in support of OMI, and our goal to ensure this continues to be inclusive.
- We can continue to have conversation after conversation, but unless this language gets converted to policy, it may not be long lasting. We need to follow through as well on the standard of inclusiveness.

↑ 1   4 replies

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer` `Author`

Today they did go over a new category of an SSO membership, which is a standards setting organization, versus an SDO which is a standards defining organization. This would be inclusive of a community like OMI as an SSO.

Potentially on an SSO advisory panel that advises the MSF board.

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer` `Author`

https://github.com/MetaverseStandards/Metaverse-Standards-Register/issues/30#issuecomment-1428075345

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer` `Author`

https://portal.metaverse-standards.org/wg/General/document

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer` `Author`

The bottom tier of fees now is $1000

---

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   `Maintainer` `Author`

Scripting* (Protocols Group?)

- no activity lately
- may sunset for now
- proposed something like an experimental club, or a protocols group, where "everything else" can be discussed and shared.
- many of us collaborate on other projects and it could be a good place to talk about that too (and then one project doesn't dominate the convo)

↑ 1   0 replies

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   (Maintainer) (Author)

glTF group

"a lot please check out our documentation," https://github.com/omigroup/gltf-extensions

↑ 1   0 replies

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   (Maintainer) (Author)

Media

- MTV offered to help us do a newsletter/digest of OMI community accomplishments
- LMB is OOO this week for birthday 🎉
- Seeking new leadership to help with the Media team

↑ 1   0 replies

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   (Maintainer) (Author)   edited ▾

Retro Part II is ~~today~~ next week

Retro part II is today, continuing on our work from 2/1/23, a number of action items emerged and were then refined during the OMI Champions meeting on 02/03/23

https://github.com/orgs/omigroup/projects/13

↑ 1   1 reply

mrmetaverse on Feb 15   (Maintainer) (Author)

Hey everyone I am very late to my intended Retro follow up. I don't want to rush it, and would like to move this to next Wednesday instead.

I also did not create an event in discord like I thought, so I will do that now. I will also update the documentation on Github

Category

💬 **General**

Labels

Consistently deliver ...

---

1 participant