

 omi group / omi group Public[Code](#) [Issues 38](#) [Pull requests](#) [Discussions](#) [Projects 2](#) [...](#)

10/05/22 Weekly Meeting 76 Agenda #300

antpb started this conversation in **Weekly Meetings**



antpb on Oct 4, 2022 Maintainer

Weekly Meeting 76 is happening on Wednesday October 5, 2022 from 9:00 AM PST(16:00 UTC) - 10:00 AM PST (17:00 UTC)

You can add it to your calendar [here](#).

We'll be meeting again in the omi-weekly-meeting channel of the [AngellXR/OMI Discord](#).
We regularly use the # omi-meeting-chat channel for text chat.

Who we are and why we're here:

The Open Metaverse Interoperability Group (OMI) is focused on bridging virtual worlds by designing and promoting protocols for identity, social graphs, inventory, and more. Our members include businesses and individuals working towards this common goal.

If you're an observer or here for the first time, this is a meeting where people who work on the OMI group initiatives discuss updates and contribution opportunities for current projects and goals. This is your chance to jump in and help!

We welcome you to introduce yourself in #omi-meeting-chat as we dive into the agenda items. There will be an open floor in the last 15 minutes of the meeting (agenda permitting) where we can discuss any items you feel are relevant to the current or near future OMI goals.

Agenda

- Volunteer to take notes? Volunteer to record?
- Welcome newcomers

Working Group Updates:

- [Scripting group](#)
 - OMI developer hour*
- [glTF Extensions Group](#)
 - Progress on OMI_ref and authoring extensions
- [Media Group](#)
- [OMI Website update](#)

- [MSF delegates](#) (setting up the repo)
- [M3 avatar interop](#)
- [UX Research: TBD](#) (setting up the repo)
 - [👍 Proposing UX Research Working Group](#) #222 repo is formed, when do we have the first meeting? [👍 As a UX Research founding member, I need to issue a survey, so that we can find the best time to meet \(and cadence\).](#) ux-research#6
- any others?

Discussions:

- Discuss Lamina1 Open Metaverse Conference Partnership.

Core issue: OMI is listed as "Official Partner" without group vote on participating in the Lamina1 event. There has been press listing OMI as an Official Partner so discussion is needed around how we move forward. A hackmd was drafted by antpb and jin outlining options to move forward:

<https://hackmd.io/7fXyAOcKTGG9dEKvSgp-ag>

Future demo themes: (Vote now in the [#omi-vote](#) Discord channel!)

- [OMI-audio-emitter](#) exploration and demo
- [OMI-collider](#) exploration and demo
- [Generative and AI tooling](#)
- Any other suggestions?

↑ 1

2 comments · 11 replies

Oldest

Newest

Top



mrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022

Maintainer

edited ▼

UX Group

- recent announcement on twitter <https://twitter.com/mrmetaverse/status/1576999682374782976>
- Team is looking to have first meeting to kick off the repo
- first challenge: Portals? [👍 Shadows idea for "a real metaverse field trip"](#) ux-research#8 | <https://github.com/omigroup/ux-research/milestone/2>
- get involved here <https://github.com/omigroup/ux-research>

↑ 1

1 reply



mrmrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

re: portals:

design guidelines, not standards. recommendations of best practices.



mrmrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

Open Metaverse Conference

- Jamil with Lamina1 joined us today to talk about their intentions for the conference.
- We will review our group notes and requests.
- How does OMI want to show up?
- Do we need to make any changes? Are there any risks associated?

↑ 1

10 replies



[Show 5 previous replies](#)



mrmrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

edited ▼

Q: Lamina1 has obviously been spoken about in our community before. We have a diverse community, with diverse levels of interest and experience in blockchain (i.e. many still strongly dislike it). It feels like LeapCon, which was a great experience. OMI is a Metaverse project, and many of our community members are anonymous, we need to have a strong metaverse element for this conference. How can we incorporate a virtual element that doesn't suck? Something more like a virtual burn (Burning Man)



mrmrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

Criticisms:

- Many of us obviously respect Neil Stephenson. It feels like the carbon credits aren't addressing a real problem though. Like more noise and talk, and it rubs people the wrong way.
- Why another Layer 1 chain? We know VCs love L1 hype, but why do we actually need *another* potential rug pull? If we hadn't seen this hundreds of times before, we would be more open. So at this point a lot of the community is a bit cynical about it.
- Of course we are all asking ourselves if we just bite the bullet and get involved and make an impact. Ideally, the token is entirely dedicated to building the open Metaverse.
- OMA3 - They are doing cool things, but they haven't engaged with OMI before, and we don't all understand the need for a "blockchain" alternative to OMI, when we are all building towards the same Metaverse.



mrmrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

JA: Can we also place emphasis on a more diverse panel?



mrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

Answer: We don't want this to be another talky thing. We intend to have a full demo room. We want to host a dozen or so extraordinary technologies that show, and don't just tell, what the Open Metaverse can be.

We also want to help those who can't afford a sponsorship. We are interested in a large deep pocketed donor to help us subsidize speaking - ideally the ability to speak for free.

We want people to be able to show what they are doing without having to pay for it.



mrmetaverse on Oct 5, 2022 Maintainer

Answer: Why another L1 chain?

- that was my first questions as well, but have learned enough about what is going on to feel more comfortable about it.
- Specifically having a storage layer on the block chain, making wallets more accessible, and overall the creator community would be purpose-built for the Metaverse, specifically tuned to your interests.

Category



Weekly Meetings

Labels

Consistently deliver ...

2 participants

