

Chapter 39

Fast Convex Polygons

Chapter 39

Filling Polygons in a Hurry

In the previous chapter, we explored the surprisingly intricate process of filling convex polygons. Now we're going to fill them an order of magnitude or so faster.

Two thoughts may occur to some of you at this point: "Oh, no, he's not going to get into assembly language and device-dependent code, is he?" and, "Why bother with polygon filling—or, indeed, any drawing primitives—anyway? Isn't that what GUIs and third-party libraries are for?"

To which I answer, "Well, yes, I am," and, "If you have to ask, you've missed the magic of microcomputer programming." Actually, both questions ask the same thing, and that is: "Why should I, as a programmer, have any idea how my program actually works?"

Put that way, it sounds a little different, doesn't it?

GUIs, reusable code, portable code written entirely in high-level languages, and object-oriented programming are all the rage now, and promise to remain so for the foreseeable future. The thrust of this technology is to enhance the software development process by offloading as much responsibility as possible to other programmers, and by writing all remaining code in modular, generic form. This modular code then becomes a black box to be reused endlessly without another thought about what actually lies inside. GUIs also reduce development time by making many interface choices for you. That, in turn, makes it possible to create quickly and reliably programs that will be easy for new users to pick up, so software becomes easier to both produce and learn. This is, without question, a Good Thing.

The “black box” approach does not, however, necessarily cause the software itself to become faster, smaller, or more innovative; quite the opposite, I suspect. I’ll reserve judgement on whether that is a good thing or not, but I’ll make a prediction: In the short run, the aforementioned techniques will lead to noticeably larger, slower programs, as programmers understand less and less of what the key parts of their programs do and rely increasingly on general-purpose code written by other people. (In the long run, programs will be bigger and slower yet, but computers will be so fast and will have so much memory that no one will care.) Over time, PC programs will also come to be more similar to one another—and to programs running on other platforms, such as the Mac—as regards both user interface and performance.

Again, I am not saying that this is bad. It does, however, have major implications for the future nature of PC graphics programming, in ways that will directly affect the means by which many of you earn your livings. Not so very long from now, graphics programming—all programming, for that matter—will become mostly a matter of assembling in various ways components written by other people, and will cease to be the all-inclusively creative, mindbendingly complex pursuit it is today. (Using legally certified black boxes is, by the way, one direction in which the patent lawyers are leading us; legal considerations may be the final nail in the coffin of homegrown code.) For now, though, it’s still within your power, as a PC programmer, to understand and even control every single thing that happens on a computer if you so desire, to realize any vision you may have. Take advantage of this unique window of opportunity to create some magic!

Neither does it hurt to understand what’s involved in drawing, say, a filled polygon, even if you are using a GUI. You will better understand the performance implications of the available GUI functions, and you will be able to fill in any gaps in the functions provided. You may even find that you can outperform the GUI on occasion by doing your own drawing into a system memory bitmap, then copying the result to the screen; for instance, you can do this under Windows by using the WinG library available from Microsoft. You will also be able to understand why various quirks exist, and will be able to put them to good use. For example, the X Window System follows the polygon drawing rules described in the previous chapter (although it’s not obvious from the X Window System documentation); if you understood the previous chapter’s discussion, you’re in good shape to use polygons under X.

In short, even though doing so runs counter to current trends, it helps to understand how things work, especially when they’re very visible parts of the software you develop. That said, let’s learn more about filling convex polygons.

Fast Convex Polygon Filling

In addressing the topic of filling convex polygons in the previous chapter, the implementation we came up with met all of our functional requirements. In particular, it met stringent rules that guaranteed that polygons would never overlap or have gaps

at shared edges, an important consideration when building polygon-based images. Unfortunately, the implementation was also slow as molasses. In this chapter we'll work up polygon-filling code that's fast enough to be truly usable.

Our original polygon filling code involved three major tasks, each performed by a separate function:

- Tracing each polygon edge to generate a coordinate list (performed by the function **ScanEdge**);
- Drawing the scanned-out horizontal lines that constitute the filled polygon (**DrawHorizontalLineList**); and
- Characterizing the polygon and coordinating the tracing and drawing (**FillConvexPolygon**).

The amount of time that the previous chapter's sample program spent in each of these areas is shown in Table 39.1. As you can see, half the time was spent drawing and the other half was spent tracing the polygon edges (the time spent in **FillConvexPolygon** was relatively minuscule), so we have our choice of where to begin optimizing.

Fast Drawing

Let's start with drawing, which is easily sped up. The previous chapter's code used a double-nested loop that called a draw-pixel function to plot each pixel in the polygon individually. That's a ridiculous approach in a graphics mode that offers linearly mapped memory, as does VGA mode 13H, the mode in which we're working. At the very least, we could point a far pointer to the left edge of each polygon scan line, then draw each pixel in that scan line in quick succession, using something along the lines of `*ScrPtr++ = FillColor;` inside a loop.

However, it seems silly to use a loop when the x86 has an instruction, **REP STOS**, that's uniquely suited to filling linear memory buffers. There's no way to use **REP STOS** directly in C code, but it's a good bet that the **memset** library function uses **REP STOS**, so you could greatly enhance performance by using **memset** to draw each scan line of the polygon in a single shot. That, however, is easier said than done. The **memset** function linked in from the library is tied to the memory model in use; in small (which includes Tiny, Small, or Medium) data models **memset** accepts only near pointers, so it can't be used to access screen memory. Consequently, a large (which includes Compact, Large, or Huge) data model must be used to allow **memset** to draw to display memory—a clear case of the tail wagging the dog. This is an excellent example of why, although it is possible to use C to do virtually anything, it's sometimes much simpler just to use a little assembly code and be done with it.

At any rate, Listing 39.1 for this chapter shows a version of **DrawHorizontalLineList** that uses **memset** to draw each scan line of the polygon in a single call. When linked to Chapter 38's test program, Listing 39.1 increases pure drawing speed (disregarding edge tracing and other nondrawing time) by more than an order of magnitude

Implementation	Total Polygon Filling Time	DrawHorizontal LineList	ScanEdge	FillConvex Polygon
<i>Drawing to display memory in mode 13h</i>				
C floating point scan/ DrawPixel drawing code from Chapter 38, (small model)	11.69	5.80 seconds (50% of total)	5.86 (50%)	0.03 (<1%)
C floating point scan/ memset drawing (Listing 39.1, compact model)	6.64	0.49 (7%)	6.11 (92%)	0.04 (<1%)
C integer scan/ memset drawing (Listing 39.1 & Listing 39.2, compact model)	0.60	0.49 (82%)	0.07 (12%)	0.04 (7%)
C integer scan/ ASM drawing (Listing 39.2 & Listing 39.3, small model)	0.45	0.36 (80%)	0.06 (13%)	0.03 (7%)
ASM integer scan/ ASM drawing (Listing 40.3 & Listing 40.4, small model)	0.42	0.36 (86%)	0.03 (7%)	0.03 (7%)
<i>Drawing to system memory</i>				
C integer scan/ memset drawing (Listing 39.1 & Listing 39.2, compact model)	0.31	0.20 (65%)	0.07 (23%)	0.04 (13%)
ASM integer scan/ ASM drawing (Listing 39.3 & Listing 39.4, small model)	0.13	0.07 (54%)	0.03 (23%)	0.03 (23%)

All times are in seconds, as measured with Turbo Profiler on a 20-MHz cached 386 with no math coprocessor installed. Note that time spent in `main()` is not included. C code was compiled with Borland C++ with maximum optimization (-G -O -Z -r -a); assembly language code was assembled with TASM. Percentages of combined times are rounded to the nearest percent, so the sum of the three percentages does not always equal 100.

Table 39.1 Polygon fill performance.

over Chapter 38's draw-pixel-based code, despite the fact that Listing 39.1 requires a large (in this case, the Compact) data model. Listing 39.1 works fine with Borland C++, but may not work with other compilers, for it relies on the aforementioned interaction between `memset` and the selected memory model.

LISTING 39.1 L39-1.C

```
/* Draws all pixels in the list of horizontal lines passed in, in
 mode 13h, the VGA's 320x200 256-color mode. Uses memset to fill
 each line, which is much faster than using DrawPixel but requires
 that a large data model (compact, large, or huge) be in use when
 running in real mode or 286 protected mode.
 All C code tested with Borland C++. */

#include <string.h>
#include <dos.h>
#include "polygon.h"

#define SCREEN_WIDTH    320
#define SCREEN_SEGMENT  0xA000

void DrawHorizontalLineList(struct HLineList * HLineListPtr,
                           int Color)
{
    struct HLine *HLinePtr;
    int Length, Width;
    unsigned char far *ScreenPtr;

    /* Point to the start of the first scan line on which to draw */
    ScreenPtr = MK_FP(SCREEN_SEGMENT,
                      HLineListPtr->YStart * SCREEN_WIDTH);

    /* Point to the XStart/XEnd descriptor for the first (top)
       horizontal line */
    HLinePtr = HLineListPtr->HLinePtr;
    /* Draw each horizontal line in turn, starting with the top one and
       advancing one line each time */
    Length = HLineListPtr->Length;
    while (Length-- > 0) {
        /* Draw the whole horizontal line if it has a positive width */
        if ((Width = HLinePtr->XEnd - HLinePtr->XStart + 1) > 0)
            memset(ScreenPtr + HLinePtr->XStart, Color, Width);
        HLinePtr++;           /* point to next scan line X info */
        ScreenPtr += SCREEN_WIDTH; /* point to next scan line start */
    }
}
```

At this point, I'd like to mention that benchmarks are notoriously unreliable; the results in Table 39.1 are accurate *only* for the test program, and only when running on a particular system. Results could be vastly different if smaller, larger, or more complex polygons were drawn, or if a faster or slower computer/VGA combination were used. These factors notwithstanding, the test program does fill a variety of polygons of varying complexity sized from large to small and in between, and certainly the order of magnitude difference between Listing 39.1 and the old version of `DrawHorizontalLineList` is a clear indication of which code is superior.

Anyway, Listing 39.1 has the desired effect of vastly improving drawing time. There are cycles yet to be had in the drawing code, but as tracing polygon edges now takes 92 percent of the polygon filling time, it's logical to optimize the tracing code next.

Fast Edge Tracing

There's no secret as to why last chapter's **ScanEdge** was so slow: It used floating point calculations. One secret of fast graphics is using integer or fixed-point calculations, instead. (Sure, the floating point code would run faster if a math coprocessor were installed, but it would still be slower than the alternatives; besides, why require a math coprocessor when you don't have to?) Both integer and fixed-point calculations are fast. In many cases, fixed-point is faster, but integer calculations have one tremendous virtue: They're completely accurate. The tiny imprecision inherent in either fixed or floating-point calculations can result in occasional pixels being one position off from their proper location. This is no great tragedy, but after going to so much trouble to ensure that polygons don't overlap at common edges, why not get it exactly right?

In fact, when I tested out the integer edge tracing code by comparing an integer-based test image to one produced by floating-point calculations, two pixels out of the whole screen differed, leading me to suspect a bug in the integer code. It turned out, however, that's in those two cases, the floating point results were sufficiently imprecise to creep from just under an integer value to just over it, so that the **ceil** function returned a coordinate that was one too large.



Floating point is very accurate—but it is not precise. Integer calculations, properly performed, are.

Listing 39.2 shows a C implementation of integer edge tracing. Vertical and diagonal lines, which are trivial to trace, are special-cased. Other lines are broken into two categories: Y-major (closer to vertical) and X-major (closer to horizontal). The handlers for the Y-major and X-major cases operate on the principle of similar triangles: The number of X pixels advanced per scan line is the same as the ratio of the X delta of the edge to the Y delta. Listing 39.2 is more complex than the original floating point implementation, but not painfully so. In return for that complexity, Listing 39.2 is more than 80 times faster at scanning edges—and, as just mentioned, it's actually more accurate than the floating point code.

Ya gotta love that integer arithmetic.

L39-2.C

```
/* Scan converts an edge from (X1,Y1) to (X2,Y2), not including the
   point at (X2,Y2). If SkipFirst == 1, the point at (X1,Y1) isn't
   drawn; if SkipFirst == 0, it is. For each scan line, the pixel
   closest to the scanned edge without being to the left of the
   scanned edge is chosen. Uses an all-integer approach for speed and
   precision. */
```

```

#include <math.h>
#include "polygon.h"

void ScanEdge(int X1, int Y1, int X2, int Y2, int SetXStart,
              int SkipFirst, struct HLine **EdgePointPtr)
{
    int Y, DeltaX, Height, Width, AdvanceAmt, ErrorTerm, i;
    int ErrorTermAdvance, XMajorAdvanceAmt;
    struct HLine *WorkingEdgePointPtr;

    WorkingEdgePointPtr = *EdgePointPtr; /* avoid double dereference */
    AdvanceAmt = ((DeltaX - X2 - X1) > 0) ? 1 : -1;
    /* direction in which X moves (Y2 is always > Y1, so Y always counts up) */

    if ((Height - Y2 - Y1) <= 0) /* Y length of the edge */
        return; /* guard against 0-length and horizontal edges */

    /* Figure out whether the edge is vertical, diagonal, X-major
       (mostly horizontal), or Y-major (mostly vertical) and handle
       appropriately */
    if ((Width - abs(DeltaX)) == 0) {
        /* The edge is vertical; special-case by just storing the same
           X coordinate for every scan line */
        /* Scan the edge for each scan line in turn */
        for (i = Height - SkipFirst; i-- > 0; WorkingEdgePointPtr++) {
            /* Store the X coordinate in the appropriate edge list */
            if (SetXStart == 1)
                WorkingEdgePointPtr->XStart = X1;
            else
                WorkingEdgePointPtr->XEnd = X1;
        }
    } else if (Width == Height) {
        /* The edge is diagonal; special-case by advancing the X
           coordinate 1 pixel for each scan line */
        if (SkipFirst) /* skip the first point if so indicated */
            X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move 1 pixel to the left or right */
        /* Scan the edge for each scan line in turn */
        for (i = Height - SkipFirst; i-- > 0; WorkingEdgePointPtr++) {
            /* Store the X coordinate in the appropriate edge list */
            if (SetXStart == 1)
                WorkingEdgePointPtr->XStart = X1;
            else
                WorkingEdgePointPtr->XEnd = X1;
            X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move 1 pixel to the left or right */
        }
    } else if (Height > Width) {
        /* Edge is closer to vertical than horizontal (Y-major) */
        if (DeltaX >= 0)
            ErrorTerm = 0; /* initial error term going left->right */
        else
            ErrorTerm = -Height + 1; /* going right->left */
        if (SkipFirst) { /* skip the first point if so indicated */
            /* Determine whether it's time for the X coord to advance */
            if ((ErrorTerm + Width) > 0) {
                X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move 1 pixel to the left or right */
                ErrorTerm -= Height; /* advance ErrorTerm to next point */
            }
        }
        /* Scan the edge for each scan line in turn */
        for (i = Height - SkipFirst; i-- > 0; WorkingEdgePointPtr++) {

```

```

/* Store the X coordinate in the appropriate edge list */
if (SetXStart == 1)
    WorkingEdgePointPtr->XStart = X1;
else
    WorkingEdgePointPtr->XEnd = X1;
/* Determine whether it's time for the X coord to advance */
if ((ErrorTerm += Width) > 0) {
    X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move 1 pixel to the left or right */
    ErrorTerm -= Height; /* advance ErrorTerm to correspond */
}
}

} else {
/* Edge is closer to horizontal than vertical (X-major) */
/* Minimum distance to advance X each time */
XMajorAdvanceAmt = (Width / Height) * AdvanceAmt;
/* Error term advance for deciding when to advance X 1 extra */
ErrorTermAdvance = Width % Height;
if (DeltaX >= 0)
    ErrorTerm = 0; /* initial error term going left->right */
else
    ErrorTerm = -Height + 1; /* going right->left */
if (SkipFirst) { /* skip the first point if so indicated */
    X1 += XMajorAdvanceAmt; /* move X minimum distance */
    /* Determine whether it's time for X to advance one extra */
    if ((ErrorTerm += ErrorTermAdvance) > 0) {
        X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move X one more */
        ErrorTerm -= Height; /* advance ErrorTerm to correspond */
    }
}
/* Scan the edge for each scan line in turn */
for (i = Height - SkipFirst; i-- > 0; WorkingEdgePointPtr++) {
    /* Store the X coordinate in the appropriate edge list */
    if (SetXStart == 1)
        WorkingEdgePointPtr->XStart = X1;
    else
        WorkingEdgePointPtr->XEnd = X1;
    X1 += XMajorAdvanceAmt; /* move X minimum distance */
    /* Determine whether it's time for X to advance one extra */
    if ((ErrorTerm += ErrorTermAdvance) > 0) {
        X1 += AdvanceAmt; /* move X one more */
        ErrorTerm -= Height; /* advance ErrorTerm to correspond */
    }
}
}

*EdgePointPtr = WorkingEdgePointPtr; /* advance caller's ptr */
}

```

The Finishing Touch: Assembly Language

The C implementation in Listing 39.2 is now nearly 20 times as fast as the original, which is good enough for most purposes. Still, it requires that one of the large data models be used (for `memset`), and it's certainly not the fastest possible code. The obvious next step is assembly language.

Listing 39.3 is an assembly language version of `DrawHorizontalLineList`. In actual use, it proved to be about 36 percent faster than Listing 39.1; better than a poke in the eye with a sharp stick, but just barely. There's more to these timing results than

meets that eye, though. Display memory generally responds much more slowly than system memory, especially in 386 and 486 systems. That means that much of the time taken by Listing 39.3 is actually spent waiting for display memory accesses to complete, with the processor forced to idle by wait states. If, instead, Listing 39.3 drew to a local buffer in system memory or to a particularly fast VGA, the assembly implementation might well display a far more substantial advantage over the C code.

And indeed it does. When the test program is modified to draw to a local buffer, both the C and assembly language versions get 0.29 seconds faster, that being a measure of the time taken by display memory wait states. With those wait states factored out, the assembly language version of **DrawHorizontalLineList** becomes almost three times as fast as the C code.



There is a lesson here. An optimization has no fixed payoff; its value fluctuates according to the context in which it is used. There's relatively little benefit to further optimizing code that already spends half its time waiting for display memory; no matter how good your optimizations, you'll get only a two-times speedup at best, and generally much less than that. There is, on the other hand, potential for tremendous improvement when drawing to system memory, so if that's where most of your drawing will occur, optimizations such as Listing 39.3 are well worth the effort.

Know the environments in which your code will run, and know where the cycles go in those environments.

LISTING 39.3 L39-3.ASM

```
; Draws all pixels in the list of horizontal lines passed in, in
; mode 13h, the VGA's 320x200 256-color mode. Uses REP STOS to fill
; each line.
; C near-callable as:
;     void DrawHorizontalLineList(struct HLineList * HLineListPtr,
;                                   int Color);
; All assembly code tested with TASM and MASM

SCREEN_WIDTH    equ    320
SCREEN_SEGMENT   equ    0a000h

HLine struc
XStart          dw     ?           ;X coordinate of leftmost pixel in line
XEnd            dw     ?           ;X coordinate of rightmost pixel in line
HLine           ends

HLineList struc
Length          dw     ?           ;# of horizontal lines
YStart          dw     ?           ;Y coordinate of topmost line
HLinePtr         dw     ?           ;pointer to list of horz lines
HLineList        ends

Parms struc
dw    2 dup(?)    ;return address & pushed BP
HLineListPtr    dw     ?           ;pointer to HLineList structure
Color           dw     ?           ;color with which to fill
Parms           ends
```

```

.model small
.code
public _DrawHorizontalLineList
align 2
_DrawHorizontalLineList proc
    push bp
    mov  bp,sp
    push si
    push di
    cld
    ;preserve caller's stack frame
    ;point to our stack frame
    ;preserve caller's register variables
    ;make string instructions inc pointers

    mov  ax,SCREEN_SEGMENT
    mov  es,ax
    ;point ES to display memory for REP STOS

    mov  si,[bp+HLineListPtr]
    mov  ax,SCREEN_WIDTH
    mul [si+YStart]
    mov  dx,ax
    ;point to the line list
    ;point to the start of the first scan
    ;line in which to draw
    ;ES:DX points to first scan line to
    ;draw

    mov  bx,[si+HLinePtr]
    ;point to the XStart/XEnd descriptor
    ;for the first (top) horizontal line
    ;# of scan lines to draw?
    ;are there any lines to draw?
    ;no, so we're done
    ;color with which to fill
    ;duplicate color for STOSW

    mov  si,[si+Lngth]
    and si,si
    jz  FillDone
    mov  al,byte ptr [bp+Color]
    mov  ah,al
    ;left edge of fill on this line
    ;right edge of fill

    FillLoop:
    mov  di,[bx+XStart]
    mov  cx,[bx+XEnd]
    sub  cx,di
    js   LineFillDone
    inc  cx
    add  di,dx
    test di,1
    jz   MainFill
    stoss
    ;skip if negative width
    ;width of fill on this line
    ;offset of left edge of fill
    ;does fill start at an odd address?
    ;no
    ;yes, draw the odd leading byte to
    ;word-align the rest of the fill
    ;count off the odd leading byte
    ;done if that was the only byte

    dec  cx
    jz   LineFillDone
    MainFill:
    shr  cx,1
    rep  stosw
    adc  cx,cx
    ;# of words in fill
    ;fill as many words as possible
    ;1 if there's an odd trailing byte to
    ;do, 0 otherwise
    ;fill any odd trailing byte

    rep  stosb
    LineFillDone:
    add  bx, size HLine
    add  dx,SCREEN_WIDTH
    dec  si
    jnz  FillLoop
    FillDone:
    pop  di
    pop  si
    pop  bp
    ret
_DrawHorizontalLineList endp
end

```

Maximizing REP STOS

Listing 39.3 doesn't take the easy way out and use **REP STOSB** to fill each scan line; instead, it uses **REP STOSW** to fill as many pixel pairs as possible via word-sized accesses, using **STOSB** only to do odd bytes. Word accesses to odd addresses are always split by the processor into 2-byte accesses. Such word accesses take twice as long as word accesses to even addresses, so Listing 39.3 makes sure that all word accesses occur at even addresses, by performing a leading **STOSB** first if necessary.

Listing 39.3 is another case in which it's worth knowing the environment in which your code will run. Extra code is required to perform aligned word-at-a-time filling, resulting in extra overhead. For very small or narrow polygons, that overhead might overwhelm the advantage of drawing a word at a time, making plain old **REP STOSB** faster.

Faster Edge Tracing

Finally, Listing 39.4 is an assembly language version of **ScanEdge**. Listing 39.4 is a relatively straightforward translation from C to assembly, but is nonetheless about twice as fast as Listing 39.2.

The version of **ScanEdge** in Listing 39.4 could certainly be sped up still further by unrolling the loops. **FillConvexPolygon**, the overall coordination routine, hasn't even been converted to assembly language, so that could be sped up as well. I haven't bothered with these optimizations because all code other than **DrawHorizontalLineList** takes only 14 percent of the overall polygon filling time when drawing to display memory; the potential return on optimizing nondrawing code simply isn't great enough to justify the effort. Part of the value of a profiler is being able to tell when to stop optimizing; with Listings 39.3 and 39.4 in use, more than two-thirds of the time taken to draw polygons is spent waiting for display memory, so optimization is pretty much maxed out. However, further optimization might be worthwhile when drawing to system memory, where wait states are out of the picture and the nondrawing code takes a significant portion (46 percent) of the overall time.

Again, *know where the cycles go*.

By the way, note that all the versions of **ScanEdge** and **FillConvexPolygon** that we've looked at are adapter-independent, and that the C code is also machine-independent; all adapter-specific code is isolated in **DrawHorizontalLineList**. This makes it easy to add support for other graphics systems, such as the 8514/A, the XGA, or, for that matter, a completely non-PC system.

LISTING 39.4 L39-4.ASM

```
; Scan converts an edge from (X1,Y1) to (X2,Y2), not including the
; point at (X2,Y2). If SkipFirst == 1, the point at (X1,Y1) isn't
; drawn; if SkipFirst == 0, it is. For each scan line, the pixel
; closest to the scanned edge without being to the left of the scanned
; edge is chosen. Uses an all-integer approach for speed & precision.
```

```

; C near-callable as:
;   void ScanEdge(int X1, int Y1, int X2, int Y2, int SetXStart,
;   int SkipFirst, struct HLine **EdgePointPtr);
; Edges must not go bottom to top; that is, Y1 must be <- Y2.
; Updates the pointer pointed to by EdgePointPtr to point to the next
; free entry in the array of HLine structures.

HLine    struc
XStart      dw  ?          ;X coordinate of leftmost pixel in scan line
XEnd        dw  ?          ;X coordinate of rightmost pixel in scan line
HLine    ends

Parms     struc
          dw  2 dup(?) ;return address & pushed BP
X1         dw  ?          ;X start coord of edge
Y1         dw  ?          ;Y start coord of edge
X2         dw  ?          ;X end coord of edge
Y2         dw  ?          ;Y end coord of edge
SetXStart   dw  ?          ;l to set the XStart field of each
                           ; HLine struc, 0 to set XEnd
SkipFirst    dw  ?          ;l to skip scanning the first point
                           ; of the edge, 0 to scan first point
EdgePointPtr dw  ?          ;pointer to a pointer to the array of
                           ; HLine structures in which to store
                           ; the scanned X coordinates

Parms    ends

;Offsets from BP in stack frame of local variables.
AdvanceAmt  equ    -2
Height       equ    -4
LOCAL_SIZE   equ    4       ;total size of local variables

.model small
.code
public _ScanEdge
align 2
_ScanEdge proc
    push bp           ;preserve caller's stack frame
    mov  bp,sp         ;point to our stack frame
    sub  sp,LOCAL_SIZE ;allocate space for local variables
    push si           ;preserve caller's register variables
    push di
    mov  di,[bp+EdgePointPtr]
    mov  di,[di]
    cmp  [bp+SetXStart].1
    jz   HLinePtrSet
    add  di,XEnd

    HLinePtrSet:
    mov  bx,[bp+Y2]
    sub  bx,[bp+Y1]
    jle  ToScanEdgeExit
    mov  [bp+Height],bx
    sub  cx,cx
    mov  dx,1
    mov  ax,[bp+X2]
    sub  ax,[bp+X1]
    jz   IsVertical

    ToScanEdgeExit:

```

```

jns SetAdvanceAmt      ;DeltaX >= 0
mov cx,1               ;DeltaX < 0 (move left as we draw)
sub cx,bx              ;ErrorTerm = -Height + 1
neg dx                ;AdvanceAmt = -1 (move left)
neg ax                ;Width = abs(DeltaX)

SetAdvanceAmt:
    mov [bp+AdvanceAmt],dx

; Figure out whether the edge is diagonal, X-major (more horizontal),
; or Y-major (more vertical) and handle appropriately.
    cmp ax,bx            ;if Width=Height, it's a diagonal edge
    jz IsDiagonal         ;it's a diagonal edge--special case
    jb YMajor             ;it's a Y-major (more vertical) edge
                           ;it's an X-major (more horz) edge
    sub dx,dx            ;prepare DX:AX (Width) for division
    div bx               ;Width/Height
    mov si,ax             ;DX = error term advance per scan line
                           ;SI = minimum # of pixels to advance X
                           ;on each scan line
    test [bp+AdvanceAmt],8000h ;move left or right?
    jz XMajorAdvanceAmtSet ;right, already set
    neg si               ;left, negate the distance to advance
                           ;on each scan line

XMajorAdvanceAmtSet:   ;
    mov ax,[bp+X1]          ;starting X coordinate
    cmp [bp+SkipFirst].1   ;skip the first point?
    jz XMajorSkipEntry     ;yes

XMajorLoop:
    mov [di].ax            ;store the current X value
    add di,size HLine      ;point to the next HLine struc

XMajorSkipEntry:
    add ax,si              ;set X for the next scan line
    add cx,dx              ;advance error term
    jle XMajorNoAdvance    ;not time for X coord to advance one
                           ;extra
                           ;advance X coord one extra
                           ;adjust error term back

XMajorNoAdvance:
    dec bx                ;count off this scan line
    jnz XMajorLoop
    jmp ScanEdgeDone
    align 2

ToScanEdgeExit:
    jmp ScanEdgeExit
    align 2

IsVertical:
    mov ax,[bp+X1]          ;starting (and only) X coordinate
    sub bx,[bp+SkipFirst]   ;loop count = Height - SkipFirst
    jz ScanEdgeExit         ;no scan lines left after skipping 1st

VerticalLoop:
    mov [di].ax            ;store the current X value
    add di,size HLine      ;point to the next HLine struc
    dec bx                ;count off this scan line
    jnz VerticalLoop
    jmp ScanEdgeDone
    align 2

IsDiagonal:
    mov ax,[bp+X1]          ;starting X coordinate
    cmp [bp+SkipFirst].1   ;skip the first point?
    jz DiagonalSkipEntry ;yes

```

```

DiagonalLoop:
    mov  [di],ax          ;store the current X value
    add  di,size HLine   ;point to the next HLine struc
DiagonalSkipEntry:
    add  ax,dx           ;advance the X coordinate
    dec  bx              ;count off this scan line
    jnz  DiagonalLoop
    jmp  ScanEdgeDone
    align 2
YMajor:
    push bp              ;preserve stack frame pointer
    mov   si,[bp+X1]       ;starting X coordinate
    cmp   [bp+SkipFirst],1 ;skip the first point?
    mov   bp,bx           ;put Height in BP for error term calcs
    jz   YMajorSkipEntry ;yes, skip the first point
YMajorLoop:
    mov   [di],si          ;store the current X value
    add  di,size HLine   ;point to the next HLine struc
YMajorSkipEntry:
    add  cx,ax           ;advance the error term
    jle  YMajorNoAdvance ;not time for X coord to advance
    add  si,dx           ;advance the X coordinate
    sub  cx,bp           ;adjust error term back
YMajorNoAdvance:
    dec  bx              ;count off this scan line
    jnz  YMajorLoop
    pop  bp              ;restore stack frame pointer
ScanEdgeDone:
    cmp   [bp+SetXStart],1 ;were we working with XStart field?
    jz   UpdateHLinePtr  ;yes, DI points to the next XStart
    sub  di,XEnd         ;no, point back to the XStart field
UpdateHLinePtr:
    mov  bx,[bp+EdgePointPtr] ;point to pointer to HLine array
    mov  [bx],di           ;update caller's HLine array pointer
ScanEdgeExit:
    pop  di              ;restore caller's register variables
    pop  si
    mov  sp,bp           ;deallocate local variables
    pop  bp
    ret
_ScanEdge endp
end

```