

Stack Safety for Free

Phil Freeman

paf31/codemesh2016

Hello!

- I'm Phil, I write Haskell and PureScript
- paf31 on Twitter/GitHub

Motivation

Motivation

Consider this Haskell function:

```
replicateM_ :: Monad m => Int -> m a -> m ()  
replicateM_ 0 _ = return ()  
replicateM_ n x = x >> replicateM_ (n - 1) x
```

Motivation

We can test this using GHC:

```
main = print $ replicateM_ 100000000 (Just ())
```

This gets compiled to a tight loop:

```
$ ./test +RTS -s
Just ()
      52,104 bytes allocated in the heap

MUT   time    0.007s  ( 0.008s elapsed)
GC    time    0.000s  ( 0.001s elapsed)

%GC   time      2.0%  (10.5% elapsed)
```

Motivation

But how would we write this function in a strict language like *PureScript*?

PureScript

- a strict Haskell-like language compiling to JS
- features type classes, HKP
- see purescript.org

Motivation

In PureScript:

```
replicateM_ :: ∀ m a. Monad m => Int -> m a -> m Unit  
replicateM_ 0 _ = pure unit  
replicateM_ n x = x *> replicateM_ (n - 1) x
```

This fails quickly with

```
RangeError: Maximum call stack size exceeded
```

(demo)

Tail Recursion

Tail Recursion

Recap:

A tail recursive function can either

- return a value
- or loop, modifying some function arguments

at each step.

The compiler can turn such a function into a *loop*.

Tail Recursion

For example:

```
replicateM_ :: ∀ m a. Monad m => Int -> m a -> m Unit
replicateM_ n x = loop (pure unit) n where
  loop :: m Unit -> Int -> m Unit
  loop acc 0 = acc
  loop acc n = loop (x *> acc) (n - 1)
```

Tail Recursion

This works for some monads, like `Maybe`:

```
> replicateM_ 1000000 (Just 42)
Just unit
```

but still fails for others, like `Eff`:

```
> replicateM_ 1000000 (log "testing")
RangeError: Maximum call stack size exceeded
```

Tail Recursion

“ A tail recursive function can either

- return a value
- or loop, modifying some function arguments ”

Now let's reify those constraints as a data structure:

```
data Step a b  
  = Done b  
  | Loop a
```

Tail Recursion

Now we can write a general-purpose tail-recursive function of one argument:

```
tailRec :: ∀ a b. (a -> Step a b) -> a -> b
```

This can be used to write variants with multiple arguments:

```
tailRec2 :: ∀ a b c  
          . (a -> b -> Step { fst :: a, snd :: b } c)  
          -> a -> b -> c
```

Tail Recursion

This is enough to reimplement `replicateM_`:

```
replicateM_ :: ∀ m a. Monad m => Int -> m a -> m Unit
replicateM_ n x = tailRec2 loop (pure unit) n where
  loop :: m Unit
        -> Int
        -> Step { fst :: m Unit, snd :: Int } (m Unit)
  loop acc 0 = Done acc
  loop acc n = Loop { fst: x *> acc, snd: n - 1 }
```

Of course, this doesn't solve the problem, yet

Tail-Recursive Monads

Tail-Recursive Monads

The trick:

Generalize `tailRec` to *monadic tail recursion* using a new type class

```
class Monad m <= MonadRec m where  
  tailRecM :: (a -> m (Step a b)) -> a -> m b
```

What should the laws be?

Tail-Recursive Monads

`tailRecM` should be equivalent to the default definition:

```
tailRecM f a =  
  step <- f a  
  case step of  
    Done b -> pure b  
    Loop a1 -> tailRecM f a1
```

However, we can provide a more efficient implementation!

Tail-Recursive Monads

Example: `ExceptT`

```
newtype ExceptT e m a = ExceptT (m (Either e a))

instance MonadRec m => MonadRec (ExceptT e m) where
  tailRecM f = ExceptT <<< tailRecM \a ->
    case f a of ExceptT m ->
      m >>= \m' ->
        pure case m' of
          Left e -> Done (Left e)
          Right (Loop a1) -> Loop a1
          Right (Done b) -> Done (Right b)
```

Tail-Recursive Monads

More Examples

- `Identity`
- `StateT s`
- `WriterT w`
- `ReaderT r`
- `Eff eff`
- `Aff eff`

Tail-Recursive Monads

We can fix `replicateM_` by requiring `MonadRec`:

```
replicateM_ :: ∀ m a. MonadRec m => Int -> m a -> m Unit
replicateM_ n x = tailRecM loop n where
  loop :: Int -> m (Step Int Unit)
  loop 0 = pure (Done unit)
  loop n = x $> Loop (n - 1)
```

This is stack-safe for any law-abiding `MonadRec` instance!

We can also implement other functions like `mapM` and `foldM`.

Tail-Recursive Monads

Taxonomy of Recursion Schemes

- `StateT`: Additional accumulator
- `WriterT`: Tail-call modulo "cons"
- `ExceptT`: Tail-call with abort

Applications

1. Free Monads

Free Monads

The free monad for a functor `f`

```
data Free f a
  = Pure a
  | Impure (f (Free f a))

instance monadFree :: Functor f => Monad (Free f)

liftFree :: ∀ f a. Functor f => f a -> Free f a
liftFree fa = Impure (fmap Pure fa)
```

represents sequences of instructions defined by `f`.

Free Monads

Example:

```
data DatabaseF a
  = Insert Key Value a
  | Select Key (Maybe Value -> a)

type Database = Free DatabaseF

insert :: Key -> Value -> Database Unit
insert k v = liftFree (Insert k v unit)

select :: Key -> Database (Maybe Value)
select k = liftFree (Select k id)
```

Free Monads

Interpretation:

```
runFree :: ∀ m f a
         . Monad m
         => (f (Free f a) -> m (Free f a))
         -> Free f a
         -> m a
runFree f (Pure a) = pure a
runFree f (Impure xs) = do
  next <- f xs
  runFree f next
```

Free Monads

Testing

```
type Test = State (Map Key Value)

testDB :: ∀ a. Database a -> Test a
testDB = runFree go where
  go :: DatabaseF (Database a) -> Test (Database a)
  go (Insert k v next) = do
    modify (insert k v)
    next
  go (Select k next) = do
    v <- gets (lookup k)
    next v
```

Free Monads

Problem:

`runFree` uses monadic recursion.

We cannot interpret deep or infinite computations without the risk of blowing the stack.

Free Monads

Solution:

Instead we use

```
runFree :: ∀ m f a  
         . MonadRec m  
         => (f (Free f a) -> m (Free f a))  
         -> Free f a  
         -> m a
```

`runFree` can be written using `tailRecM` directly and uses a constant amount of stack.

2. Free Monad Transformers

Free Monad Transformers

The free monad transformer:

```
newtype FreeT f m a =  
  FreeT (m (Either a (f (FreeT f m a))))
```

interleaves effects from the base monad `m`.

Free Monad Transformers

The previous technique extends to the free monad transformer:

```
runFreeT :: ∀ m f a  
          . MonadRec m  
          => (f (FreeT f m a) -> m (FreeT f m a))  
          -> FreeT f m a  
          -> m a
```

(see the paper)

3. Coroutines

Coroutines

The free monad transformer gives a nice (safe!) model for coroutines over some base monad.

For example:

```
data Emit o a = Emit o a  
  
type Producer o = FreeT (Emit o)
```

`Producer _ (Aff _)` is useful for modelling *asynchronous generators*

Coroutines

Consumers:

```
data Await i a = Await (i -> a)  
  
type Consumer i = FreeT (Consumer i)
```

`Consumer _ (Aff _)` is useful for modelling
asynchronous enumerators

E.g. chunked handling of HTTP responses

Coroutines

Fusion

```
type Fuse f g h =  $\forall$  a b c  
    . (a -> b -> c)  
    -> f a  
    -> g b  
    -> h c
```

```
fuse ::  $\forall$  f g h m a  
    . (Functor f, Functor g, Functor h, MonadRec m)  
    => Fuse f g h  
    -> FreeT f m a  
    -> FreeT g m a  
    -> FreeT h m a
```

Coroutines

Producer - Producer

```
Fuse (Emit o1) (Emit o2) (Emit (Tuple o1 o2))
```

Consumer - Consumer

```
Fuse (Await i1) (Await i2) (Await (Tuple i1 i2))
```

Producer - Consumer

```
Fuse (Emit o) (Await o) Identity
```

Coroutines

Applications:

- Websockets
- File I/O
- AJAX
- Cooperative multitasking

(Demo)

Conclusion

Conclusion

- **MonadRec** can make a variety of tasks safe in a strict language like PureScript
- We trade off some instances for a safe implementation
- **MonadRec** has been implemented in PureScript, Scalaz, cats and fantasy-land.
- Check out the paper:
functorial.com/stack-safety-for-free/index.pdf

Thanks!