

MODULE *DistributedTransaction*
 EXTENDS *Integers, FiniteSets*

The set of all keys.

CONSTANTS *KEY*

The sets of optimistic clients and pessimistic clients.

CONSTANTS *OPTIMISTIC_CLIENT, PESSIMISTIC_CLIENT*
 $CLIENT \triangleq PESSIMISTIC_CLIENT \cup OPTIMISTIC_CLIENT$

$CLIENT_KEY$ is a set of $[Client \rightarrow SUBSET\ KEY]$
 representing the involved keys of each client.

CONSTANTS *CLIENT_KEY*

ASSUME $\forall c \in CLIENT : CLIENT_KEY[c] \subseteq KEY$

$CLIENT_PRIMARY$ is the primary key of each client.

CONSTANTS *CLIENT_PRIMARY*

ASSUME $\forall c \in CLIENT : CLIENT_PRIMARY[c] \in CLIENT_KEY[c]$

Timestamp of transactions.

$Ts \triangleq Nat \setminus \{0\}$

$NoneTs \triangleq 0$

The algorithm is easier to understand in terms of the set of *msgs* of all messages that have ever been sent. A more accurate model would use one or more variables to represent the messages actually in transit, and it would include actions representing message loss and duplication as well as message receipt.

In the current spec, there is no need to model message loss because we are mainly concerned with the algorithm's safety property. The safety part of the spec says only what messages may be received and does not assert that any message actually is received. Thus, there is no difference between a lost message and one that is never received.

VARIABLES *req_msgs*

VARIABLES *resp_msgs*

$key_data[k]$ is the set of multi-version data of the key. Since we don't care about the concrete value of data, a $start_ts$ is sufficient to represent one data version.

VARIABLES *key_data*

$key_lock[k]$ is the set of lock (zero or one element). A lock is of a record of $[ts: start_ts, primary: key, type: lock_type]$. If primary equals to k , it is a primary lock, otherwise secondary lock. $lock_type$ is one of {"prewrite_optimistic", "prewrite_pessimistic", "lock_key"}. $lock_key$ denotes the pessimistic lock performed by *ServerLockKey* action, the *prewrite_pessimistic* denotes percolator optimistic lock

who is transformed from a *lock_key* lock by action *ServerPrewritePessimistic*, and *prewrite_optimistic* denotes the classic optimistic lock.

In *TiKV*, *key_lock* has an additional *for_update_ts* field and the *LockType* is of four variants:
 $\{“PUT”, “DELETE”, “LOCK”, “PESSIMISTIC”\}$.

In the spec, we abstract them by:

- (1) $LockType \in \{“PUT”, “DELETE”, “LOCK”\} \wedge for_update_ts = 0 \equiv type = “prewrite_optimistic”$
- (2) $LockType \in \{“PUT”, “DELETE”\} \wedge for_update_ts > 0 \equiv type = “prewrite_pessimistic”$
- (3) $LockType = “PESSIMISTIC” \equiv type = “lock_key”$

VARIABLES *key_lock*

key_write[k] is a sequence of commit or rollback record of the key. It’s a record of [*ts*, *start_ts*, type, [protected]]. type can be either “write” or “rollback”. *ts* represents the *commit_ts* of “write” record. Otherwise, *ts* equals to *start_ts* on “rollback” record. “rollback” record has an additional protected field. protected signifies the rollback record would not be collapsed.

VARIABLES *key_write*

client_state[c] indicates the current transaction stage of client *c*.

VARIABLES *client_state*

client_ts[c] is a record of [*start_ts*, *commit_ts*, *for_update_ts*]. Fields are all initialized to *NoneTs*.

VARIABLES *client_ts*

client_key[c] is a record of [locking: {*key*}, prewriting: {*key*}].

Hereby, “locking” denotes the keys whose pessimistic locks haven’t been acquired, “prewriting” denotes the keys that are pending for prewrite.

VARIABLES *client_key*

next_ts is a globally monotonically increasing integer, representing the virtual clock of transactions. In practice, the variable is maintained by *PD*, the time oracle of a cluster.

VARIABLES *next_ts*

$msg_vars \triangleq \langle req_msgs, resp_msgs \rangle$
 $client_vars \triangleq \langle client_state, client_ts, client_key \rangle$
 $key_vars \triangleq \langle key_data, key_lock, key_write \rangle$
 $vars \triangleq \langle msg_vars, client_vars, key_vars, next_ts \rangle$

$SendReqs(msgs) \triangleq req_msgs' = req_msgs \cup msgs$
 $SendResp(msg) \triangleq resp_msgs' = resp_msgs \cup \{msg\}$

Type Definitions

$ReqMessages \triangleq$

- $[start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "lock_key" \}, key : KEY,$
- $for_update_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "prewrite_optimistic" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "prewrite_pessimistic" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "commit" \}, commit_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "resolve_rollbacked" \}]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "resolve_committed" \}, commit_ts : Ts]$

In *TiKV*, there's an extra flag "*rollback_if_not_exist*" in the *check_txn_status* request. If the primary key lock is missing (and no record in the write column), there are two cases: the prewrite request of the primary key is delayed, or is lost due to client (*TiDB*) crash. To distinguish these two, it must wait until the *TTL* of the lock to be resolved expired. In the *TLA+* spec, the *TTL* is considered constantly expired when the action is taken, so there's no need to model the flag.

- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "check_txn_status" \}, resolving_pessimistic_lock : BOOLEAN]$

$RespMessages \triangleq$

- $[start_ts : Ts, type : \{ "prewrited", "locked_key" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, type : \{ "lock_failed" \}, key : KEY, latest_commit_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start_ts : Ts, type : \{ "committed",$
- $"commit_aborted",$
- $"prewrite_aborted",$
- $"lock_key_aborted" \}]$

$TypeOK \triangleq$

- $\wedge req_msgs \in SUBSET ReqMessages$
- $\wedge resp_msgs \in SUBSET RespMessages$
- $\wedge key_data \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET Ts]$
- $\wedge key_lock \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET [ts : Ts,$
- $primary : KEY,$
- $type : \{ "prewrite_optimistic",$
- $"prewrite_pessimistic",$
- $"lock_key" \}]]$
- $\text{At most one lock in } key_lock[k]$
- $\wedge \forall k \in KEY : Cardinality(key_lock[k]) \leq 1$
- $\wedge key_write \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET ($
- $[ts : Ts, start_ts : Ts, type : \{ "write" \}]$
- $\cup [ts : Ts, start_ts : Ts, type : \{ "rollback" \}, protected : BOOLEAN]]$
- $\wedge client_state \in [CLIENT \rightarrow \{ "init", "locking", "prewriting", "committing" \}]$
- $\wedge client_ts \in [CLIENT \rightarrow [start_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \},$
- $commit_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \},$
- $for_update_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \}]]$
- $\wedge client_key \in [CLIENT \rightarrow [locking : SUBSET KEY, prewriting : SUBSET KEY]]$
- $\wedge next_ts \in Ts$

Client Actions

$ClientLockKey(c) \triangleq$
 $\wedge client_state[c] = \text{"init"}$
 $\wedge client_state' = [client_state \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"locking"}]$
 $\wedge client_ts' = [client_ts \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].start_ts = next_ts, ![c].for_update_ts = next_ts]$
 $\wedge next_ts' = next_ts + 1$
 Assume we need to acquire pessimistic locks for all keys
 $\wedge client_key' = [client_key \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].locking = CLIENT_KEY[c]]$
 $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"lock_key"},$
 $\quad start_ts \mapsto client_ts'[c].start_ts,$
 $\quad primary \mapsto CLIENT_PRIMARY[c],$
 $\quad key \mapsto k,$
 $\quad for_update_ts \mapsto client_ts'[c].for_update_ts] : k \in CLIENT_KEY[c]\})$
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp_msgs, key_vars \rangle$

$ClientLockedKey(c) \triangleq$
 $\wedge client_state[c] = \text{"locking"}$
 $\wedge \exists resp \in resp_msgs :$
 $\quad \wedge resp.type = \text{"locked_key"}$
 $\quad \wedge resp.start_ts = client_ts[c].start_ts$
 $\quad \wedge resp.key \in client_key[c].locking$
 $\quad \wedge client_key' = [client_key \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].locking = @ \setminus \{resp.key\}]$
 $\quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle msg_vars, key_vars, client_ts, client_state, next_ts \rangle$

$ClientRetryLockKey(c) \triangleq$
 $\wedge client_state[c] = \text{"locking"}$
 $\wedge \exists resp \in resp_msgs :$
 $\quad \wedge resp.type = \text{"lock_failed"}$
 $\quad \wedge resp.start_ts = client_ts[c].start_ts$
 $\quad \wedge resp.latest_commit_ts > client_ts[c].for_update_ts$
 $\quad \wedge client_ts' = [client_ts \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].for_update_ts = resp.latest_commit_ts]$
 $\quad \wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"lock_key"},$
 $\quad \quad start_ts \mapsto client_ts'[c].start_ts,$
 $\quad \quad primary \mapsto CLIENT_PRIMARY[c],$
 $\quad \quad key \mapsto resp.key,$
 $\quad \quad for_update_ts \mapsto client_ts'[c].for_update_ts]\})$
 $\quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp_msgs, key_vars, client_state, client_key, next_ts \rangle$

$ClientPrewritePessimistic(c) \triangleq$
 $\wedge client_state[c] = \text{"locking"}$
 $\wedge client_key[c].locking = \{\}$
 $\wedge client_state' = [client_state \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"prewriting"}]$
 $\wedge client_key' = [client_key \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].prewriting = CLIENT_KEY[c]]$
 $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"prewrite_pessimistic"},$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{client_ts}[c].\text{start_ts}, \\
& \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \text{key} \mapsto k : k \in \text{CLIENT_KEY}[c] \} \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle \text{resp_msgs}, \text{key_vars}, \text{client_ts}, \text{next_ts} \rangle \\
\text{ClientPrewriteOptimistic}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client_state}[c] = \text{"init"} \\
& \wedge \text{client_state}' = [\text{client_state} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"prewriting"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client_ts}' = [\text{client_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{start_ts} = \text{next_ts}] \\
& \wedge \text{next_ts}' = \text{next_ts} + 1 \\
& \wedge \text{client_key}' = [\text{client_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{prewriting} = \text{CLIENT_KEY}[c]] \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"prewrite_optimistic"}, \\
& \quad \text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{client_ts}'[c].\text{start_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{key} \mapsto k : k \in \text{CLIENT_KEY}[c] \}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle \text{resp_msgs}, \text{key_vars} \rangle \\
\text{ClientPrewritten}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client_state}[c] = \text{"prewriting"} \\
& \wedge \text{client_key}[c].\text{locking} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \exists \text{resp} \in \text{resp_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.type} = \text{"prewrited"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.start_ts} = \text{client_ts}[c].\text{start_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.key} \in \text{client_key}[c].\text{prewriting} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{client_key}' = [\text{client_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{prewriting} = @ \setminus \{\text{resp.key}\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle \text{msg_vars}, \text{key_vars}, \text{client_ts}, \text{client_state}, \text{next_ts} \rangle \\
\text{ClientCommit}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client_state}[c] = \text{"prewriting"} \\
& \wedge \text{client_key}[c].\text{prewriting} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \text{client_state}' = [\text{client_state} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"committing"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client_ts}' = [\text{client_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{commit_ts} = \text{next_ts}] \\
& \wedge \text{next_ts}' = \text{next_ts} + 1 \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"commit"}, \\
& \quad \text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{client_ts}'[c].\text{start_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{commit_ts} \mapsto \text{client_ts}'[c].\text{commit_ts} \}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle \text{resp_msgs}, \text{key_vars}, \text{client_key} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Server Actions

Write the write column and unlock the lock iff the lock exists.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{commit}(pk, \text{start_ts}, \text{commit_ts}) & \triangleq \\
& \exists l \in \text{key_lock}[pk] : \\
& \quad \wedge l.\text{ts} = \text{start_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key_lock}' = [\text{key_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = \{\}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\wedge key_write' = [key_write \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = @ \cup \{[ts \mapsto commit_ts, \\ type \mapsto \text{"write"}, \\ start_ts \mapsto start_ts]\}]$$

Rollback the transaction that starts at $start_ts$ on key k .
 $rollback(k, start_ts) \triangleq$

LET

Rollback record on the primary key of a pessimistic transaction needs to be protected from being collapsed. If we can't decide whether it suffices that because the lock is missing or mismatched, it should also be protected.

$$protected \triangleq \vee \exists l \in key_lock[k] : \\ \wedge l.ts = start_ts \\ \wedge l.primary = k \\ \wedge l.type \in \{\text{"lock_key"}, \text{"prewrite_pessimistic"}\} \\ \vee \exists l \in key_lock[k] : l.ts \neq start_ts \\ \vee key_lock[k] = \{\}$$

IN

If a lock exists and has the same ts , unlock it.

$$\wedge \text{IF } \exists l \in key_lock[k] : l.ts = start_ts \\ \text{THEN } key_lock' = [key_lock \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{\}] \\ \text{ELSE UNCHANGED } key_lock$$

$$\wedge key_data' = [key_data \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \setminus \{start_ts\}]$$

\wedge IF

$$\wedge \neg \exists w \in key_write[k] : w.ts = start_ts$$

THEN

$$key_write' = [key_write \text{ EXCEPT } \\ ![k] =$$

collapse rollback

$$(@ \setminus \{w \in @ : w.type = \text{"rollback"} \wedge \neg w.protected \wedge w.ts < start_ts\})$$

write rollback record

$$\cup \{[ts \mapsto start_ts, \\ start_ts \mapsto start_ts, \\ type \mapsto \text{"rollback"}, \\ protected \mapsto protected]\}]$$

ELSE

$$\text{UNCHANGED } \langle key_write \rangle$$

$ServerLockKey \triangleq$

$\exists req \in req_msgs :$

$$\wedge req.type = \text{"lock_key"}$$

\wedge LET

$$k \triangleq req.key$$

$$start_ts \triangleq req.start_ts$$

IN

Pessimistic lock is allowed only if no stale lock exists. If there is one, wait until *ServerCleanupStaleLock* to clean it up.

$$\wedge \text{key_lock}[k] = \{\}$$

$$\wedge \text{LET}$$

$$\text{latest_write} \triangleq \{w \in \text{key_write}[k] : \forall w2 \in \text{key_write}[k] : w.ts \geq w2.ts\}$$

$$\text{all_commits} \triangleq \{w \in \text{key_write}[k] : w.type = \text{"write"}\}$$

$$\text{latest_commit} \triangleq \{w \in \text{all_commits} : \forall w2 \in \text{all_commits} : w.ts \geq w2.ts\}$$

$$\text{IN}$$

$$\text{IF } \exists w \in \text{key_write}[k] : w.start_ts = start_ts \wedge w.type = \text{"rollback"}$$

$$\text{THEN}$$

If corresponding rollback record is found, which indicates that the transaction is rolled back, abort the transaction.

$$\wedge \text{SendResp}([start_ts \mapsto start_ts, type \mapsto \text{"lock_key_aborted"}])$$

$$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req_msgs, client_vars, key_vars, next_ts \rangle$$

$$\text{ELSE}$$

Acquire pessimistic lock only if *for_update_ts* of *req* is greater or equal to the latest "write" record. Because if the latest record is "write", it means that a new version is committed after *for_update_ts*, which violates Read Committed guarantee.

$$\vee \wedge \neg \exists w \in \text{latest_commit} : w.ts > req.for_update_ts$$

$$\wedge \text{key_lock}' = [\text{key_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{[ts \mapsto start_ts,$$

$$\text{primary} \mapsto req.primary,$$

$$type \mapsto \text{"lock_key"}]\}]$$

$$\wedge \text{SendResp}([start_ts \mapsto start_ts, type \mapsto \text{"locked_key"}, key \mapsto k])$$

$$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req_msgs, client_vars, key_data, key_write, next_ts \rangle$$

Otherwise, reject the request and let client to retry with new *for_update_ts*.

$$\vee \exists w \in \text{latest_commit} :$$

$$\wedge w.ts > req.for_update_ts$$

$$\wedge \text{SendResp}([start_ts \mapsto start_ts,$$

$$type \mapsto \text{"lock_failed"},$$

$$key \mapsto k,$$

$$latest_commit_ts \mapsto w.ts])$$

$$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req_msgs, client_vars, key_vars, next_ts \rangle$$

$$\text{ServerPrewritePessimistic} \triangleq$$

$$\exists req \in req_msgs :$$

$$\wedge req.type = \text{"prewrite_pessimistic"}$$

$$\wedge \text{LET}$$

$$k \triangleq req.key$$

$$start_ts \triangleq req.start_ts$$

$$\text{IN}$$

Pessimistic prewrite is allowed only if pessimistic lock is acquired, otherwise abort the transaction.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \text{IF } \exists l \in \text{key_lock}[k] : l.ts = \text{start_ts} \\
& \text{THEN} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key_lock}' = [\text{key_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{[ts \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{req.primary}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite_pessimistic"}]\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key_data}' = [\text{key_data} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \cup \{\text{start_ts}\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrited"}, \text{key} \mapsto k]) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req_msgs}, \text{client_vars}, \text{key_write}, \text{next_ts} \rangle \\
& \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite_aborted"}]) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req_msgs}, \text{client_vars}, \text{key_vars}, \text{next_ts} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

ServerPrewriteOptimistic \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{req} \in \text{req_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{req.type} = \text{"prewrite_optimistic"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET} \\
& \quad \quad k \triangleq \text{req.key} \\
& \quad \quad \text{start_ts} \triangleq \text{req.start_ts} \\
& \quad \text{IN} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{IF } \exists w \in \text{key_write}[k] : w.ts \geq \text{start_ts} \\
& \quad \text{THEN} \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite_aborted"}]) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req_msgs}, \text{client_vars}, \text{key_vars}, \text{next_ts} \rangle \\
& \quad \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \quad \text{Optimistic prewrite is allowed only if no stale lock exists. If} \\
& \quad \quad \text{there is one, wait until } \text{ServerCleanupStaleLock} \text{ to clean it up.} \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \vee \text{key_lock}[k] = \{\} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \vee \exists l \in \text{key_lock}[k] : l.ts = \text{start_ts} \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{key_lock}' = [\text{key_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{[ts \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{req.primary}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite_optimistic"}]\}] \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{key_data}' = [\text{key_data} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \cup \{\text{start_ts}\}] \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start_ts} \mapsto \text{start_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrited"}, \text{key} \mapsto k]) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req_msgs}, \text{client_vars}, \text{key_write}, \text{next_ts} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

ServerCommit \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{req} \in \text{req_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{req.type} = \text{"commit"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET} \\
& \quad \quad pk \triangleq \text{req.primary} \\
& \quad \quad \text{start_ts} \triangleq \text{req.start_ts} \\
& \quad \text{IN} \\
& \quad \text{IF } \exists w \in \text{key_write}[pk] : w.start_ts = \text{start_ts} \wedge w.type = \text{"write"}
\end{aligned}$$


```

THEN
  Key has already been committed. Do nothing.
   $\wedge \text{SendResp}([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"committed"}])$ 
   $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
ELSE
  IF  $\exists l \in key\_lock[pk] : l.ts = start\_ts$ 
  THEN
    Commit the key only if the prewrite lock exists.
     $\wedge \text{commit}(pk, start\_ts, req.commit\_ts)$ 
     $\wedge \text{SendResp}([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"committed"}])$ 
     $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_data, next\_ts \rangle$ 
  ELSE
    Otherwise, abort the transaction.
     $\wedge \text{SendResp}([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"commit\_aborted"}])$ 
     $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 

```

In the spec, the primary key with a lock may clean up itself spontaneously. There is no need to model a client to request clean up because there is no difference between a optimistic client trying to read a key that has lock timeouted and the key trying to unlock itself.

$ServerCleanupStaleLock \triangleq$

```

 $\exists k \in KEY :$ 
   $\exists l \in key\_lock[k] :$ 
     $\wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"check\_txn\_status"},$ 
       $start\_ts \mapsto l.ts,$ 
       $primary \mapsto l.primary,$ 
       $resolving\_pessimistic\_lock \mapsto l.type = \text{"lock\_key"}]$ 
     $\})$ 
     $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 

```

Clean up stale locks by checking the status of the primary key. It can be divided into two cases: pk_lock exists or not. Note that it is hard to model the TTL in TLA+ spec, so instead, the TTL is considered constantly expired when the action is taken.

The client does not care about the $resp$ message, it cares about whether the lock is resolved, and resolving the lock is actually resolve the status of the corresponding transaction. Since, in the TLA+ spec, we ignored the $check_txn_status$ response message.

$ServerCheckTxnStatus \triangleq$

```

 $\exists req \in req\_msgs :$ 
   $\wedge req.type = \text{"check\_txn\_status"}$ 
   $\wedge \text{LET}$ 
     $pk \triangleq req.primary$ 
     $start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$ 
     $committed \triangleq \{w \in key\_write[pk] : w.start\_ts = start\_ts \wedge w.type = \text{"write"}\}$ 

```

```

IN
  IF  $\exists lock \in key\_lock[pk] : lock.ts = start\_ts$ 
    Found the matching lock whose TTL is expired.
    THEN
      IF
        Pessimistic lock will be unlocked directly without rollback record.
         $\exists lock \in key\_lock[pk] :$ 
           $\wedge lock.ts = start\_ts$ 
           $\wedge lock.type = "lock\_key"$ 
           $\wedge req.resolving\_pessimistic\_lock = TRUE$ 
        THEN
           $\wedge key\_lock' = [key\_lock \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = \{\}]$ 
           $\wedge UNCHANGED \langle msg\_vars, key\_data, key\_write, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
        ELSE
           $\wedge rollback(pk, start\_ts)$ 
           $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto "resolve\_rollbacked",$ 
             $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
             $primary \mapsto pk]\})$ 
           $\wedge UNCHANGED \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
        Lock not found or start\_ts on the lock mismatches.
      ELSE
        IF  $committed \neq \{\}$  THEN
           $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto "resolve\_committed",$ 
             $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
             $primary \mapsto pk,$ 
             $commit\_ts \mapsto w.ts] : w \in committed\})$ 
           $\wedge UNCHANGED \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
        ELSE IF  $req.resolving\_pessimistic\_lock = TRUE$  THEN
           $\wedge UNCHANGED \langle vars \rangle$ 
        ELSE
           $\wedge rollback(pk, start\_ts)$ 
           $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto "resolve\_rollbacked",$ 
             $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
             $primary \mapsto pk]\})$ 
           $\wedge UNCHANGED \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
      ServerResolveCommitted  $\triangleq$ 
       $\exists req \in req\_msgs :$ 
         $\wedge req.type = "resolve\_committed"$ 
         $\wedge LET$ 
           $start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$ 
        IN
           $\exists k \in KEY :$ 
             $\exists l \in key\_lock[k] :$ 
               $\wedge l.primary = req.primary$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge l.ts = start_ts \\
& \wedge commit(k, start_ts, req.commit_ts) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle msg_vars, client_vars, key_data, next_ts \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

ServerResolveRollbacked \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists req \in req_msgs : \\
& \quad \wedge req.type = \text{"resolve_rollbacked"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{LET} \\
& \quad \quad start_ts \triangleq req.start_ts \\
& \quad \text{IN} \\
& \quad \exists k \in KEY : \\
& \quad \quad \exists l \in key_lock[k] : \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge l.primary = req.primary \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge l.ts = start_ts \\
& \quad \quad \quad \wedge rollback(k, start_ts) \\
& \quad \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle msg_vars, client_vars, next_ts \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Specification

Init \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge next_ts = 1 \\
& \wedge req_msgs = \{\} \\
& \wedge resp_msgs = \{\} \\
& \wedge client_state = [c \in CLIENT \mapsto \text{"init"}] \\
& \wedge client_key = [c \in CLIENT \mapsto [locking \mapsto \{\}, prewriting \mapsto \{\}]] \\
& \wedge client_ts = [c \in CLIENT \mapsto [start_ts \mapsto NoneTs, \\
& \quad \quad \quad commit_ts \mapsto NoneTs, \\
& \quad \quad \quad for_update_ts \mapsto NoneTs]] \\
& \wedge key_lock = [k \in KEY \mapsto \{\}] \\
& \wedge key_data = [k \in KEY \mapsto \{\}] \\
& \wedge key_write = [k \in KEY \mapsto \{\}]
\end{aligned}$$

Next \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vee \exists c \in OPTIMISTIC_CLIENT : \\
& \quad \vee ClientPrewriteOptimistic(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientPrewritten(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientCommit(c) \\
& \vee \exists c \in PESSIMISTIC_CLIENT : \\
& \quad \vee ClientLockKey(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientLockedKey(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientRetryLockKey(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientPrewritePessimistic(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientPrewritten(c) \\
& \quad \vee ClientCommit(c) \\
& \vee ServerLockKey \\
& \vee ServerPrewritePessimistic
\end{aligned}$$

$\vee \text{ServerPrewriteOptimistic}$
 $\vee \text{ServerCommit}$
 $\vee \text{ServerCleanupStaleLock}$
 $\vee \text{ServerCheckTrnStatus}$
 $\vee \text{ServerResolveCommitted}$
 $\vee \text{ServerResolveRollbacked}$

$\text{Spec} \triangleq \text{Init} \wedge \Box[\text{Next}]_{\text{vars}}$

Consistency Invariants

Check whether there is a “write” record in $\text{key_write}[k]$ corresponding to start_ts .

$\text{keyCommitted}(k, \text{start_ts}) \triangleq$
 $\exists w \in \text{key_write}[k] :$
 $\quad \wedge w.\text{start_ts} = \text{start_ts}$
 $\quad \wedge w.\text{type} = \text{“write”}$

A transaction can t be both committed and aborted.

$\text{UniqueCommitOrAbort} \triangleq$
 $\forall \text{resp}, \text{resp2} \in \text{resp_msgs} :$
 $\quad (\text{resp.type} = \text{“committed”}) \wedge (\text{resp2.type} = \text{“commit_aborted”}) \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{resp.start_ts} \neq \text{resp2.start_ts}$

If a transaction is committed, the primary key must be committed and the secondary keys of the same transaction must be either committed or locked.

$\text{CommitConsistency} \triangleq$
 $\forall \text{resp} \in \text{resp_msgs} :$
 $\quad (\text{resp.type} = \text{“committed”}) \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \exists c \in \text{CLIENT} :$
 $\quad \quad \wedge \text{client_ts}[c].\text{start_ts} = \text{resp.start_ts}$
 $\quad \quad \text{Primary key must be committed}$
 $\quad \quad \wedge \text{keyCommitted}(\text{CLIENT_PRIMARY}[c], \text{resp.start_ts})$
 $\quad \quad \text{Secondary key must be either committed or locked by the}$
 $\quad \quad \text{start_ts of the transaction.}$
 $\quad \quad \wedge \forall k \in \text{CLIENT_KEY}[c] :$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\neg \exists l \in \text{key_lock}[k] : l.\text{ts} = \text{resp.start_ts}) =$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{keyCommitted}(k, \text{resp.start_ts})$

If a transaction is aborted, all key of that transaction must be not committed.

$\text{AbortConsistency} \triangleq$
 $\forall \text{resp} \in \text{resp_msgs} :$
 $\quad (\text{resp.type} = \text{“commit_aborted”}) \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \forall c \in \text{CLIENT} :$

$$(client_ts[c].start_ts = resp.start_ts) \Rightarrow \neg keyCommitted(CLIENT_PRIMARY[c], resp.start_ts)$$

For each write, the *commit_ts* should be strictly greater than the *start_ts* and have data written into *key_data[k]*. For each rollback, the *commit_ts* should equals to the *start_ts*.

$$\begin{aligned} WriteConsistency &\triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : \\ &\quad \forall w \in key_write[k] : \\ &\quad \quad \vee \wedge w.type = \text{"write"} \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge w.ts > w.start_ts \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge w.start_ts \in key_data[k] \\ &\quad \quad \vee \wedge w.type = \text{"rollback"} \\ &\quad \quad \quad \wedge w.ts = w.start_ts \end{aligned}$$

When the lock exists, there can't be a corresponding commit record, vice versa.

$$\begin{aligned} UniqueLockOrWrite &\triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : \\ &\quad \forall l \in key_lock[k] : \\ &\quad \quad \forall w \in key_write[k] : \\ &\quad \quad \quad w.start_ts \neq l.ts \end{aligned}$$

For each key, each record in write column should have a unique *start_ts*.

$$\begin{aligned} UniqueWrite &\triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : \\ &\quad \forall w, w2 \in key_write[k] : \\ &\quad \quad (w.start_ts = w2.start_ts) \Rightarrow (w = w2) \end{aligned}$$

Snapshot Isolation

Asserts that *next_ts* is monotonically increasing.

$$NextTsMonotonicity \triangleq \Box[next_ts' \geq next_ts]_{vars}$$

Asserts that no *msg* would be deleted once sent.

$$\begin{aligned} MsgMonotonicity &\triangleq \\ &\quad \wedge \Box[\forall req \in req_msgs : req \in req_msgs']_{vars} \\ &\quad \wedge \Box[\forall resp \in resp_msgs : resp \in resp_msgs']_{vars} \end{aligned}$$

Asserts that all messages sent should have *ts* less than *next_ts*.

$$\begin{aligned} MsgTsConsistency &\triangleq \\ &\quad \wedge \forall req \in req_msgs : \\ &\quad \quad \wedge req.start_ts \leq next_ts \\ &\quad \quad \wedge req.type \in \{\text{"commit"}, \text{"resolve_committed"}\} \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \quad \quad req.commit_ts \leq next_ts \\ &\quad \wedge \forall resp \in resp_msgs : resp.start_ts \leq next_ts \end{aligned}$$

SnapshotIsolation is implied from the following assumptions (but is not necessary) because *SnapshotIsolation* means that:

- (1) Once a transaction is committed, all keys of the transaction should be always readable or have a lock on secondary *keys*(*eventually readable*).

PROOF BY *CommitConsistency*, *MsgMonotonicity*

- (2) For a given transaction, all transaction that commits after that transaction should have greater *commit_ts* than the *next_ts* at the time that the given transaction commits, so as to be able to distinguish the transactions that have committed before and after from all transactions that preserved by (1).

PROOF BY *NextTsConsistency*, *MsgTsConsistency*

- (3) All aborted transactions would be always not readable.

PROOF BY *AbortConsistency*, *MsgMonotonicity*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{SnapshotIsolation} &\triangleq \wedge \text{CommitConsistency} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{AbortConsistency} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{NextTsMonotonicity} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{MsgMonotonicity} \\
 &\quad \wedge \text{MsgTsConsistency}
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM *Safety* \triangleq

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Spec} \Rightarrow \square(&\wedge \text{TypeOK} \\
 &\wedge \text{UniqueCommitOrAbort} \\
 &\wedge \text{CommitConsistency} \\
 &\wedge \text{AbortConsistency} \\
 &\wedge \text{WriteConsistency} \\
 &\wedge \text{UniqueLockOrWrite} \\
 &\wedge \text{UniqueWrite} \\
 &\wedge \text{SnapshotIsolation})
 \end{aligned}$$
