

---

MODULE *DistributedTransaction*

---

EXTENDS *Integers, FiniteSets*

The set of all keys.

CONSTANTS *KEY*

The sets of optimistic clients and pessimistic clients.

CONSTANTS *OPTIMISTIC\_CLIENT, PESSIMISTIC\_CLIENT*  
 $CLIENT \triangleq PESSIMISTIC\_CLIENT \cup OPTIMISTIC\_CLIENT$

$CLIENT\_KEY$  is a set of  $[Client \rightarrow SUBSET\ KEY]$   
 representing the involved keys of each client.

CONSTANTS *CLIENT\_KEY*

ASSUME  $\forall c \in CLIENT : CLIENT\_KEY[c] \subseteq KEY$

$CLIENT\_PRIMARY$  is the primary key of each client.

CONSTANTS *CLIENT\_PRIMARY*

ASSUME  $\forall c \in CLIENT : CLIENT\_PRIMARY[c] \in CLIENT\_KEY[c]$

Timestamp of transactions.

$Ts \triangleq Nat \setminus \{0\}$

$NoneTs \triangleq 0$

The algorithm is easier to understand in terms of the set of *msgs* of all messages that have ever been sent. A more accurate model would use one or more variables to represent the messages actually in transit, and it would include actions representing message loss and duplication as well as message receipt.

In the current spec, there is no need to model message loss because we are mainly concerned with the algorithm's safety property. The safety part of the spec says only what messages may be received and does not assert that any message actually is received. Thus, there is no difference between a lost message and one that is never received.

VARIABLES *req\_msgs*

VARIABLES *resp\_msgs*

$key\_data[k]$  is the set of multi-version data of the key. Since we don't care about the concrete value of data, a *start\_ts* is sufficient to represent one data version.

VARIABLES *key\_data*

$key\_lock[k]$  is the set of lock (zero or one element). A lock is of a record of  $[ts: start\_ts, primary: key, type: lock\_type]$ . If primary equals to *k*, it is a primary lock, otherwise secondary lock. *lock\_type* is one of {"prewrite\_optimistic", "prewrite\_pessimistic", "lock\_key"}. *lock\_key* denotes the pessimistic lock performed by *ServerLockKey* action, the *prewrite\_pessimistic* denotes percolator optimistic lock

who is transformed from a *lock\_key* lock by action *ServerPrewritePessimistic*, and *prewrite\_optimistic* denotes the classic optimistic lock.

In *TiKV*, *key\_lock* has an additional *for\_update\_ts* field and the *LockType* is of four variants:  
 $\{“PUT”, “DELETE”, “LOCK”, “PESSIMISTIC”\}$ .

In the spec, we abstract them by:

- (1)  $LockType \in \{“PUT”, “DELETE”, “LOCK”\} \wedge for\_update\_ts = 0 \equiv type = “prewrite\_optimistic”$
- (2)  $LockType \in \{“PUT”, “DELETE”\} \wedge for\_update\_ts > 0 \equiv type = “prewrite\_pessimistic”$
- (3)  $LockType = “PESSIMISTIC” \equiv type = “lock\_key”$

VARIABLES *key\_lock*

*key\_write[k]* is a sequence of commit or rollback record of the key. It’s a record of [*ts*, *start\_ts*, type, [protected]]. type can be either “write” or “rollback”. *ts* represents the *commit\_ts* of “write” record. Otherwise, *ts* equals to *start\_ts* on “rollback” record. “rollback” record has an additional protected field. protected signifies the rollback record would not be collapsed.

VARIABLES *key\_write*

*client\_state[c]* indicates the current transaction stage of client *c*.

VARIABLES *client\_state*

*client\_ts[c]* is a record of [*start\_ts*, *commit\_ts*, *for\_update\_ts*]. Fields are all initialized to *NoneTs*.

VARIABLES *client\_ts*

*client\_key[c]* is a record of [locking: {*key*}, prewriting: {*key*}].

Hereby, “locking” denotes the keys whose pessimistic locks haven’t been acquired, “prewriting” denotes the keys that are pending for prewrite.

VARIABLES *client\_key*

*next\_ts* is a globally monotonically increasing integer, representing the virtual clock of transactions. In practice, the variable is maintained by *PD*, the time oracle of a cluster.

VARIABLES *next\_ts*

$msg\_vars \triangleq \langle req\_msgs, resp\_msgs \rangle$   
 $client\_vars \triangleq \langle client\_state, client\_ts, client\_key \rangle$   
 $key\_vars \triangleq \langle key\_data, key\_lock, key\_write \rangle$   
 $vars \triangleq \langle msg\_vars, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$

$SendReqs(msgs) \triangleq req\_msgs' = req\_msgs \cup msgs$   
 $SendResp(msg) \triangleq resp\_msgs' = resp\_msgs \cup \{msg\}$

---

Type Definitions

$ReqMessages \triangleq$

- $[start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "lock\_key" \}, key : KEY,$
- $for\_update\_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "prewrite\_optimistic" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "prewrite\_pessimistic" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "commit" \}, commit\_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "resolve\_rolledback" \}]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "resolve\_committed" \}, commit\_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, primary : KEY, type : \{ "check\_txn\_status" \}, resolving\_pessimistic\_lock : BOOLEAN ]$

$RespMessages \triangleq$

- $[start\_ts : Ts, type : \{ "prewrited", "locked\_key" \}, key : KEY]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, type : \{ "lock\_failed" \}, key : KEY, latest\_commit\_ts : Ts]$
- $\cup [start\_ts : Ts, type : \{ "committed",$
- $"commit\_aborted",$
- $"prewrite\_aborted",$
- $"lock\_key\_aborted" \}]$

$TypeOK \triangleq$

- $\wedge req\_msgs \in SUBSET ReqMessages$
  - $\wedge resp\_msgs \in SUBSET RespMessages$
  - $\wedge key\_data \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET Ts]$
  - $\wedge key\_lock \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET [ts : Ts,$
  - $primary : KEY,$
  - $type : \{ "prewrite\_optimistic",$
  - $"prewrite\_pessimistic",$
  - $"lock\_key" \}]]$
  - At most one lock in  $key\_lock[k]$
  - $\wedge \forall k \in KEY : Cardinality(key\_lock[k]) \leq 1$
  - $\wedge key\_write \in [KEY \rightarrow SUBSET ($
  - $[ts : Ts, start\_ts : Ts, type : \{ "write" \}]$
  - $\cup [ts : Ts, start\_ts : Ts, type : \{ "rollback" \}, protected : BOOLEAN ]]$
  - $\wedge client\_state \in [CLIENT \rightarrow \{ "init", "locking", "prewriting", "committing" \}]$
  - $\wedge client\_ts \in [CLIENT \rightarrow [start\_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \},$
  - $commit\_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \},$
  - $for\_update\_ts : Ts \cup \{ NoneTs \}]]$
  - $\wedge client\_key \in [CLIENT \rightarrow [locking : SUBSET KEY, prewriting : SUBSET KEY]]$
  - $\wedge next\_ts \in Ts$
- 

Client Actions

$ClientLockKey(c) \triangleq$

- $\wedge client\_state[c] = "init"$
- $\wedge client\_state' = [client\_state \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = "locking"]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \text{client\_ts}' = [\text{client\_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{start\_ts} = \text{next\_ts}, ![c].\text{for\_update\_ts} = \text{next\_ts}] \\
& \wedge \text{next\_ts}' = \text{next\_ts} + 1 \\
& \text{Assume we need to acquire pessimistic locks for all keys} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}' = [\text{client\_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{locking} = \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]] \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"lock\_key"}, \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT\_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{key} \mapsto k, \\
& \quad \text{for\_update\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{for\_update\_ts}] : k \in \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]\}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{resp\_msgs}, \text{key\_vars} \rangle \\
\\
\text{ClientLockedKey}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"locking"} \\
& \wedge \exists \text{resp} \in \text{resp\_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.type} = \text{"locked\_key"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.start\_ts} = \text{client\_ts}[c].\text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.key} \in \text{client\_key}[c].\text{locking} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{client\_key}' = [\text{client\_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{locking} = @ \setminus \{\text{resp.key}\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{msg\_vars}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{client\_ts}, \text{client\_state}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\\
\text{ClientRetryLockKey}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"locking"} \\
& \wedge \exists \text{resp} \in \text{resp\_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.type} = \text{"lock\_failed"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.start\_ts} = \text{client\_ts}[c].\text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.latest\_commit\_ts} > \text{client\_ts}[c].\text{for\_update\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{client\_ts}' = [\text{client\_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{for\_update\_ts} = \text{resp.latest\_commit\_ts}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"lock\_key"}, \\
& \quad \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT\_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \quad \text{key} \mapsto \text{resp.key}, \\
& \quad \quad \text{for\_update\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{for\_update\_ts}]\}) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{resp\_msgs}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{client\_state}, \text{client\_key}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\\
\text{ClientPrewritePessimistic}(c) & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"locking"} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}[c].\text{locking} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}' = [\text{client\_state} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"prewriting"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}' = [\text{client\_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{prewriting} = \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]] \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_pessimistic"}, \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}[c].\text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT\_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{key} \mapsto k] : k \in \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]\}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{resp\_msgs}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{client\_ts}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\\
\text{ClientPrewriteOptimistic}(c) & \triangleq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"init"} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}' = [\text{client\_state} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"prewriting"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client\_ts}' = [\text{client\_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{start\_ts} = \text{next\_ts}] \\
& \wedge \text{next\_ts}' = \text{next\_ts} + 1 \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}' = [\text{client\_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{prewriting} = \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]] \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_optimistic"}, \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT\_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{key} \mapsto k] : k \in \text{CLIENT\_KEY}[c]\}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{resp\_msgs}, \text{key\_vars} \rangle \\
\\
& \text{ClientPrewritten}(c) \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"prewriting"} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}[c].\text{locking} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \exists \text{resp} \in \text{resp\_msgs} : \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.type} = \text{"prewrited"} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.start\_ts} = \text{client\_ts}[c].\text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{resp.key} \in \text{client\_key}[c].\text{prewriting} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{client\_key}' = [\text{client\_key} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{prewriting} = @ \setminus \{\text{resp.key}\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{msg\_vars}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{client\_ts}, \text{client\_state}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\\
& \text{ClientCommit}(c) \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}[c] = \text{"prewriting"} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key}[c].\text{prewriting} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state}' = [\text{client\_state} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c] = \text{"committing"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client\_ts}' = [\text{client\_ts} \text{ EXCEPT } ![c].\text{commit\_ts} = \text{next\_ts}] \\
& \wedge \text{next\_ts}' = \text{next\_ts} + 1 \\
& \wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"commit"}, \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{CLIENT\_PRIMARY}[c], \\
& \quad \text{commit\_ts} \mapsto \text{client\_ts}'[c].\text{commit\_ts}]\}) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{resp\_msgs}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{client\_key} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$


---

#### Server Actions

Write the write column and unlock the lock iff the lock exists.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{commit}(pk, \text{start\_ts}, \text{commit\_ts}) \triangleq \\
& \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[pk] : \\
& \quad \wedge l.\text{ts} = \text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key\_lock}' = [\text{key\_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = \{\}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key\_write}' = [\text{key\_write} \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = @ \cup \{[ts \mapsto \text{commit\_ts}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{type} \mapsto \text{"write"}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}]\}]
\end{aligned}$$

Rollback the transaction that starts at  $\text{start\_ts}$  on key  $k$ .

$$\text{rollback}(k, \text{start\_ts}) \triangleq$$

LET

Rollback record on the primary key of a pessimistic transaction  
needs to be protected from being collapsed. If we can't decide  
whether it suffices that because the lock is missing or mismatched,  
it should also be protected.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{protected} \triangleq & \vee \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[k] : \\ & \wedge l.ts = \text{start\_ts} \\ & \wedge l.\text{primary} = k \\ & \wedge l.\text{type} \in \{ \text{"lock\_key"}, \text{"prewrite\_pessimistic"} \} \\ & \vee \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[k] : l.ts \neq \text{start\_ts} \\ & \vee \text{key\_lock}[k] = \{ \} \end{aligned}$$

IN

If a lock exists and has the same  $ts$ , unlock it.

$\wedge$  IF  $\exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[k] : l.ts = \text{start\_ts}$   
 THEN  $\text{key\_lock}' = [\text{key\_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{ \}]$   
 ELSE UNCHANGED  $\text{key\_lock}$   
 $\wedge \text{key\_data}' = [\text{key\_data} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \setminus \{ \text{start\_ts} \}]$   
 $\wedge$  IF  
 $\wedge \neg \exists w \in \text{key\_write}[k] : w.ts = \text{start\_ts}$   
 THEN  
 $\text{key\_write}' = [\text{key\_write} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] =$   
 $\text{collapse rollback}$   
 $(@ \setminus \{ w \in @ : w.type = \text{"rollback"} \wedge \neg w.\text{protected} \wedge w.ts < \text{start\_ts} \})$   
 $\text{write rollback record}$   
 $\cup \{ [ts \mapsto \text{start\_ts},$   
 $\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts},$   
 $type \mapsto \text{"rollback"},$   
 $protected \mapsto \text{protected}] \}$   
 ELSE  
 UNCHANGED  $\langle \text{key\_write} \rangle$

$\text{ServerLockKey} \triangleq$

$\exists \text{req} \in \text{req\_msgs} :$

$\wedge \text{req.type} = \text{"lock\_key"}$

$\wedge$  LET

$k \triangleq \text{req.key}$

$\text{start\_ts} \triangleq \text{req.start\_ts}$

IN

Pessimistic lock is allowed only if no stale lock exists. If  
there is one, wait until  $\text{ServerCleanupStaleLock}$  to clean it up.

$\wedge \text{key\_lock}[k] = \{ \}$

$\wedge$  LET

$\text{latest\_write} \triangleq \{ w \in \text{key\_write}[k] : \forall w2 \in \text{key\_write}[k] : w.ts \geq w2.ts \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
all\_commits &\triangleq \{w \in key\_write[k] : w.type = \text{"write"}\} \\
latest\_commit &\triangleq \{w \in all\_commits : \forall w2 \in all\_commits : w.ts \geq w2.ts\}
\end{aligned}$$

IN

IF  $\exists w \in key\_write[k] : w.start\_ts = start\_ts \wedge w.type = \text{"rollback"}$

THEN

If corresponding rollback record is found, which indicates that the transaction is *rolledback*, abort the transaction.

$\wedge SendResp([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"lock\_key\_aborted"}])$

$\wedge UNCHANGED \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$

ELSE

Acquire pessimistic lock only if *for\\_update\\_ts* of *req* is greater or equal to the latest "write" record. Because if the latest record is "write", it means that a new version is committed after *for\\_update\\_ts*, which violates Read Committed guarantee.

$\vee \wedge \neg \exists w \in latest\_commit : w.ts > req.for\_update\_ts$

$\wedge key\_lock' = [key\_lock \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{[ts \mapsto start\_ts,$

$primary \mapsto req.primary,$

$type \mapsto \text{"lock\_key"}]\}]$

$\wedge SendResp([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"locked\_key"}, key \mapsto k])$

$\wedge UNCHANGED \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_data, key\_write, next\_ts \rangle$

Otherwise, reject the request and let client to retry with new *for\\_update\\_ts*.

$\vee \exists w \in latest\_commit :$

$\wedge w.ts > req.for\_update\_ts$

$\wedge SendResp([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$

$type \mapsto \text{"lock\_failed"},$

$key \mapsto k,$

$latest\_commit\_ts \mapsto w.ts])$

$\wedge UNCHANGED \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$

*ServerPrewritePessimistic*  $\triangleq$

$\exists req \in req\_msgs :$

$\wedge req.type = \text{"prewrite\_pessimistic"}$

$\wedge LET$

$k \triangleq req.key$

$start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$

IN

Pessimistic prewrite is allowed only if pessimistic lock is acquired, otherwise abort the transaction.

$\wedge IF \exists l \in key\_lock[k] : l.ts = start\_ts$

THEN

$\wedge key\_lock' = [key\_lock \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{[ts \mapsto start\_ts,$

$primary \mapsto req.primary,$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_pessimistic"} \}}] \\
& \wedge \text{key\_data}' = [\text{key\_data} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \cup \{ \text{start\_ts} \}] \\
& \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrited"}, \text{key} \mapsto k]) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req\_msgs}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{key\_write}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
& \text{ELSE} \\
& \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_aborted"}]) \\
& \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req\_msgs}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\text{ServerPrewriteOptimistic} & \triangleq \\
& \exists \text{req} \in \text{req\_msgs} : \\
& \wedge \text{req.type} = \text{"prewrite\_optimistic"} \\
& \wedge \text{LET} \\
& \quad k \triangleq \text{req.key} \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \triangleq \text{req.start\_ts} \\
& \text{IN} \\
& \wedge \text{IF } \exists w \in \text{key\_write}[k] : w.ts \geq \text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \text{THEN} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_aborted"}]) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req\_msgs}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
& \quad \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \text{Optimistic prewrite is allowed only if no stale lock exists. If} \\
& \quad \text{there is one, wait until } \text{ServerCleanupStaleLock} \text{ to clean it up.} \\
& \quad \wedge \vee \text{key\_lock}[k] = \{ \} \\
& \quad \quad \vee \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[k] : l.ts = \text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key\_lock}' = [\text{key\_lock} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = \{ [ts \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{primary} \mapsto \text{req.primary}, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrite\_optimistic"}] \}}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{key\_data}' = [\text{key\_data} \text{ EXCEPT } ![k] = @ \cup \{ \text{start\_ts} \}] \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"prewrited"}, \text{key} \mapsto k]) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req\_msgs}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{key\_write}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
\text{ServerCommit} & \triangleq \\
& \exists \text{req} \in \text{req\_msgs} : \\
& \wedge \text{req.type} = \text{"commit"} \\
& \wedge \text{LET} \\
& \quad pk \triangleq \text{req.primary} \\
& \quad \text{start\_ts} \triangleq \text{req.start\_ts} \\
& \text{IN} \\
& \text{IF } \exists w \in \text{key\_write}[pk] : w.start\_ts = \text{start\_ts} \wedge w.type = \text{"write"} \\
& \quad \text{THEN} \\
& \quad \text{Key has already been committed. Do nothing.} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{SendResp}([\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{start\_ts}, \text{type} \mapsto \text{"committed"}]) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{req\_msgs}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{key\_vars}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle \\
& \quad \text{ELSE} \\
& \quad \text{IF } \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[pk] : l.ts = \text{start\_ts}
\end{aligned}$$



THEN

Commit the key only if the prewrite lock exists.  
 $\wedge \text{commit}(pk, start\_ts, req.commit\_ts)$   
 $\wedge \text{SendResp}([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"committed"}])$   
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_data, next\_ts \rangle$

ELSE

Otherwise, abort the transaction.  
 $\wedge \text{SendResp}([start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts, type \mapsto \text{"commit\_aborted"}])$   
 $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle req\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$

In the spec, the primary key with a lock may clean up itself spontaneously. There is no need to model a client to request clean up because there is no difference between a optimistic client trying to read a key that has lock timeouted and the key trying to unlock itself.

$\text{ServerCleanupStaleLock} \triangleq$

$\exists k \in KEY :$

$\exists l \in key\_lock[k] :$

$\wedge \text{SendReqs}(\{[type \mapsto \text{"check\_txn\_status"},$   
 $start\_ts \mapsto l.ts,$   
 $primary \mapsto l.primary,$   
 $resolving\_pessimistic\_lock \mapsto l.type = \text{"lock\_key"}]$

$\wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$

Clean up stale locks by checking the status of the primary key. Commit the secondary keys if primary key is committed; otherwise rollback the transaction by rolling-back the primary key, and then also rollback the secondaries.

$\text{ServerCheckTxnStatus} \triangleq$

$\exists req \in req\_msgs :$

$\wedge req.type = \text{"check\_txn\_status"}$

$\wedge \text{LET}$

$pk \triangleq req.primary$

$start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$

$pk\_lock \triangleq key\_lock[pk]$

$committed \triangleq \{w \in key\_write[pk] : w.start\_ts = start\_ts \wedge w.type = \text{"write"}\}$

$rollbacked \triangleq \{r \in key\_write[pk] : r.start\_ts = start\_ts \wedge r.type = \text{"rollback"}\}$

IN

IF  $\exists lock \in pk\_lock : lock.ts = start\_ts$  THEN

Has a *matching*(*lock.ts* or *start.ts*) lock

∨

TTL expire

IF  $\exists lock \in pk\_lock :$

$lock.type = \text{"lock\_key"}$

$\wedge req.resolving\_pessimistic\_lock = \text{TRUE}$

```

THEN
   $\wedge key\_lock' = [key\_lock \text{ EXCEPT } ![pk] = \{\}]$ 
   $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle req\_msgs, resp\_msgs, key\_data, key\_write, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
ELSE
   $\wedge rollback(pk, start\_ts)$ 
   $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"resolve\_rollbacked"},$ 
     $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
     $primary \mapsto pk]\})$ 
   $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
ELSE
   $\text{LockNotExist}$ 
  IF  $committed \neq \{\}$  THEN
     $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"resolve\_committed"},$ 
       $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
       $primary \mapsto pk,$ 
       $commit\_ts \mapsto w.ts] : w \in committed\})$ 
     $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, key\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 
  ELSE
     $\wedge rollbacked \neq \{\}$ 
     $\wedge rollback(pk, start\_ts)$ 
     $\wedge SendReqs(\{[type \mapsto \text{"resolve\_rollbacked"},$ 
       $start\_ts \mapsto start\_ts,$ 
       $primary \mapsto pk]\})$ 
     $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle resp\_msgs, client\_vars, next\_ts \rangle$ 

```

$ServerResolveCommitted \triangleq$

```

 $\exists req \in req\_msgs :$ 
   $\wedge req.type = \text{"resolve\_committed"}$ 
   $\wedge \text{LET}$ 
     $start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$ 
  IN
     $\exists k \in KEY :$ 
       $\exists l \in key\_lock[k] :$ 
         $\wedge l.primary = req.primary$ 
         $\wedge l.ts = start\_ts$ 
         $\wedge commit(k, start\_ts, req.commit\_ts)$ 
         $\wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle msg\_vars, client\_vars, key\_data, next\_ts \rangle$ 

```

$ServerResolveRollbacked \triangleq$

```

 $\exists req \in req\_msgs :$ 
   $\wedge req.type = \text{"resolve\_rollbacked"}$ 
   $\wedge \text{LET}$ 
     $start\_ts \triangleq req.start\_ts$ 
  IN
     $\exists k \in KEY :$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists l \in \text{key\_lock}[k] : \\
& \quad \wedge l.\text{primary} = \text{req.primary} \\
& \quad \wedge l.\text{ts} = \text{start\_ts} \\
& \quad \wedge \text{rollback}(k, \text{start\_ts}) \\
& \quad \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \text{msg\_vars}, \text{client\_vars}, \text{next\_ts} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

---

**Specification**

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Init} & \triangleq \\
& \wedge \text{next\_ts} = 1 \\
& \wedge \text{req\_msgs} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \text{resp\_msgs} = \{\} \\
& \wedge \text{client\_state} = [c \in \text{CLIENT} \mapsto \text{"init"}] \\
& \wedge \text{client\_key} = [c \in \text{CLIENT} \mapsto [\text{locking} \mapsto \{\}, \text{prewriting} \mapsto \{\}]] \\
& \wedge \text{client\_ts} = [c \in \text{CLIENT} \mapsto [\text{start\_ts} \mapsto \text{NoneTs}, \\
& \quad \text{commit\_ts} \mapsto \text{NoneTs}, \\
& \quad \text{for\_update\_ts} \mapsto \text{NoneTs}]] \\
& \wedge \text{key\_lock} = [k \in \text{KEY} \mapsto \{\}] \\
& \wedge \text{key\_data} = [k \in \text{KEY} \mapsto \{\}] \\
& \wedge \text{key\_write} = [k \in \text{KEY} \mapsto \{\}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Next} & \triangleq \\
& \vee \exists c \in \text{OPTIMISTIC\_CLIENT} : \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientPrewriteOptimistic}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientPrewritten}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientCommit}(c) \\
& \vee \exists c \in \text{PESSIMISTIC\_CLIENT} : \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientLockKey}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientLockedKey}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientRetryLockKey}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientPrewritePessimistic}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientPrewritten}(c) \\
& \quad \vee \text{ClientCommit}(c) \\
& \vee \text{ServerLockKey} \\
& \vee \text{ServerPrewritePessimistic} \\
& \vee \text{ServerPrewriteOptimistic} \\
& \vee \text{ServerCommit} \\
& \vee \text{ServerCleanupStaleLock} \\
& \vee \text{ServerCheckTrnStatus} \\
& \vee \text{ServerResolveCommitted} \\
& \vee \text{ServerResolveRollbacked}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Spec} \triangleq \text{Init} \wedge \Box[\text{Next}]_{\text{vars}}$$


---

**Consistency Invariants**

Check whether there is a “write” record in  $key\_write[k]$  corresponding to  $start\_ts$ .

$$\begin{aligned} keyCommitted(k, start\_ts) &\triangleq \\ \exists w \in key\_write[k] : & \\ \quad \wedge w.start\_ts = start\_ts & \\ \quad \wedge w.type = \text{“write”} & \end{aligned}$$

A transaction can’t be both committed and aborted.

$$\begin{aligned} UniqueCommitOrAbort &\triangleq \\ \forall resp, resp2 \in resp\_msgs : & \\ (resp.type = \text{“committed”}) \wedge (resp2.type = \text{“commit\_aborted”}) \Rightarrow & \\ resp.start\_ts \neq resp2.start\_ts & \end{aligned}$$

If a transaction is committed, the primary key must be committed and the secondary keys of the same transaction must be either committed or locked.

$$\begin{aligned} CommitConsistency &\triangleq \\ \forall resp \in resp\_msgs : & \\ (resp.type = \text{“committed”}) \Rightarrow & \\ \exists c \in CLIENT : & \\ \quad \wedge client\_ts[c].start\_ts = resp.start\_ts & \\ \quad \text{Primary key must be committed} & \\ \quad \wedge keyCommitted(CLIENT\_PRIMARY[c], resp.start\_ts) & \\ \quad \text{Secondary key must be either committed or locked by the} & \\ \quad \text{start\_ts of the transaction.} & \\ \quad \wedge \forall k \in CLIENT\_KEY[c] : & \\ \quad (\neg \exists l \in key\_lock[k] : l.ts = resp.start\_ts) = & \\ \quad keyCommitted(k, resp.start\_ts) & \end{aligned}$$

If a transaction is aborted, all key of that transaction must be not committed.

$$\begin{aligned} AbortConsistency &\triangleq \\ \forall resp \in resp\_msgs : & \\ (resp.type = \text{“commit\_aborted”}) \Rightarrow & \\ \forall c \in CLIENT : & \\ \quad (client\_ts[c].start\_ts = resp.start\_ts) \Rightarrow & \\ \quad \neg keyCommitted(CLIENT\_PRIMARY[c], resp.start\_ts) & \end{aligned}$$

For each write, the  $commit\_ts$  should be strictly greater than the  $start\_ts$  and have data written into  $key\_data[k]$ . For each rollback, the  $commit\_ts$  should equals to the  $start\_ts$ .

$$\begin{aligned} WriteConsistency &\triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : & \\ \quad \forall w \in key\_write[k] : & \\ \quad \quad \vee \wedge w.type = \text{“write”} & \\ \quad \quad \wedge w.ts > w.start\_ts & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w.start\_ts \in key\_data[k] \\ \vee & \wedge w.type = \text{"rollback"} \\ & \wedge w.ts = w.start\_ts \end{aligned}$$

When the lock exists, there can't be a corresponding commit record,  
vice versa.

$$\begin{aligned} UniqueLockOrWrite & \triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : & \\ \forall l \in key\_lock[k] : & \\ \forall w \in key\_write[k] : & \\ w.start\_ts & \neq l.ts \end{aligned}$$

For each key, each record in write column should have a unique *start\_ts*.

$$\begin{aligned} UniqueWrite & \triangleq \\ \forall k \in KEY : & \\ \forall w, w2 \in key\_write[k] : & \\ (w.start\_ts = w2.start\_ts) & \Rightarrow (w = w2) \end{aligned}$$

#### Snapshot Isolation

Asserts that *next\_ts* is monotonically increasing.

$$NextTsMonotonicity \triangleq \Box[next\_ts' \geq next\_ts]_{vars}$$

Asserts that no *msg* would be deleted once sent.

$$\begin{aligned} MsgMonotonicity & \triangleq \\ \wedge \Box[\forall req \in req\_msgs : req \in req\_msgs']_{vars} & \\ \wedge \Box[\forall resp \in resp\_msgs : resp \in resp\_msgs']_{vars} & \end{aligned}$$

Asserts that all messages sent should have *ts* less than *next\_ts*.

$$\begin{aligned} MsgTsConsistency & \triangleq \\ \wedge \forall req \in req\_msgs : & \\ \wedge req.start\_ts \leq next\_ts & \\ \wedge req.type \in \{\text{"commit"}, \text{"resolve\_committed"}\} \Rightarrow & \\ req.commit\_ts \leq next\_ts & \\ \wedge \forall resp \in resp\_msgs : resp.start\_ts \leq next\_ts & \end{aligned}$$

*SnapshotIsolation* is implied from the following assumptions (but is not necessary) because *SnapshotIsolation* means that:

- (1) Once a transaction is committed, all keys of the transaction should be always readable or have a lock on secondary *keys(eventually readable)*.

PROOF BY *CommitConsistency*, *MsgMonotonicity*

- (2) For a given transaction, all transaction that commits after that transaction should have greater *commit\_ts* than the *next\_ts* at the time that the given transaction commits, so as to be able to distinguish the transactions that have committed before and after from all transactions that preserved by (1).

PROOF BY *NextTsConsistency*, *MsgTsConsistency*

(3) All aborted transactions would be always not readable.

PROOF BY *AbortConsistency*, *MsgMonotonicity*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SnapshotIsolation} &\triangleq \wedge \text{CommitConsistency} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{AbortConsistency} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{NextTsMonotonicity} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{MsgMonotonicity} \\ &\quad \wedge \text{MsgTsConsistency} \end{aligned}$$

---

THEOREM *Safety*  $\triangleq$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec} \Rightarrow \square( &\wedge \text{TypeOK} \\ &\wedge \text{UniqueCommitOrAbort} \\ &\wedge \text{CommitConsistency} \\ &\wedge \text{AbortConsistency} \\ &\wedge \text{WriteConsistency} \\ &\wedge \text{UniqueLockOrWrite} \\ &\wedge \text{UniqueWrite} \\ &\wedge \text{SnapshotIsolation}) \end{aligned}$$

---