Backward propagation with Mellin convolutions

1 Derivative of the χ^2

For the sake of simplicity we consider an uncorrelated χ^2 for one single experimental point with central value F and standard deviation σ:

$$\chi^2 = \left(\frac{\hat{F} - F}{\sigma}\right)^2 , \tag{1.1}$$

where $\hat{F}$ is the corresponding theoretical prediction. $\hat{F}$ is typically computed as a convolution integral between two distinct sets of quantities C_i and N_i:

$$\hat{F} \equiv \sum_i C_i \otimes N_i = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{N} , \tag{1.2}$$

The details of the convolution sign $\otimes$ are not important, it suffices to know that it involves an integral over a set of input variables $\{\xi_p\}$. Therefore, the theoretical prediction $\hat{F}$ can be regarded as a *functional* of the functions N_i[1], *i.e.* $\hat{F} \equiv \hat{F}[\{N_i\}]$. It follows that the χ^2 is also a functional of the functions N_i, *i.e.* $\chi^2 \equiv \chi^2[\{N_i\}]$. In the case we are interested in, the functions N_i are the outputs of a feed-forward neural network with L layers (including input and output layers) parametrised by a set of weights $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ and biases $\theta_i^{(\ell)}$. We assume that the nodes of the ℓ-th layer have all the same activation function σ_ℓ associated. The output of the neural network can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} N_i \equiv N_i(\{\xi_p\};\{\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)},\theta_i^{(\ell)}\}) &= \sigma_L\left(\sum_{j^{(1)}}^{N_{L-1}} \omega_{ij^{(1)}}^{(L)} y_{j^{(1)}}^{(L-1)} + \theta_i^{(L)}\right) \\ &= \sigma_L\left(\sum_{j^{(1)}=1}^{N_{L-1}} \omega_{ij^{(1)}}^{(L)} \sigma_{L-1}\left(\sum_{j^{(2)}=1}^{N_{L-2}} \omega_{j^{(1)}j^{(2)}}^{(L)} y_{j^{(2)}}^{(L-2)} + \theta_{j^{(1)}}^{(L-1)}\right) + \theta_i^{(L)}\right) \\ &= \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

We want to compute the following derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = 2\left(\frac{\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{N} - F}{\sigma^2}\right)\mathbf{C}\otimes\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} , \tag{1.4}$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} = 2\left(\frac{\mathbf{C}\otimes\mathbf{N} - F}{\sigma^2}\right)\mathbf{C}\otimes\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} . \tag{1.5}$$

Let us first focus on Eq. (1.4). We define:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(\ell)} &= \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\ell-1}} \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)} y_j^{(\ell-1)} + \theta_i^{(\ell)} , \\ y_i^{(\ell)} &= \sigma_\ell\left(x_i^{(\ell)}\right) , \\ z_i^{(\ell)} &= \sigma'_\ell\left(x_i^{(\ell)}\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

[1] In fact, $\hat{F}$ is also a functional of the functions C_i but we assume these functions to be given.

so that we can apply the chain rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} &= \frac{\partial y_k^{(L)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} \\
&= z_k^{(L)} \frac{\partial x_k^{(L)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} \\
&= \sum_{j^{(1)}=1}^{N_{L-1}} \left[z_k^{(L)} \omega_{kj^{(1)}}^{(L)} \right] \frac{\partial y_{j^{(1)}}^{(L-1)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} \\
&= \sum_{j^{(1)}=1}^{N_{L-1}} \sum_{j^{(2)}=1}^{N_{L-2}} \left[z_k^{(L)} \omega_{kj^{(1)}}^{(L)} \right] \left[z_{j^{(1)}}^{(L-1)} \omega_{j^{(1)}j^{(2)}}^{(L-1)} \right] \frac{\partial y_{j^{(2)}}^{(L-2)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.7}
$$

As evident, the chain rule penetrates into the neural network starting from the output layer all the way back until the ℓ-th layer (that is, the layer where the parameter $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ with respect to which we are deriving belongs to). In order to write the formula we are looking for in a closed form we define:

$$
z_i^{(\ell)} \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)} = S_{ij}^{(\ell)} \left(= \frac{\partial y_i^{(\ell)}}{\partial y_j^{(\ell-1)}} \right) , \tag{1.8}
$$

and using the matricial form we can write:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = \mathbf{S}^{(L)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(L-1)} \dots \mathbf{S}^{(\ell+1)} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{(\ell)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} , \tag{1.9}
$$

that can be written in a more compact form as:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = \left[\prod_{\alpha=L}^{\ell+1} \mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{(\ell)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} . \tag{1.10}
$$

In addition, the derivative in the r.h.s. can be computed explicitly and reads:

$$
\frac{\partial y_k^{(\ell)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = z_k^{(\ell)} \frac{\partial x_k^{(\ell)}}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = \delta_{ki} z_i^{(\ell)} y_j^{(\ell-1)} . \tag{1.11}
$$

It is simple to see that the derivative in Eq. (1.5) takes the form:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} = \left[\prod_{\alpha=L}^{\ell+1} \mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)} \right] \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{(\ell)}}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} , \tag{1.12}
$$

with:

$$
\frac{\partial y_k^{(\ell)}}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} = \delta_{ki} z_i^{(\ell)} . \tag{1.13}
$$

The presence of δ_{ki} in both Eqs. (1.11) and (1.13) simplifies the computation of the derivatives yielding:

$$
\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial \theta_i^{(\ell)}} = \Sigma_{ki}^{(\ell)} z_i^{(\ell)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = \Sigma_{ki}^{(\ell)} z_i^{(\ell)} y_j^{(\ell-1)} . \tag{1.14}
$$

In both cases, the key quantities to be computes are the matrices:

$$
\mathbf{\Sigma}^{(\ell)} = \prod_{\alpha=L}^{\ell+1} \mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)} , \tag{1.15}
$$

that can be computed recursively moving backward from the output layer as:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(\ell-1)} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(\ell)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(\ell)} , \tag{1.16}$$

starting from:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(L)} = \mathbf{I} . \tag{1.17}$$

The same technology can be used to compute derivatives of the neural network w.r.t. the input variables $\{\xi_p\}$. Indeed, a straightforward application of the chain rule discussed above produces the compact result:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \prod_{\alpha=L}^{1} \mathbf{S}^{(\alpha)} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{(0)} , \tag{1.18}$$

or, making the indices explicit:

$$\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial \xi_p} = \Sigma_{kp}^{(0)} . \tag{1.19}$$

A generalisation of feed-forward neural network that might be useful considering is one in which the linear combination of weights, biases, and inputs form the preceding layer that enter the computation of a given node (see first identity of Eq. (1.6)) is replaced by:

$$x_i^{(\ell)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\ell-1}} f(\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}) y_j^{(\ell-1)} + \theta_i^{(\ell)} , \tag{1.20}$$

where f is some derivable function. This change has the effect of changing the matrices $\mathbf{S}^{(\ell)}$ as follows:

$$S_{ij}^{(\ell)} = z_i^{(\ell)} f(\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}) , \tag{1.21}$$

and the derivatives w.r.t. the weight $\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial \omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}} = \Sigma_{ki}^{(\ell)} z_i^{(\ell)} y_j^{(\ell-1)} f'(\omega_{ij}^{(\ell)}) . \tag{1.22}$$