A. I would choose Weather because it has the greatest information gain.

$$E(Running) = -\frac{E}{x \in X} P(X) \cdot \log_2 P(X)$$

$$E(Running) = -\frac{Y_7}{7} \log_2 (\frac{Y_7}{7}) - \frac{3}{7} \log_2 (\frac{3}{7}) = 0.905$$
Weather
$$E(X \mid weather = sunny) = -\frac{1}{3} \log_2 (\frac{1}{3}) - \frac{2}{3} \log_2 (\frac{2}{3}) = 0.918$$

$$E(X \mid weather) = (\log_3 (1) = 0)$$

$$E(X \mid weather) = \frac{3}{7} (0.714) + \frac{2}{7} (0) + \frac{2}{7} (0) = 0.39y$$

$$E(Weather) = E(X \mid -E(X \mid weather) = 0.592$$
Temperative
$$E(X \mid temp = \log_3 = 0)$$

$$E(X \mid temp = 2\frac{1}{7} (0) + 2\frac{1}{7} (0) + 2\frac{1}{7} (0.811) = 0.46y$$

$$E(x \mid temp) = 2\frac{1}{7} (0) + 2\frac{1}{7} (0) + 2\frac{1}{7} (0.811) = 0.46y$$

$$E(x \mid W \mid -E(x \mid temp) = 0.522$$
Wind Level
$$E(x \mid W \mid -E(x \mid temp) = 0.522$$

$$E(x \mid W \mid -E(x \mid -E(x \mid temp) = 0.522$$

$$E(x \mid W \mid -E(x \mid -E(x \mid -E(x \mid W \mid -E(x \mid W$$

- B. Split on Weather -> Temperature -> Wind Level
- C. Stop criterion for this process will be
 - a. If all datapoints of one split belong to the same class.
 - b. If the number of datapoints on one side of a split is below a threshold.
- D. We don't need to standardize features when using a Decision Tree because Decision Trees are not sensitive to the magnitude of the variables. This is because we only look at one dimension at a time.
- E. They are robust to outliers because they split based on decision boundaries and outliers are contained within one of the sides.

- 2 True or False, Simple Explanations
 - 1. (T or F) Bagging uses strong learners.

False

- a. Bagging uses weak learners to create a strong learner.
- 2. (T or F) The number of predictors to select from at each split in boosting always equal to the number of predictors to select at each split in Random Forest.

False

- a. Boosting uses all the predictors in a dataset while Random Forests uses a random subset of predictors for each tree.
- 3. Describe the advantages and disadvantages to taking either a Bagging or Boosting approach to ensemble learning methods?
 - Bagging will reduce variance but increase bias. Bagging can also help reduce overfitting because it is an aggregate of multiple learners, but it cannot reduce underfitting.
 - b. **Boosting** will **increase accuracy** while **increasing variance**, and boosting needs more **computer power**. It will also **reduce bias**.
- 4. Explain how a Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function can potentially address the vanishing gradient issue in training Neural Networks?
 - a. Vanishing gradient in Neural Networks is where the derivative of certain nodes goes to 0 as we backpropagate. This occurs when the gradient is very small, which doesn't allow the Neural Network to update. With ReLU, we now have constant and large derivatives which will not lead to a vanishing gradient.

3 Overfitting Mitigation Strategies

For each of the following strategies state whether or not it might help mitigate **overfitting** and why:

1. Using a smaller dataset

a. No

- i. Small datasets lead to overfitting.
- 2. Allowing your model to train for fewer iterations

a. Yes

- i. Having smaller numbers of iterations can allow the model to settle at a more general solution.
- 3. Increasing the number of parameters in your model

a. No

- i. Having more parameters will lead to a complex model which leads to overfitting of the model to the training data.
- 4. Randomly zeroing out half the nodes in a neural network

a. Yes

- Yes this is "dropout" and it will reduce the co-dependency of layers in a Neural Network and it will also reduce the proclivity of our model to overfit.
- 5. Training your model on a GPU or specialized chip instead of a CPU

a. No

- i. This just reduces the training time.
- 6. Changing the initialization values for your models

a. Yes

i. This can help our model not stop at a local minima.

- 4 Principal Component Analysis
 - A. What are some of the advantages and drawbacks of undertaking dimensionality reduction?
 - a. advantages
 - i. Reduces the issues related to high dimensionality, and makes the problem contain only the "useful" dimensions.
 - ii. Reduces the chance of overfitting.
 - iii. Increases interpretability of the model.
 - b. drawbacks
 - i. Removes some data.
 - ii. Information Loss.
 - iii. Relies on mean and covariance a lot.
 - iv. Less interpretable models.
 - B. For each of the below situations, state whether or not PCA would work well, and briefly explain why.
 - a. Data that has a linear distribution (i.e. linear across different feature dimensions)
 - i. Yes
 - 1. PCA is used to transform data into a linear format, which can be done if the data is already linear.
 - b. Data with a non-linear distribution (e.g., data lying on a hyperbolic plane)
 - i. No
- PCA can be used on non-linear data but it will not have any value because non-linear data can't be mapped onto a linear principle component.
- c. Data that has been scaled
 - i. Yes
 - 1. The covariance matrix is not affected by scaling because the values are normalized.
- d. Data where each feature is statistically independent of all others
 - i. No
- 1. PCA uses the covariance matrix which needs correlations between features to work.

5 Perceptron

- Can a perceptron correctly classify this dataset with the proper set of parameters?
 - The perceptron **cannot** classify this dataset.
- If yes, provide an example that would satisfy the model, if not, explain.
 - It cannot because (X1,X2) = (1,0) => 0 and 1.
 - Because the same input gives different outputs a linear separator (i.e. perceptron) cannot separate this data.