

# astroBayes!

Robin B. Trayler

Stephen R. Meyers

Mark D. Schmitz

## 1 Introduction

Developing precise and accurate models that relate stratigraphic position to absolute age (e.g., age-depth models) is a crucial step in interpreting the rate and tempo of geologic and climatological processes (Blaauw and Heegaard, 2012; Parnell et al., 2011). Constructing these chronologies relies on a variety of geochronologic information. Various radioisotopic techniques (e.g.,  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ , U-Pb) allow the age of discrete stratigraphic points to be determined.

## 2 Statistical Methods

$$P(\text{parameters} | \text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data} | \text{parameters})}{P(\text{data})} \times P(\text{parameters})$$

In our case, our data takes two forms. First, our cyclostratigraphic proxy record, which consists of measurements  $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_i]$  where  $i$  is the stratigraphic position of each measurement. We assume that cyclic signals in  $d$  is derived from orbital forcing and the record can therefore be tuned.

## References

- Blaauw, M., Heegaard, E., 2012. Estimation Of Age-Depth Relationships, in: Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments. Springer, pp. 379–413.
- Parnell, A.C., Buck, C.E., Doan, T.K., 2011. A Review Of Statistical Chronology Models For High-Resolution, Proxy-Based Holocene Palaeoenvironmental Reconstruction. Quaternary Science Reviews 30, 2948–2960. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.07.024>