

Research Meeting

- Github Workflow

- <https://guides.github.com/introduction/flow/>
- Activity: Discuss and review your code
 - Knowledge Intensive Activity (inside a process flow)
 - “Once a Pull Request has been opened, the person or team reviewing your changes may have questions or comments. Perhaps the coding style doesn't match project guidelines, the change is missing unit tests, or maybe everything looks great and props are in order. Pull Requests are designed to encourage and capture this type of conversation.”
 - Data format “clues”
 - “Pull Request comments are written in Markdown, so you can embed images and emoji, use pre-formatted text blocks, and other lightweight formatting.”

Research Meeting

- Hand-picked Github project with pull requests
 - Audacity (<https://github.com/audacity/audacity>)
- Method:
 - Search for pull requests with most comments
 - Hand-picked Speech Acts
 - Analyze the possible cases using Searle's taxonomy and Bach and Harnish's extended taxonomy + declaratives

Research Meeting

- Examples
 - “Please explain which "build failures" you are fixing with each of these commits.” (Core Developer)
 - Ok, I'll add error messages to the commit messages. (Contributor)
- Examples 2 (Tough cases)
 - “According to the travis output linked herein, commit 7acc599 is causing build failures”. [Declarative!!!]
 - “Apparently, Travis builds with a very old FFmpeg version which doesn't have the const yet. Without that commit, build fails here (FFmpeg 3.0 and 2.8.6).” [Assertive/Ascriptive!!!]
 -