Verbose proof for strongPartRefReflexive. strongPartRefReflexive:

{1} FORALL pl,
$$s: (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl, s)$$

strongPartRefReflexive:

{1} FORALL pl,
$$s: (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl, s)$$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl s), strongPartRefReflexive:

$$\{1\}$$
 $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow \text{strongPartialRefinement}(pl, pl, s)$

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, strongPartRefReflexive:

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefReflexive:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{\text{-1}\} & (s \subseteq \{\text{-----}\}(F(\text{pl}))) \\ \{1\} & \text{forall } c \colon s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl, }c)) \end{array}$$

Using lemma assetRefinement,

strongPartRefReflexive:

- $\{-1\}$ orders $|\operatorname{set}| \operatorname{Asset}|$ preorder? (---)

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefReflexive:

{-1} reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
{-2}
$$(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl)))$$

{1} FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) --- \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c))$

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

strongPartRefReflexive:

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

strongPartRefReflexive:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefReflexive:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: prod(pl, c), strongPartRefReflexive:

- $\{-1\}$ (prod(pl, c) prod(pl, c))

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefReflexive. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for strongPartRefTransitive. strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t: (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t)))
```

strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t: (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t)))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s t), strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t))
```

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongPartialRefinement intersection), strongPartRefTransitive:

```
\{1\} \quad (((s \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, \ c)))))
\land \\ \quad (t \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))) \land \\ \quad (t \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, \ c))))
\Rightarrow \\ \quad (\{x \mid (x \in s) \land (x \in t)\} \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (\{x \mid (x \in s) \land (x \in t)\} \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ (c \in s) \land (c \in t) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, \ c)))
```

Expanding the definition of member,

```
(((s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))) \land
{1}
                              (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl2))) \land
                                 (FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c)))
                         \begin{array}{l} (t\subseteq \{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl}2))) \ \land \\ (t\subseteq \{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl}3))) \ \land \end{array} 
                              (FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
                 \begin{array}{cccc} (\{x \mid s(x) \ \land \ t(x)\} \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \ \land \\ (\{x \mid s(x) \ \land \ t(x)\} \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))) \ \land \end{array}
                        (FORALL c: s(c) \land t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
```

Expanding the definition of subset?,

```
strongPartRefTransitive:
```

```
(((FORALL (x: Conf): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(pl1)))) \land
           (Forall (x : Conf): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(pl2)))) \land
             (FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c)))
       \begin{array}{ll} \text{(forall } (x \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ (x \in t) \ \Rightarrow \ (x \in \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl2})))) \ \land \\ \text{(forall } (x \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ (x \in t) \ \Rightarrow \ (x \in \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl3})))) \ \land \end{array}
           (FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
  (FORALL (x_1: Conf):
           (x_1 \in \{x \mid s(x) \land t(x)\}) \Rightarrow (x_1 \in \{---\}(F(pl1)))
     (FORALL (x_1: Conf):
             (x_1 \in \{x \mid s(x) \land t(x)\}) \Rightarrow (x_1 \in \{---\}(F(pl3)))
      \land (FORALL c: s(c) \land t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
```

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp, we get 3 subgoals:

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

Expanding the definition of transitive?, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: prod(pl1, c), prod(pl2, c), prod(pl3, c),

```
reflexive?(—-)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1,}\ c)\ --\ \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2,}\ c))\ \&\ (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2,}\ c)\ --\ \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3,}\ c))\ \Rightarrow
 {-2}
                    (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
                  s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)

s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-3}
 {-4}
                  FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 \{-5\}
                  FORALL (x : \operatorname{Conf}) : t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x : \operatorname{Conf}) : t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-6}
 \{-7\}
                  FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-8}
 {-9}
                  s(c)
{-10}
                  t(c)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}\overline{1, c}) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}\overline{3, c}))
 {1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

we get 2 subgoals:

strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
\{-1\}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             s(c)
            \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
{-3}
            \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
\{-4\}
{-5}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
            FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
             t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
{-1}
              reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
              s(c)
             \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
{-3}
{-4}
             \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
              FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
              FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
              t(c)
{1}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -8 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
               s(c)
{-3}
              \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
             \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
{-4}
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
              FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
{-8}
              t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-9}
              t(c)
{1}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.1.1. strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
             s(c)
{-3}
                       -}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-4}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
            FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
{-7}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
             t(c)
{1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Using lemma assetRefinement,

```
orders [set [Asset]].preorder?(—-)
               reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
 {-3}
               s(c)
               \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
 {-4}
               \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-5}
 {-6}
               FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
              FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)

FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
 {-7}
 {-8}
               FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-9}
{-10}
              t(c)
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}1, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))
 {1}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
                 reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
 {-3}
                 s(c)
                 \{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \} (F(\text{pl1}))(c) \\ \{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \} (F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-4}
 {-5}
 {-6}
                 FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-7}
 {-8}
                 FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-9}
{-10}
                 t(c)
  {1}
                 (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
{-1}
            reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
            transitive?(—-)
 {-3}
            reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
            s(c)
                    -}(F(pl1))(c)
 {-5}
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-6}
\{-7\}
            FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-8}
            FORALL (x: Conf): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl2))(x)
            FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-9}
{-10}
            FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-11}
            t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
 {2}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
```

Expanding the definition of transitive?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
{-1}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
                 (x - y) & (y - z) \Rightarrow (x - z)
 {-3}
             reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
             s(c)
{-5}
             \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
             \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-6}
\{-7\}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-8}
{-9}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-10}
{-11}
             t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)$,

```
reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)) \& (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)) \Rightarrow
                    (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-3}
                  reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
                  s(c)
                  \{ ---- \} (F(\operatorname{pl1}))(c)  \{ ----- \} (F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-5}
 {-6}
 \{-7\}
                 FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-8}
 {-9}
{-10}
                  FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-11}
                  t(c)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
  {1}
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
  \{2\}
```

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
{-1}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             s(c)
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-3}
{-4}
{-5}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
              FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{1}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c,

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
           s(c)
         \{---\}(F(pl1))(c)
\{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
{-3}
\{-4\}
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
\{-5\}
FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
           t(c)
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.1.2. strongPartRefTransitive.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefTransitive.2:

```
FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
{-1}
{-2}
{-3}
         \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c))
\{-4\}
         FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
\{-5\}
{-6}
          FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-7}
{-8}
          t(c)
{1}
           \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.2:

```
FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
{-1}
{-2}
         s(c)
{-3}
        \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
        FORALL (x: Conf): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl2))(x)
\{-5\}
{-6}
        t(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl3))(c)
        FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
\{-7\}
{-8}
         \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.2.

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.3:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.3:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.3. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for fmCompStrongDef. fmCompStrongDef:

```
[1] FORALL (pl, fm2, s): 

(fmPartialRefinement(F(pl), fm2, s) \land wfPL(pl2) \Rightarrow 

strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s)) 

WHERE fm1 = F(pl), pl2 = (#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)
```

fmCompStrongDef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, fm2, s): 
 (fmPartialRefinement(F(\text{pl}), fm2, s) \land wfPL(pl2) \Rightarrow 
 strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s)) 
 WHERE fm1 = F(\text{pl}), pl2 = (\#F := fm2, A := A(\text{pl}), K := K(\text{pl})\#)
```

Expanding the definition(s) of $(fmPartialRefinement\ strongPartialRefinement\ subset)$, fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of subset?,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of member,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of prod,

fmCompStrongDef:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

fmCompStrongDef:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

fmCompStrongDef:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl fm2 s),

fmCompStrongDef:

Applying bddsimp, we get 3 subgoals:

fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- {1} FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) - ([---](K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{----\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)), fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ (([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)))
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- $\{1\} \quad s(c) \Rightarrow (([--](K(\mathrm{pl}))(A(\mathrm{pl}))(c)) ([--](K(\mathrm{pl}))(A(\mathrm{pl}))(c)))$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.1.

fmCompStrongDef.2:

- FORALL (x: set | Asset |): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c, fmCompStrongDef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.2.

fmCompStrongDef.3:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\{-3\}$ & FORALL $(c\colon \operatorname{Conf})\colon s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c) \end{tabular}$
- {-4} wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)) {1} FORALL (x: Conf): $s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl))(x)$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.3:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set | Asset |): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\} \quad \text{forall } (c \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ \{----\} (F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \ \land \ \{----\} (\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- {-4} wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)) {1} $s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl}))(c)$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c,

fmCompStrongDef.3:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.3. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun. partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s), partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- {1} EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

Applying totalRefIFFExistsFun

```
{-1} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
            plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                     plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f)
{-2}
         strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-3}
         EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
                s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(f(c)) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3}\text{, } f(c))))
```

Applying partRefExistsFunId partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

```
\{-1\}\ \ \forall\ (\text{pl1, pl2, }s):
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \Rightarrow
               (EXISTS (f: |(s) \rightarrow (s)|):
                      (FORALL c:
                             s(c) \Rightarrow
                              (\{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) --
         \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))))
{-2} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
             plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                      plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))
         strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-3}
{-4}
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
         EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
{1}
             FORALL c:
                s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(f(c)) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3}\text{, } f(c))))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, pl2, s,

```
\{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \Rightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (s)]):
                    FORALL c:
                       s(c) \Rightarrow
                        (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c))))
     {-2} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
                plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
                 (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                       plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))
     {-3}
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
     {-4}
             plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
             EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
     {1}
                FORALL c:
                   s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(pl3))(f(c)) \land (prod(pl1, c) --- prod(pl3, f(c))))
Applying bddsimp,
partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:
     \{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
```

 $\{-2\}$ EXISTS $(f : [(s) \rightarrow (s)]) :$ FORALL c :

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$
 {-3} \forall (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {---}, [----]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {----}, [----]]): plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow (EXISTS $(f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl2})))]): plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))$

{-4} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS
$$(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$$
FORALL $c:$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

For the top quantifier in -2, we introduce Skolem constants: f,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: pl2, pl3, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))$$

{-4} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS
$$(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$$
FORALL $c:$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3, }f(c))))$

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))$$

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

For the top quantifier in -4, we introduce Skolem constants: g,

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- [1] EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3, }f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: $g \circ f$, we get 2 subgoals:

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ FORALL c:

$$\begin{array}{l} s(c) \Rightarrow \\ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))((g\circ f)(c)) \wedge \\ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1},\ c) --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3},\ (g\circ f)(c)))) \end{array}$$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl2}))(f(c))) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{,}\ c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl2}\text{,}\ f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

Expanding the definition of o,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
\{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
```

$$\{-2\}$$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, g(f(c))))$

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl3, g(f(c))))

Expanding the definition of plRefinementFun, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- {-6} FORALL (c: Conf): $\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c) \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, g(c)))$
- $\{1\}$ $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: f(c), partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-6\} \quad \{-6\} \quad \{-6\} \quad \{F(\text{pl2})(f(c)) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)) \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, g(f(c))))\}$
- $\{1\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl3, g(f(c))))

Applying bddsimp,

```
partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:
```

Using lemma assetRefinement,

{1}

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

 $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

```
{-1}
          orders | set | Asset | | . preorder?(---)
{-2}
           strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-3}
          s(c)
          (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
\{-4\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
{-5}
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-6}
\{-7\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
           (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, q(f(c))))
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of preorder?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
          transitive?(—-)
{-3}
          strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
\{-4\}
          s(c)
{-5}
          (\{-
                   -}(F(pl2))(f(c))
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
          plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-8}
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of transitive?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
          FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
{-2}
              (x \longrightarrow y) \& (y \longrightarrow z) \Rightarrow (x \longrightarrow z)
{-3}
          strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-4}
          s(c)
          (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
\{-5\}
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, f(c)))
{-6}
          plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-7\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2},\ f(c))\ -\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3},\ g(f(c))))
{-8}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, q(f(c))))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c)))$,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
\{-1\} reflexive?(—-)
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))) \&
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
               \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{-3}
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-4}
             s(c)
\{-5\}
            (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
{-6}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
           plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-7\}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{-8}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}1, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}3, g(f(c))))
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1. partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
{-1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)

{-2} FORALL c:

s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, c}) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{-4} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

{1} \forall (x_1: (s)): \{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(x_1))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

```
{-1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)

{-2} FORALL c:

s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, c}) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{-4} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

{1} {----}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))
```

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Expanding the definition of subset?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

```
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}1)))
        (c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \{---\}(F(\text{pl}2)))
{-2}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-3}
\{-4\}
       FORALL c:
            s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(pl2))(f(c))) \land (prod(pl1, c) --- prod(pl2, f(c)))
{-5}
        plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-6\} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
        \{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c))
```

Expanding the definition of member, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
\{-2\} \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
\{-3\} FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
\{-4\} FORALL c:
```

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$$

{-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

-}(F(pl2))(f(c))

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
\{-2\} \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
```

 $\{-3\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))$

$$\{-4\} \quad s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl2}))(f(c))) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl2}\text{, } f(c)))$$

{-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

 $\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c))$ {1}

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))(x)
```

 $\{----\}(F(pl2))(c)$

FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c))$

plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-5\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

 $-\}(F(pl2))(f(c))$ {1}

{2} s(c)

Adding type constraints for c,

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & s(c) \\ \{-2\} & \text{FORALL } (x \text{: Conf}) \text{: } s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\} (F(\text{pl1}))(x) \\ \{-3\} & \{----\} (F(\text{pl2}))(c) \\ \{-4\} & \text{FORALL } c \text{: } s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c)) \\ \{-5\} & \text{plRefinement}(\text{pl2}, \text{pl3}) \\ \{-6\} & \text{plRefinementFun}(\text{pl2}, \text{pl3}, g) \\ \hline \{1\} & \{----\} (F(\text{pl2}))(f(c)) \\ \{2\} & s(c) \end{cases}
```

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of ${\tt partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2}.$ Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart. partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s:
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s:
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s), partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongPartialRefinement strongerPLrefinement), partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
 \{-1\} \quad (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c))) \\ \{-2\} \quad \text{FORALL } (c_1: \text{Conf}): \\ \quad \{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c_1) \Rightarrow \\ \quad (\{-----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c_1) \land \\ \quad (([----](K(\text{pl2}))(A(\text{pl2}))(c_1)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c_1)))) \\ \{1\} \quad (s \subseteq \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{-----\}(F(\text{pl3}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, c))) \\
```

Expanding the definition of subset?,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Expanding the definition of member, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Expanding the definition of prod, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Expanding the definition of transitive?, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

```
\{-1\} reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
         FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
             (x \longrightarrow y) & (y \longrightarrow z) \Rightarrow (x \longrightarrow z)
        s(c) \Rightarrow \{ \overrightarrow{---} \} (F(\text{pl1}))(c)

s(c) \Rightarrow \{ \overrightarrow{---} \} (F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-3}
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
         FORALL c:
             s(c) \Rightarrow
              (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)))
\{-6\} \quad \{-\frac{1}{2}\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c) \Rightarrow
           (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(c) \land
              (([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c))))
\{-7\}
         (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: ([----](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)), ([----](K(pl2))(A(pl3))(c)),

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
       (([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
{-2}
{-3}
       s(c)
      \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)\{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
\{-4\}
{-5}
      s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) \longrightarrow ([---](K(\text{pl2}))(A(\text{pl2}))(c)))
{-6}
      \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
\{-7\}
{1}
             -(K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c))
       (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
{2}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1. partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for commutableDiagram. commutableDiagram:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl3, pl4, (s: set[Conf] | (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))): (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))
```

commutableDiagram:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl3, pl4, (s: set[Conf] | (s \subseteq \{ --- \}(F(\text{pl1})))): (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl3 pl4 s), commutableDiagram:

{1} (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))

Applying bddsimp, commutableDiagram:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)
- {1} EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: pl4, commutableDiagram:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl4, pl4)

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongerPLrefinement strongPartialRefinement),

Expanding the definition of subset?, commutableDiagram:

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals: commutableDiagram.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

Using lemma assetRefinement,

commutableDiagram.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

commutableDiagram.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
commutableDiagram.1:
```

```
\{-1\}
        reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
        transitive?(—-)
         FORALL (c_1: Conf):
{-3}
             \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c_1) \Rightarrow
               (\{---\}(F(pl3))(c_1) \land
                     (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c_1)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c_1))))
        FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl4}))(x)
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))
{-6}
        \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c)
{-7}
                 -}(F(\text{pl4}))(c) \wedge
{1}
               (([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))))
```

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

commutableDiagram.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)), commutableDiagram.1:

```
(([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-1}
{-2}
         transitive?(—-)
{-3}
         FORALL (c_1: Conf):
             \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))(c_1) \Rightarrow
               (\{---\}(F(pl3))(c_1) \land
                     (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c_1)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c_1))))
         FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl4}))(x)
{-4}
\{-5\}
{-6}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))
         \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c)
\{-7\}
{1}
                  -\}(F(\mathrm{pl4}))(c) \wedge
               (([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))))
```

Simplifying, rewriting, and recording with decision procedures, This completes the proof of commutableDiagram.1. commutableDiagram.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of prod, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of preorder?, commutableDiagram.2:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of transitive?, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: ([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)), ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(A(pl4))(c)),

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
\Rightarrow (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-3}
          -\}(F(\text{pl}1))(c) \Rightarrow
       (\{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(c) \land
           (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c))))
      s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c)
{-4}
\{-5\}
      FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl4))(x)
      s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
           -(K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))
{1}
      (([--
```

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Adding type constraints for s,

Expanding the definition of subset?,

commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & (c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \\ \{-2\} & \text{reflexive?}(--) \\ \{-3\} & (([---](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c)) --- ([----](K(\text{pl4}))(A(\text{pl4}))(c))) \\ \{-4\} & \{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c) \\ \{-5\} & s(c) \\ \{-6\} & s(c) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c) \\ \{1\} & (([----](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c))) \\ \{2\} & (([----](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl4}))(A(\text{pl4}))(c))) \\ \{3\} & \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c) \end{cases}
```

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for commutableDiagram2. commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl4, s:
   (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow
   (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl4, s:
    (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow
    (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl4 s), commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

Applying bddsimp, commutableDiagram2:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: pl1, commutableDiagram2:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying totalImpliesPartial

commutableDiagram2.1:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (pl1, pl2, s: set[Conf] | $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1})))$: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl2) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl2, pl4, s, we get 2 subgoals:

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying strongPartRefTransitive

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t): (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, $(s \cap t)$)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, pl2, pl4, s, s,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- {-1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying sets_lemmas[Conf].intersection_idempotent commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\} \quad \forall \ (a: \operatorname{set}[\operatorname{Conf}]): \ (a \cap a) = a$
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-5} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: s, commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ $(s \cap s) = s$
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-5} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Replacing using formula -1,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ $(s \cap s) = s$
- {-2} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- {-4} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4) $\{-5\}$
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s) {1}

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.1.1.

commutableDiagram2.1.2:

- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl2)))$
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, commutableDiagram2.1.2:

{-1}
$$(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))) \land (s \subseteq \{----\}(F(\text{pl}2))) \land (\text{forall } c : s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}1, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl}2, c)))$$

- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1}
- $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.1.2. commutableDiagram2.2:

- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Applying strongerPLref

commutableDiagram2.2:

- orders[PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {----}, [----]]].preorder?(stronge
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

- {-1} reflexive?(strongerPLrefinement) & transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, commutableDiagram2.2:

- {-1} reflexive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- {-2} transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Expanding the definition of reflexive?, commutableDiagram2.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL $(x: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, <math>\{---\}, [---]]): stronger-PLrefinement(<math>x, x$)
- {-2} transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.2. Q.E.D.