Verbose proof for strongPartRefReflexive. strongPartRefReflexive:

{1} FORALL pl,
$$s: (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl, s)$$

strongPartRefReflexive:

{1} FORALL pl,
$$s: (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl, s)$$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl s), strongPartRefReflexive:

$$\{1\}$$
 $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl))) \Rightarrow \text{strongPartialRefinement}(pl, pl, s)$

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, strongPartRefReflexive:

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefReflexive:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{\text{-1}\} & (s \subseteq \{\text{-----}\}(F(\text{pl}))) \\ \{1\} & \text{forall } c \colon s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl, }c)) \end{array}$$

Using lemma assetRefinement,

strongPartRefReflexive:

- $\{-1\}$ orders $|\operatorname{set}| \operatorname{Asset}|$ preorder? (---)

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefReflexive:

{-1} reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
{-2}
$$(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl)))$$

{1} FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) --- \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c))$

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

strongPartRefReflexive:

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

strongPartRefReflexive:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefReflexive:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: prod(pl, c), strongPartRefReflexive:

- $\{-1\}$ (prod(pl, c) prod(pl, c))

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefReflexive. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for strongPartRefTransitive. strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t: (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t)))
```

strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t: (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t)))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s t), strongPartRefTransitive:

```
{1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, (s \cap t))
```

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongPartialRefinement intersection), strongPartRefTransitive:

```
\{1\} \quad (((s \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, \ c)))))
\land \\ \quad (t \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))) \land \\ \quad (t \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, \ c))))
\Rightarrow \\ \quad (\{x \mid (x \in s) \land (x \in t)\} \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (\{x \mid (x \in s) \land (x \in t)\} \subseteq \{ \longrightarrow \}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))) \land \\ \quad (\operatorname{FORALL} \ c : \ (c \in s) \land (c \in t) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, \ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, \ c)))
```

Expanding the definition of member,

```
(((s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))) \land
{1}
                              (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl2))) \land
                                 (FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c)))
                         \begin{array}{l} (t\subseteq \{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl}2))) \ \land \\ (t\subseteq \{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl}3))) \ \land \end{array} 
                              (FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
                 \begin{array}{cccc} (\{x \mid s(x) \ \land \ t(x)\} \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \ \land \\ (\{x \mid s(x) \ \land \ t(x)\} \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))) \ \land \end{array}
                        (FORALL c: s(c) \land t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
```

Expanding the definition of subset?,

```
strongPartRefTransitive:
```

```
(((FORALL (x: Conf): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(pl1)))) \land
           (Forall (x : Conf): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(pl2)))) \land
             (FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c)))
       \begin{array}{ll} \text{(forall } (x \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ (x \in t) \ \Rightarrow \ (x \in \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl2})))) \ \land \\ \text{(forall } (x \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ (x \in t) \ \Rightarrow \ (x \in \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl3})))) \ \land \end{array}
           (FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
  (FORALL (x_1: Conf):
           (x_1 \in \{x \mid s(x) \land t(x)\}) \Rightarrow (x_1 \in \{---\}(F(pl1)))
     (FORALL (x_1: Conf):
             (x_1 \in \{x \mid s(x) \land t(x)\}) \Rightarrow (x_1 \in \{---\}(F(pl3)))
      \land (FORALL c: s(c) \land t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)))
```

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp, we get 3 subgoals:

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

Expanding the definition of transitive?, strongPartRefTransitive.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: prod(pl1, c), prod(pl2, c), prod(pl3, c),

```
reflexive?(—-)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1,}\ c)\ --\ \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2,}\ c))\ \&\ (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2,}\ c)\ --\ \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3,}\ c))\ \Rightarrow
 {-2}
                    (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
                  s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)

s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-3}
 {-4}
                  FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 \{-5\}
                  FORALL (x : \operatorname{Conf}) : t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x : \operatorname{Conf}) : t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-6}
 \{-7\}
                  FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-8}
 {-9}
                  s(c)
{-10}
                  t(c)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}\overline{1, c}) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}\overline{3, c}))
 {1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

we get 2 subgoals:

strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
\{-1\}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             s(c)
            \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
{-3}
            \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
\{-4\}
{-5}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
            FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
             t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
{-1}
              reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
              s(c)
             \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
{-3}
{-4}
             \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
              FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
              FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
              t(c)
{1}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -8 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.1.1:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
               s(c)
{-3}
              \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
             \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
{-4}
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
              FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
{-8}
              t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-9}
              t(c)
{1}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.1.1. strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
             s(c)
{-3}
                       -}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-4}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-5}
            FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
{-7}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
             t(c)
{1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Using lemma assetRefinement,

```
orders [set [Asset]].preorder?(—-)
               reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
 {-3}
               s(c)
               \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
 {-4}
               \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-5}
 {-6}
               FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
              FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)

FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
 {-7}
 {-8}
               FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-9}
{-10}
              t(c)
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}1, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))
 {1}
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
                 reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
 {-3}
                 s(c)
                 \{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \} (F(\text{pl1}))(c) \\ \{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \} (F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-4}
 {-5}
 {-6}
                 FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-7}
 {-8}
                 FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-9}
{-10}
                 t(c)
  {1}
                 (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
{-1}
            reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
            transitive?(—-)
 {-3}
            reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
            s(c)
                    -}(F(pl1))(c)
 {-5}
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
 {-6}
\{-7\}
            FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-8}
            FORALL (x: Conf): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl2))(x)
            FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
{-9}
{-10}
            FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-11}
            t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
 {2}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
```

Expanding the definition of transitive?,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
{-1}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
                 (x - y) & (y - z) \Rightarrow (x - z)
 {-3}
             reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
             s(c)
{-5}
             \{----\}(F(pl1))(c)
             \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-6}
\{-7\}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-8}
{-9}
             FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-10}
{-11}
             t(c)
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)$,

```
reflexive?(—-)
 {-2}
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)) \& (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c)) \Rightarrow
                    (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
 {-3}
                  reflexive?(—-)
 {-4}
                  s(c)
                  \{ ---- \} (F(\operatorname{pl1}))(c)  \{ ----- \} (F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c)
 {-5}
 {-6}
 \{-7\}
                 FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
                 FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
 {-8}
 {-9}
{-10}
                  FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-11}
                  t(c)
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
  {1}
                  (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
  \{2\}
```

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefTransitive.1.2:

```
{-1}
             reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
             s(c)
            \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-3}
{-4}
{-5}
             FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
             FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
{-6}
\{-7\}
              FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{1}
              (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c,

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
           s(c)
         \{---\}(F(pl1))(c)
\{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
{-3}
\{-4\}
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
\{-5\}
FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-8}
{-9}
           t(c)
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
 {1}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{2}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.1.2. strongPartRefTransitive.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

Applying bddsimp,

strongPartRefTransitive.2:

```
FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
{-1}
{-2}
{-3}
         \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c))
\{-4\}
         FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(x)
FORALL (x: \text{Conf}): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(x)
\{-5\}
{-6}
          FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
{-7}
{-8}
          t(c)
{1}
           \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.2:

```
FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
{-1}
{-2}
         s(c)
{-3}
        \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
        FORALL (x: Conf): t(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl2))(x)
\{-5\}
{-6}
        t(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl3))(c)
        FORALL c: t(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c))
\{-7\}
{-8}
         \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.2.

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, strongPartRefTransitive.3:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, strongPartRefTransitive.3:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of strongPartRefTransitive.3. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for fmCompStrongDef. fmCompStrongDef:

```
[1] FORALL (pl, fm2, s): 

(fmPartialRefinement(F(pl), fm2, s) \land wfPL(pl2) \Rightarrow 

strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s)) 

WHERE fm1 = F(pl), pl2 = (#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)
```

fmCompStrongDef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, fm2, s): 
 (fmPartialRefinement(F(\text{pl}), fm2, s) \land wfPL(pl2) \Rightarrow 
 strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s)) 
 WHERE fm1 = F(\text{pl}), pl2 = (\#F := fm2, A := A(\text{pl}), K := K(\text{pl})\#)
```

Expanding the definition(s) of $(fmPartialRefinement\ strongPartialRefinement\ subset)$, fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of subset?,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of member,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of prod,

fmCompStrongDef:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

fmCompStrongDef:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, fmCompStrongDef:

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

fmCompStrongDef:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl fm2 s),

fmCompStrongDef:

Applying bddsimp, we get 3 subgoals:

fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- {1} FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) - ([---](K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{----\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)), fmCompStrongDef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ (([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl))(A(pl))(c)))
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#))
- $\{1\} \quad s(c) \Rightarrow (([--](K(\mathrm{pl}))(A(\mathrm{pl}))(c)) ([--](K(\mathrm{pl}))(A(\mathrm{pl}))(c)))$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.1.

fmCompStrongDef.2:

- FORALL (x: set | Asset |): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $(c: \operatorname{Conf}): s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c)$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c, fmCompStrongDef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.2.

fmCompStrongDef.3:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\{-3\}$ & FORALL $(c\colon \operatorname{Conf})\colon s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \land \{---\}(\operatorname{fm2})(c) \end{tabular}$
- {-4} wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)) {1} FORALL (x: Conf): $s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl))(x)$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, fmCompStrongDef.3:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set | Asset |): (x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\} \quad \text{forall } (c \colon \operatorname{Conf}) \colon \ s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ \{----\} (F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \ \land \ \{----\} (\operatorname{fm2})(c)$
- {-4} wfPL((#F := fm2, A := A(pl), K := K(pl)#)) {1} $s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl}))(c)$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c,

fmCompStrongDef.3:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of fmCompStrongDef.3. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun. partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s), partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- {1} EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

Applying totalRefIFFExistsFun

```
{-1} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
            plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                     plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f)
{-2}
         strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-3}
         EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
                s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(f(c)) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3}\text{, } f(c))))
```

Applying partRefExistsFunId partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

```
\{-1\}\ \ \forall\ (\text{pl1, pl2, }s):
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \Rightarrow
               (EXISTS (f: |(s) \rightarrow (s)|):
                      (FORALL c:
                             s(c) \Rightarrow
                              (\{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) --
         \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))))
{-2} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
             plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                      plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))
         strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-3}
{-4}
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
         EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
{1}
             FORALL c:
                s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(f(c)) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3}\text{, } f(c))))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, pl2, s,

```
\{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \Rightarrow
              (EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (s)]):
                    FORALL c:
                       s(c) \Rightarrow
                        (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c))))
     {-2} ∀ (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {—}, [—]]):
                plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow
                 (EXISTS (f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2})))]):
                       plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))
     {-3}
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
     {-4}
             plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
             EXISTS (f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):
     {1}
                FORALL c:
                   s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(pl3))(f(c)) \land (prod(pl1, c) --- prod(pl3, f(c))))
Applying bddsimp,
partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:
     \{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
```

 $\{-2\}$ EXISTS $(f : [(s) \rightarrow (s)]) :$ FORALL c :

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$
 {-3} \forall (pl1: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {---}, [----]], pl2: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {----}, [----]]): plRefinement(pl1, pl2) \Leftrightarrow (EXISTS $(f: [(\{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl2})))]): plRefinementFun(pl1, pl2, f))$

{-4} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS
$$(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$$
FORALL $c:$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

For the top quantifier in -2, we introduce Skolem constants: f,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: pl2, pl3, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))$$

{-4} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS
$$(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$$
FORALL $c:$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3, }f(c))))$

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))$$

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{1} EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, f(c))))$

For the top quantifier in -4, we introduce Skolem constants: g,

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- [1] EXISTS $(f: [(s) \rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3})))]):$ FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(f(c)) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3, }f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: $g \circ f$, we get 2 subgoals:

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ FORALL c:

$$\begin{array}{l} s(c) \Rightarrow \\ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))((g\circ f)(c)) \wedge \\ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1},\ c) --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl3},\ (g\circ f)(c)))) \end{array}$$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl2}))(f(c))) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{,}\ c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl2}\text{,}\ f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

Expanding the definition of o,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL c:

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, }c) -- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))$$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
\{-1\} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
```

$$\{-2\}$$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$

- {-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-4\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, g(f(c))))$

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
- $\{1\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl3, g(f(c))))

Expanding the definition of plRefinementFun, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- {-6} FORALL (c: Conf): $\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c) \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, g(c)))$
- $\{1\}$ $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: f(c), partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ s(c)
- $\{-3\}$ $(\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))$
- $\{-4\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl2, f(c)))
- {-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{-6\} \quad \{-6\} \quad \{-6\} \quad \{F(\text{pl2})(f(c)) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)) \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, g(f(c))))\}$
- $\{1\}$ (prod(pl1, c) prod(pl3, g(f(c))))

Applying bddsimp,

```
partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:
```

Using lemma assetRefinement,

{1}

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

 $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))$

```
{-1}
          orders | set | Asset | | . preorder?(---)
{-2}
           strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-3}
          s(c)
          (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
\{-4\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
{-5}
         plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-6}
\{-7\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
           (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, q(f(c))))
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of preorder?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
{-2}
          transitive?(—-)
{-3}
          strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
\{-4\}
          s(c)
{-5}
          (\{-
                   -}(F(pl2))(f(c))
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
          plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
{-8}
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of transitive?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
          FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
{-2}
              (x \longrightarrow y) \& (y \longrightarrow z) \Rightarrow (x \longrightarrow z)
{-3}
          strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-4}
          s(c)
          (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
\{-5\}
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, f(c)))
{-6}
          plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-7\}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2},\ f(c))\ -\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3},\ g(f(c))))
{-8}
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, q(f(c))))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c)$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))$, $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c)))$,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1:

```
\{-1\} reflexive?(—-)
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c))) \&
               (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
               \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{-3}
             strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
{-4}
             s(c)
\{-5\}
            (\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c)))
{-6}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)))
           plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-7\}
            (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, f(c)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, g(f(c))))
{-8}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}1, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}3, g(f(c))))
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.1. partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
{-1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)

{-2} FORALL c:

s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, c}) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, } f(c)))

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{-4} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

{1} \forall (x_1: (s)): \{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(x_1))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

```
{-1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)

{-2} FORALL c:

s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, c}) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2, }f(c)))

{-3} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

{-4} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

{1} {----}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))
```

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Expanding the definition of subset?, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

```
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl}1)))
        (c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \{---\}(F(\text{pl}2)))
{-2}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
{-3}
\{-4\}
       FORALL c:
            s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(pl2))(f(c))) \land (prod(pl1, c) --- prod(pl2, f(c)))
{-5}
        plRefinement(pl2, pl3)
\{-6\} plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)
        \{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c))
```

Expanding the definition of member, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
\{-2\} \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
\{-3\} FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))
\{-4\} FORALL c:
```

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))(f(c))) \land (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, f(c)))$$

{-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

-}(F(pl2))(f(c))

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl1))(x)
\{-2\} \{----\}(F(pl2))(c)
```

 $\{-3\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl1}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c))$

$$\{-4\} \quad s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl2}))(f(c))) \ \land \ (\mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl1}\text{, } c) \ --- \ \mathrm{prod}(\mathrm{pl2}\text{, } f(c)))$$

{-5} plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-6\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

 $\{----\}(F(pl2))(f(c))$ {1}

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2:

```
\{-1\} FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl1}))(x)
```

 $\{----\}(F(pl2))(c)$

FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pll}, c))$

plRefinement(pl2, pl3)

 $\{-5\}$ plRefinementFun(pl2, pl3, g)

 $-\}(F(pl2))(f(c))$ {1}

{2} s(c)

Adding type constraints for c,

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & s(c) \\ \{-2\} & \text{FORALL } (x \text{: Conf}) \text{: } s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\} (F(\text{pl1}))(x) \\ \{-3\} & \{----\} (F(\text{pl2}))(c) \\ \{-4\} & \text{FORALL } c \text{: } s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c)) \\ \{-5\} & \text{plRefinement}(\text{pl2}, \text{pl3}) \\ \{-6\} & \text{plRefinementFun}(\text{pl2}, \text{pl3}, g) \\ \hline \{1\} & \{----\} (F(\text{pl2}))(f(c)) \\ \{2\} & s(c) \end{cases}
```

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of ${\tt partPlusTotalImpliesPartFun.2}.$ Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart. partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s:
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl3, s:
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow
    strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl3 s), partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
{1} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)
```

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl3)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, s)

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongPartialRefinement strongerPLrefinement), partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

```
 \{-1\} \quad (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl2}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c))) \\ \{-2\} \quad \text{FORALL } (c_1: \text{Conf}): \\ \quad \{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c_1) \Rightarrow \\ \quad (\{-----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c_1) \land \\ \quad (([----](K(\text{pl2}))(A(\text{pl2}))(c_1)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c_1)))) \\ \{1\} \quad (s \subseteq \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \land \\ \quad (s \subseteq \{-----\}(F(\text{pl3}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl3}, c))) \\
```

Expanding the definition of subset?,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Expanding the definition of member, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Expanding the definition of prod, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart:

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Expanding the definition of transitive?, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

```
\{-1\} reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
         FORALL (x: set[Asset]), (y: set[Asset]), (z: set[Asset]):
             (x \longrightarrow y) & (y \longrightarrow z) \Rightarrow (x \longrightarrow z)
        s(c) \Rightarrow \{ \overrightarrow{---} \} (F(\text{pl1}))(c)

s(c) \Rightarrow \{ \overrightarrow{---} \} (F(\text{pl2}))(c)
{-3}
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
         FORALL c:
             s(c) \Rightarrow
              (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)))
\{-6\} \quad \{-\frac{1}{2}\}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c) \Rightarrow
           (\{---\}(F(\mathrm{pl3}))(c) \land
              (([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c))))
\{-7\}
         (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: ([----](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)), ([----](K(pl2))(A(pl3))(c)),

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Applying bddsimp,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -6 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1:

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
       (([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
{-2}
{-3}
       s(c)
      \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c)\{----\}(F(\text{pl2}))(c)
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
      s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) \longrightarrow ([---](K(\text{pl2}))(A(\text{pl2}))(c)))
{-6}
      \{----\}(F(pl3))(c)
\{-7\}
{1}
              -(K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl2))(A(pl2))(c))
       (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)))
{2}
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.1. partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c,

partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of partPlusTotalStrongerImpliesPart.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for commutableDiagram. commutableDiagram:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl3, pl4, (s: set[Conf] | (s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))): (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))
```

commutableDiagram:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl3, pl4, (s: set[Conf] | (s \subseteq \{ --- \}(F(\text{pl1})))): (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl3 pl4 s), commutableDiagram:

{1} (strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4))

Applying bddsimp, commutableDiagram:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)
- {1} EXISTS pl2: strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: pl4, commutableDiagram:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl4, pl4)

Expanding the definition(s) of (strongerPLrefinement strongPartialRefinement),

Expanding the definition of subset?, commutableDiagram:

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals: commutableDiagram.1:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

Using lemma assetRefinement,

commutableDiagram.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

commutableDiagram.1:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
commutableDiagram.1:
```

```
\{-1\}
        reflexive?(—-)
{-2}
        transitive?(—-)
         FORALL (c_1: Conf):
{-3}
             \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c_1) \Rightarrow
               (\{---\}(F(pl3))(c_1) \land
                     (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c_1)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c_1))))
        FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl4}))(x)
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))
{-6}
        \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c)
{-7}
                 -}(F(\text{pl4}))(c) \wedge
{1}
               (([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))))
```

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

commutableDiagram.1:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)), commutableDiagram.1:

```
(([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-1}
{-2}
         transitive?(—-)
{-3}
         FORALL (c_1: Conf):
             \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))(c_1) \Rightarrow
               (\{---\}(F(pl3))(c_1) \land
                     (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c_1)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c_1))))
         FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(x)
FORALL (x: \operatorname{Conf}): s(x) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\operatorname{pl4}))(x)
{-4}
\{-5\}
{-6}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl3}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))
         \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c)
\{-7\}
{1}
                  -\}(F(\mathrm{pl4}))(c) \wedge
               (([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))))
```

Simplifying, rewriting, and recording with decision procedures, This completes the proof of commutableDiagram.1. commutableDiagram.2:

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of prod, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Using lemma assetRefinement,

commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of preorder?, commutableDiagram.2:

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, commutableDiagram.2:

Expanding the definition of transitive?, commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: ([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)), ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(A(pl4))(c)),

```
{-1} reflexive?(—-)
\Rightarrow (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-3}
          -\}(F(\text{pl}1))(c) \Rightarrow
       (\{---\}(F(\operatorname{pl3}))(c) \land
           (([---](K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c))))
      s(c) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c)
{-4}
\{-5\}
      FORALL (x: Conf): s(x) \Rightarrow \{---\}(F(pl4))(x)
      s(c) \Rightarrow (([---](K(pl3))(A(pl3))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
           -(K(pl1))(A(pl1))(c)) -- ([---](K(pl4))(A(pl4))(c))
{1}
      (([--
```

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -5 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

Adding type constraints for s,

Expanding the definition of subset?,

commutableDiagram.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, commutableDiagram.2:

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & (c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \{---\}(F(\text{pl1}))) \\ \{-2\} & \text{reflexive?}(--) \\ \{-3\} & (([---](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c)) --- ([----](K(\text{pl4}))(A(\text{pl4}))(c))) \\ \{-4\} & \{----\}(F(\text{pl3}))(c) \\ \{-5\} & s(c) \\ \{-6\} & s(c) \Rightarrow \{----\}(F(\text{pl4}))(c) \\ \{1\} & (([----](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl3}))(A(\text{pl3}))(c))) \\ \{2\} & (([----](K(\text{pl1}))(A(\text{pl1}))(c)) --- ([-----](K(\text{pl4}))(A(\text{pl4}))(c))) \\ \{3\} & \{----\}(F(\text{pl1}))(c) \end{cases}
```

Expanding the definition of member,

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for commutableDiagram2. commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl4, s:
   (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow
   (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} FORALL pl1, pl2, pl4, s:
    (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow
    (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl1 pl2 pl4 s), commutableDiagram2:

```
{1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)) \Rightarrow (EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s))
```

Applying bddsimp, commutableDiagram2:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} EXISTS pl3: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl3) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl3, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in 1 with the terms: pl1, commutableDiagram2:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying totalImpliesPartial

commutableDiagram2.1:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (pl1, pl2, s: set[Conf] | $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl1})))$: strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl2) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl2, pl4, s, we get 2 subgoals:

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- {-1} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying strongPartRefTransitive

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (pl1, pl2, pl3, s, t): (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl3, t)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl3, $(s \cap t)$)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, pl2, pl4, s, s,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- {-1} (strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s) \land strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-2} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying bddsimp,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Applying sets_lemmas[Conf].intersection_idempotent commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\} \quad \forall \ (a: \operatorname{set}[\operatorname{Conf}]): \ (a \cap a) = a$
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-5} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: s, commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ $(s \cap s) = s$
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, $(s \cap s)$)
- {-5} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Replacing using formula -1,

commutableDiagram2.1.1:

- $\{-1\}$ $(s \cap s) = s$
- {-2} strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongPartialRefinement(pl2, pl4, s)
- $\{-4\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4) $\{-5\}$
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s) {1}

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.1.1.

commutableDiagram2.1.2:

- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(pl2)))$
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl4, s)

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, commutableDiagram2.1.2:

{-1}
$$(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl}1))) \land (s \subseteq \{----\}(F(\text{pl}2))) \land (\text{forall } c : s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}1, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl}2, c)))$$

- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1}
- $(s \subseteq \{---\}(F(\text{pl4}))) \land (\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl1, } c) -- \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl4}, c))$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.1.2. commutableDiagram2.2:

- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Applying strongerPLref

commutableDiagram2.2:

- orders[PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, {----}, [----]]].preorder?(stronge
- strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

- {-1} reflexive?(strongerPLrefinement) & transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-2\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-3} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, commutableDiagram2.2:

- {-1} reflexive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- {-2} transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Expanding the definition of reflexive?, commutableDiagram2.2:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL $(x: PL[Conf, FM, Asset, AssetName, CK, <math>\{----\}, [----]]): stronger-PLrefinement(<math>x, x$)
- {-2} transitive?(strongerPLrefinement)
- $\{-3\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl1, pl2, s)
- {-4} strongerPLrefinement(pl2, pl4)
- {1} strongerPLrefinement(pl1, pl1)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl1, This completes the proof of commutableDiagram2.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for changeAssetStrongPartialRef. changeAssetStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an, s):

((syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land

s = \diamondsuit (F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an)))

\Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))

WHERE pl2 = (#F := F(pl), A := am2, K := K(pl)#)
```

changeAssetStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an, s):

((syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land

s = \diamondsuit (F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an)))

\Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))

WHERE pl2 = (\#F := F(pl), A := am2, K := K(pl)\#)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl am2 pairs a1 a2 an s), changeAssetStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} ((syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land
s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an)))
\Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))
WHERE pl2 = (\#F := F(pl), A := am2, K := K(pl)\#)
```

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, changeAssetStrongPartialRef:

```
\{1\} \quad ((\operatorname{syntaxChangeAsset}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, \operatorname{pairs}, a_1, a_2, \operatorname{an}) \land \\ s = (\diamondsuit)(F(\operatorname{pl}), K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{singleton}(\operatorname{an}))) \\ \Rightarrow \\ (s \subseteq [--](F(\operatorname{pl}))) \land \\ (s \subseteq [--](F(\operatorname{pl}))) \land \\ (\operatorname{FORALL}\ (c: \operatorname{Configuration}): \\ s(c) \Rightarrow \\ (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) --- \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := \operatorname{am2}, K := K(\operatorname{pl})\#), c)))
```

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

```
changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:
```

```
{-1} syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)

{-2} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), \text{ singleton(an)})

{1} FORALL (c: Configuration):

s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(pl, c) \longrightarrow \text{prod}((\#F := F(pl), A := \text{am2}, K := K(pl)\#), c))
```

Applying sameEvalPairs

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

```
\{-1\} \forall (fm: FMi, am,
              ck:
                 CK
                      Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
       ture, [——], wf, wt,
                         genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
       dOptional,
              am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an, s: set [Configuration]):
          ((syntaxChangeAsset(am, am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land s = (\diamondsuit)(fm, ck, sin-
       gleton(an)))
              \Rightarrow
              (FORALL (c: Configuration):
                   s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck})(\text{am})(c)) = \text{semantics}(\text{ck})(\text{pairs})(c)))
       syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)
       s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))
       FORALL (c: Configuration):
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := K(\text{pl})\#), c))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: F(pl), A(pl), K(pl), am2, pairs, a1, a2, an, s,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1} ((syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land
s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an)))
\Rightarrow
(FORALL (c: Configuration):
s(c) \Rightarrow
(semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)))
{-2} syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)
{-3} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))
{1} FORALL (c: Configuration):
s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(pl, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(pl), A := \operatorname{am2}, K := K(pl)\#), c))
```

Applying bddsimp,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

Applying sameEvalPairs2

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

```
\{-1\} \forall (fm: FMi, am,
              ck:
                 CK
                       Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
       ture, [——], wf, wt,
                          genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
       dOptional,
              am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an, s: set | Configuration | ):
          ((syntaxChangeAsset(am, am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an) \land s = (\diamondsuit)(fm, ck, sin-
       gleton(an)))
              \Rightarrow
              (FORALL (c: Configuration):
                    s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck})(\text{am2})(c)) = \text{semantics}(\text{ck})(\text{pairs})(c)))
       syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)
{-2}
{-3}
       s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))
{-4}
       FORALL (c: Configuration):
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c)
       FORALL (c: Configuration):
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := K(\text{pl})\#), c))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: F(pl), A(pl), K(pl), am2, pairs, a1, a2, an, s,

```
changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:
```

Applying bddsimp,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{am2})(c)) = \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c)$$

 $\{-4\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$$

 $\{1\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := K(\text{pl})\#), c))$$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{am2})(c)) = \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c)$$

 $\{-4\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c)$$

$$\{1\}$$
 $s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := K(\text{pl})\#), c))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: c, changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{am2})(c)) = \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration):

$$s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$$

 $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := \operatorname{am2}, K := K(\operatorname{pl})\#), c))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{am2})(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := \operatorname{am2}, K := K(\operatorname{pl})\#), c))$

Expanding the definition of prod,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{am2})(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$
- $\{-4\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)) = \operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{pairs})(c)$
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow$

 $((\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)) \longrightarrow (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(\operatorname{am2})(c)))$

Applying bddsimp,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ s(c)
- $\{-4\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-5\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{1\}$ ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)))

Replacing using formula -4,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ s(c)
- $\{-4\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-5\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{1\}$ ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c))

Replacing using formula -5,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-3\}$ s(c)
- $\{-4\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-5\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{1\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c) semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c))

Using lemma SPLrefinement.assetRefinement, changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

```
{\tt changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:}
```

- {-1} reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-4\}$ s(c)
- $\{-5\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-6\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{1\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c) semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c))

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- {-1} reflexive?(—-)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-4\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-5\}$ s(c)
- $\{-6\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-7\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- $\{1\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c) semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c))

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x --- x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-4\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\{-5\}$ s(c)
- $\{-6\}$ (semantics(K(p1))(am2)(c)) = semantics(K(p1))(pairs)(c)
- $\{-7\}$ (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = semantics(K(pl))(pairs)(c)
- {1} $(\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c) \longrightarrow \text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(\text{pairs})(c))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: semantics (K(pl))(pairs)(c),

This completes the proof of changeAssetStrongPartialRef.1. changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

```
\{-1\} syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)
```

$$\{-2\}$$
 $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), K(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{singleton(an)})$
 $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq [---](F(\mathrm{pl})))$

$$\{1\}$$
 $(s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))$

Applying filteredConfigurations

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

```
\{-1\} \quad \forall \ (s: \ \text{set} [\text{Configuration}], \ \text{fm}: \ \text{FMi},
                   CK
                         Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
        ture, [---], wf, wt,
                            genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
        dOptional,
                anSet):
           (s \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(fm, ck, anSet)) \Rightarrow (s \subseteq [---](fm))
        syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1, a_2, an)
\{-3\} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))
\{1\} (s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: s, F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an), changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ $(s \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))) \Rightarrow (s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), K(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{singleton(an)})$ $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq [---](F(\mathrm{pl})))$

Applying bddsimp,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl}), \text{ singleton(an)})$ $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl}), \text{ singleton(an)}))$
- $\{2\}$ $(s \subset [---](F(pl)))$

Replacing using formula -2,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $((\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), K(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{singleton}(\mathrm{an})) \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), K(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{singleton}(\mathrm{an})))$
- $(s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))$

Expanding the definition of subset?,

changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- {1} FORALL (x: Configuration): $(x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))) \Rightarrow$ $(x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an)))$
- {2} FORALL $(x: Configuration): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in [---](F(pl)))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ syntaxChangeAsset(A(pl), am2, pairs, a_1 , a_2 , an)
- $\{-2\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), K(pl), singleton(an))$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \{1\} & (c \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), \ K(\mathrm{pl}), \ \mathrm{singleton(an)})) \Rightarrow \\ & (c \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), \ K(\mathrm{pl}), \ \mathrm{singleton(an)})) \end{array}$
- $\{2\}$ FORALL $(x: \text{Configuration}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in [---](F(\text{pl})))$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of changeAssetStrongPartialRef.2. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for addAssetsStrongPartialRef. addAssetsStrongPartialRef:

addAssetsStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, am2, ck2, s, its, pairs):  ((s = \diamond (F(\text{pl2}), K(\text{pl2}), \text{domain(pairs)}) \land \\ \text{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\text{pl}), \text{am2}, K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{pairs, its}) \land \\ \text{conditionsAddAssets}(\text{pairs, its}) \land \\ (\text{FORALL } c: \\ \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \\ \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics}(K(\text{pl2}))(A(\text{pl2}))(c)))) \\ \Rightarrow \text{strongPartialRefinement}(\text{pl}, \text{pl2}, s)) \\ \text{WHERE } \text{pl2} = (\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := \text{ck2}\#)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl am2 ck2 s its pairs), addAssetsStrongPartialRef:

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef:

```
\{1\} ((s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs)) \land
                         \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its}) \wedge
                           conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its) \( \lambda \)
                             (FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))}
                     (s \subseteq [---](F(\operatorname{pl}))) \land (s \subseteq [---](F(\operatorname{pl}))) \land 
                         (FORALL (c: Configuration):
                                 s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := \operatorname{am2}, K := \operatorname{ck2\#}),
Applying bddsimp,
we get 2 subgoals:
addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:
               s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
       {-2}
                 \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
       {-3}
                 conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
       {-4}
                 FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
                 FORALL (c: Configuration):
                     s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := \text{ck2}\#), c))
```

Applying addAssetsSameProducts

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
\{-1\} \forall (fm: FMi, am,
              ck:
                 CK
                       Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
       ture, [——], wf, wt,
                         genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
       dOptional,
              am2,
              ck2:
                 CK
                       Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
       ture, [—], wf, wt,
                         genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
       dOptional,
              s: set[Configuration],
              its:
                 set
                       Item
                               [Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
       ture, [——], wf, wt,
                                  genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addManda-
       tory, addOptional | ,
              pairs):
          ((s = (\diamondsuit)(fm, ck2, domain(pairs)) \land
                 syntaxAddAssets(am, am2, ck, ck2, pairs, its) \(\lambda\) conditionsAd-
       dAssets(pairs, its))
              (FORALL (c: Configuration):
                    s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(\text{ck})(\text{am})(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))))
       s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
{-3}
       \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
{-4}
       conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
       FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
{-5}
       FORALL (c: Configuration):
{1}
          s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := \text{ck2}\#), c))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: F(pl), A(pl), K(pl), am2, ck2, s, its, pairs,

```
addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:
```

```
\{-1\} ((s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs)) \land
                        \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its}) \wedge
                          conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its))
                     (FORALL (c: Configuration):
                            s(c) \Rightarrow
                              ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))))
      {-2}
               s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
               \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
      {-3}
                conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
      {-4}
      \{-5\}
               FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
      {1}
               FORALL (c: Configuration):
                   s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := \text{ck2}\#), c))
Applying bddsimp,
addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:
               s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
      {-2}
               \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
      {-3}
               conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
      {-4}
               FORALL (c: Configuration):
                   s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))
               FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
      \{-5\}
               FORALL (c: Configuration):
                   s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := \text{am2}, K := \text{ck2}\#), c))
Expanding the definition of prod,
addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:
               s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
               \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
      {-3}
               conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
               FORALL (c: Configuration):
      {-4}
                   s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))
               FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
      {-5}
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

FORALL (c: Configuration):

{1}

 $s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) \longrightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))$

```
addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:
```

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-2} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-4} FORALL\ (c: Configuration):

s(c) \Rightarrow ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))

{-5} FORALL\ c: \neg\ s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} s(c) \Rightarrow ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) \longrightarrow (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{domain(pairs)})

{-2} \text{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\text{pl}), \text{am2}, K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{pairs}, \text{its})

{-3} \text{conditionsAddAssets}(\text{pairs}, \text{its})

{-4} s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))

{-5} \text{FORALL } c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct}(\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c))

{1} s(c) \Rightarrow ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) \longrightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))
```

Applying bddsimp,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-2} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-4} s(c)

{-5} ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))

{-6} FORALL\ c: \neg\ s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) \longrightarrow (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
```

Replacing using formula -5,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-2} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-4} s(c)

{-5} ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))

{-6} FORALL \ c: \neg \ s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} ((semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)) \longrightarrow (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
```

Using lemma SPLrefinement.assetRefinement,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1} orders[set[Asset]].preorder?(—)

{-2} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-3} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-4} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-5} s(c)

{-6} ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))

{-7} FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(<math>ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} ((semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)) —- (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
```

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
{-1}
         reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
         s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
{-2}
         \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
{-3}
{-4}
         conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
\{-5\}
         s(c)
{-6}
         ((semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c)) = (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
\{-7\}
         FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
         ((\text{semantics}(\text{ck}2)(\text{am2})(c)) \longrightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))
{1}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1:

```
FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x - x)
{-2}
        transitive?(—-)
{-3}
         s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))
        \operatorname{syntaxAddAssets}(A(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{am2}, K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{pairs}, \operatorname{its})
\{-5\}
        conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
{-6}
         s(c)
         ((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) = (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c)))
\{-7\}
{-8}
        FORALL c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}
         ((semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)) \longrightarrow (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)))
{1}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: (semantics(ck2)(am2)(c)), This completes the proof of addAssetsStrongPartialRef.1. addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-2} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-4} FORALL\ c: \neg\ s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} (s \subset [---](F(pl)))
```

Applying filteredConfigurations addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: s, F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs),

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

```
{-1} (s \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))) \Rightarrow (s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))

{-2} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-3} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-4} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-5} FORALL\ c: \neg\ s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))

{1} (s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))
```

Applying bddsimp,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

```
{-1} s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))

{-2} syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)

{-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)

{-4} FORALL\ c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))
```

$$\{1\} \quad (s \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs)))$$

 $\{2\}$ $(s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))$

Replacing using formula -1,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))$ $\{-2\}$ syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)
- {-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: \neg s(c) \Rightarrow \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct(semantics(ck2)(am2)(c))}$
- $\{1\}$ $((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs)) \subseteq (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs)))$
- $\{2\}$ $(s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))$

Expanding the definition of subset?,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

- $\{-1\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), ck2, domain(pairs))$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxAddAssets(A(pl), am2, K(pl), ck2, pairs, its)
- {-3} conditionsAddAssets(pairs, its)
- $\{-4\} \quad \text{FORALL} \ c \colon \neg \ s(c) \ \Rightarrow \ \text{SPLrefinement.wfProduct}(\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(\text{am2})(c))$
- {1} FORALL (x: Configuration): $(x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ ck2}, \text{ domain(pairs)})) \Rightarrow$ $(x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ ck2}, \text{ domain(pairs)}))$ {2} FORALL $(x: \text{Configuration}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in [---](F(\text{pl})))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,

addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of $\mathtt{addAssetsStrongPartialRef.2}$. Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for changeCKLineStrongPartialRef. changeCKLineStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} FORALL (pl, ck2, item1, item2, its, s):  ((\text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl})) \land \\ s = (\diamondsuit (F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item1)}) \cap \diamondsuit (F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2)})) \land \\ \text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl2}), \text{ item1, item2, its}) \land \\ \text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2)})) \\ \Rightarrow \text{strongPartialRefinement}(\text{pl, pl2, s})) \\ \text{WHERE pl2} = (\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck2}\#)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl ck2 item1 item2 its s), changeCKLineStrongPartialRef:

```
{1} ((\text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl})) \land s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item1})) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2)})) \land \text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl2}), \text{ item1, item2, its}) \land \text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2)}))
\Rightarrow \text{strongPartialRefinement}(\text{pl, pl2, s}))
\text{WHERE pl2} = (\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck2}\#)
```

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef:

```
\{1\} \quad ((\text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl})) \land s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item1})) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2}))) \land syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), \text{ ck2, item1, item2, its}) \land \text{ wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2)})) \Rightarrow (s \subseteq [---](F(\text{pl}))) \land (s \subseteq [---](F(\text{pl}))) \land (FORALL (c: Configuration): s(c) \Rightarrow (prod(\text{pl}, c) --- prod((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck2}\#), c))))
```

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

```
{\tt change CKLine Strong Partial Ref. 1:}
```

{-2}

wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))

```
\operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
     {-3}
             wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
     {-4}
             FORALL (c: Configuration):
                s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
Applying falseExpMakesNoDiff
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1:
     \{-1\} \forall (fm: FMi, am,
                     ck1:
                        CK
                              [Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
             ture, [——], wf, wt,
                                 genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
             dOptional,
                     ck2:
                        CK
                              Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
             ture, [---], wf, wt,
                                 genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
             dOptional,
                     s: set | Configuration | ):
                FORALL (c: Configuration):
                   s(c) \Rightarrow
                     ((FORALL (item:
                                           Item
                                                  [Configuration, FeatureExpres-
                                                   —], wf, wt,
             sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [-
                                                    genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, ad-
             dMandatory, addOptional):
                              diffIts(item) \Rightarrow \neg sat(getExp(item), c)
                         \Rightarrow (semantics(ck1)(am)(c) = semantics(ck2)(am)(c)))
                   WHERE diffIts = symmetric_difference(items(ck1), items(ck2))
     {-2}
             wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-3}
             s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
     \{-4\}
             \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
     {-5}
             wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
             FORALL (c: Configuration):
      {1}
                s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
```

 $s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: F(pl), A(pl), K(pl), ck2, s,

```
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1:
```

 $s(c) \Rightarrow$

FORALL (c: Configuration):

Item

((FORALL (item:

```
[Configuration, FeatureExpres-
             sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [---], wf, wt,
                                                  genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, ad-
             dMandatory, addOptional):
                           diffIts(item) \Rightarrow \neg sat(getExp(item), c)
                       \Rightarrow (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c) = semantics(ck2)(A(pl))(c)))
                 WHERE diffIts = symmetric_difference(items(K(pl)), items(ck2))
     {-2}
             wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
             s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
     {-3}
             \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
     {-4}
     {-5}
             wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
             FORALL (c: Configuration):
      {1}
                 s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
Expanding the definition of symmetric_difference,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1:
             FORALL (c: Configuration):
                 s(c) \Rightarrow
                  ((FORALL (item:
                                        Item
                                               [Configuration, FeatureExpres-
             sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [---], wf, wt,
                                                  genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, ad-
             dMandatory, addOptional):
                           union((items(K(pl)) \ items(ck2)), (items(ck2) \ items(K(pl))))
                                   (item)
                             \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}), c))
                       \Rightarrow (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c) = semantics(ck2)(A(pl))(c)))
     {-2}
             wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
      {-3}
             s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
             \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
     {-4}
     \{-5\}
             wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
             FORALL (c: Configuration):
      {1}
                 s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c,
```

```
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1:
```

```
FORALL (c: Configuration):
                 s(c) \Rightarrow
                   ((FORALL (item:
                                          Item
                                                 Configuration, FeatureExpres-
              sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [---], wf, wt,
                                                    genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, ad-
              dMandatory, addOptional):
                            union((items(K(pl)) \ items(ck2)), (items(ck2) \ items(K(pl))))
                                    (item)
                              \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}), c))
                        \Rightarrow (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c) = semantics(ck2)(A(pl))(c)))
     {-2}
              wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
              s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
     {-3}
              \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
     {-4}
              wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1:
     \{-1\} s(c) \Rightarrow
               ((FORALL (item:
                                       Item
                                              Configuration, FeatureExpres-
              sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [——], wf, wt,
                                                 genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addManda-
              tory, addOptional):
                         union((items(K(pl)) \ items(ck2)), (items(ck2) \ items(K(pl))))
                                 (item)
                           \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}), c))
                    \Rightarrow (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c) = semantics(ck2)(A(pl))(c)))
     {-2}
              wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
              s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
     {-3}
              \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
              wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
              s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
      {1}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
{\tt change CKLine Strong Partial Ref. 1:}
```

```
\{-1\} s(c) \Rightarrow
                  ((FORALL (item:
                                             Item
                                                    Configuration, FeatureExpres-
                sion, sat, FMi, Feature, [——], wf, wt,
                                                       genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addManda-
                tory, addOptional):
                            union((items(K(pl)) \ items(ck2)), (items(ck2) \ items(K(pl))))
                                      (item)
                              \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}), c))
                       \Rightarrow (semantics(K(pl))(A(pl))(c) = semantics(ck2)(A(pl))(c)))
      {-2}
                wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
                s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
      {-3}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      {-4}
      {-5}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      {-6}
                s(c)
                (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
       {1}
Applying bddsimp,
we get 2 subgoals:
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:
      {-1}
                s(c)
      {-2}
                (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c) = \operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c))
      {-3}
               wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
               s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
      {-4}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      \{-5\}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      {-6}
                (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl},\ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}((\#F:=F(\operatorname{pl}),\ A:=A(\operatorname{pl}),\ K:=\operatorname{ck}2\#),\ c))
Expanding the definition of prod,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:
      \{-1\}
                s(c)
      {-2}
                (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c) = \operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c))
                wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
      {-3}
                s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
      {-4}
      {-5}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      {-6}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
```

Replacing using formula -2,

{1}

 $((\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c)) \longrightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c)))$

```
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:
```

Using lemma SPLrefinement.assetRefinement, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:

Expanding the definition of preorder?, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & \text{reflexive?}(--) \& \text{transitive?}(--) \\ \{-2\} & s(c) \\ \{-3\} & (\text{semantics}(K(\text{pl}))(A(\text{pl}))(c) = \text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c)) \\ \{-4\} & \text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl})) \\ \{-5\} & s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp}(\text{item1})) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp}(\text{item2}))) \\ \{-6\} & \text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{item1}, \text{item2}, \text{its}) \\ \{-7\} & \text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp}(\text{item2})) \\ \\ \{1\} & (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c) -- (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c))) \end{cases}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:

```
reflexive?(—-)
{-1}
          transitive?(—-)
{-2}
{-3}
          s(c)
{-4}
          (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c) = \operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c))
\{-5\}
          wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
          s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
          \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
{-8}
          wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
           (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c) \longrightarrow (\text{semantics}(\text{ck2})(A(\text{pl}))(c)))
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of reflexive?, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1:

```
FORALL (x: set[Asset]): (x - x)
{-2}
           transitive?(—-)
{-3}
           s(c)
           (\operatorname{semantics}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c) = \operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c))
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
           wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
           s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
{-6}
\{-7\}
          \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
{-8}
           wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
           (\operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c) — (\operatorname{semantics}(\operatorname{ck2})(A(\operatorname{pl}))(c)))
{1}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: semantics($\operatorname{ck2}$)($A(\operatorname{pl})$)(c), This completes the proof of changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.1. changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
{-1}
        s(c)
{-2}
        wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-3}
        s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
{-4}
        \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
{-5}
        wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
{1}
        FORALL (item:
                         Item
                                [Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
        ture, [---], wf, wt,
                                   genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addManda-
        tory, addOptional):
           union((items(K(pl)) \ items(ck2)), (items(ck2) \ items(K(pl))))(item)
             \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}), c)
        (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{2}
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: i, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
 \begin{cases} \{-1\} & s(c) \\ \{-2\} & \text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl})) \\ \{-3\} & s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item1})) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item2}))) \\ \{-4\} & \text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{item1}, \text{item2}, \text{its}) \\ \{-5\} & \text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item2})) \\ \\ \{1\} & \text{union}((\text{items}(K(\text{pl})) \setminus \text{items}(\text{ck2})), (\text{items}(\text{ck2}) \setminus \text{items}(K(\text{pl}))))(i) \Rightarrow \\ & \neg \text{sat}(\text{getExp}(i), c) \\ \{2\} & (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) \longrightarrow \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck2}\#), c)) \end{cases}
```

Expanding the definition of union,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
{-1}
                s(c)
      {-2}
                wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
                s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
      {-3}
      {-4}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      {-5}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
                (i \in (\text{items}(K(p1)) \setminus \text{items}(ck2))) \lor (i \in (\text{items}(ck2) \setminus \text{items}(K(p1))))
      {1}
                  \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)
                (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
      {2}
Expanding the definition of member,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:
      {-1}
                s(c)
      {-2}
                wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
                s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
      {-3}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      {-4}
      \{-5\}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      {1}
                difference(items(K(pl)), items(ck2))(i) \vee
                  difference(items(ck2), items(K(pl)))(i)
                  \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)
                (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
      {2}
Expanding the definition of intersection,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:
      {-1}
      {-2}
                wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
      {-3}
                s =
                  (\{x \mid x\})
                            (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))) \land
                              (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(p1), getExp(item2))))
      {-4}
                \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
      {-5}
                wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
                difference(items(K(pl)), items(ck2))(i) \vee
      {1}
                  difference(items(ck2), items(K(pl)))(i)
```

Expanding the definition of syntaxChangeCKLine,

 $\Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)$

{2}

 $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))$

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
\{-1\}
        s(c)
        wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-2}
{-3}
        s =
          (\{x \mid x\})
                    (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), \ \mathrm{getExp}(\mathrm{item}1))) \land
                     (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))))
        items(K(pl)) = (its \cup \{item1\}) \land items(ck2) = (its \cup \{item2\})
{-4}
{-5}
        wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
        difference(items(K(pl)), items(ck2))(i) \vee
{1}
          difference(items(ck2), items(K(pl)))(i)
          \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)
        (\text{prod}(\text{pl, }c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{2}
```

Expanding the definition of difference, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
{-1}
          s(c)
          wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-2}
{-3}
          s =
            (\{x \mid x\})
                        (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))) \land
                          (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))))
          items(K(pl)) = (its \cup \{item1\}) \land items(ck2) = (its \cup \{item2\})
{-4}
{-5}
          wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
          (i \in \text{items}(K(\text{pl}))) \land \neg (i \in \text{items}(\text{ck2})) \lor
{1}
            (i \in \text{items}(\text{ck2})) \land \neg (i \in \text{items}(K(\text{pl})))
            \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl},\ \overrightarrow{c}) \ -- \ \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}),\ A := A(\operatorname{pl}),\ K := \operatorname{ck}2\#),\ c))
```

Expanding the definition of add,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
\{-1\}
              s(c)
              wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-2}
     {-3}
              s =
               (\{x \mid x\})
                         (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))) \land
                          (x \in (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))))
     {-4}
              (\text{items}(K(\text{pl})) = (\{y \mid \text{item1} = y \lor (y \in \text{its})\})) \land
               items(ck2) = (\{y \mid item2 = y \lor (y \in its)\})
     {-5}
              wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      {1}
              (i \in \text{items}(K(\text{pl}))) \land \neg (i \in \text{items}(\text{ck2})) \lor
                (i \in \text{items}(\text{ck2})) \land \neg (i \in \text{items}(K(\text{pl})))
                \Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)
              (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
      {2}
Expanding the definition of member,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:
     \{-1\}
              s(c)
     {-2}
              wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-3}
               (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
     {-4}
              (items(K(pl)) = (\{y \mid item1 = y \lor its(y)\})) \land
               items(ck2) = (\{y \mid item2 = y \lor its(y)\})
     {-5}
              wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
              items(K(pl))(i) \land \neg items(ck2)(i) \lor
      {1}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

 $\Rightarrow \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(i), c)$

 $items(ck2)(i) \land \neg items(K(pl))(i)$

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

{2}

```
{-1}
         s(c)
        items(K(pl))(i) \land \neg items(ck2)(i) \lor items(ck2)(i) \land \neg items(K(pl))(i)
{-2}
{-3}
        sat(getExp(i), c)
{-4}
         wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-5}
        s =
          (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{getExp}(\mathrm{item}1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(\mathrm{pl}), \mathrm{getExp}(\mathrm{item}2))(x)\})
{-6}
        items(K(pl)) = (\{y \mid item1 = y \lor its(y)\})
        items(ck2) = (\{y \mid item2 = y \lor its(y)\})
\{-7\}
{-8}
        wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
{1}
```

 $(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))$

Applying decompose-equality,

```
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:
```

```
\{-1\} \quad \forall \ (x:
                       Item
                             Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
                         —], wf, wt,
                               genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
             dOptional):
               items(K(pl))(x) = (item1 = x \lor its(x))
     {-2}
            items(K(pl))(i) \land \neg items(ck2)(i) \lor items(ck2)(i) \land \neg items(K(pl))(i)
     {-3}
            sat(getExp(i), c)
     {-4}
     \{-5\}
            wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-6}
              (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
     \{-7\}
            items(ck2) = (\{y \mid item2 = y \lor its(y)\})
            wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
     {-8}
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
     {1}
Applying decompose-equality,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:
     \{-1\} \quad \forall \ (x:
                       Item
                             [Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
             ture, [——], wf, wt,
                               genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
             dOptional):
               items(ck2)(x) = (item2 = x \lor its(x))
     {-2}
            \forall (x:
                       Item
                             Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
             ture, [——], wf, wt,
                               genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
             dOptional|):
               items(K(pl))(x) = (item1 = x \lor its(x))
     {-3}
             items(K(pl))(i) \land \neg items(ck2)(i) \lor items(ck2)(i) \land \neg items(K(pl))(i)
     \{-4\}
     {-5}
            sat(getExp(i), c)
     {-6}
            wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     \{-7\}
              (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
     {-8}
             \operatorname{wt}(F(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}2))
             (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}(\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: i, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
\{-1\} items(ck2)(i) = (item2 = i \vee its(i))
\{-2\} \quad \forall \quad (x:
                     Item
                            Configuration, Feature Expression, sat, FMi, Fea-
         ture, [——], wf, wt,
                               genFeatureExpression, getFeatures, addMandatory, ad-
         dOptional):
            items(K(pl))(x) = (item1 = x \lor its(x))
{-3}
         s(c)
         \operatorname{items}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(i) \wedge \neg \operatorname{items}(\operatorname{ck2})(i) \vee \operatorname{items}(\operatorname{ck2})(i) \wedge \neg \operatorname{items}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(i)
\{-4\}
\{-5\}
         sat(getExp(i), c)
{-6}
         wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
\{-7\}
           (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
{-8}
         wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
         (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl},\ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}((\#F:=F(\operatorname{pl}),\ A:=A(\operatorname{pl}),\ K:=\operatorname{ck}2\#),\ c))
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: i, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2:

```
items(ck2)(i) = (item2 = i \lor its(i))
{-2}
          items(K(pl))(i) = (item1 = i \lor its(i))
{-3}
          s(c)
          \operatorname{items}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(i) \wedge \neg \operatorname{items}(\operatorname{ck2})(i) \vee \operatorname{items}(\operatorname{ck2})(i) \wedge \neg \operatorname{items}(K(\operatorname{pl}))(i)
{-4}
\{-5\}
          sat(getExp(i), c)
{-6}
          wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
\{-7\}
          s =
            (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
          wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
          (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl},\ c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}((\#F:=F(\operatorname{pl}),\ A:=A(\operatorname{pl}),\ K:=\operatorname{ck}2\#),\ c))
```

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:

sat(getExp(i), c)

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

items(ck2)(i) item2 = i

s(c)

 $\{-1\}$

{-2} {-3}

{-4}

```
wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-5}
     {-6}
             (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
            wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
     \{-7\}
     {1}
            its(i)
     {2}
            items(K(pl))(i)
     {3}
            item1 = i
            (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
     \{4\}
Applying decompose-equality,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:
     \{-1\} \forall (x_1: Configuration):
               s(x_1) =
                ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x_1) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x_1))
     {-2}
            items(ck2)(i)
     {-3}
            item2 = i
     {-4}
            s(c)
            sat(getExp(i), c)
     {-5}
            wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-6}
            wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
     \{-7\}
     {1}
            its(i)
     {2}
            items(K(pl))(i)
            item1 = i
     {3}
            (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
     \{4\}
```

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:

```
{-1}
      s(c) =
        ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(c) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(c))
{-2}
       items(ck2)(i)
{-3}
       item2 = i
{-4}
       s(c)
{-5}
       sat(getExp(i), c)
       wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
\{-7\}
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
       its(i)
{1}
{2}
       items(K(pl))(i)
{3}
       item1 = i
       (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{4}
```

Expanding the definition of ii.,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:

```
\{-1\} s(c) =
         (([---](F(\mathrm{pl}))(c) \land \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}1), c)) \land
             [---](F(pl))(c) \land \neg sat(getExp(item2), c))
{-2}
       items(ck2)(i)
{-3}
       item2 = i
{-4}
        s(c)
       sat(getExp(i), c)
{-5}
       wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
\{-7\}
{1}
        its(i)
{2}
        items(K(pl))(i)
        item1 = i
{3}
        (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{4}
```

Applying bddsimp,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:

```
{-1}
       s(c)
       [---](F(pl))(c)
{-2}
{-3}
      items(ck2)(i)
{-4}
      item2 = i
      sat(getExp(i), c)
\{-5\}
      wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
\{-7\}
      wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      sat(getExp(item1), c)
{1}
{2}
       sat(getExp(item2), c)
{3}
       its(i)
{4}
       items(K(pl))(i)
       item1 = i
\{5\}
       (\text{prod}(\text{pl, }c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
\{6\}
```

Replacing using formula -4,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1:

```
s(c)
{-1}
       [---](F(pl))(c)
{-2}
{-3}
       items(ck2)(i)
{-4}
       item2 = i
{-5}
       sat(getExp(i), c)
{-6}
       wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
\{-7\}
       sat(getExp(item1), c)
{1}
{2}
        sat(getExp(i), c)
{3}
        its(i)
{4}
        items(K(pl))(i)
\{5\}
        item1 = i
       (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl},\ c)\ -\!\!\!-\operatorname{prod}((\#F:=F(\operatorname{pl}),\ A:=A(\operatorname{pl}),\ K:=\operatorname{ck}2\#),\ c))
\{6\}
```

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.1.

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:

items(K(pl))(i)

sat(getExp(i), c)

wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

item1 = i

s(c)

 $\{-1\}$

{-2}

{-3}

{-4}

 $\{-5\}$

 $\{4\}$

```
{-6}
               (\{x \mid (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x)\})
     \{-7\}
             \operatorname{wt}(F(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}2))
             items(ck2)(i)
      {1}
     {2}
             item2 = i
     {3}
             its(i)
             (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
     \{4\}
Applying decompose-equality,
changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:
     \{-1\} \forall (x_1: Configuration):
                s(x_1) =
                  ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(x_1) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(x_1))
     {-2}
             items(K(pl))(i)
     {-3}
             item1 = i
     {-4}
             s(c)
     {-5}
             sat(getExp(i), c)
             wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
     {-6}
     \{-7\}
             wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
      {1}
             items(ck2)(i)
      {2}
             item2 = i
             its(i)
      \{3\}
             (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
```

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:

```
{-1}
       s(c) =
        ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1))(c) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2))(c))
{-2}
       items(K(pl))(i)
{-3}
       item1 = i
{-4}
       s(c)
{-5}
       sat(getExp(i), c)
       wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
\{-7\}
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
{1}
       items(ck2)(i)
{2}
       item2 = i
{3}
       its(i)
       (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{4}
```

Expanding the definition of ii.,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:

```
\{-1\} s(c) =
         (([---](F(pl))(c) \land \neg sat(getExp(item1), c)) \land 
              [---](F(\mathrm{pl}))(c) \wedge \neg \operatorname{sat}(\operatorname{getExp}(\operatorname{item}2), c))
{-2}
       items(K(pl))(i)
{-3}
       item1 = i
{-4}
        s(c)
{-5}
       sat(getExp(i), c)
       wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
\{-7\}
{1}
       items(ck2)(i)
{2}
        item2 = i
        its(i)
{3}
        (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
{4}
```

Applying bddsimp,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:

```
\{-1\}
        s(c)
             -](F(pl))(c)
{-2}
{-3}
       items(K(pl))(i)
{-4}
       item1 = i
\{-5\}
        sat(getExp(i), c)
        wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-6}
\{-7\}
       wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
{1}
        sat(getExp(item1), c)
{2}
        sat(getExp(item2), c)
{3}
        items(ck2)(i)
        item2 = i
{4}
        its(i)
\{5\}
        (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) - \operatorname{prod}((\#F := F(\operatorname{pl}), A := A(\operatorname{pl}), K := \operatorname{ck}2\#), c))
{6}
```

Replacing using formula -4,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2:

```
{-1}
      s(c)
{-2}
      [---](F(pl))(c)
{-3}
      items(K(pl))(i)
{-4}
      item1 = i
{-5}
      sat(getExp(i), c)
{-6}
      wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
      wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
\{-7\}
{1}
      sat(getExp(i), c)
{2}
      sat(getExp(item2), c)
{3}
      items(ck2)(i)
{4}
      item2 = i
{5}
       its(i)
       (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) - \text{prod}((\#F := F(\text{pl}), A := A(\text{pl}), K := \text{ck}2\#), c))
\{6\}
```

which is trivially true.

This completes the proof of changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.1.2.2. changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

```
wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))
{-2}
         s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))
         \operatorname{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{ck2}, \operatorname{item1}, \operatorname{item2}, \operatorname{its})
{-3}
         wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))
         (s \subseteq [---](F(pl)))
```

Expanding the definition of subset?,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

```
{-1} wfCK(F(pl), A(pl), K(pl))

{-2} s = ((\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item1)) \cap (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), getExp(item2)))

{-3} syntaxChangeCKLine(K(pl), ck2, item1, item2, its)

{-4} wt(F(pl), getExp(item2))

{1} FORALL (x: Configuration): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in [---](F(pl)))
```

Expanding the definition of intersection, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

Applying decompose-equality,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

```
{-1} \forall (x_1: \text{Configuration}):
s(x_1) = ((x_1 \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item1}))) \land (x_1 \in (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2}))))
{-2} \text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl}))
{-3} \text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{ item1}, \text{ item2}, \text{ its})
{-4} \text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{ getExp(item2}))
{1} \text{FORALL}(x: \text{Configuration}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in [---](F(\text{pl})))
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

Expanding the definition of member, changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

{-1}
$$s(c) = ((\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item1)})(c) \land (\diamondsuit)(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item2)})(c))$$

{-2} $\text{wfCK}(F(\text{pl}), A(\text{pl}), K(\text{pl}))$
{-3} $\text{syntaxChangeCKLine}(K(\text{pl}), \text{ck2}, \text{item1}, \text{item2}, \text{its})$
{-4} $\text{wt}(F(\text{pl}), \text{getExp(item2)})$
{1} $s(c) \Rightarrow [---](F(\text{pl}))(c)$

Expanding the definition of i.e., changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2:

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of ${\tt changeCKLineStrongPartialRef.2.}$ Q.E.D.

Verbose proof for removeFeaturePartRefStrong.removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

{1} FORALL (pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q):
 (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow strongPartial-Refinement(pl, pl2, s))

removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

{1} FORALL (pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q):
 (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow strongPartial-Refinement(pl, pl2, s))

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: (pl pl2 s its pairs P Q), removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

{1} (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))

Applying removeFeatureSameProducts removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

- {-1} \forall (pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q): (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow (FORALL c: $s(c) \Rightarrow \text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) = \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c)$))
- {1} (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q, removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

- {-1} (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow (FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)$))
- {1} (predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) \Rightarrow strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s))

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong:

- $\{-1\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ strongPartialRefinement(pl, pl2, s)

Expanding the definition of strongPartialRefinement,

- $\{-1\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- {1} $(s \subseteq \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))) \land (s \subseteq \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl2}))) \land (\text{FORALL } (c: \text{Configuration}): s(c) \Rightarrow (\text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) --- \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c)))$

Applying bddsimp,

we get 3 subgoals:

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- $\{-1\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- {1} FORALL (c: Configuration): $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

Using lemma SPLrefinement.assetRefinement,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- {-1} orders[set[Assets.Asset]].preorder?(—-)
- $\{-2\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- {1} FORALL (c: Configuration): $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

Expanding the definition of preorder?,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- {-1} reflexive?(—-) & transitive?(—-)
- $\{-2\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-3\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ FORALL (c: Configuration): $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- {-1} reflexive?(—-)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- {1} FORALL (c: Configuration): $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

Expanding the definition of reflexive?,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- $\{-1\}$ FORALL (x: set[Assets.Asset]): <math>(x x)
- $\{-2\}$ transitive?(—-)
- $\{-3\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-4\}$ Forall $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- {1} FORALL (c: Configuration): $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- FORALL (x: set[Assets.Asset]): (x x)
- {-2} transitive?(—-)
- predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) {-3}
- FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $s(c) \Rightarrow (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -4 with the terms: c, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- FORALL (x: set | Assets. Asset]): (x --- x)
- {-2} transitive?(---)
- $\{-3\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- FORALL (x: set[Assets.Asset]): (x x)
- transitive?(—-)
- predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q) {-3}
- {-4} s(c)
- $\frac{\{-5\} \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)}{\{1\} (\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \longrightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c))}$

Replacing using formula -5,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1:

- FORALL (x: set[Assets.Asset]): (x x)
- transitive?(—-)
- predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-4\}$ s(c)
- $\{-5\}$
- $\frac{\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)}{(\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c))}$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: $\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c)$,

This completes the proof of removeFeaturePartRefStrong.1.

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

- $\{-1\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl2})))$

Expanding the definition of predRemoveFeature,

```
{-1} (syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)

\land conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl)) \land s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)))

{-2} FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(pl, c) = \operatorname{prod}(pl2, c)

{1} (s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(pl2)))
```

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

- {-1} syntax Remove Feature($F(\mathrm{pl})$, $F(\mathrm{pl2})$, $A(\mathrm{pl})$, $A(\mathrm{pl2})$, $K(\mathrm{pl})$, $K(\mathrm{pl2})$, P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-2\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)))$
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl}2)))$

Expanding the definition of it,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

- {-1} syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-2\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\{c \mid \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(c) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q))\}$
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq semantics(F(pl2)))$

Applying decompose-equality,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

- $\{-1\}\ \ \forall\ (x: \text{Configuration}):$ $s(x) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(x) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q))).$
- {-2} syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl2})))$

Expanding the definition of subset?,

- {-1} \forall (x: Configuration): $s(x) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(x) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)))$. {-2} syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs){-3} conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl)){-4} $\text{FORALL } c: s(c) \Rightarrow \text{prod}(\text{pl}, c) = \text{prod}(\text{pl2}, c)$ {1} $\text{FORALL } (x: \text{Configuration}): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl2})))$
- For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:
- Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:
 - {-1} $s(c) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(c) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)), c]$ {-2} syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
 - $\{-3\}$ conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
 - $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
 - $\{1\}$ $(c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl2})))$

Expanding the definition of member, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

- $\{-1\} \quad s(c) \ = \ (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(c) \ \land \ \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)) \text{,} \ c \}$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ forall $c \colon s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow semantics(F(pl2))(c)$

Expanding the definition of syntaxRemoveFeature,

```
 \begin{cases} -1\} & s(c) = (\operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(c) \wedge \operatorname{satisfies}(\operatorname{NOT\_FORMULA}(\operatorname{NAME\_FORMULA}(Q)), \ c \\ -2\} & \operatorname{removeFeature}(F(\operatorname{pl}), F(\operatorname{pl2}), P, Q) \wedge \\ & \operatorname{features}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(P) \wedge \\ & \operatorname{features}(F(\operatorname{pl}))(Q) \wedge \\ & A(\operatorname{pl}) = \operatorname{overw}(\operatorname{pairs}, A(\operatorname{pl2})) \wedge K(\operatorname{pl2}) = (K(\operatorname{pl}) \setminus \operatorname{its}) \\ -3\} & \operatorname{conditionsRemoveFeature}(F(\operatorname{pl}), \operatorname{its}, \operatorname{pairs}, P, Q, K(\operatorname{pl})) \\ -4\} & \operatorname{FORALL} \ c \colon s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl2}, c) \\ \hline -4\} & \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl2}))(c) \end{aligned}
```

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

```
{-1}
        s(c)
{-2}
        semantics(F(pl))(c)
        satisfies(NOT\_FORMULA(NAME\_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-3}
{-4}
        removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-5}
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
\{-7\}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-9}
{-10}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
        semantics(F(pl2))(c)
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of semantics,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2:

```
{-1}
          s(c)
          \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c) \wedge \operatorname{satExpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
 \{-2\}
          satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-3}
          removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-4}
{-5}
          features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
          features(F(pl))(Q)
{-7}
          A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
          K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
          conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-9}
          Forall c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-10}
 {1}
          \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl2}), c) \wedge \operatorname{satExpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl2}), c)
```

Applying bddsimp, we get 2 subgoals:

```
\{-1\}
         s(c)
{-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-4}
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-5}
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
         K(\text{pl}2) = (K(\text{pl}) \setminus \text{its})
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
{-11}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
         satExpConsts(F(pl2), c)
{1}
```

 ${\bf Expanding\ the\ definition\ of\ sat Exp Consts},$

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1:

```
\{-1\}
         s(c)
{-2}
        \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
        FORALL (f: Formula_-): formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{-4}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-5}
        removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
{-7}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-10}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
{-11}
{1}
         FORALL (f: Formula_-): formulae(F(pl2))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: f,

```
{-1}
         s(c)
{-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         FORALL (f: Formula_-): formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-5}
         features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
         K(\text{pl}2) = (K(\text{pl}) \setminus \text{its})
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
{-11}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
         formulae(F(pl2))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{1}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -3 with the terms: f, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1:

```
\{-1\}
         s(c)
{-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT\_FORMULA(NAME\_FORMULA(Q)), c)
\{-5\}
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
         features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
\{-7\}
         features(F(pl))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-10}
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-11}
{1}
         formulae(F(pl2))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
```

Expanding the definition of removeFeature,

```
removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1:
```

```
{-1}
         s(c)
{-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q) \land
          features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(Q)
{-8}
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-9}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
{-11}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
         formulae(F(pl2))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of filterFormulae,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1:

```
{-1}
         s(c)
 {-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
         formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
\{-4\}
{-5}
         (formulae(F(pl2)) =
             ({form: Formula_ | formulae(F(pl))(form) \land \neg (Q \in names(form))}))
          \wedge features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
{-7}
         features(F(pl))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-9}
         conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-11}
         formulae(F(pl2))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{1}
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent,

```
{-1}
         s(c)
 {-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         formulae(F(pl2)) =
{-5}
          ({form: Formula_ | formulae(F(pl))(form) \land \neg (Q \in names(form))})
         features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
{-6}
\{-7\}
         features(F(pl))(P)
{-8}
         features(F(pl))(Q)
{-9}
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-10}
         K(\text{pl}2) = (K(\text{pl}) \setminus \text{its})
{-11}
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-12}
{-13}
         formulae(F(pl2))(f)
         satisfies (f, c)
{1}
```

Applying decompose-equality,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1:

```
\forall (x: Formula_{-}):
            formulae(F(pl2))(x) = (formulae(F(pl))(x) \land \neg (Q \in names(x)))
{-2}
         s(c)
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
{-4}
         formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
\{-5\}
{-6}
         features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(P)
{-8}
         features(F(pl))(Q)
{-9}
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-10}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-11}
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-12}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-13}
         formulae(F(pl2))(f)
         \overline{\text{satisfies}(f, c)}
{1}
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: f,

```
formulae(F(pl2))(f) = (formulae(F(pl))(f) \land \neg (Q \in names(f)))
{-2}
        s(c)
{-3}
        \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-4}
        formulae(F(pl))(f) \Rightarrow satisfies(f, c)
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-5}
{-6}
        features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
\{-7\}
        features(F(p1))(P)
{-8}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-9}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-10}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-11}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-12}
{-13}
        formulae(F(pl2))(f)
{1}
        satisfies (f, c)
```

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.1. removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
{-1}
         s(c)
{-2}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl}), c)
{-3}
         satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
\{-5\}
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(Q)
{-8}
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-9}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
{-11}
{1}
         \operatorname{satImpConsts}(F(\operatorname{pl2}), c)
```

Expanding the definition of satImpConsts,

```
{-1}
         s(c)
{-2}
         FORALL (n: Name): c(n) \Rightarrow features(F(pl))(n)
{-3}
         satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-5}
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
\{-7\}
         features(F(p1))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
         K(\text{pl}2) = (K(\text{pl}) \setminus \text{its})
         conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
{-11}
         FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
         FORALL (n: \text{Name}): c(n) \Rightarrow \text{features}(F(\text{pl2}))(n)
{1}
```

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: n, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
\{-1\}
        s(c)
{-2}
        FORALL (n: Name): c(n) \Rightarrow features(F(pl))(n)
{-3}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-4}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
\{-5\}
        removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-6}
\{-7\}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-10}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-11}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
{1}
        c(n) \Rightarrow \text{features}(F(\text{pl2}))(n)
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -2 with the terms: n,

```
{-1}
         s(c)
{-2}
         c(n) \Rightarrow \text{features}(F(\text{pl}))(n)
{-3}
         satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-4}
         satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
         removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
{-5}
{-6}
         features(F(pl))(P)
\{-7\}
         features(F(pl))(Q)
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-8}
{-9}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-10}
{-11}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)
         c(n) \Rightarrow \text{features}(F(\text{pl2}))(n)
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
{-1}
        s(c)
{-2}
        c(n)
{-3}
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-4}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-5}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-6}
        removeFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), P, Q)
\{-7\}
        features(F(p1))(P)
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-8}
{-9}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-10}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-11}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-12}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
        features(F(pl2))(n)
{1}
```

Expanding the definition of removeFeature,

```
{-1}
        s(c)
 {-2}
        c(n)
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-3}
{-4}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-5}
         formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q) \land
{-6}
          features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
\{-7\}
         features(F(pl))(P)
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-8}
{-9}
         A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-10}
        K(\text{pl2}) = (K(\text{pl}) \setminus \text{its})
{-11}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-12}
{1}
         features(F(pl2))(n)
```

Applying disjunctive simplification to flatten sequent, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
{-1}
        s(c)
{-2}
        c(n)
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-3}
{-4}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-5}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-6}
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
\{-7\}
        features(F(pl2)) = (features(F(pl)) \setminus \{Q\})
{-8}
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-9}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-10}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-11}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-12}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-13}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{1}
        features(F(pl2))(n)
```

Expanding the definition of remove,

```
{-1}
        s(c)
{-2}
        c(n)
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-3}
{-4}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-5}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-6}
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
        features(F(pl2)) = (\{y \mid Q \neq y \land (y \in features(F(pl)))\})
{-7}
{-8}
        features(F(p1))(P)
{-9}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-10}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-11}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
        conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-12}
{-13}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{1}
        features(F(pl2))(n)
```

Applying decompose-equality,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
\forall (x: \text{Name}): \text{features}(F(\text{pl2}))(x) = (Q \neq x \land (x \in \text{features}(F(\text{pl}))))
 {-2}
        s(c)
{-3}
        c(n)
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-4}
{-5}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-6}
{-7}
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
{-8}
        features(F(p1))(P)
{-9}
        features(F(p1))(Q)
{-10}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-11}
         K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-12}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-13}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
 {1}
        features(F(pl2))(n)
```

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: n,

```
features(F(pl2))(n) = (Q \neq n \land (n \in features(F(pl))))
{-2}
        s(c)
{-3}
        c(n)
{-4}
        features(F(pl))(n)
\{-5\}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
{-6}
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
\{-7\}
{-8}
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-9}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-10}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-11}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-12}
{-13}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{1}
        features(F(pl2))(n)
```

Expanding the definition of member,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2:

```
features(F(pl2))(n) = (Q \neq n \land features(F(pl))(n))
{-2}
        s(c)
{-3}
        c(n)
{-4}
        features(F(pl))(n)
{-5}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
{-6}
{-7}
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
{-8}
        features(F(p1))(P)
{-9}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-10}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
{-11}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-12}
        conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
{-13}
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
        features(F(pl2))(n)
{1}
```

Applying bddsimp,

```
features(F(pl))(n)
 \{-1\}
{-2}
        s(c)
{-3}
        c(n)
\{-4\}
        satExpConsts(F(pl), c)
        satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)), c)
\{-5\}
        formulae(F(pl2)) = filterFormulae(F(pl), Q)
{-6}
\{-7\}
        features(F(pl))(P)
{-8}
        features(F(pl))(Q)
{-9}
        A(pl) = overw(pairs, A(pl2))
\{-10\}
        K(pl2) = (K(pl) \setminus its)
{-11}
        conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
        FORALL c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)
{-12}
```

 $\{1\}$ features(F(pl2))(n)

 $\{2\}$ $Q \neq n$

Trying repeated skolemization, instantiation, and if-lifting,

This completes the proof of removeFeaturePartRefStrong.2.2.

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- $\{-1\}$ predRemoveFeature(pl, pl2, s, its, pairs, P, Q)
- $\{-2\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl})))$

Expanding the definition of predRemoveFeature,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

Applying bddsimp,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- {-1} syntax Remove Feature($F(\mathrm{pl})$, $F(\mathrm{pl2})$, $A(\mathrm{pl})$, $A(\mathrm{pl2})$, $K(\mathrm{pl})$, $K(\mathrm{pl2})$, P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-2\}$ conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\diamondsuit)(F(pl), NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q)))$
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl})))$

Expanding the definition of it.,

- {-1} syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-2\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-3\}$ $s = (\{c \mid semantics(F(pl))(c) \land satisfies(NOT_FORMULA(NAME_FORMULA(Q))\}$
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl})))$

Applying decompose-equality,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (x: Configuration): $s(x) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(x) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)))$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}2, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(s \subseteq \operatorname{semantics}(F(\operatorname{pl})))$

Expanding the definition of subset?,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- $\{-1\}\ \ \forall\ (x: \text{Configuration}):$ $s(x) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(x) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q))).$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- {1} FORALL $(x: Configuration): (x \in s) \Rightarrow (x \in semantics(F(pl)))$

For the top quantifier in 1, we introduce Skolem constants: c, removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- $\{-1\}$ \forall (x: Configuration):
 - $s(x) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(x) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)))$
 - $\{-2\}$ syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditionsRemoveFeature(F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl})))$

Instantiating the top quantifier in -1 with the terms: c,

- $\{-1\}$ $s(c) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(c) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)), c)$
- {-2} syntax Remove Feature($F(\mathrm{pl})$, $F(\mathrm{pl2})$, $A(\mathrm{pl})$, $A(\mathrm{pl2})$, $K(\mathrm{pl})$, $K(\mathrm{pl2})$, P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $(c \in s) \Rightarrow (c \in \text{semantics}(F(\text{pl})))$

Expanding the definition of member,

removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3:

- $\{-1\}$ $s(c) = (\text{semantics}(F(\text{pl}))(c) \land \text{satisfies}(\text{NOT_FORMULA}(\text{NAME_FORMULA}(Q)), c)$
- $\{-2\}$ syntaxRemoveFeature(F(pl), F(pl2), A(pl), A(pl2), K(pl), K(pl2), P, Q, its, pairs)
- $\{-3\}$ conditions Remove Feature (F(pl), its, pairs, P, Q, K(pl))
- $\{-4\}$ FORALL $c: s(c) \Rightarrow \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c) = \operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{pl}, c)$
- $\{1\}$ $s(c) \Rightarrow semantics(F(pl))(c)$

Applying bddsimp,

This completes the proof of removeFeaturePartRefStrong.3. Q.E.D.