

Internship Report

Solal Rapaport

June 2022

1 Introduction

The goal is to build formulas that will allow robots, spread on a ring, to gather. We have k robots and we will use view vectors to build those formulas. The formulas will be an interpretation of the pseudo-code given in the research report [1].

The formulas we are building, will be used with formulas given in an other research report [2], and then will be tested in the acceleration algorithm using an interpolant [2]

2 Configuration with single multiplicity

The strategy ϕ_{SM} is *true* if the given configuration has a single multiplicity and that the robot calling the strategy should move toward the robot at distance d_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{SM}(d_0, \dots, d_{k-1}) := & \\ (\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} (d_i = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0, j \neq i}^{k-1} (d_j > 0 \vee (d_j = 0 \wedge d_{j-1} = 0)))) \wedge & \\ (d_{k-1} \neq 0) \wedge & \\ ((d_1 = 0 \wedge d_{k-2} = 0 \wedge d_0 \leq d_{k-1}) \vee (d_1 = 0 \wedge d_{k-2} \neq 0)) & \end{aligned}$$

In order to test our strategy we need a function that will initialize our first configuration and make it one with a single multiplicity without being already a winning one. Here is its implementation in python :

```
def InitSM(p, s, t, taille_anneau):
    """
    Initialize the given configuration
    The configuration will have no multiplicity
    and won't be a winning one
    """
    tmpOr = []
    tmpAnd = []
    for i in range(len(p)):
        tmpOr.append(p[i] != p[(i+1)%len(p)])
    tmpAnd.append(Or(tmpOr))
    # we make sure there is no winning configuration
    # as a result of this function
    tmpOr = []
    for i in range(len(s)):
        tmpAnd.append(p[i] >= 0)
        tmpAnd.append(p[i] < taille_anneau)
        tmpAnd.append(s[i] == -1)
        tmpAnd.append(t[i] == 0)

    for i in range(len(p)):
        tmpAndbis = []
        tmpAndbis.append(p[i] == p[(i+1)%len(p)])
        tmpOrbis = []
        for j in range(len(p)):
```

```

        if((j != i) and (j != (i+1))):
            tmpOrbis.append(p[j] == p[i])
            tmpAndter=[]
            for h in range(len(p)):
                if h !=j:
                    tmpAndter.append(p[h] != p[j])
            tmpOrbis.append(And(tmpAndter))
        tmpAndbis.append(Or(tmpOrbis))
        tmpOr.append(And(tmpAndbis))
    tmpAnd.append(Or(tmpOr))
    return And(tmpAnd)

```

We want to test this function, we choose a configuration with a ring of size 5 and 3 robots. We use the parameters below as an input :

```

taille_anneau = 5          # Taille de l'anneau
nb_robots = 3              # Nombre de robot sur l'anneau

```

```

p = [ Int('p%s' % i) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
s = [ Int('s%s' % i) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
t = [ Int('t%s' % i) for i in range(nb_robots) ]

```

```

tabInit = InitSM(p, s, t, taille_anneau)
print("tabInit_:\n", tabInit)

```

```

solv1 = Solver()
solv1.add(tabInit)

```

```

print("solv1_:", solv1.check())
if(solv1.check() == sat):
    print("model_:\n", solv1.model())

```

The code above produces the following output :

```

tabInit :
And(Or(p0 != p1, p1 != p2, p2 != p0),
    p0 >= 0,
    p0 < 5,
    s0 == -1,
    t0 == 0,
    p1 >= 0,
    p1 < 5,
    s1 == -1,
    t1 == 0,
    p2 >= 0,
    p2 < 5,
    s2 == -1,
    t2 == 0,
    Or(And(p0 == p1, Or(p2 == p0, And(p0 != p2, p1 != p2))),
        And(p1 == p2, Or(p0 == p1, And(p1 != p0, p2 != p0))),
        And(p2 == p0,
            Or(p0 == p2,
                And(p1 != p0, p2 != p0),
                p1 == p2,
                And(p0 != p1, p2 != p1))))))
solv1 :   sat
model :
[p1 = 1,
 p0 = 0,
 p2 = 0,

```

```

t2 = 0,
s2 = -1,
t1 = 0,
s1 = -1,
t0 = 0,
s0 = -1]

```

What the model shows us is a configuration where robots 0 and 2 are in position 0 and robot 1 is in position 1.

Now we implement in python the $\phi_S M$ strategy :

```

def phiSM( distances ):
    tabAnd = []
    tabOr = []
    for i in range(len( distances )):
        tabAndBis = []
        tabAndBis.append( distances [ i ] == 0 )
        for j in range(len( distances )):
            if j != i:
                tabOrBis.append( distances [ j ] > 0 )
                tabOrBis.append( And( distances [ j ] == 0,
                    distances [ j - 1 ] == 0 ) )
                tabAndBis.append( Or( tabOrBis ) )
        tabOr.append( And( tabAndBis ) )
    tabAnd.append( Or( tabOr ) )
    tabAnd.append( distances [ - 1 ] != 0 )
    tabOr = []
    tabOr.append( And( distances [ 1 ] == 0, distances [ - 2 ] == 0,
        distances [ 0 ] <= distances [ - 1 ] ) )
    tabOr.append( And( distances [ 1 ] == 0, distances [ - 2 ] != 0 ) )
    tabAnd.append( Or( tabOr ) )
    return And( tabAnd )

```

We test this function in the same configuration than given before with the following input :

```

taille_anneau = 5          # Taille de l'anneau
nb_robots = 3              # Nombre de robot sur l'anneau

p = [ Int( 'p%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
s = [ Int( 's%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
t = [ Int( 't%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]

d0 = [ Int( 'd%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
d1 = [ Int( 'd%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]
d2 = [ Int( 'd%s' % i ) for i in range(nb_robots) ]

tabInit = InitSM( p, s, t, taille_anneau )
tabConfig1 = ConfigView( taille_anneau, nb_robots, 0, p, d0 )
tabConfig2 = ConfigView( taille_anneau, nb_robots, 1, p, d1 )
tabConfig3 = ConfigView( taille_anneau, nb_robots, 2, p, d2 )
tabPhiSM1 = phiSM( d0 )
tabPhiSM2 = phiSM( d1 )
tabPhiSM3 = phiSM( d2 )

solv1 = Solver()
solv1.add( tabInit )
solv1.add( tabConfig1 )
solv1.add( tabPhiSM1 )

```

```

print("solv1_:_" , solv1 . check ())
if(solv1 . check () == sat ):
    print("model_:\"n\" , solv1 . model ())

solv2 = Solver ()
solv2.add(tabInit)
solv2.add(tabConfig2)
solv2.add(tabPhiSM2)

print("solv2_:_" , solv2 . check ())
if(solv2 . check () == sat ):
    print("model_:\"n\" , solv2 . model ())

solv3 = Solver ()
solv3.add(tabInit)
solv3.add(tabConfig3)
solv3.add(tabPhiSM3)

print("solv3_:_" , solv3 . check ())
if(solv3 . check () == sat ):
    print("model_:\"n\" , solv3 . model ())

```

It produces the following output :

```

solv1 :   sat
model :
[d0 = 1,
d2 = 4,
p1 = 1,
p0 = 0,
p2 = 1,
d1 = 0,
t2 = 0,
s2 = -1,
t1 = 0,
s1 = -1,
t0 = 0,
s0 = -1]
solv2 :   sat
model :
[d0 = 1,
d2 = 4,
p1 = 0,
p0 = 1,
p2 = 1,
d1 = 0,
t2 = 0,
s2 = -1,
t1 = 0,
s1 = -1,
t0 = 0,
s0 = -1]
solv3 :   sat
model :
[d0 = 1,
d2 = 4,
p1 = 0,
p0 = 0,
p2 = 4,

```

$$\begin{aligned}
d1 &= 0, \\
t2 &= 0, \\
s2 &= -1, \\
t1 &= 0, \\
s1 &= -1, \\
t0 &= 0, \\
s0 &= -1]
\end{aligned}$$

What it shows us is that every robot can move in this kind of configuration. The solver find a way to place the robot calling the strategy outside of the multiplicity and then allow the strategy to be true, meaning, the robot moves toward the multiplicity.

3 Gathering rigid configurations

Let d_{ij} be the value j of the view vector of the robot i , and ds_{ij} the value j of the symmetrical view of the robot i . The robot is calling the strategy ϕ_R , here are all the logic formulas used in order to build ϕ_R :

AllView is true if $d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}$ are all the views you can obtain from a single view vector $dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
AllView(dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}, d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}) := \\
(\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} (d_{ij} = dist_{(j+i) \bmod k})))
\end{aligned}$$

IsRigid is true if the given configuration is a rigid configuration. Meaning, all the views are distinct, and so there is no multiplicity, the configuration isn't symmetric or periodic.

$$\begin{aligned}
IsRigid(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}) := \\
\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} d_{ij} \neq 0) \wedge \\
\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{l=0}^{k-1} l \neq i ((\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} d_{ij} \neq d_{lj}) \wedge (\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} d_{ij} \neq ds_{lj}) \\
\wedge (\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} ds_{ij} \neq d_{lj}) \wedge (\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} ds_{ij} \neq ds_{lj})))
\end{aligned}$$

AllCode is true if (α'_r, β'_r) is the set of two natural numbers of the robot r such as α'_r and β'_r are codes of r 's views, with $\alpha'_r < \beta'_r$.

$$\begin{aligned}
AllCode(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1}, \\
\alpha'_0, \dots, \alpha'_{k-1}, \beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_{k-1}) := \\
\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\alpha'_i < \beta'_i \wedge (\alpha'_i = \alpha_i \vee \alpha'_i = \beta_i) \wedge (\beta'_i = \alpha_i \vee \beta'_i = \beta_i)) \wedge \\
((\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{k-1} < \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_{k-1}) \wedge \\
(\bigvee_{p=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{q=0}^{p-1} (d_{0q} = d_{1q}) \wedge d_{0p} > d_{1p})) \wedge \dots \wedge \\
(\bigvee_{p=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{q=0}^{p-1} (ds_{(k-2)q} = ds_{(k-1)q}) \wedge ds_{(k-2)p} > ds_{(k-1)p}))) \\
\vee \\
((\alpha_0 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{k-1} < \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_{k-1}) \wedge \dots) \vee \dots
\end{aligned}$$

CodeMaker is true if the configuration is rigid and if $(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, as_0, \dots, as_{k-1})$ are each code of each view passed as a parameter :

$$\begin{aligned}
CodeMaker(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) := \\
IsRigid(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}) \wedge \\
\exists \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1}, \alpha'_0, \dots, \alpha'_{k-1}, \beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_{k-1}, \\
AllCode(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{k-1}, \\
\alpha'_0, \dots, \alpha'_{k-1}, \beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_{k-1}) \\
(\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{j=0, j \neq i}^{k-1} ((a_i > a_j \wedge \alpha'_j > \alpha'_i) \vee (a_i < a_j \wedge \alpha'_j < \alpha'_i)))) \\
\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigwedge_{j=0, j \neq i}^{k-1} a_i \neq a_j)
\end{aligned}$$

FindMax is true if *Max* is the highest value of the view vector passed as a parameter :

$$\begin{aligned}
FindMax(dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}, Max) := \\
(\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (Max \geq dist_i) \wedge (\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} (Max = dist_i)))
\end{aligned}$$

$FindM$ is *true* if M is the index of the robot (index in the view vector) which has the largest code of view and a neighboring robot at distance Max :

$$FindM(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, Max, dM_0, \dots, dM_{k-1}) := \\ \bigvee_{m=0}^{k-1} ((\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} ((a_m \geq a_i \wedge (d_{i0} = Max \vee d_{ik-1} = Max)) \\ \vee (d_{i0} < Max \wedge d_{ik-1} < Max))) \wedge M = m)$$

$FindN$ is *true* if N is the index of the robot (index in the view vector) with the largest code of view and M as a neighboring robot at distance Max :

$$FindN(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, Max, M, N) := \\ (d_{M0} = Max \wedge d_{Mk-1} = Max \wedge \\ ((N = ((M+1) \bmod k) \wedge a_{(M+1) \bmod k} > a_{(M-1) \bmod k}) \vee \\ (N = ((M-1) \bmod k) \wedge a_{(M-1) \bmod k} > a_{(M+1) \bmod k}))) \vee \\ (d_{M0} = Max \wedge d_{Mk-1} \neq Max \wedge N = ((M+1) \bmod k)) \vee \\ (d_{M0} \neq Max \wedge d_{Mk-1} = Max \wedge N = ((M-1) \bmod k))$$

Since those formulas can't be implemented in python because it is impossible to work around a variable index, we choose to build a new formula, $FindMN$ that will be *true* if both vectors dM and dN are the view vector of, respectively, M and N .

$$FindMN(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, Max, M, N, \\ dM_0, \dots, dM_{k-1}, dN_0, \dots, dN_{k-1}) := \\ \bigvee_{m=0}^{k-1} ((\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} ((a_m \geq a_i \wedge (d_{i0} = Max \vee d_{ik-1} = Max)) \\ \vee (d_{i0} < Max \wedge d_{ik-1} < Max))) \wedge M = m \wedge \\ ((d_{m0} = Max \wedge d_{mk-1} = Max \wedge \\ ((N = M+1 \bmod k \wedge a_{(m+1) \bmod k} > a_{(m-1) \bmod k}) \vee \\ (N = M-1 \bmod k \wedge a_{(m-1) \bmod k} > a_{(m+1) \bmod k}))) \vee \\ (d_{m0} = Max \wedge d_{mk-1} \neq Max \wedge N = M+1 \bmod k) \vee \\ (d_{m0} \neq Max \wedge d_{mk-1} = Max \wedge N = M-1 \bmod k)) \vee \\ ((N = M-1 \bmod k \wedge (\bigwedge_{l=0}^{k-1} (dN_l = d_{(m-1 \bmod k)((k-1)-l)} \wedge dM_l = d_{ml}))) \vee \\ (N = M+1 \bmod k \wedge (\bigwedge_{l=0}^{k-1} (dN_l = d_{(m+1 \bmod k)l} \wedge dM_l = d_{m((k-1)-l)}))))))$$

ϕ_R is *true* if the robot can move toward a multiplicity. There must be only one multiplicity and the configuration must be rigid :

$$\phi_R(dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}) := \\ \exists d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, AllView(dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}, d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}) \wedge \\ \exists ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (ViewSym(d_{i0}, \dots, d_{ik-1}, ds_{i0}, \dots, ds_{ik-1})) \wedge \\ \exists Max, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, dM_0, \dots, dM_{k-1}, dN_0, \dots, dN_{k-1}, \\ CodeMaker(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) \wedge \\ FindMax(dist_0, \dots, dist_{k-1}, Max) \wedge \\ FindMN(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, Max, dM_0, \dots, dM_{k-1}, dN_0, \dots, dN_{k-1}) \wedge \\ \exists dM_2, \dots, dM_{2k-1}, dN_2, \dots, dN_{2k-1}, \\ ((\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (dM_{2i} = dM_{i+1 \bmod k})) \vee (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (dM_{2i} = dM_{i-1 \bmod k}))) \wedge \\ (\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} (dM_{2i} \neq dN_i)) \wedge \\ ((\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (dN_{2i} = dN_{i+1 \bmod k})) \vee (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (dN_{2i} = dN_{i-1 \bmod k}))) \wedge \\ (\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} (dN_{2i} \neq dM_i)) \wedge \\ \exists distM_0, \dots, distM_{k-1}, distN_0, \dots, distN_{k-1}, \\ \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (distM_i = (\sum_{l=0}^i dM_l) \wedge distN_i = (\sum_{l=0}^i dN_l)) \wedge \\ (\bigvee_{i=0}^{k-1} ((distM_i < distN_i \wedge \bigwedge_{q=0}^i (distM_q = distN_q) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} (dM_j = dist_j)) \vee \\ (distM_i > distN_i \wedge \bigwedge_{q=0}^i (distM_q = distN_q) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=0}^{k-1} (dN_j = dist_j)))$$

4 Gathering an odd number of robots

We are now building a strategy, ϕ_{ON} , that will gather an odd number of robots on a non-periodic configuration. It is the strategy with the lowest priority, it means that the configuration won't be rigid and won't have any multiplicity.

First we build the formula, *IsOddNonPeriodic*, that will return *true* if the number of robots is odd and if the configuration is non-periodic :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IsOddNonPeriodic}(\text{dist}_0, \dots, \text{dist}_{k-1}) := & \\ & ((k+1) \bmod 2 = 0) \wedge \\ & \exists p \in [0; \frac{k-1}{2}] \\ \exists d'_0, \dots, d'_{p-1}, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (d'_i \bmod p = \text{dist}_i) \wedge \text{dist}_{k-1} \neq d'_{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we build the ϕ_{OD} strategy, it returns *true* if the configuration is non-rigid, non-periodic, has no multiplicity and has an odd number of robots. The robot just move in order to create a multiplicity or a rigid configuration that will lead to a rigid configuration or one with a multiplicity.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{ON}(\text{dist}_0, \dots, \text{dist}_{k-1}) := & \\ \exists d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, \text{AllView}(\text{dist}_0, \dots, \text{dist}_{k-1}, d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}) \wedge & \\ \exists ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}, \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\text{ViewSym}(d_{i0}, \dots, d_{ik-1}, ds_{i0}, \dots, ds_{ik-1})) \wedge & \\ \neg \text{IsRigid}(d_{00}, \dots, d_{k-1k-1}, ds_{00}, \dots, ds_{k-1k-1}) \wedge & \\ \text{IsOddNonPeriodic}(\text{dist}_0, \dots, \text{dist}_{k-1}) \wedge & \\ (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{dist}_i \neq 0) & \end{aligned}$$

5 Tests

In order to test the algorithm [2] we will use the python code we show you at the beginning : *InitSM* and *phiSM*.

We will use the SAT-solver to test different configurations. We will change the number of robots and the size of the ring from a test to an other.

5.1 Test *InitSM*

First, we test the function *InitSM* alone : can we have an initial configuration with a single multiplicity with those parameters ?

nb-robot \ size-ring	2	3	4	5	6
2	Unsat	Unsat	Unsat	Unsat	Unsat
3	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat
4	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat
5	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat
6	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat	Sat

The results make sense : we can't create a multiplicity with 2 robots which is not a winning configuration. Else, even on a ring size of 2 we can have a multiplicity on one spot and only one robot on the other spot.

5.2 Test ϕ_{SM}

Now we test ϕ_{SM} through the algorithm [2], we also use the function *InitSM* that makes sure we have a single multiplicity at the beginning.

nb-robot \ size-ring	2	3	4	5	6
3	Timeout	Timeout	Timeout
4	Timeout
5
6

Same test but with the function *Init* instead.

nb-robot \ size-ring	2	3	4	5	6
3	Timeout	Loose	Loose	Loose	...
4
5
6

For $nb_{robot} = 3$ and $size_{ring} = 2$ we face this problem :

Traceback (most recent call last):

```

File "algov5.py", line 56, in <module>

    Ip = tree_interpolant(And(Interpolant(And(tmpAndInterpolant)),
    And(tmpAndContext)))

File "/usr/lib/python3.8/site-packages/z3/z3.py", line 8297,
in tree_interpolant

    res = Z3_compute_interpolant(ctx.ref(), f.as_ast(), p.params, ptr, mptr)

File "/usr/lib/python3.8/site-packages/z3/z3core.py", line 4074,
in Z3_compute_interpolant

    _elems.Check(a0)

File "/usr/lib/python3.8/site-packages/z3/z3core.py", line 1336, in Check

    raise self.Exception(self.get_error_message(ctx, err))

z3.z3types.Z3Exception: b'theory not supported by interpolation or bad proof'

```



```

1  foreach synchronous winning strategy f do
2     $k = 1$ ;
3    while true do
4       $I(c) = \text{Init}(c)$ ;
5       $\text{continue} = \text{true}$ ;
6      while continue do
7        foreach elem in MaybeThisSize do
8           $\text{NotThisSizeBis} = [i \text{ for } i \text{ in range}(k) \text{ and } i \neq \text{elem}]$ ;
9          if  $I(c) \wedge \text{Post}(c, c1), \text{Post}(c1, c2) \wedge \dots \wedge \text{Post}(c_{k-1}, c_k) \wedge$ 
             $\text{BouclePerdante}(c_k, \text{NotThisSizeBis}) \text{SAT}$  then
10              $\text{exit}$ ;                                /* Loosing Strategy */
11          end
12        end
13         $I' = \text{Interpolant}(I(c) \wedge \text{Post}(c, c1), \text{Post}(c1, c2) \wedge \dots \wedge$ 
             $\text{Post}(c_{k-1}, c_k) \wedge \text{BouclePerdante}(c_k, \text{NotThisSize}))$ ;
14        if  $I' = \text{SAT}$  then
15          if  $I = \text{Init}$  then
16             $\text{exit}$ ;                                /* Loosing Strategy */
17          else
18             $\text{MaybeThisSize.append}(k)$ ;
19             $k = k + 1$ ;
20             $\text{continue} = \text{false}$ ;
21          end
22        else
23          if  $I' \implies I$  then
24            if  $k = \text{size}_{\max}$  then
25               $\text{exit}$ ;                                /* Winning Strategy */
26            else
27               $\text{NotThisSize.append}(k)$ ;
28               $k = k + 1$ ;
29            end
30          else
31             $I = I \vee I'$ ;
32          end
33        end
34      end
35    end
36 end

```

References

- [1] Ralf Klasing, Euripides Markou, and Andrzej Pelc. *Gathering asynchronous oblivious mobile robots in a ring*. Tech. rep. RR-1422-07. UMR 5800 - Université Bordeaux 1, 351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence CEDEX, France: Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en Informatique, Jan. 2007.
- [2] Nathalie Sznajder and Souheib Baarir. *Algorithme d'accélération par interpolants. (French) [Acceleration Algorithm using an interpolant]*. Tech. rep. Laboratoire Informatique de Paris 6 (LIP6), Feb. 2022.