

Name - TEJAS ACHARYA

EE-559

HOMEWORK - 04

16-06-2023

① 2-Class Perceptron Learning, Criterion Function

$$J(\underline{w}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}[\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n \leq 0] \underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n$$

② Using $\max\{\}$

$$J(\underline{w}) = - \sum_{n=1}^N \max\left\{0, -\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n\right\}$$

③ • 0 is convex

• $-\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n$ is convex

Using Pointwise Max. property of convex Function

$\max\{0, -\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n\}$ is convex

$$J(\underline{w}) = \sum_n \underbrace{\max\{0, -\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_n\}}_{\text{convex}}$$

$$= \max\{0, -\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_0\} + \max\{0, -\underline{w}^T \underline{z}_1\} + \dots$$

Form Non-negative weighted summation.

$J(\underline{w})$ is also convex

(2) Assumptions

- Class, $C=2$
- Perceptron with Margin; Let the Margin be \underline{b} ; $b > 0$
- Basic Sequential G.D
- Fixed Increment $\Rightarrow \eta(i) = \eta > 0$

Use selected points, $z_n x_n$, $n=1, 2, \dots, N$

Consider $\eta = 1$

$$\Rightarrow z_n x'_n = z_n \eta x_n = z_n x_n$$

Algo \rightarrow Randomize Dataset

$\underline{w}(0) =$ Random initialization

For $m=1, 2, \dots$ epochs

For $n=1, 2, \dots, N$

$$\underline{w}(i+1) = \underline{w}(i) + z_i x_i \mathbb{I} [\underline{w}^T(i) z_n x_n \leq b]$$

Consider $z^i x^i$ points misclassified in i^{th} iteration

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{I} [\underline{w}^T(i) z^i x^i \leq b] = 1$$

Algo.

- Randomize Data

- $\underline{w}(0) =$ Random initialization

For $m=1, 2, \dots$ epochs

For $n=1, 2, \dots, N$

$$\underline{w}(i+1) = \underline{w}(i) + z^i x^i$$

Let $\hat{\underline{w}}$ be a solution.

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\underline{w}}^T z_n x_n > b, \forall n$$

Consider $a > 0 \Rightarrow a \hat{\underline{w}}$ is also a solⁿ

$$\Rightarrow a \hat{\underline{w}}^T z_n x_n > b$$

② Error measure on $w(i)$ w.r.t soln $a\hat{w}$

$$E_w(i) = \left\| w(i) - \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Adjustable}}}{a\hat{w}} \right\|_2^2$$

To Prove convergence, we must show $E_w(i)$ decreases with iteration

To show $E_w(i+1) \leq E_w(i)$

We know $w(i+1) = w(i) + z^i x^i$

$-a\hat{w}$ on both sides $\Rightarrow w(i+1) - a\hat{w} = (w(i) - a\hat{w}) + z^i x^i, a > 0$

(L2 norm)² on both sides \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(i+1) - a\hat{w}\|_2^2 &= \|(w(i) - a\hat{w}) + z^i x^i\|_2^2 \\ &= \|w(i) - a\hat{w}\|_2^2 + 2[w(i) - a\hat{w}]^T z^i x^i \\ &\quad + \|z^i x^i\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

can be dropped

$$E_w(i+1) = E_w(i) + 2 \underbrace{w(i)^T z^i x^i}_{\leq b} + 2a \underbrace{\hat{w}^T z^i x^i}_{> b} + \underbrace{\|z^i x^i\|_2^2}_{> 0}$$

$$E_w(i+1) \leq E_w(i) - 2ac + t^2$$

let $t^2 \triangleq \max_j \|z_j\|_2^2$
 $c \triangleq \min_j \{ \hat{w}^T z_j x_j \} > b$

Choose $a = \frac{t^2}{c} > 0$

$$E_w(i+1) \leq E_w(i) - t^2$$

So each iteration reduces E_w by at least t^2

② Forcing Argument

$$0 \leq E_W(i+1) \leq E_W(i) - \epsilon^2 \quad \forall i$$

For some i_0 we could have $E_W(i_0) < \epsilon^2$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq E_W(i_0+1) \leq E_W(i_0) - \epsilon^2 < 0$$

- Not Possible

- Stop iterations at $i_0 - 1$ or earlier.

- Algo converges at $i_0 - 1$ iteration or earlier.

④ Linear Regression, $\hat{y}_n = \underline{w}^T \underline{x}_n$ [Augmented space]

Mean Squared
Criterion Function

$$J(\underline{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N [\hat{y}_n - y_n]^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N [\underline{w}^T \underline{x}_n - y_n]^2$$

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1^T \\ \underline{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix}; \quad \underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_D \end{bmatrix}; \quad \underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{X} \underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{x}_1^T \underline{w} \\ \underline{x}_2^T \underline{w} \\ \vdots \\ \underline{x}_N^T \underline{w} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \\ \hat{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_N \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\underline{y}}$$

$$J(\underline{w}) \text{ can be rewritten as } \rightarrow J(\underline{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \|\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y}\|_2^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} (\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y})^T (\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 4 \text{ @ } \nabla_{\underline{w}} J(\underline{w}) &= \frac{1}{N} \left[\underline{X}^T (\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y}) + (\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y})^T \underline{X} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \left[\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{X}^T \underline{y} + (\underline{X} \underline{w})^T \underline{X} - \underline{y}^T \underline{X} \right] \\
 &= \left[\underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{X}^T \underline{y} + \underline{w}^T \underline{X}^T \underline{X} - \underline{y}^T \underline{X} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

2nd order Derivative

$$\nabla_{\underline{w}} [\nabla_{\underline{w}} J(\underline{w})] = \left[\underline{X}^T \underline{X} + \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \right]$$

$$\underline{H}_{\underline{w}}(J(\underline{w})) = 2 \underline{X}^T \underline{X}$$

Show $\underline{H}_{\underline{w}}$ to be positive semi-definite.

Take $\underline{a} \neq 0$

Show $\underline{a}^T \underline{H} \underline{a} \geq 0$

$$\underline{a}^T (2 \underline{X}^T \underline{X}) \underline{a} = 2 \underline{a}^T \underline{X}^T \underline{X} \underline{a} = 2 (\underline{X} \underline{a})^T (\underline{X} \underline{a})$$

$$= 2 \|\underline{X} \underline{a}\|_2^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \underline{a}$$

$$\underline{a}^T \underline{H} \underline{a} \geq 0$$

$\therefore \underline{H}_{\underline{w}}(J(\underline{w}))$ is positive - semi definite.

$\therefore J(\underline{w})$ is a Convex Function

4 (b) (i) Lasso Regression Cost Function

$$J(\underline{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N [\hat{y}_n - y_n]^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{D+1} |w_j|, \lambda \geq 0$$

In Matrix Form,

$$J(\underline{w}) = \underbrace{\left(\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y} \right)^T \left(\underline{X} \underline{w} - \underline{y} \right)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{Form 4(a)}}} + \lambda \|\underline{w}\|_1$$

(ii) Is $\lambda \|\underline{w}\|_1$ convex?

a) $\|\underline{w}\|_1 \geq 0$ for all \underline{w} b) $\|\lambda \underline{w}\|_1 = |\lambda| \|\underline{w}\|_1 = \lambda \|\underline{w}\|_1$, as $\lambda \geq 0$

Consider $\underline{a}, \underline{b}$ & $0 \leq c \leq 1$

\Rightarrow c) $\|\underline{a} + \underline{b}\|_1 \leq \|\underline{a}\|_1 + \|\underline{b}\|_1 \rightarrow$ Triangle Inequality

Combining a), b), c)

$$\|c\underline{a} + (1-c)\underline{b}\|_1 \leq \|c\underline{a}\|_1 + \|(1-c)\underline{b}\|_1$$

$$\|c\underline{a} + (1-c)\underline{b}\|_1 \leq |c| \|\underline{a}\|_1 + |1-c| \|\underline{b}\|_1$$

$$(0 \leq c \leq 1) \Rightarrow \|c\underline{a} + (1-c)\underline{b}\|_1 \leq c \|\underline{a}\|_1 + (1-c) \|\underline{b}\|_1$$

Satisfies convex function condition.

i.e. if $f(c\underline{a} + (1-c)\underline{b}) \leq c f(\underline{a}) + (1-c) f(\underline{b})$, then $f(\cdot)$ is convex

$\therefore \|\underline{w}\|_1$ is convex

4 (i) $\lambda \|w\|_1$ is convex from Non-Negative Weighted Summation as $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\textcircled{iii} \quad J(w) = \underbrace{\left(\underline{x} w - \underline{y} \right)^T \left(\underline{x} w - \underline{y} \right)}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{Convex from 4@}}} + \underbrace{\lambda \|w\|_1}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{Convex from 4@ (ii)}}}$$

\therefore Using Non-Negative Weighted Summation
 $J(w)$ is also convex
