

Creating an Emacs Diary Parser with Haskell and Parsec

Toby Tripp

March 2018

Contents

1	“Brian Beckman: Don’t Fear the Monad”	2
1.1	Outline (7:50)	2
1.2	Notation (8:25)	2
1.2.1	Composition	3
1.3	Monoids (20:40)	3
1.4	Monads (30:39)	3
2	The Maybe Monad	5
2.1	As Described by Computerphile [1]	5
3	Other Monads	6
3.1	The Identity Monad [3, p. 404]	6
3.2	Definition of Maybe	6
3.3	The List <i>Monad</i>	6
4	Parsec	8
4.1	Using Parsec [4, Ch. 16]	8

Chapter 1

“Brian Beckman: Don’t Fear the Monad”

Available on Youtube [2].

Dr. Beckman, astrophysicist and senior software engineer, begins with a basic introduction to functional programming as a concept. Most notably, he focuses on the concept of functions as being **replaceable** by table-lookups.

1.1 Outline (7:50)

1. Functions
2. Monoids
3. Functions
4. Monads

1.2 Notation (8:25)

From “imperative” to functional notation :

- $int\ x = x \in int$
- `x :: int`
- $int\ f(int\ x)$
- `f :: int → int`

Given type variable $a : \forall a$

- `A x`
- `x :: a`
- `static A f<A>(A x)`
- `f :: a → a`

1.2.1 Composition

Given:

```
x :: a
f :: a → a
g :: a → a
```

in imperative style function composition might appear as: `f(g(a))` or in reverse: `g(f(a))`.

In functional style, function application appears as: `g a` and composition can be shown as: `f(g a)`. Parenthesis are necessary due to partial application being left associative. For example, `f h g` is applied as though `(f h) g`.

It is also possible to use a composition operator, `o`, to imply composition: `(f o g) a`. So, given the above 1.2.1, we can deduce:

```
h = (f o g) a = f o g
h :: a → a
```

This does confuse the concepts of `a` as argument and `a` as type, but the point remains clear, I think.

1.3 Monoids (20:40)

In abstract algebra, a branch of mathematics, a monoid is an algebraic structure with a single associative binary operation and an identity element.

Monoids are studied in semigroup theory, because they are semigroups with identity.^a:

^aWikipedia

A *Monoid* is a *Set* with:

1. an associative binary operator (generally composition)
2. an identity value

The operator need not be commutative.

In a programming context, a *Monoid* guarantees type-consistency over function composition.

1.4 Monads (30:39)

Given:

```
x :: a
f :: a → M a
g :: a → M a
g :: a → M a
```

`M` is described as a “Type Constructor.”

Again, Dr. Beckman is using `a` to represent both a value of type `a` as well as the type itself `a`. Here he introduced the *Monad* “bind” operator: `>>=`, which he likes to call “shove”:

```
f :: a → M a
g :: a → M a
-- the right hand side is g, but written with
-- a lambda to preserve symmetry
λa → (f a) >>= λa → (g a)
```

The reason to preserve symmetry in the above expression is that the desired expression is “bracketed” as: $\lambda a \rightarrow [(fa) >>= \lambda a \rightarrow (ga)]$ because the bind operator has type:

```
 $\langle >>= \rangle :: \text{Monad } m \Rightarrow m\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m\ b) \rightarrow m\ b$ 
```

That is, $>>=$ accepts a *Monad* ($M\ a$) and returns a function from $a \rightarrow M\ a$.

The functions f , and g live in a *Monoid*. $M\ a$ (the *data*) lives in a *Monad*.

$\langle >>= \rangle$ is the analog of function composition and, therefore, obeys the rules of a *Monoid*. Including associativity and identity.

In a *Monad*, identity is—in Haskell—written as:

```
 $\text{return} :: \text{Monad } m \Rightarrow a \rightarrow m\ a$ 
```

Extended to non-uniform types:

```
 $g :: a \rightarrow Mb$   
 $f :: b \rightarrow Mc$   
 $\lambda a \rightarrow (g\ a) >>= \lambda b \rightarrow (f\ b) :: a \rightarrow Mc$   
 $g >>= \lambda b \rightarrow (f\ b)$ 
```

Chapter 2

The Maybe Monad

2.1 As Described by Computerphile [1]

```
-- Type and 2 type constructors: Val and Div
data Expr = Val Int | Div Expr Expr

-- Val 1
-- Div (Val 6) (Val 2)

unsafe_eval :: Expr → Int
unsafe_eval (Val n) = n
unsafe_eval (Div x y) = div (eval x) (eval y)

-- eval (Div (Val 6) (Val 2))
```

What if `Div` is passed zero? The program will crash. So, error-checking is necessary.

```
safediv :: Int → Int → Maybe Int
safediv n m = if m == 0 then Nothing else Just (div n m)

eval :: Expr → Maybe Int
eval (Val n) = Just n
eval (Div x y) = case eval' x of
  Nothing → Nothing
  Just n → case eval y of
    Nothing → Nothing
    Just m → safediv n m
```

Abstracting the pattern of `case` checking `Maybe` values can be represented as:

Without 'do' notation	With 'do' notation
<pre>eval' :: Expr → Maybe Int eval' (Val n) = return n eval' (Div x y) = eval' x >>= (λn → eval' y >>= (λm → safediv n m))</pre>	<pre>eval'' :: Expr → Maybe Int eval'' (Val n) = return n eval'' (Div x y) = do n ← eval'' x m ← eval'' y safediv n m</pre>

Chapter 3

Other Monads

The *Monad* type [3, p. 402]:

```
class Monad m where
  (>>=) :: m a → (a → m b) → m b
  return :: a → m a
  (>>) :: m a → m b → m b
  fail :: String → m a
```

Monad comes with some default definitions:

```
m >> k = m >>= λ_ → k
fail s = error s
```

From this definition it can be seen that `>>` acts like `>>=`, except that the value returned by the first argument is discarded rather than being passed to the second argument. [3, p. 403]

3.1 The Identity Monad [3, p. 404]

The identity monad takes a type to itself with definitions:

```
m >>= f = f m
return = id
```

3.2 Definition of Maybe

```
instance Monad Maybe where
  (Just x) >>= k = k x
  Nothing >>= k = Nothing
  return      = Just
  fail s      = Nothing
```

3.3 The List *Monad*

```
instance Monad [] where
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
  return x = [x]
  fail s = []
```

Lists are, in fact, themselves instances of *Monad*.

```
fmap  :: Functor f => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
(>>=) :: Monad   m => m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
map   :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```


Chapter 4

Parsec

4.1 Using Parsec [4, Ch. 16]

```
import Text.ParserCombinators.Parsec
```

Input type is a sequence of characters, i.e., a Haskell *String*. *String* is the same as *[Char]*. The return value is *[[String]]*; a list of a list of Strings. We'll ignore *st* for now.

The *do* block implies a *Monad*. *GenParser* is a parsing monad.

many is a higher-order function that passes input repeatedly to the function passed as its argument. It collects the return values and returns them in a list.

```
csvFile :: GenParser Char st [[String]]
csvFile =
  do result <- many line
  eof
  return result
```

A *line* is a list of *cells* followed by *eol*.

```
line :: GenParser Char st [String]
line =
  do result <- cells
  eol
  return result
```

```
cells :: GenParser Char st [String]
cells =
  do first <- cellContent
  next <- remainingCells
  return (first : next)
```

The choice operator, *<|>*, tries the parser on the left and tries The parser on the right if the left consumes no input.

```
remainingCells :: GenParser Char st [String]
remainingCells =
  (char ',' >> cells) <|> (return [])
```

```
cellContent :: GenParser Char st String
cellContent =
  many (noneOf ",\n")
```

```
eol :: GenParser Char st Char
eol = char '\n'
```

```
parseCSV :: String → Either ParseError [[String]]
parseCSV input = parse csvFile "(unknown)" input
```

Bibliography

- [1] Sean Riley and Professor Graham Hutton, *Computerphile: What is a Monad?*, YouTube, November 2017.
- [2] Dr. Brian Beckman, *Don't Fear the Monad*, YouTube, November 2012.
- [3] Simon Thompson, *The Craft of Functional Programming*, Pearson, Edinburgh Gate, England, Second Edition, 1999.
- [4] Bryan O'Sullivan, Don Stewart, and John Goerzen *Real World Haskell* O'Reilly Media 2008.