Complex hyperbolic 2×2 rotations

Vedran Novaković*

March 24, 2025

1 Formulas

Let $V \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ be J-unitary and let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ be a Hermitian positive definite matrix, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{a_{21}} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \phi & e^{-i\beta} \sinh \phi \\ e^{i\beta} \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Then, $V^* = V$ and $V^*JV = VJV^* = J$. Find β and ϕ such that $V^*AV = \Xi$,

$$\Xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \phi & e^{-i\beta} \sinh \phi \\ e^{i\beta} \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{a_{21}} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cosh \phi & e^{-i\beta} \sinh \phi \\ e^{i\beta} \sinh \phi & \cosh \phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Dividing (2) by $\cosh^2 \phi > 0$ gives, with $\xi_i' = \xi_i/\cosh^2 \phi$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-i\beta} \tanh \phi \\ e^{i\beta} \tanh \phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \overline{a_{21}} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-i\beta} \tanh \phi \\ e^{i\beta} \tanh \phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1' & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2' \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Multiplying the first two matrices in (3) gives

$$\Xi' = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + a_{21}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi & \overline{a_{21}} + a_{22}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi \\ a_{11}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi + a_{21} & \overline{a_{21}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi + a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi \\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta}\tanh\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

with the final multiplication producing, elementwise,

$$\xi_1' = a_{11} + (2\Re(a_{21}e^{-i\beta}) + a_{22}\tanh\phi)\tanh\phi, \tag{4}$$

$$0 = \overline{a_{21}} + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{21}e^{-i\beta}\tanh\phi)e^{-i\beta}\tanh\phi,$$
 (5)

$$0 = a_{21} + (a_{11} + a_{22} + \overline{a_{21}}e^{i\beta}\tanh\phi)e^{i\beta}\tanh\phi,$$
 (6)

$$\xi_2' = a_{22} + (2\Re(a_{21}e^{-i\beta}) + a_{11}\tanh\phi)\tanh\phi,$$
 (7)

^{*}with suggestions from Vjeran Hari

since $z + \bar{z} = 2\Re z$. Evidently, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a_{21} = 0$ then $\tanh \phi = 0$, and vice versa, if $\tanh \phi = 0$, then from (5) (or (6), which is the complex conjugate of (5)) it follows $a_{21} = 0$. Therefore, assume in the following that $\tanh \phi \neq 0$. Multiplying (5) by $e^{i\beta}$ and (6) by $e^{-i\beta}$, it follows

$$0 = \overline{a_{21}}e^{i\beta} + (a_{11} + a_{22})\tanh\phi + a_{21}e^{-i\beta}\tanh^2\phi, \tag{8}$$

$$0 = a_{21}e^{-i\beta} + (a_{11} + a_{22})\tanh\phi + \overline{a_{21}}e^{i\beta}\tanh^2\phi.$$
 (9)

By extracting the common middle term on the right hand sides of (8) and (9),

$$-(a_{11} + a_{22}) \tanh \phi = \overline{a_{21}} e^{i\beta} + a_{21} e^{-i\beta} \tanh^2 \phi, \tag{10}$$

$$-(a_{11} + a_{22}) \tanh \phi = a_{21} e^{-i\beta} + \overline{a_{21}} e^{i\beta} \tanh^2 \phi, \tag{11}$$

it follows that the right hand sides of (10) and (11) have to be equal,

$$\overline{a_{21}}e^{i\beta} + a_{21}e^{-i\beta}\tanh^2\phi = a_{21}e^{-i\beta} + \overline{a_{21}}e^{i\beta}\tanh^2\phi,$$
 (12)

while at the same time being the complex conjugates of one another. Therefore, both sides in (12) are real, what (10) and (11) also suggest. With

$$\beta = \arg(a_{21}), \text{ i.e., } e^{i\beta} = \frac{a_{21}}{|a_{21}|}, (a_{21} = 0 \implies \beta = 0),$$
 (13)

this condition is always fulfilled. If a_{21} is real in (1), then $e^{i\beta} = \operatorname{sign} a_{21}$. Now, (8) and (9) become

$$|a_{21}|(1 + \tanh^2 \phi) = -(a_{11} + a_{22}) \tanh \phi,$$
 (14)

or, after rearranging (14),

$$\frac{-|a_{21}|}{a_{11} + a_{22}} = \frac{\tanh \phi}{1 + \tanh^2 \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \tanh(2\phi). \tag{15}$$

Note that $a_{11} \ge 0$ and $a_{22} \ge 0$ by definition, so $a_{11} + a_{22} = 0$ if and only if $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{22} = 0$, in which case $a_{21} = 0$, and thus (15) does not even have to be evaluated in this degenerate case to compute $\tanh \phi = 0$.

The proper sequence of checks on the inputs a_{11} , a_{22} , and a_{21} is thus

- 1. if $a_{11} < 0$ then ERROR; else
- 2. if $a_{22} < 0$ then ERROR; else
- 3. if $a'_{21} = 0$ then $\tanh \phi = 0$,

where a'_{21} is a_{21} , scaled as in (20). Else, proceed to compute $\tanh(2\phi)$.

If the computed $\tanh(2\phi) \leq -1$, then setting $\tanh(2\phi) = -1$ allows the computation to proceed, to get $\cosh \phi = \infty$ and $|\sinh \phi| = \infty$. The input in this case is invalid, and then it is up to the caller to provide a remedy.

Alternatively, the hyperbolic cotangent of 2ϕ might be computed as:

$$coth(2\phi) = \frac{a_{11} + a_{22}}{-2|a_{21}|},$$
(16)

but this can easily cause overflow for small $|a_{21}|$. On the other hand, underflow of $\tanh(2\phi)$, as long as it is not exactly zero, will cause no trouble in the further computation, and might preserve at least some information, possibly resulting in a non-zero $\tanh \phi$ as well.

There are two solutions for the quadratic equation for $\tanh \phi$ from (15), only one of which obeys $|\tanh \phi| < 1$,

$$tanh \phi = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \tanh^2(2\phi)}}{\tanh(2\phi)}.$$
(17)

However, if the numerator and the denominator in (17) are multiplied by $1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^2(2\phi)}$, a more stable form emerges,

$$tanh \phi = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}}{\tanh(2\phi)} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - (1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi))}{\tanh(2\phi) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\tanh(2\phi) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}\right)}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^{2}(2\phi)}} \approx \frac{\tanh(2\phi)}{1 + \operatorname{sqrt}(\operatorname{fma}(-\tanh(2\phi), \tanh(2\phi), 1))}.$$
(18)

Since $|\tanh(2\phi)| < 1$, from (18) it follows that $|\tanh \phi| < 1$ as well. Now,

$$\cosh \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \tanh^2 \phi}} \approx \operatorname{rsqrt}(\operatorname{fma}(-\tanh \phi, \tanh \phi, 1)),
\sinh \phi = \tanh \phi \cdot \cosh \phi.$$
(19)

If $\tanh \phi$ is regarded as a computed floating-point value, then $|\tanh \phi| \leq 1^-$, where 1^- is the first floating-point predecessor of unity. Otherwise, the computed $|\tanh(2\phi)|$ would have to be unity, what has already been ruled out. Thus,

$$\tanh^2\phi<|\tanh\phi|\leq 1^-\implies 1-\tanh^2\phi\geq 1-1^-.$$

Since $1 - 1^-$ is a floating-point value in the normal range, its square root is as well, so $\cosh \phi$ cannot overflow in (19), and neither can $\sinh \phi$.

The input data has to be prescaled by the highest possible power of two, 2^s , to get $a'_{11} = 2^s a_{11}$, $a'_{22} = 2^s a_{22}$, and $a'_{21} = 2^s a_{21}$, such that

$$\max\{a'_{11}, a'_{22}\} \le \nu/2, \quad \max\{|\Re a'_{21}|, |\Im a'_{21}|\} \le \nu/2, \tag{20}$$

where ν is the largest finite floating-point value. This way no quantity in (15) will overflow¹, and the chances of dealing with subnormal values will be minimized. See the scaling of A and the computation of $e^{i\beta}$ in:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2024.116003

2 Accuracy

Let the computed values, unlike the exact ones, be <u>underlined</u> in the following, let ε be the machine precision, and assume hypot is correctly rounded.

Also, assume that all inputs have been scaled exactly to a'_{11} , a'_{22} , a'_{21} .

Lemma 1. If $|\tanh(2\phi)| \le 40/41$ then $|\tanh \phi| \le 4/5$, and vice versa.

Proof. From (15),

$$|\tanh(2\phi)| = \frac{2|\tanh\phi|}{1+\tanh^2\phi} \le \frac{40}{41} \implies 40\tanh^2\phi - 82|\tanh\phi| + 40 \ge 0.$$

This inequality is valid for $|\tanh \phi| \le 4/5$.

Lemma 2. Barring inexact underflow, $\underline{|a'_{21}|} = |a'_{21}|(1 + \epsilon_1)|, \ |\epsilon_1| \le \varepsilon.$

Proof. From the assumption that hypot is correctly rounded. \Box

Lemma 3. With $|\epsilon_2| \leq \varepsilon$, $|\epsilon_3| \leq \varepsilon$, and ϵ_d such that

$$1 + \epsilon_d = \frac{1 + \epsilon_1}{1 + \epsilon_2} (1 + \epsilon_3), \tag{21}$$

barring inexact underflow it holds

$$\frac{\tanh(2\phi)}{(a'_{11} + a'_{22})(1 + \epsilon_1)} (1 + \epsilon_3) = \tanh(2\phi)(1 + \epsilon_d).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.

¹not even $2|a'_{21}|$, since A is positive definite, so $2|a'_{21}| \le 2\sqrt{a'_{11}a'_{22}} \le \nu$, but the upper bound of $\nu/4$ instead of $\nu/2$ in (20) might be safer

Note that

$$\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}{1+\varepsilon} \le 1 + \epsilon_d \le \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{\gamma}}{1-\varepsilon},\tag{22}$$

where $\gamma = 2$ if a'_{21} is complex, and $\gamma = 1$ if a'_{21} is real (since then $\epsilon_1 = 0$).

Lemma 4. Assume that $|\tanh(2\phi)| \le 40/41$. Then

$$1 - (\tanh(2\phi))^2 = (1 - \tanh^2(2\phi))(1 + \epsilon_4),$$

where

$$|\epsilon_4| \le \frac{1600}{81} |\epsilon'_d|, \quad \epsilon'_d = (2 + \epsilon_d) \epsilon_d.$$

Proof. Let $y = 1 - \tanh^2(2\phi) \ge 81/1681$. Then, from Lemma 3,

$$1-(\tanh(2\phi))^2=1-\tanh^2(2\phi)(1+\epsilon_d)^2=y-\tanh^2(2\phi)\epsilon_d',$$

where $(1+\epsilon_d)^2=1+\epsilon_d'$, i.e., $\epsilon_d'=(2+\epsilon_d)\epsilon_d$.

Using the definition of y, find ϵ_4 such that

$$y(1 + \epsilon_4) = y + y\epsilon_4 = y - \tanh^2(2\phi)\epsilon'_d = y + (y - 1)\epsilon'_d$$

Therefore, by subtracting y from these equalities,

$$y\epsilon_4 = (y-1)\epsilon'_d \implies \epsilon_4 = \frac{y-1}{y}\epsilon'_d.$$

By taking the lower bound for y, and thus the upper bound for |y-1|/|y|, it follows that

$$|\epsilon_4| \le \frac{1600}{81} |\epsilon_d'|,$$

i.e., $|\epsilon_4| \lesssim 19.753 |\epsilon_d'|$.

Lemma 5. With $|\epsilon_5| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\epsilon_6| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\operatorname{sqrt}(\operatorname{fma}(-\underline{\tanh(2\phi)},\underline{\tanh(2\phi)},1)) = \sqrt{1-\tanh^2(2\phi)}(1+\epsilon_7),$$

where

$$1 + \epsilon_7 = \sqrt{(1 + \epsilon_4)(1 + \epsilon_5)}(1 + \epsilon_6).$$

Proof. From Lemma 4 and the definition of fma,

$$\operatorname{fma}(-\underline{\tanh(2\phi)},\underline{\tanh(2\phi)},1) = (1 - (\tanh(2\phi))^2)(1 + \epsilon_5).$$

Lemma 6. Let
$$x = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \tanh^2(2\phi)}$$
. Then,

$$1 + \operatorname{sqrt}(\operatorname{fma}(-\tanh(2\phi), \tanh(2\phi), 1)) = x(1 + \epsilon_8),$$

where $|\epsilon_8| \leq |\epsilon_7|/2$.

Proof. From Lemma 5 it follows

$$1 + \operatorname{sqrt}(\operatorname{fma}(-\underline{\tanh(2\phi)}, \underline{\tanh(2\phi)}, 1)) = 1 + (x - 1)(1 + \epsilon_7) = x + \epsilon_7(x - 1).$$

Using $50/41 \le x \le 2$, since $1 - \tanh^2(2\phi) \ge 81/1681$, find ϵ_8 such that

$$x(1+\epsilon_8) = x + x\epsilon_8 = x + \epsilon_7(x-1).$$

From the last two equalities subtraction of x gives

$$\epsilon_8 = \frac{x-1}{x} \epsilon_7.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{9}{50}|\epsilon_7| \le |\epsilon_8| \le \frac{1}{2}|\epsilon_7|.$$

Lemma 7. The denominator in (18) is computed as

$$(1+\sqrt{1-\tanh^2(2\phi)})(1+\epsilon_{10}),$$

where, with $|\epsilon_9| \leq \varepsilon$ due to the rounding in the final addition,

$$1 + \epsilon_{10} = (1 + \epsilon_8)(1 + \epsilon_9).$$

Proof. From Lemma 6.

Theorem 1. With $|\epsilon_{11}| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\underline{\tanh \phi} = \tanh \phi (1 + \epsilon_t), \quad 1 + \epsilon_t = \frac{1 + \epsilon_d}{1 + \epsilon_{10}} (1 + \epsilon_{11}). \tag{23}$$

Proof. From (18), Lemma (3), and Lemma (7).

Lemma 8. With $\tanh \phi \leq 4/5$ due to Lemma 1,

$$1 - (\tanh \phi)^2 = (1 - \tanh^2 \phi)(1 + \epsilon_{12}),$$

where

$$|\epsilon_{12}| \leq \frac{16}{9} |\epsilon_t'|, \quad \epsilon_t' = (2 + \epsilon_t) \epsilon_t.$$

Proof. As for Lemma 4, using $z = 1 - \tanh^2 \phi \ge 9/25$ instead of y.

Theorem 2. With $|\epsilon_{13}| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\epsilon_{14}| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\cosh \phi = \cosh \phi (1 + \epsilon_c),$$

where, since rsqrt is assumed to be correctly rounded,

$$1 + \epsilon_c = \frac{1 + \epsilon_{14}}{\sqrt{(1 + \epsilon_{12})(1 + \epsilon_{13})}}.$$
 (24)

Proof. From (19), Lemma 8, and the definition of fma,

$$\text{fma}(-\tanh \phi, \tanh \phi, 1) = (1 - (\tanh \phi)^2)(1 + \epsilon_{13}).$$

Theorem 3. With $|\epsilon_{15}| \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\sinh \phi = \sinh \phi (1 + \epsilon_s), \quad 1 + \epsilon_s = (1 + \epsilon_t)(1 + \epsilon_c)(1 + \epsilon_{15}). \tag{25}$$

Proof. From (19), Lemma 8, and Theorem 2.

This completes the analysis if a'_{21} is real. Otherwise, with $|\epsilon_{16}| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\epsilon_{17}| \leq \varepsilon$, and barring inexact underflow,

$$\underline{\Re e^{\mathrm{i}\beta}} = \frac{\Re a'_{21}(1+\epsilon_{16})}{|a'_{21}|(1+\epsilon_{1})} = \Re e^{\mathrm{i}\beta}(1+\epsilon'_{\Re}), \quad \underline{\Im e^{\mathrm{i}\beta}} = \frac{\Re a'_{21}(1+\epsilon_{17})}{|a'_{21}|(1+\epsilon_{1})} = \Im e^{\mathrm{i}\beta}(1+\epsilon'_{\Im}).$$

Theorem 4. With $|\epsilon_{18}| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|\epsilon_{19}| \leq \varepsilon$,

where

$$1 + \epsilon_{\Re} = (1 + \epsilon'_{\Re})(1 + \epsilon_s)(1 + \epsilon_{18}), \quad 1 + \epsilon_{\Im} = (1 + \epsilon'_{\Im})(1 + \epsilon_s)(1 + \epsilon_{19}).$$
 (26)

Proof. From Theorem 3.
$$\Box$$

Upper bounds on $|\epsilon_d|$, $|\epsilon_t|$, $|\epsilon_c|$, $|\epsilon_s|$, $|\epsilon_{\Re}|$, and $|\epsilon_{\Im}|$ can be obtained symbolically in the terms of ε and γ .