

## OPERATING SYSTEMS

## END ASSESSMENT

Part B

Q1.) Claim(c)

	R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
P <sub>0</sub>	4	1	2
P <sub>1</sub>	1	5	1
P <sub>2</sub>	1	2	3

Allocation

R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
1	0	2
0	3	1
1	0	2

Available / free

R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
2	2	0

1.) Can P<sub>0</sub> be scheduled?

Future Req  $\Rightarrow$ 

3	1	0
---	---	---

 > Free  $\Rightarrow$  not allowed

$\therefore$  The future req cannot be fulfilled as there is no free resources available, hence this cannot be processed.

2.) Can P<sub>1</sub> be scheduled?

Future Req  $\Rightarrow$ 

1	2	0
---	---	---

 < Free  $\Rightarrow$  Allowed

So, required resources are allocated to P<sub>1</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  Free

Before completion (P<sub>1</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$ 

1	0	0
---	---	---

P<sub>1</sub> runs till completion and frees its resource

Claim (c)

	R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
P <sub>0</sub>	4	1	2
✓ P <sub>1</sub>	0	0	0
P <sub>2</sub>	1	2	3

Allocation

R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
1	0	2
0	0	0
1	0	2

Free

After completion of P<sub>1</sub>

2	5	1
---	---	---

$\therefore$  Free was 

1	0	0
---	---	---

 when P<sub>1</sub> processed and released

2	5	1
---	---	---

 after completion

$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 ?$

$\Rightarrow$ 

3	1	0
---	---	---

 $> \text{Free} \Rightarrow \text{not allowed}$

$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 ?$

$\Rightarrow$ 

0	2	1
---	---	---

 $< \text{Free} \Rightarrow \text{Allowed}$

$P_2$  runs till completion and frees its resource

Free before completion of  $P_2 \Rightarrow$ 

2	3	0
---	---	---

Free after completion of  $P_2 \Rightarrow$ 

3	5	3
---	---	---

Claim

	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
$P_0$	4	1	2
$\checkmark P_1$	0	0	0
$\checkmark P_2$	0	0	0

Allocation

$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
1	0	2
0	0	0
0	0	0

Free

3	5	3
---	---	---

$P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_0 ?$

3	1	0
---	---	---

 $< \text{Free} \Rightarrow \text{Allowed}$

$P_0$  runs till completion and frees its resource

Free before completion of  $P_0 \Rightarrow$ 

0	4	3
---	---	---

Free after completion of  $P_1 \Rightarrow$ 

4	5	5
---	---	---

All process completed running  $\Rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_0$

Claim

	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
$\checkmark P_0$	0	0	0
$\checkmark P_1$	0	0	0
$\checkmark P_2$	0	0	0

Allocation

$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0

Free

4	5	5
---	---	---

$\Rightarrow$ 

Safe state
------------

(b)  $P_0$  requests  $1 \rightarrow R_1 \Rightarrow$ 

0	1	0
---	---	---

 $< \text{Free} \Rightarrow \text{Allowed}$

( $\therefore$  This will not run till completion, it just allocate it)

	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$
$P_0$	4	1	2
$P_1$	1	5	1
$P_2$	1	2	3

Allocation  
 $R_0 \quad R_1 \quad R_2$

1	0	2
0	3	1
1	0	2

This is allocation

Free after processing request of  $P_0$

2	1	0
---	---	---

$P_0 (0,1,0) \Rightarrow$ 

3	0	0
---	---	---

 > free  $\Rightarrow$  Not allowed

$P_1 \Rightarrow$ 

1	2	0
---	---	---

 > free  $\Rightarrow$  Not allowed

$P_2 \Rightarrow$ 

0	2	1
---	---	---

 > free  $\Rightarrow$  Not allowed

From the free resource, there is no process which can have ~~access~~ access to them (resources) at fullest (i.e. their claim to run and complete).

$\therefore$  This gets us into unsafe state.

$\Rightarrow (P_0 (0,1,0) \Rightarrow \text{Anything})$  cannot be safe order  
 $\Rightarrow$  Unsafe order  $\rightarrow$  Unsafe state

Q2.) The statement referred above is Amdahl's law. This law gives the theoretical speedup in latency of the execution of a task at a fixed workload. that can be expected of a system whose resources are improved.

$\rightarrow$  The speedup due to addition of processor is not linear because of serialness in the program. This can be improved as below.

Let  $n$  be a multiprocessor setup

$T(n)$  time to run a program on  $n$  processor setup

$T(1)$  time to run on single process setup.

$$\text{Speedup } S(n) \Rightarrow S(n) = \frac{T(1)}{T(n)}$$

As every code has some serialness in it.

Let  $T(1)$  has  $x$  amount of serialness.

$\Rightarrow$  It takes  $x \cdot T(1)$  to run serial part and  $[(1-x) \times T(1)/n]$  to run parallel part.

$$\Rightarrow T(n) \Rightarrow T(1) \left( x + \frac{(1-x)}{n} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(n) = T(1) / T(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(n) = \frac{1}{\left( x + \frac{(1-x)}{n} \right)}$$

Let say theoretically  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow S(n) = \frac{1}{x}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Max speedup is inverse of serialness.

Enhanced new CPU is 50 times faster than old CPU

older CPU  $\Rightarrow$  60% of time processing

40% I/O  $\Rightarrow$  this is serial component in the program.

Infinite computing capacity means  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{so speed up} = \frac{1}{0.4} = 2.5$$

so maximum speedup achieved is 2.5 times.



Q3.) Dekker's Algorithm - It was first provably - correct solution to the critical section problem.

Pseudocode :

```
var flag : array [0...1] of boolean;  
turn : 0...1;  
  
repeat  
    flag[i] = true  
    while flag[j] do  
        if turn = j then  
            begin  
                flag[i] = false;  
                while turn = j do no-op;  
                flag[i] = true;  
            end  
        critical section  
        turn = j;  
        flag[i] = false  
    remainder section  
until false;
```

Proof of correctness for Dekker's Algorithm for synchronization  
The required conditions for synchronization are:-

- 1.) Mutual Exclusion
- 2.) Progress
- 3.) Bounded Wait

1.) To show that mutual exclusion is enforced

Note : We are taking 2 process in consideration  $P_0, P_1$

→ Here when process  $P_1$  enters critical section iff  $flag[0] == false$   
( $P_1$  can modify only  $flag[1]$  also it checks  $flag[0]$  if  $flag[0] == true$ )

The case is when  $P_1$  enters the critical section,  $flag[1] \& !flag[0] = true$

[Note:  $P_0$  can only change  $\text{flag}[0]$  and  $P_1$  can only change  $\text{flag}[1]$ ]

2.) To show there is no indefinite delay for acquiring the critical section.

↳ (a) When one process tries to access CS (critical section)

If  $P_i$  attempts to access critical section, it will check  $\text{flag}[i]$  set to false and enter critical section without any problem.

[Note:  $P_0$  will find  $\text{flag}[1]$  is false and move to critical section,  $P_1$  will find  $\text{flag}[0]$  is false and move to critical section]

(b) When both process try to access critical section

When both attempt to enter critical section and  $\text{turn} = 0$  (or 1) (similar for both cases), both enter the while loop and value of  $\text{turn}$  is modified only when one process enter critical section.

[Note:  $\text{Turn}$  is made ~~to~~ 1 or 0 either by  $P_0$  or  $P_1$ . If  $\text{turn}$  is same for both, then one of them enters critical section, the value of  $\text{turn}$  will change for other process and hence allowing it to exit CS]

↳ (i) Both attempting to enter critical section when  $\text{turn} = 0$  and  $\text{flag}[0] = \text{false}$

Here as soon as  $P_1$  find  $\text{flag}[0]$  is false, it enters the critical section which ensures progress.

↳ (ii) Both attempting to enter critical section when  $\text{turn} = 0$  and  $\text{flag}[0] = \text{true}$

Here  $P_0$  will wait in external loop till  $\text{flag}[1] = \text{false}$  (value of  $\text{flag}[0]$  not being modified).

$P_1$  will set  $\text{flag}[1] = \text{false}$  and wait in internal loop (as  $\text{turn} = 0$ ). As soon as this is done,  $P_0$  enters critical section.

# Pseudocode for producer consumer using dekker's algorithm

void producer ()

{  
  count = 1;

  while (1) {

    int data, added = 1, err;

    if (added) {

      data = rand();

      added = 0;

      // Dekker algorithm

      c1 = 1;

      while (c2) {

        if (turn == 2) {

          c1 = 0;

          while (turn == 2)

          c1 = 1;

        }

      }

    err = addQ(&a, data)

    turn = 2;

    c1 = 0;

    if (err == 0k) {

      added = 1;

      print (producer data);

    }

  }

}

```
void consumer () {
```

```
    int count = 1;
```

```
    while (1) {
```

```
        int data, err;
```

```
        // Dekker algorithm
```

```
        c2 = 1;
```

```
        while (c1) {
```

```
            if (turn == 1) {
```

```
                c2 = 0;
```

```
                while (turn == 1);
```

```
                c2 = 1;
```

```
            }
```

```
        }
```

```
        err = front Q (&Q, &data)
```

```
        iff. (err == OK) err = delete Q (&q);
```

```
        turn = 1;
```

```
        c2 = 0;
```

```
        if (err == OK)
```

```
            printf ("Consumed data");
```

```
        }
```

```
    }
```

~~Part 1~~



Q4-) Interrupts are not used to implement synchronization. It basically depends on how we tend to use them but regardless of that this is really poor choice of technique that can be considered.

Certain problems we will face if they are to be implemented

1.) Disabled on one core

This could lead to nothing but ignorance as the threads running on other cores will still be using the shared data and ignore the synchronization primitives.

2.) Disabled on all cores

i.) Priority - If something of high priority (urgent) occurs, kernel might need interrupt but this cannot happen as they are disabled and hence the high priority task will be ignored.

ii.) Lack of interrupts : Context switching, I/O operations or any other operations will not be used by OS as if requires trap in kernel.

iii.) Clock lag : If clock relies on interrupt, it will start logging.

iv.) Early exit : If user app is exited due to an error or exception it will not be freed / released synchronization primitive as interrupt is disabled.

Part C

## PART C

**Q1.)** A *pipe* is a form of *redirection* that is used in *Linux* and other *Unix-like operating systems* to send the output of one *program* to another program for further processing.

Redirection is the transferring of *standard output* to some other destination, such as another program, a *file* or a printer, instead of the display monitor (which is its default destination). Standard output, sometimes abbreviated *stdout*, is the destination of the output from *command line* (i.e., all-text mode) programs in Unix-like operating systems.

Pipes are used to create what can be visualized as *a pipeline of commands*, which is a temporary direct connection between two or more simple programs. This connection makes possible the performance of some highly specialized task that none of the constituent programs could perform by themselves. A *command* is merely an instruction provided by a user telling a *computer* to do something, such as launch a program. The command line programs that do the further processing are referred to as *filters*.

This direct connection between programs allows them to operate simultaneously and permits *data* to be transferred between them continuously rather than having to pass it through temporary text files or through the display screen and having to wait for one program to be completed before the next program begins.

**Code:**

```
#include<stdio.h>

#include<stdlib.h>

#include<unistd.h>

#include<sys/wait.h>
```

```
#include<sys/types.h>

#include<string.h>

#include<ctype.h>

#include<fcntl.h>


void print_uppercase(char buff);


int main(int argc,char *argv[])

{

    if(argc == 1)

    {

        printf("Invalid arguments !!\n try : ./<%s> filename\n",argv[0]);

        exit(1);

    }

    int fd1[2];

    char str[100];

    pid_t pid;

    if((pipe(fd1))== -1)

    {

        fprintf(stderr,"pipe failed");

        return 1;

    }

    FILE *fp;

    fp = fopen(argv[1],"r");
```

```
pid = fork();

if(pid == -1)

{

    printf("fork failed\n");

    exit(1);

}

else if (pid == 0)

{

    dup2(fd1[1],1);

    fp = fopen(argv[1],"r");

    close(fd1[0]);

    char ch;

    while ((ch = fgetc(fp)) != EOF)

    {

        write(fd1[1],&ch,sizeof(ch));

    }

    close(fd1[1]);

    exit(1);

}

else

{

    close(fd1[1]);

    char buf;
```

```

        while(read(fd1[0], &buf, sizeof(buf)) > 0)

        {

            print_uppercase(buf);

        }

    }

    return 0;
}

void print_uppercase(char buff)

{

    printf("%c", toupper(buff));

}

```

## Output:

```

File Edit View Search Terminal Help
vinayak@vinayak-Swift-SF315-526:~/Documents/OS/Lab/EndSem$ gcc Q1_AllCaps.c -o Q1_AllCaps
vinayak@vinayak-Swift-SF315-526:~/Documents/OS/Lab/EndSem$ ./Q1_AllCaps
Invalid arguments !!
try : ./<./Q1_AllCaps> filename
vinayak@vinayak-Swift-SF315-526:~/Documents/OS/Lab/EndSem$ ./Q1_AllCaps Input.txt
HELLO!
MY NAME IS VINAYAK SETHI
I AM 3RD YR CSE STUDENT AT IIITDM KANCHEEPURAM
BYEEE
vinayak@vinayak-Swift-SF315-526:~/Documents/OS/Lab/EndSem$ cat Input.txt
hello!
my name is vinayak sethi
i am 3rd yr cse student at iiitdm kancheepuram
byeee
vinayak@vinayak-Swift-SF315-526:~/Documents/OS/Lab/EndSem$

```



## Q2.) Coke Machine Problem

Logic:

Listing:

```
1 import random
2
3 class Shared:
4     def __init__(self, start=5):
5         self.cokes = start
6
7 def consume(shared):
8     shared.cokes -= 1
9     print shared.cokes
10
11 def produce(shared):
12     shared.cokes += 1
13     print shared.cokes
14
15 def loop(shared, f, mu=1):
16     while True:
17         t = random.expovariate(1.0/mu)
18         time.sleep(t)
19         f(shared)
20
21 shared = Shared()
22 fs = [consume]*2 + [produce]*2
23 threads = [Thread(loop, shared, f) for f in fs]
24 for thread in threads: thread.join()
```

The capacity is 10 cokes, and that machine is initially half full. So the shared variable cokes is 5. The program creates 4 threads, two producers and two consumers. They both run loop, but producers invoke produce and consumers invoke consume. These functions make unsynchronized access to a shared variable, which is a no-no. Each time through the loop, producers and consumers sleep for a duration chosen from an exponential distribution with mean  $\mu$ . Since there are two producers and two consumers, two cokes get added to the machine per second, on average,

and two get removed. So on average the number of cokes is constant, but in the short run it can vary quite widely

## Initialization:

Listing:

```
1 class Shared:
2     def __init__(self, start=5, capacity=10):
3         self.cokes = Semaphore(start)
4         self.slots = Semaphore(capacity-start)
5         self.mutex = Semaphore(1)
```

## Producer, Consumer pseudocode:

Listing:

```
1 def consume(shared):
2     shared.cokes.wait()
3     shared.mutex.wait()
4     print shared.cokes.value()
5     shared.mutex.signal()
6     shared.slots.signal()
7
8 def produce(shared):
9     shared.slots.wait()
10    shared.mutex.wait()
11    print shared.cokes._Semaphore__value
12    shared.mutex.signal()
13    shared.cokes.signal()
```

## Code:

```
#include<stdio.h>
#include<stdlib.h>
#include<pthread.h>
#include<semaphore.h>
#include<unistd.h>
#include<time.h>

sem_t coke, slots, mutex;
int ncoke = 5; //count of cokes

void *producer(void *arg);
```

```

void *consumer(void *arg);

int main()
{
    pthread_t p[2], c[2]; //returns the thread id of thread created

    //initializes the unnamed semaphore at the address pointed to
    sem_init(&mutex, 0, 2);
    sem_init(&coke, 0, 1);
    sem_init(&slots, 0, 1);

    //creates a new thread
    for(int i=0; i<2; i++)
    {
        pthread_create(&p[i], NULL, producer, NULL);
        pthread_create(&c[i], NULL, consumer, NULL);
    }

    //wait for termination of the thread
    for(int i=0; i<2; i++)
    {
        pthread_join(p[i], NULL);
        pthread_join(c[i], NULL);
    }

    //destroys the unnamed semaphore at the address pointed to
    sem_destroy(&coke);
    sem_destroy(&slots);
    sem_destroy(&mutex);
    return 0;
}

void *producer(void *arg)
{
    while(1)
    {
        sem_wait(&slots);
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        if (ncoke == 10)
            continue;
    }
}

```

```

        ncoke++;
        printf("\n[PRODUCER] Number of cokes in the machine currently after
production: %d\n", ncoke);
        sem_post(&mutex);
        sem_post(&slots);
        sleep(1);
    }

    pthread_exit(0); //to exit a thread
}

void *consumer(void *arg)
{
    while(1)
    {
        sem_wait(&coke);
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        if(ncoke == 0)
            continue;
        ncoke--;
        printf("[CONSUMER] Number of cokes in the machine currently after
consumption: %d\n", ncoke);
        sem_post(&mutex);
        sem_post(&coke);
        sleep(1);
    }

    pthread_exit(0); //to exit a thread
}

```

**Output:**

