

# Intelligent Road Control

Thomas van den Broek, Vincent Van Driel, Zsolt Harsányi, Tonio Weidler

Department of Data Science & Knowledge Engineering

Maastricht, The Netherlands

vincevandriel@gmail.com, thomasbuo@gmail.com,

zsharsany@gmail.com, uni@tonioweidler.de

## 1 Introduction

An intelligent traffic control system adjusts traffic in order to assure all people reach their destinations in the most optimal time and distance. These systems are important for the daily workings of major cities by alleviating traffic congestion and identifying problem areas. It is important to constantly keep these systems updated to assure optimal performance and safety of the general public. With advancements in technology and artificial intelligence, new and more sophisticated strategies for traffic control become possible.

### 1.1 Goal

In this work, we aim to evaluate different such "intelligent" traffic control strategies and compare their effect. Thereby, we hope to identify the best working solutions to traffic jams and other occurrences (such as closing of roads/tunnels) in cities and to show their workings. We attempt to to recreate a real life city's traffic flow in order to see how these systems would work in a realistic setting. Our simulation aims to model the dynamics of a realistic environment as close as possible within the scope of the project, so that the comparison of strategies is meaningful and provides valuable data regarding the potential application of the strategies.

### 1.2 Approach

Hence, in order to test the effect of different intelligent traffic control strategies, an appropriate simulation is required. For this purpose, we created a simulation environment which allows the incorporation of different such strategies into a dynamic traffic model. Maps are realised as undirected graphs in which vertices represent intersections and roads appear as edges between those. The simulation is *microscopic*. That is, instead of globally controlling traffic (*macroscopic*), the atomic parts of the simulation are locally controlled cars (see also Krajzewicz u. a., 2002). Driving behaviour is modelled using the time- and space-continuous *Intelligent Driver Model* (IDM) (Treiber, Hennecke und Helbing, 2000).

In the following sections we further describe our approach and the results of our experiments. We begin by reviewing the literature. Based on that, we will elaborate on the implementation of the simulation environment (section 3), followed by a description of different control strategies (section 4). Following, we describe

the experiments we conducted for those strategies and our evaluation methodology (section 5). In section 6 we will report the results of these experiments. We conclude this work by discussing our results and proposing future directions.

## 2 Related Work

There has been extensive work on the simulation of traffic flow as well as the development of traffic control strategies. Following up on different approaches on modelling car following behaviour (e.g. Gipps, 1981), Treiber, Hennecke und Helbing (2000) developed the influential Intelligent Driver Model for the simulation of urban traffic. Similarly to the former, it creates a collision free environment where cars mind the a spacial and time-wise gap to the leading vehicle. The SUMO package (Krajzewicz u. a., 2002; Behrisch u. a., 2011) utilizes the model by (Gipps, 1981) in an extended version (Krauß, 1998) in a complex simulation software. In contrast to their work, we use the IDM. Hence, our simulation is (quasi) time-continuous, rather than time-discrete.

## 3 Simulation Environment

In the following subsections we describe the implementation and design choices of the simulation environment in which we evaluated the effect of different traffic control strategies.

### 3.1 Graphical User Interface

The Graphical User Interface (GUI) is designed for simplicity. It consists of three panels. On the left side the main panel is located. Here, maps can be created and navigated through and the simulation process is visualized. Maps can be interactively constructed by clicking and dragging on the panel. Above the main panel there is an info panel that indicates different values such as the current time and day of the simulation. Finally, the right panel contains the controls for different functionalities such as the creation of maps, setting of experimental parameters and controlling the simulation.

### 3.2 Traffic Flow Simulation

As mentioned beforehand, we apply the IDM (Treiber, Hennecke und Helbing, 2000) for the simulation of car dynamics. It models traffic flow time- and space-continuous as a combination of *free-road* and *interac-*

tion behaviour. The *free-road term* is governed by a car's intention to reach its desired speed. The acceleration for this behaviour is calculated (Treiber, Hennecke und Helbing, 2000) as

$$\dot{v}_a^{free}(t) = a(1 - (\frac{v_a}{v_0})^\delta), \quad (1)$$

where  $a$  refers to a cars maximum acceleration,  $v_a$  is its current velocity and  $v_0$  the desired velocity. When a car approaches a leading vehicle, it is supposed to slow down in order to avoid collision. This behaviour is modelled by an *interaction term* which incorporates the distance to the leading vehicle and its speed (Treiber, Hennecke und Helbing, 2000).

$$\dot{v}_a^{int}(t) = -a(\frac{s_0 + v_a T}{s_a} + \frac{v_a \Delta v_a}{2\sqrt{a b s_a}})^2 \quad (2)$$

In the equation above,  $s_0$  and  $T$  restrict the cars minimum distance in space and time respectively. The interaction term will hence attenuate the free road term when approaching other cars, given by the complete equation for acceleration  $\dot{v}(t)$

$$\dot{v}(t) = \dot{v}_a^{int}(t) + \dot{v}_a^{free}(t) \quad (3)$$

In order to simulate a time-continuous model, we need to numerically approximate the integration of the differential equations in 1 and 2. For that, we choose a small time step  $\Delta t$  and repetitively update the velocity as  $v(t + \Delta t) = v(t) + \dot{v}(t)$ .

### 3.3 Traffic Rule Compliance

Due to the large scale maps used in this work, drivers not only need to behave according to their own desires and other traffic participants. They additionally need to comply to a set of traffic rules as given by speed limits or traffic lights. Following, we briefly discuss the approaches we use in our simulation.

**Approaching Traffic Lights** When approaching red traffic lights, drivers will stop in a predefined distance from the intersection, comparable to stop bars in real world streets. Cars that have already exceeded this line will drive through the intersection.

**Speed Limits** The IDM's free road term incorporates a driver's desired velocity as his intended maximum speed. We use an additional parameter, a driver's favoured velocity  $v_{fav}$ , and determine the desired velocity by taking the minimum of  $v_{fav}$  and the roads speed limit.

### 3.4 Lane Changing

In order to incorporate lane changing behaviour into our traffic model, we apply the MOBIL model (Treiber und Helbing, 2002; Kesting, Treiber und Helbing, 2007). MOBIL makes decisions for lane changing based on two questions: Is the lane change *incentive* and is it *safe*? The former question is answered based on the potential gain in acceleration on the new lane,

while the latter decision is made based on the speed of and distance of the approaching car on the new lane.

### 3.5 Arrival Times in a continuous Simulation

Rather than predefining arrival times for a fixed simulation duration, we dynamically generate inter-arrival times (IAT) during runtime. These IATs are drawn from a predefined distribution independently for each road in the map. Therefore the amount of traffic on a map grows automatically with its size. The IAT can be drawn from the following distributions

- Exponential (Poisson Process)
- Gaussian
- Realistic (Non stationary Poisson Process)

While the Gaussian and Exponential distribution are standard distributions with adjustable paramters, the realistic distribution is based on real world data from the U.S. Department of Transportation (*Office of Highway Policy Information - Policy | Federal Highway Administration*). We use hourly data and draw IATs based on a poisson process that is then converted to the data using the thinning algorithm by Lewis und Shedler (1979).

## 4 Traffic Control Strategies

In this section, different traffic control strategies are highlighted as well as their effectiveness in different environments.

### 4.1 Benchmark Strategies

### 4.2 Coordinated Traffic Lights

Coordinated traffic lights are traffic lights that exchange information with each other in order to predict future traffic patterns and assure the least amount of congestion possible. Each intersection is outfitted with different types of sensors, depending on the environment in which they are needed. These sensors can include inductive loops, cameras, or microwave radar systems, each of which has its own pros and cons.

The coordinated traffic light strategy currently implemented uses features resembling that of a microwave motion sensor. The reason of using this type of sensor is mainly because the detection range can be altered to each one depending on the area it is installed, the ability to accurately detect distance of vehicles, and also it is able to tell if cars are moving towards or away from it. Other reasons for implementing this type of detection system is that in real-world situations, this device can be installed above ground, which greatly reduces cost of installation, and can also be installed very quickly at very low charge and cause minimal traffic disturbance.

This type of traffic control system is preferred for areas with minimal obstructions (such as road signs or buildings). Since our current traffic simulation does not

have any external obstructions other than cars, this is the best strategy to use. In cases where many obstructions are in play, it would be more recommended to use inductive loops (commonly thought of as 'pressure plates' installed into the road, but quite costly).

## 5 Methodology & Experiments

In order to be able to measure and compare the efficiency of the different strategies applied, various measurements are taken while the simulation is running. One of these is the average speed of the vehicles of each road. Measuring this is done by starting a timer in cars when they get on a road and stopping it when they leave the road. Then by using the following formula, the average speed is calculated: ( $v$ : velocity,  $s$ : road length,  $t$ : time spent on road). The second statistic measured is the amount of time each car spends waiting at traffic lights. This is achieved by checking at each timestep if a car is under a certain speed and is not accelerating. Whenever the previous conditions are fulfilled, the total amount of waiting time is increased by the size of the timestep. The aim of the strategies is to maximize the average speeds and minimize the time spent waiting.

## 6 Results

## 7 Discussion

## 8 Conclusion

### Literatur

- Behrisch, Michael u. a. (2011). "SUMO—simulation of urban mobility: an overview". In: *Proceedings of SIMUL 2011, The Third International Conference on Advances in System Simulation*. ThinkMind.
- Gipps, Peter G (1981). "A behavioural car-following model for computer simulation". In: *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 15.2, S. 105–111.
- Kesting, Arne, Martin Treiber und Dirk Helbing (2007). "General lane-changing model MOBIL for car-following models". In: *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1999, S. 86–94.
- Krajzewicz, Daniel u. a. (2002). "SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility)-an open-source traffic simulation". In: *Proceedings of the 4th middle East Symposium on Simulation and Modelling (MESM20002)*, S. 183–187.
- Krauß, Stefan (1998). "Microscopic modeling of traffic flow: Investigation of collision free vehicle dynamics". Diss.
- Lewis, Peter A und Gerald S Shedler (1979). "Simulation of nonhomogeneous Poisson processes by thinning". In: *Naval Research Logistics (NRL)* 26.3, S. 403–413.
- Office of Highway Policy Information - Policy | Federal Highway Administration. URL: [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policyinformation/tmguid/tmg\\_2013/traffic-monitoring-methodologies.cfm](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policyinformation/tmguid/tmg_2013/traffic-monitoring-methodologies.cfm) (besucht am 28.05.2018).
- Treiber, Martin und Dirk Helbing (2002). "Realistische Mikrosimulation von Strassenverkehr mit einem einfachen Modell". In: *16th Symposium Simulationstechnik ASIM*. Bd. 2002, S. 80.

Treiber, Martin, Ansgar Hennecke und Dirk Helbing (2000). "Congested traffic states in empirical observations and microscopic simulations". In: *Physical review E* 62.2, S. 1805.