

# Service Oriented Business Process Management

Research Seminar in the Module 10-202-2309  
for Master Computer Science

Prof. Dr. Hans-Gert Gräbe  
<http://www.informatik.uni-leipzig.de/~graebe>

January 2021

# The Industrial Marketing and Purchasing (IMP) Group

From their Website <https://www.impgroup.org/about.php>,

The IMP Group is an informal, international network of hundreds of scholars who approach marketing, purchasing, innovation, technological development and management from an interactive perspective, in a B2B and a B2C context. The IMP Group's current work also includes research on public-private networks, policy, and science-technology-business issues. ...

The IMP Group stands for three main features:

- (1) a dynamic approach to economic exchange,
- (2) empirically driven research on inter-organizational interactions, and
- (3) an informal network of researchers forming a vibrant international community.

# The IMP Group

Firstly, the IMP Group represents a dynamic approach to economic exchange, which means that emphasis is placed on the interaction processes taking place within and between business actors forming business relationships over time. ...

Secondly, the IMP Group represents a research tradition that places emphasis on empirically-based studies of how companies actually do business and of the various effects emerging when businesses and other organizations interact. Based on the assumption of interdependent business actors, a hallmark of IMP studies is that marketing, purchasing, technological development, innovation, strategic management and logistics need to be investigated *within the context of specific business relationships and networks*.

Thirdly, the IMP Group represents a large informal network of researchers. The IMP Conference and the IMP Journal Seminar are important meeting places for researchers from all over the world, all sharing an interactive perspective on the business landscape. ...

# IMP Conceptualisation

**Business processes** are conceptualised as *substantive* interaction between activities, resources and the actors associated with them.

**Service Dominant Logic (SDL)** is largely *conceptual* in orientation.

The emphasis on heterogeneity, the importance of specific counterparts, the complexity and long-term nature of business interaction militate against generalisations about particular categories of actors such as 'customers', 'suppliers', 'manufacturers' or 'retailers', about their interactions.

IMP research is concerned to examine the idiosyncratic *Network Pictures* held by the actors within their 'small world' which form the basis of their approaches to interaction.

# IMP Conceptualisation

Such analysis suggests that the small world of the business actors does not exhibit the characteristics of a *market* nor is it simply an *agglomeration of many markets*: Its structure is not one of independent companies that have ease of entry or exit from the market or from their dealings with specific counterparts as marketers or customers.

Instead, the analysis emphasises that many of the actors in this small world would have become interdependent with each other through their business together.

The pattern of interdependencies across these small worlds and the perspectives that arise from them form the *context for continuing interaction* and the developments.

# IMP Conceptualisation

This analysis also emphasises the narrow, but permeable boundaries of each actor's small world.

This narrowness and permeability emphasises the limited horizons of all actors and *the importance of intermediaries* in interaction.

Interactions in business are not restricted to communication, negotiation or to specific transactions but are *substantial*. In other words, they involve a number of different aspects of the (practical) *activities* and (material) *resources* of the actors which may be changed and transformed and hence *evolve* during action.

# Small Worlds

*Example:* The development of ready-meals changes aspects of the activities, resources and the actors involved in this small world. Some activities such as the production systems of food producers becomes more or less specialised towards the requirements of particular counterparts. Resources, such as the stockholding facilities of producers, retailers and logistics companies will have followed a particular *path of investment* or development and the actors themselves will have *co-evolved*.

Co-evolution does not refer to an inevitable increase in the 'closeness' of the relationships between interacting actors. Rather, it suggests that *the operations, characteristics and attitudes of business actors evolve as an outcome of their interactions* over time and are affected by the multiple interaction episodes in their development.

Vargo and Lusch (2004, 2011): "Resources are not: they become".

# Small Worlds in the Wider World

All the actors are part of a wider network. However, each of these actors has a very restricted picture of this 'wider world' and each has no direct interaction with the actors within it.

For this reason, each actor will be dependent on *service provision* by some of its immediate counterparts who have relationships with or provide access to others at a distance.

For example, the producers of ready-meals are likely to depend on their relationships with packaging companies to gain access to the activities and resources of packaging materials companies. Similarly, logistics companies will depend on their relationships with trailer suppliers to access the skills of vehicle refrigeration contractors.



# Small Worlds in the Wider World

Access to the wider world is the classic role of *systems integrators* and *distributors*. The wider world of the business network is made up of the myriad small worlds of other actors, each of which is comprised of interlocking interdependencies within which service may be provided and value created.

This leads to a view of interaction in business relationships as a unique, evolving, multifaceted process of '*problem-coping*' by and for all of the involved actors (Webster 1965).