System FC, as implemented in GHC¹ June 21, 2013

1 Introduction

There are a number of details elided from this presentation. The goal of the formalism is to aid in reasoning about type safety, and checks that do not work toward this goal were omitted. For example, various scoping checks (other than basic context inclusion) appear in the GHC code but not here.

2 Grammar

2.1 Metavariables

We will use the following metavariables:

 $\begin{array}{ll} x & \quad \text{Term-level variable names} \\ \alpha, \, \beta & \quad \text{Type-level variable names} \\ N & \quad \text{Type-level constructor names} \\ K & \quad \text{Term-level data constructor names} \end{array}$

i, j, k Indices to be used in lists

2.2 Literals

Literals do not play a major role, so we leave them abstract:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} lit & ::= & Literals, \ basicTypes/Literal.lhs: Literal \\ \end{tabular}$

We also leave abstract the function basicTypes/Literal.lhs:literalType and the judgment coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintTyLit (written $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tylit}} \mathsf{lit} : \kappa$).

2.3 Variables

GHC uses the same datatype to represent term-level variables and type-level variables:

 $n, m ::= Variable names, basicTypes/Var.lhs:Var | <math>z^{\tau}$ Name, labeled with type/kind

2.4 Expressions

The datatype that represents expressions:

¹This document was originally prepared by Richard Eisenberg (eir@cis.upenn.edu), but it should be maintained by anyone who edits the functions or data structures mentioned in this file. Please feel free to contact Richard for more information.

```
Expressions, coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs:Expr
e, u
                       n
                                                                           Variable
                      lit
                                                                           Literal
                                                                           Application
                      e_1 e_2
                      \lambda n.e
                                                                           Abstraction
                      let binding in e
                                                                           Variable binding
                      \mathbf{case}\ e\ \mathbf{as}\ n\ \mathbf{return}\ \tau\ \mathbf{of}\ \overline{alt_i}^{\ i}
                                                                           Pattern match
                                                                           Cast
                      e \triangleright \gamma
                                                                           Internal note
                      e_{\{tick\}}
                                                                           Type
                      \tau
                                                                           Coercion
```

There are a few key invariants about expressions:

- The right-hand sides of all top-level and recursive **let**s must be of lifted type.
- The right-hand side of a non-recursive **let** and the argument of an application may be of unlifted type, but only if the expression is ok-for-speculation. See **#let_app_invariant#** in *coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs*.
- We allow a non-recursive let for bind a type variable.
- The _ case for a case must come first.
- The list of case alternatives must be exhaustive.
- Types and coercions can only appear on the right-hand-side of an application.

Bindings for **let** statements:

Case alternatives:

alt ::= Case alternative,
$$coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs$$
:Alt | K $\overline{n_i}^i \rightarrow e$ Constructor applied to fresh names

Constructors as used in patterns:

Notes that can be inserted into the AST. We leave these abstract:

$$tick$$
 ::= Internal notes, $coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs$:Tickish

A program is just a list of bindings:

2.5 Types

There are some invariants on types:

- The type τ_1 in the form $\tau_1 \tau_2$ must not be a type constructor T. It should be another application or a type variable.
- The form $T \overline{\tau_i}{}^i$ (TyConApp) does not need to be saturated.
- A saturated application of (\rightarrow) τ_1 τ_2 should be represented as $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$. This is a different point in the grammar, not just pretty-printing. The constructor for a saturated (\rightarrow) is FunTy.
- A type-level literal is represented in GHC with a different datatype than a term-level literal, but we are ignoring this distinction here.

2.6 Coercions

γ	::=		Coercions, types/Coercion.lhs:Coercion
		$\langle au angle$	Reflexivity
	ĺ	$T \overline{\gamma_i}^i$	Type constructor application
	ĺ	$\gamma_1 \gamma_2$	Application
	ĺ	$\forall n.\gamma$	Polymorphism
	ĺ	n	Variable
	ĺ	$C ind \overline{\gamma_j}^j$	Axiom application
	ĺ	$ au_1 + \tau_2$	Unsafe coercion
	ĺ	$\operatorname{sym} \gamma$	Symmetry
	ĺ	γ_1 $ o$ γ_2	Transitivity
	ĺ	$nth_i\gamma$	Projection (0-indexed)
	ĺ	$LorR \gamma$	Left/right projection
	ĺ	γau	Type application

Invariants on coercions:

- $\langle \tau_1 \, \tau_2 \rangle$ is used; never $\langle \tau_1 \rangle \, \langle \tau_2 \rangle$.
- If $\langle T \rangle$ is applied to some coercions, at least one of which is not reflexive, use $T \overline{\gamma_i}^i$, never $\langle T \rangle \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots$
- The T in $T \overline{\gamma_i}^i$ is never a type synonym, though it could be a type function.

Is it a left projection or a right projection?

The definition for axBranch above does not include the list of incompatible branches (field cab_incomps of CoAxBranch), as that would unduly clutter this presentation. Instead, as the list of incompatible branches can be computed at any time, it is checked for in the judgment no_conflict. See Section 4.15.

2.7 Type constructors

Type constructors in GHC contain *lots* of information. We leave most of it out for this formalism:

We include some representative primitive type constructors. There are many more in prelude/TysPrim.lhs.

H	::=		Primitive type constructors, prelude/TysPrim.lhs:
		$Int_\#$	Unboxed Int
	ĺ	(~ _#)	Unboxed equality
	ĺ		Sort of kinds
	ĺ	*	Kind of lifted types
	ĺ	#	Kind of unlifted types
	ĺ	OpenKind	Either * or #
	j	Constraint	Constraint

3 Contexts

The functions in coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs use the LintM monad. This monad contains a context with a set of bound variables Γ . The formalism treats Γ as an ordered list, but GHC uses a set as its representation.

We assume the Barendregt variable convention that all new variables are fresh in the context. In the implementation, of course, some work is done to guarantee this freshness. In particular, adding a new

type variable to the context sometimes requires creating a new, fresh variable name and then applying a substitution. We elide these details in this formalism, but see types/Type.lhs:substTyVarBndr for details.

4 Judgments

The following functions are used from GHC. Their names are descriptive, and they are not formalized here: types/TyCon.lhs:tyConKind, types/TyCon.lhs:tyConArity, basicTypes/DataCon.lhs:dataConTyCon, types/TyCon.lhs:isNewTyCon, basicTypes/DataCon.lhs:dataConRepType.

4.1 Program consistency

Check the entire bindings list in a context including the whole list. We extract the actual variables (with their types/kinds) from the bindings, check for duplicates, and then check each binding.

⊢_{prog} program

Program typing, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintCoreBindings

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &= \overline{\text{vars_of }binding_i}^i \\ &\text{no_duplicates } \overline{binding_i}^i \\ &\underline{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{bind }}binding_i}^i \\ &\underline{\vdash_{\text{prog }}\overline{binding_i}^i} \end{split} \quad \text{PROG_COREBINDINGS}$$

Here is the definition of vars_of, taken from coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs:bindersOf:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{vars_of } n = e & = n \\ \text{vars_of } \mathbf{rec} \, \overline{n_i = e_i}^{\,i} & = \overline{n_i}^{\,i} \end{array}$$

4.2 Binding consistency

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bind}} binding$ Binding typing, $coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lint_bind$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{Sbind}} n \leftarrow e}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bind}} n = e} \quad \mathsf{BINDING_NonRec}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{sbind}} n_i \leftarrow e_i^{\ i}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bind}} \mathbf{rec} \overline{n_i = e_i^{\ i}}} \quad \mathsf{BINDING_REC}$$

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{sbind}} n \leftarrow e$ Single binding typing, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintSingleBinding

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{n}} z^{\tau} \ \mathsf{ok} \\ \overline{m_{i}}^{i} = \mathit{fv}(\tau) \\ \overline{m_{i} \in \Gamma}^{i} \\ \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{Sbind}} z^{\tau} \leftarrow e} \end{array} \quad \mathsf{SBINDING_SINGLEBINDING}$$

In the GHC source, this function contains a number of other checks, such as for strictness and exportability. See the source code for further information.

4.3 Expression typing

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau \mid$ Expression typing, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintCoreExpr

$$\frac{x^{\tau} \in \Gamma}{\neg (\exists \tau_1, \tau_2, \kappa \text{ s.t. } \tau = \tau_1 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2)} \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} x^{\tau} : \tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_VAR}$$

$$\frac{\tau = \mathsf{literalType\,lit}}{\Gamma \vdash_\mathsf{\overline{t}m} \mathsf{lit} : \tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_LIT}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \sigma \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \sigma \sim_\#^\kappa \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e \rhd \gamma : \tau} \end{array} \quad \mathsf{TM_CAST} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_{\{tick\}} : \tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_TICK}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma' = \Gamma, \alpha^{\kappa} \\ &\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa \text{ ok} \\ &\Gamma' \vdash_{\mathsf{subst}} \alpha^{\kappa} \mapsto \sigma \text{ ok} \\ &\frac{\Gamma' \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e[\alpha^{\kappa} \mapsto \sigma] : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \mathbf{let} \, \alpha^{\kappa} = \sigma \, \mathbf{in} \, e : \tau} \end{split} \quad \mathbf{TM_LETTYKI}$$

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{sbind}} x^{\sigma} \leftarrow u \\ & \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \sigma : \kappa \\ & \frac{\Gamma, x^{\sigma} \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \mathsf{let} \ x^{\sigma} = u \ \mathsf{in} \ e : \tau} \end{split} \quad \mathsf{TM_LETNONREC} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\overline{\Gamma_i'}^i}{\Gamma, \Gamma_i' \vdash_{\mathsf{Ty}} \sigma_i : \kappa_i}^i) \\ & \frac{\overline{\Gamma, \Gamma_i'} \vdash_{\mathsf{Ty}} \sigma_i : \kappa_i^i}{\mathsf{no_duplicates} \ \overline{z_i}^i} \\ & \underline{\Gamma' = \Gamma, \ \overline{z_i^{\sigma_i}}^i}^i \\ & \frac{\overline{\Gamma'} \vdash_{\mathsf{Sbind}} z_i^{\sigma_i} \leftarrow u_i}{\overline{\Gamma'} \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau} \\ & \frac{\Gamma' \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \mathsf{let} \operatorname{\mathbf{rec}} \overline{z_i^{\sigma_i} = u_i}^i \operatorname{\mathbf{in}} e : \tau} \end{split}$$
 TM_LETREC

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_1 : \forall \alpha^{\kappa}.\tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{subst}} \alpha^{\kappa} \mapsto \sigma \text{ ok}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{subst}} \alpha^{\kappa} \mapsto \sigma \text{ ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_1 \sigma : \tau[\alpha^{\kappa} \mapsto \sigma]} \quad \mathsf{TM_APPTYPE}$$

$$\frac{\neg (\exists \tau \text{ s.t. } e_2 = \tau)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e_2 : \tau_1} \quad \mathsf{TM_APPEXPR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \tau : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \lambda x^{\tau}.e : \tau \to \sigma} \quad \mathsf{TM_LAMID}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' = \Gamma, \alpha^{\kappa}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \lambda \alpha^{\kappa}.e : \forall \alpha^{\kappa}.\tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_LAMID}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' = \Gamma, \alpha^{\kappa}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \lambda \alpha^{\kappa}.e : \forall \alpha^{\kappa}.\tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_LAMTY}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \lambda \alpha^{\kappa}.e : \forall \alpha^{\kappa}.\tau} \quad \mathsf{TM_LAMTY}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa_2} \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa_2}{\Gamma, z^{\sigma}; \sigma \vdash_{\mathsf{alt}} alt_i : \tau} i \quad \mathsf{TM_CASE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \mathbf{case} e \mathbf{as} z^{\sigma} \mathbf{return} \tau \mathbf{of} \overline{alt_i}^i : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} \gamma : \tau_1 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad \mathsf{TM_COERCION}$$

- Some explication of TM_LETREC is helpful: The idea behind the second premise $(\overline{\Gamma}, \Gamma'_i \vdash_{\mathsf{Ty}} \sigma'_i : \kappa_i^i)$ is that we wish to check each substituted type σ'_i in a context containing all the types that come before it in the list of bindings. The Γ'_i are contexts containing the names and kinds of all type variables (and term variables, for that matter) up to the *i*th binding. This logic is extracted from coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintAndScopeIds.
- There is one more case for $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau$, for type expressions. This is included in the GHC code but is elided here because the case is never used in practice. Type expressions can only appear in arguments to functions, and these are handled in TM_APPTYPE.
- The GHC source code checks all arguments in an application expression all at once using coreSyn/CoreSyn.lhs:collectAn and coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintCoreArgs. The operation has been unfolded for presentation here.
- If a *tick* contains breakpoints, the GHC source performs additional (scoping) checks.

- The rule for **case** statements also checks to make sure that the alternatives in the **case** are well-formed with respect to the invariants listed above. These invariants do not affect the type or evaluation of the expression, so the check is omitted here.
- The GHC source code for TM_VAR contains checks for a dead id and for one-tuples. These checks are omitted here.

4.4 Kinding

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa$ Kinding, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintType

$$\frac{z^{\kappa} \, \in \, \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{Ty}} z^{\kappa} : \kappa} \quad \mathsf{TY_TYVARTY}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_1 : \kappa_1 \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_2 : \kappa_2 \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} (\tau_2 : \kappa_2) : \kappa_1 \leadsto \kappa \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_1 \tau_2 : \kappa \end{array} \quad \mathsf{TY_APPTY}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_1 : \kappa_1 \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_2 : \kappa_2 \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{\to} \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2 : \kappa \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_1 \to \tau_2 : \kappa \end{array} \quad \mathsf{TY_FUNTY}$$

$$\begin{split} &\neg \left(\mathsf{isUnLiftedTyCon} \ T \right) \lor \mathsf{length} \ \overline{\tau_i}^{\ i} = \mathsf{tyConArity} \ T \\ & \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_i : \kappa_i}^i \\ & \underline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} \overline{\left(\tau_i : \kappa_i\right)}^i : \mathsf{tyConKind} \ T \leadsto \kappa} \\ & \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} T \ \overline{\tau_i}^i : \kappa} \end{split} \qquad \mathsf{TY_TYConApp} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa_1 \text{ ok} \\ \frac{\Gamma, z^{\kappa_1} \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \forall z^{\kappa_1}.\tau : \kappa_2} \end{array} \quad \text{Ty_ForAllTy}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tylit}} \mathsf{lit} : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \mathsf{lit} : \kappa} \quad \mathsf{TY_LITTY}$$

4.5 Kind validity

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa \text{ ok}$ Kind validity, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintKind

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \kappa : \square}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa \mathsf{ok}} \quad K_Box$$

4.6 Coercion typing

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \tau_1 \sim_\#^\kappa \tau_2$ Coercion typing, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintCoercion

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \langle \tau \rangle : \tau \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau} \quad \mathsf{Co_Refl}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_1 : \sigma_1 \sim^{\kappa_1}_\# \tau_1 \\ &\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_2 : \sigma_2 \sim^{\kappa_2}_\# \tau_2 \\ &\Gamma \vdash_{\to} \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2 : \kappa \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\to} \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2 : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} (\to) \gamma_1 \gamma_2 : (\sigma_1 \to \sigma_2) \sim^{\kappa}_\# (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)} \end{split} \quad \text{Co_TyConAppCoFunTy}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{T \neq (\rightarrow)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_i : \sigma_i \sim_{\#}^{\kappa_i} \tau_i^{\ i}} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} \overline{(\sigma_i : \kappa_i)}^i : \mathsf{tyConKind} \ T \leadsto \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} T \, \overline{\gamma_i}^i : T \, \overline{\sigma_i}^i \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} T \, \overline{\tau_i}^i} \end{split} \quad \text{Co_TyConAppCo}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_1 : \sigma_1 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa_1} \tau_1 \\ &\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_2 : \sigma_2 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa_2} \tau_2 \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} (\sigma_2 : \kappa_2) : \kappa_1 \leadsto \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_1 \gamma_2 : (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} (\tau_1 \tau_2)} \quad \text{Co_AppCo} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa_1 \text{ ok}}{\Gamma, z^{\kappa_1} \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \sigma \sim_\#^{\kappa_2} \tau} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \forall z^{\kappa_1} \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \sigma \sim_\#^{\kappa_2} \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \forall z^{\kappa_1}.\gamma : (\forall z^{\kappa_1}.\sigma) \sim_\#^{\kappa_2} (\forall z^{\kappa_1}.\tau)} \quad \text{Co_ForAllCo}$$

$$\frac{z^{(\tau \sim \square \tau)} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} z^{(\tau \sim \# \tau)} : \tau \sim \square \tau} \quad \mathsf{Co_CoVarCoBox}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} z^{(\sigma \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau)} \in \Gamma \\ \frac{\kappa \neq \square}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} z^{(\sigma \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau)} : \sigma \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau} \end{array} \quad \text{Co_CoVarCo} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{v} : \tau_1 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{sym} \gamma : \tau_2 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_1} \quad \mathsf{Co_SYMCo}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{v}_1 : \tau_1 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma_2 : \tau_2 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_3} \quad \mathsf{Co_TRANSCo}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : (T \overline{\sigma_j}^j) \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} (T \overline{\tau_j}^j)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : (\mathsf{clenth} \overline{\sigma_j}^j) = \mathsf{length} \overline{\tau_j}^j} \quad \mathsf{Co_NTHCo}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} (\tau_1 \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{nth}_i \gamma : \sigma_i \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_i} \quad \mathsf{Co_NTHCo}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} (\tau_1 \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{right} \gamma : \sigma_2 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad \mathsf{Co_LRCoLeft}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : (\sigma_1 \sigma_2) \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} (\tau_1 \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{right} \gamma : \sigma_2 \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \tau_2} \quad \mathsf{Co_LRCoRight}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \forall m.\sigma \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \forall n.\tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \mathsf{v}_1 : \sigma_1 : \sigma_1 : \sigma_1} \quad \mathsf{Co_LRCoRight}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} \gamma : \forall m.\sigma \sim_{\#}^{\kappa} \forall n.\tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty} \tau_0} : \kappa_0 \quad \mathsf{m} = z^{\kappa_1} \quad \mathsf{k}_0 < : \kappa_1} \quad \mathsf{Co_INSTCo}$$

$$C = T \ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \quad 0 \le ind < \mathsf{length} \ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \quad \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m} : (\overline{\sigma_1}_j)^j \sim \tau_1) = (\ \overline{axBranch_k}^k \mid \mathsf{m}$$

Co_AxiomInstCo

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau_2 : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{co}} C \ ind \ \overline{\gamma_i}^i : T \ \overline{\sigma_{2j}}^j \sim_\#^{\kappa} \tau_2}$

In Co_AXIOMINSTCO, the use of inits creates substitutions from the first i mappings in $\overline{[n_i \mapsto \sigma_i]}^i$. This has the effect of folding the substitution over the kinds for kind-checking.

4.7 Name consistency

There are two very similar checks for names, one declared as a local function:

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{n}} n \text{ ok}$ Name consistency check, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintSingleBinding#lintBinder

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{n}} x^{\tau} \mathsf{ok}} \quad \mathsf{NAME_ID}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{n}} \alpha^{\kappa} \mathsf{ok}} \quad \mathsf{NAME_TYVAR}$$

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bnd}} n \mathsf{ok}$ Binding consistency, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintBinder

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{ty}} \tau : \kappa}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bnd}} x^{\tau} \mathsf{ok}} \quad \mathsf{BINDING_ID}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa \ \mathsf{ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bnd}} \alpha^{\kappa} \ \mathsf{ok}} \quad \mathsf{BINDING_TYVAR}$$

4.8 Substitution consistency

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{Subst}} n \mapsto \tau \mathsf{ok}$ Substitution consistency, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:checkTyKind

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{k}} \kappa \,\, \mathsf{ok}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{Subst}} z^{\square} \mapsto \kappa \,\, \mathsf{ok}} \quad \mathsf{Subst_Kind}$$

$$\begin{split} \kappa_1 \neq \square \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{\overline{t}y}} \tau : \kappa_2 \\ \kappa_2 <: \kappa_1 \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{subst}} z^{\kappa_1} \mapsto \tau \ \mathsf{ok} \end{split} \qquad \text{Subst_Type}$$

4.9 Case alternative consistency

 $\Gamma; \sigma \vdash_{\mathsf{alt}} \mathit{alt} : \tau$ Case alternative consistency, $\mathit{coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs}$:lintCoreAlt

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau}{\Gamma; \sigma \vdash_{\mathsf{alt}} \ {\scriptstyle \sqcup} \ \rightarrow e : \tau} \quad \mathsf{ALT_DEFAULT}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sigma = \mathsf{literalType\,lit} \\ &\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau}{\Gamma ; \sigma \vdash_{\mathsf{alt}} \mathsf{lit} \to e : \tau} \quad \mathsf{Alt_LitAlt} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T &= \mathsf{dataConTyCon}\,K \\ \neg \, (\mathsf{isNewTyCon}\,\,T) \\ \tau_1 &= \mathsf{dataConRepType}\,K \\ \tau_2 &= \tau_1 \big\{\,\overline{\sigma_j}^{\,j}\,\big\} \\ \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{bnd}} n_i \,\, \mathsf{ok}^i} \\ \Gamma' &= \Gamma, \,\,\overline{n_i}^{\,i} \\ \Gamma' \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} \overline{n_i}^{\,i} : \tau_2 \leadsto T \,\overline{\sigma_j}^{\,j} \\ \overline{\Gamma' \vdash_{\mathsf{tm}} e : \tau} \\ \overline{\Gamma; \, T \,\overline{\sigma_j}^{\,j} \vdash_{\mathsf{alt}} K \,\overline{n_i}^{\,i} \to e : \tau} \end{split} \quad \mathsf{Alt_DataAlt} \end{split}$$

4.10 Telescope substitution

 $au' = au \{ \overline{\sigma_i}^i \}$ Telescope substitution, types/Type.lhs:applyTys

$$\frac{}{\tau = \tau\{}$$
 APPLYTYS_EMPTY

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\tau' = \tau \{\, \overline{\sigma_i}^{\,i} \,\}}{\tau'' = \tau'[n \mapsto \sigma]} \\ & \frac{\tau'' = (\forall n.\tau) \{\sigma, \, \overline{\sigma_i}^{\,i} \,\}} \end{split} \quad \text{ApplyTys-Ty} \end{split}$$

4.11 Case alternative binding consistency

 $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} vars : \tau_1 \leadsto \tau_2} \qquad \text{Case alternative binding consistency, } coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs: \mathsf{lintAltBinders}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} \cdot \colon \tau \leadsto \tau} \quad \text{AltBinders_Empty}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{subst}} \beta^{\kappa'} \mapsto \alpha^{\kappa} \; \mathsf{ok} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} \overline{n_i}^{\; i} : \tau[\beta^{\kappa'} \mapsto \alpha^{\kappa}] \leadsto \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} \alpha^{\kappa}, \; \overline{n_i}^{\; i} : (\forall \beta^{\kappa'}.\tau) \leadsto \sigma} \end{array} \quad \text{Altbinders-TyVar}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} \overline{n_i}^{\;i} : \tau_2 \leadsto \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{altbnd}} x^{\tau_1}, \; \overline{n_i}^{\;i} : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \leadsto \sigma} \quad \text{AltBinders_ID}$$

4.12 Arrow kinding

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\rightarrow} \kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2 : \kappa$ Arrow kinding, coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lintArrow

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\rightarrow} \Box \rightarrow \kappa_2 : \Box} \quad ARROW_Box$$

$$\frac{\kappa_{1} \in \{*, \#, \mathsf{Constraint}\}}{\kappa_{2} \in \{*, \#, \mathsf{Constraint}\}} \frac{\kappa_{1} \in \{*, \#, \mathsf{Constraint}\}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\rightarrow} \kappa_{1} \rightarrow \kappa_{2} : *} ARROW_KIND$$

4.13 Type application kinding

 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} \overline{(\sigma_i : \kappa_i)}^i : \kappa_1 \leadsto \kappa_2$ Type application kinding, $coreSyn/CoreLint.lhs:lint_app$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} \cdot : \kappa \leadsto \kappa} \quad \text{App_Empty}$$

$$\frac{\kappa <: \kappa_1}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} (\tau_i : \kappa_i)}{}^i : \kappa_2 \leadsto \kappa'} \atop \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} (\tau : \kappa), \overline{(\tau_i : \kappa_i)}{}^i : (\kappa_1 \to \kappa_2) \leadsto \kappa'} \quad \mathsf{APP_FUNTY}$$

$$\frac{\kappa <: \kappa_{1}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} \overline{(\tau_{i} : \kappa_{i})}^{i} : \kappa_{2}[z^{\kappa_{1}} \mapsto \tau] \leadsto \kappa'}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{app}} (\tau : \kappa), \overline{(\tau_{i} : \kappa_{i})}^{i} : (\forall z^{\kappa_{1}} . \kappa_{2}) \leadsto \kappa'}} \quad \mathsf{APP_FORALLTY}$$

4.14 Sub-kinding

 $\kappa_1 <: \kappa_2$ Sub-kinding, types/Kind.lhs:isSubKind

$$\frac{}{\kappa <: \kappa}$$
 SubKind_Refl

$$\frac{}{\#<:\mathsf{OpenKind}}\quad \mathsf{SubKind_UnliftEdTypeKind}$$

 * <: OpenKind</th>
 SUBKIND_LIFTEDTYPEKIND

 Constraint <: OpenKind</td>
 SUBKIND_CONSTRAINT

 Constraint <: *</td>
 SUBKIND_CONSTRAINTLIFTED

 * <: Constraint</td>
 SUBKIND_LIFTEDCONSTRAINT

4.15 Branched axiom conflict checking

The following judgment is used within Co_AXIOMINSTCO to make sure that a type family application cannot unify with any previous branch in the axiom. The actual code scans through only those branches that are flagged as incompatible. These branches are stored directly in the *axBranch*. However, it is cleaner in this presentation to simply check for compatibility here.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{no_conflict}(C,\overline{\sigma_j}^j,ind_1,ind_2) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Branched axiom conflict checking, } types/OptCoercion.lhs: \texttt{checkAxInstCo} \\ \text{and } types/FamInstEnv.lhs: \texttt{compatibleBranches} \end{array}$

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{no_conflict}(C,\,\overline{\sigma_i}^i\,,ind,-1)}\quad \text{NoConflict_NoBranch}$$

$$C = T \overline{axBranch_k}^k$$

$$\forall \overline{n_i}^i . (\overline{\tau_j}^j \leadsto \tau') = (\overline{axBranch_k}^k)[ind_2]$$

$$\mathsf{apart} (\overline{\sigma_j}^j, \overline{\tau_j}^j)$$

$$\mathsf{no_conflict}(C, \overline{\sigma_j}^j, ind_1, ind_2 - 1)$$

$$\mathsf{no_conflict}(C, \overline{\sigma_j}^j, ind_1, ind_2)$$

$$\mathsf{NoConflict_Incompat}$$

$$\begin{split} C &= T \, \overline{axBranch_k}^{\,k} \\ \forall \overline{n_i}^{\,i} \cdot (\overline{\tau_j}^{\,j} &\leadsto \sigma) = (\, \overline{axBranch_k}^{\,k})[ind_1] \\ \forall \overline{n_i'}^{\,i} \cdot (\overline{\tau_j'}^{\,j} &\leadsto \sigma') = (\, \overline{axBranch_k}^{\,k})[ind_2] \\ \text{apart} \, (\, \overline{\tau_j}^{\,j} \,,\, \overline{\tau_j'}^{\,j} \,) \\ \text{no_conflict}(C,\, \overline{\sigma_j}^{\,j} \,,\, ind_1, ind_2 - 1) \\ \hline \quad \text{no_conflict}(C,\, \overline{\sigma_j}^{\,j} \,,\, ind_1, ind_2) \end{split} \quad \text{NOCONFLICT_COMPATAPART}$$

$$C = T \overline{axBranch_k}^k$$

$$\forall \overline{n_i}^i \cdot (\overline{\tau_j}^j \leadsto \sigma) = (\overline{axBranch_k}^k)[ind_1]$$

$$\forall \overline{n_i'}^i \cdot (\overline{\tau_j'}^j \leadsto \sigma') = (\overline{axBranch_k}^k)[ind_2]$$

$$\text{unify } (\overline{\tau_j}^j, \overline{\tau_j'}^j) = \text{subst}$$

$$\text{subst}(\sigma) = \text{subst}(\sigma')$$

$$\text{no_conflict}(C, \overline{\sigma_j}^j, ind_1, ind_2)$$
NoConflict_Compaticions

The judgment apart checks to see whether two lists of types are surely apart. It checks to see if types/Unify.lhs:tcApartTys returns SurelyApart. Two types are apart if neither type is a type family application and if they do not unify.

The algorithm unify is implemented in types/Unify.lhs:tcUnifyTys. It performs a standard unification, returning a substitution upon success.