## **Rubric for PORTFOLIO**

Candidate Name:					
Overall PORTFOLIO Evaluation: (circle one) PASS or FAIL					
Check the one that best describes your consensus evaluation:  Clearly fails to meet expectations  Does not quite meet expectations  Meets expectations  Exceeds expectations					
Comments for the Student on PORTFOLIO					

Evaluation/Guidance	Clearly Fails to Meet Expectations	Does Not Quite Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Knowledge of Subject Matter     Appropriate choice of courses for individual's academic plan     Academic success (high grades) in core courses and other courses	<ul> <li>2 or 3 of the following:</li> <li>Did not register for graduate courses appropriate for the student's individual academic plan</li> <li>Did not earn grade of at least B in all courses</li> <li>Did not act appropriately in class (e.g., student missed ½ of the class meeting times or in other ways missed the intended graduate course experience)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Did not register for graduate courses appropriate for the student's individual academic plan</li> <li>Did not earn grade of at least B in all courses</li> <li>Did not act appropriately in class (e.g., student missed ½ of the class meeting times or in other ways missed the intended graduate course experience)</li> </ul>	Registered for graduate courses appropriate for the student's individual academic plan     Earned grade of at least B in all courses	Registered for graduate courses appropriate for the student's individual academic plan     Earned grade of A or Ain all courses
Research Strategy     Identification of central research problem and relationship to existing scholarship/literature     Demonstration of preliminary research design including research methods/tools	Demonstrated minimal ability to define a research areas     Demonstrated minimal understanding of appropriate research methodology/tools     Poorly written; does not make sense	1 of the following:  a. Expressed a defined research area in relationship to existing scholarship/literature  b. Chose an appropriate research methodology/ tools  OR Both:  Demonstrated minimal ability to define a research areas  Demonstrated minimal understanding of appropriate research methodology/tools	a. Expressed a defined research area in relationship to existing scholarship/literature b. Chose an appropriate research methodology/ tools	<ul> <li>a. Expressed a defined research area in relationship to existing scholarship/literature</li> <li>b. Chose an appropriate research methodology/ tools</li> <li>c. Demonstrated well-developed ideas of research design and tools, perhaps through justification of choices and/or discussion of strengths and limitations</li> </ul>

CURRICULUM VITAE				Both:
<ul> <li>Research Activity</li> <li>Participation in research meetings</li> <li>Presentation and publication of research</li> </ul>	No evidence of participation in research activity	Demonstrated research active behaviors sporadically (e.g., only attended research/lab meetings from time to time; did not actively participate in research/lab meetings)	Demonstrated being research active (e.g., going to research/lab meetings on a regular basis; actively participating at research/lab meetings)	<ul> <li>Demonstrated being research active (e.g., going to research/lab meetings on a regular basis; actively participating at research/lab meetings)</li> <li>Attended a conference to present research or submitted a manuscript for publication</li> </ul>
ADVISOR LETTER  ● Research Potential	Lack of support from advisor	Concerns outweigh support from advisor for developing research abilities and potential for scholarship	Support from advisor for developing research abilities and potential for scholarship (may include areas for further development)	Overwhelming support from advisor for developing research abilities and potential for scholarship