

Project Plan

Aidan Fray

May 30, 2019

1 Title

“Security evaluation of pEp’s TrustWord implementation”

2 Motivation

- Use-case for TrustWords: Users are often deterred by difficulty in key authentication and end-to-end encryption (e.g. PGP web-of-trust).
- Other word lists result in a higher number of words to compare. Trust-words mapping of a word to 2 bytes results in a lower number of words. Meaning the possibility of increased usability
- However, the mapping of words to 16-bits is yet to be proved as secure as this is the **highest number of bits per words** seen in the literature. This results in the number of words required being higher than that of the users’ vocabulary and even the number of total words in the respective language.

3 Goals

Overall the research will aim to investigate the strength of pEp’s Trustword fingerprint mappings, and the ease in which partial collisions can be obtained for keys and how this will ultimately affect the end user(s). This will be accompanied by recommendations into how the TrustWord system can be altered to provide increased security alongside research into what makes an effective wordlist.

4 Possible research questions

- Is the recommended minimum number of Trustwords enough to provide a basic level of security?
- What attributes make a strong general wordlist for fingerprint mapping and does the Trustword implementation exhibit these features?
- What are some of the most effective ways of measuring linguistic distance or similarity?
- How easy is it to generate similar keys that attack a targeted key pair?
- How can the search for similar keys be assisted? Could weighting them like “*Fuzzy Fingerprints Attacking Vulnerabilities in the Human Brain*” help to find partial matches?
- How can similarity be quantified in terms of words? Does this include pronunciation or visual aspects?
- As usability is the main justification for the use of Trustwords does the increase in usability justify the hypothesised reduction in security?

5 High Level View

Wordlist characteristic analysis

This area will look into characteristics that are attractive when designing a wordlist. These will be used later to possibility improve the trustword implementation. Past research could provide guidance on this aspect.

Analysis into effective “similarity” metrics

This section will involve research into how similarities in words can be quantified. Which options provide us the best balance of number of matches and accuracy of matches?

Development of a tool used to find partial collisions for wordlist mappings

This tool would be fed two keys, one “static” and one the “target”. The tool would then generate keys for the “target” that create a similar overall wordlist mapping. Here metrics for linguistic distance will be used to define “similarity”. The similarity metric will generate a list of keys that provide similar matches, the tool will then hash large number of PGP keys to generate partial matches. This is useful to define an actual measure of the real-world feasibility. This is one aspect missing from the literature as other papers have simulated attacks on encoding schemes.

Security of Trustwords

This section will evaluate Trustwords using the previously defined metrics. Alongside this, the feasibility of generating partial collisions will be assessed. The security could be quantified by testing the current implementation out on real users and determining the False Acceptance Rate of near attacks. This could be used as a baseline for improvements to be compared later.

Security of alternative wordlists:

In the same way as Trustwords were assessed, the same will be performed on already available wordlists. Such as the PGP wordlist and the wordlist generated by SafeSlinger.

Security of implemented recommendations

This section will then amalgamate all the research performed prior and use it to improve and update the implementation of Trustwords. This would then be assessed by experimentation and the improvements could be quantified with the same experimentation.