Chapter 1

Library ex_ty

```
From Coq Require Import Arith. From PLF Require Import SmallstepAM. From PLF Require Import TypesAM. From Hammer Require Import Tactics. Import TM.
```

In this exercise, we explore different ways to talk about the arithmetic language seen in Types. You can use sauto, but you *must* pass it the right arguments (ctrs/inv/use)

Part 1.1: define a relational big step semantics for evaluating tm.

```
Inductive eval : tm \rightarrow tm \rightarrow \texttt{Prop} :=.
```

Part 1.2. now prove the value soundness theorem:

Theorem $vs: \forall t \ v, \text{ eval } t \ v \rightarrow value \ v.$

If you have problems, perhaps you need a different notion of value

1.3. Show that eval is a partial function

Theorem eval_det: deterministic eval.

1.4. Prove preservation:

```
Theorem preservationB: \forall (t:tm) \ T, has\_type \ t \ T \rightarrow \forall \ t', eval \ t \ t' \rightarrow has\_type \ t \ T.
```

Part 2: write a (functional) type checker. You will need to define a *boolean* test for *ty* equality. To make the code more concise, we suggest to use the let monadic notation used in the ImpCevalFun chapter.

```
Fixpoint typeof (t:tm):option ty. Admitted.
```

2.1 Prove that the relational version entails the functional one.

```
Theorem rel2f: \forall t \ T, \ has\_type \ t \ T \rightarrow typeof \ t = Some \ T.
```

Extra credit: prove the other direction