

# 1 Problem sheet 2: Solutions

The (well-posed) convection-diffusion equation is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - b \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, T > 0,$$

where  $b > 0$  is given (a constant) and the boundary conditions are  $u(0, t) = \varphi_0(t)$  and  $u(1, t) = \varphi_1(t)$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let

$$v'_j = \frac{1}{h^2} (v_{j-1} - 2v_j + v_{j+1}) - \frac{b}{2h} (v_{j+1} - v_{j-1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_x,$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{n_x + 1}$  and  $v_j = v_j(t)$  be a semi-discrete method for the convection-diffusion equation.

1. **[5 marks]** Prove that the semi-discrete method is second-order accurate. That is, let  $\tilde{v}_j(t) = u(x_j, t)$  and show that (assuming all the relevant partial derivatives are bounded on  $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$ ),

$$\tilde{v}'_j - \frac{1}{h^2} (\tilde{v}_{j-1} - 2\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+1}) + \frac{b}{2h} (\tilde{v}_{j+1} - \tilde{v}_{j-1}) = \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Solution** Using Taylor's theorem (see Chapter 1), there exists a  $\xi_+ \in (x_j, x_j + h)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{j+1} &= u(x_{j+1}, t) \\ &= u(x_j + h, t) \\ &= u(x_j, t) + hu_x(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^3}{6}u_{xxx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^4}{24}u_{xxxx}(\xi_+, t) \\ &= \tilde{v}_j + hu_x(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^3}{6}u_{xxx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^4}{24}u_{xxxx}(\xi_+, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by replacing  $h$  with  $-h$  in the equations above, there exists a  $\xi_- \in (x_j - h, x_j)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{j-1} &= u(x_{j-1}, t) \\ &= u(x_j - h, t) \\ &= u(x_j, t) - hu_x(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx}(x_j, t) - \frac{h^3}{6}u_{xxx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^4}{24}u_{xxxx}(\xi_-, t) \\ &= \tilde{v}_j - hu_x(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{2}u_{xx}(x_j, t) - \frac{h^3}{6}u_{xxx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^4}{24}u_{xxxx}(\xi_-, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{h^2} (\tilde{v}_{j-1} - 2\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+1}) = u_{xx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{24} (u_{xxxx}(\xi_+, t) + u_{xxxx}(\xi_-, t))$$

and we conclude that (since we assume that  $u_{xxxx}$  is bounded)

$$\frac{1}{h^2} (\tilde{v}_{j-1} - 2\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+1}) = u_{xx}(x_j, t) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0. \quad (\star) \quad (1)$$

Also, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2h} (\tilde{v}_{j+1} - \tilde{v}_{j-1}) = u_x(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{6} u_{xxx}(x_j, t) + \frac{h^3}{48} (u_{xxxx}(\xi_+, t) - u_{xxxx}(\xi_-, t))$$

and we conclude that (since we assume that  $u_{xxx}$  and  $u_{xxxx}$  are bounded)

$$\frac{1}{2h} (\tilde{v}_{j+1} - \tilde{v}_{j-1}) = u_x(x_j, t) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0. \quad (\diamond) \quad (2)$$

Using  $(\star)$  and  $(\diamond)$  and the advection-diffusion equation, it follows that as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{v}'_j - \frac{1}{h^2} (\tilde{v}_{j-1} - 2\tilde{v}_j + \tilde{v}_{j+1}) + \frac{b}{2h} (\tilde{v}_{j+1} - \tilde{v}_{j-1}) \\ &= \underbrace{u_t(x_j, t) - u_{xx}(x_j, t) + bu_x(x_j, t)}_{=0} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

2. **[2 marks]** Is the semi-discrete method consistent? Motivate your answer.

**Solution** Yes, since the method is second-order, the local error tends to zero as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and therefore the method is consistent.

3. **[6 marks]** Use the von Neumann method to determine whether the semi-discrete method is stable.

**Solution**

Substituting

$$v_j(t) = v_j = \lambda(t) e^{ikx_j} = \lambda e^{ikx_j},$$

where  $x_j = jh$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , into the semi-discrete method and using the fact that  $v_{j+1} = \lambda e^{ikx_{j+1}} = \lambda e^{ik(x_j+h)} = e^{ikh} v_j$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} v'_j &= \frac{1}{h^2} (e^{-ikh} - 2 + e^{ikh}) v_j - \frac{b}{2h} (e^{ikh} - e^{-ikh}) v_j \\ &= \frac{1}{h^2} (2 \cos(kh) - 2) v_j - \frac{b}{2h} (2i \sin(kh)) v_j \\ &= -\frac{2}{h^2} (1 - \cos(kh)) v_j - \frac{bi}{h} \sin(kh) v_j \\ &= -\left[ \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) + \frac{bi}{h} \sin(kh) \right] v_j \\ \implies \lambda' &= -\left[ \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) + \frac{bi}{h} \sin(kh) \right] \lambda \\ \implies \lambda(t) &= \exp \left( -\left[ \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) + \frac{bi}{h} \sin(kh) \right] t \right) \lambda(0). \end{aligned}$$

Since, as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) = k^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad \frac{1}{h} \sin(kh) = k + \mathcal{O}(h^2),$$

we have that for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |\lambda(t)| &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left| \exp \left( - \left[ \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) + \frac{bi}{h} \sin(kh) \right] t \right) \lambda(0) \right| \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \exp \left( - \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2(kh/2) t \right) |\lambda(0)| \\ &= e^{-k^2 t} |\lambda(0)| \\ &\leq |\lambda(0)| \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

hence the method is stable.

4. **[2 marks]** Is the semi-discrete method convergent?

**Solution** Yes, since the advection-diffusion equation is well-posed and the semi-discrete method is consistent and stable, it is convergent by the Lax equivalence theorem.

5. **[5 marks]** Show that the semi-discrete method can be expressed as the following system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

$$\mathbf{v}' = A\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}$$

with  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$  where

$$A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} & & & \\ 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} \\ & & & 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{h} = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{bh}{2}\right) \varphi_0(t) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \left(1 - \frac{bh}{2}\right) \varphi_1(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution** Rewriting the semi-discrete method

$$\begin{aligned} v_j' &= \frac{1}{h^2} (v_{j-1} - 2v_j + v_{j+1}) - \frac{b}{2h} (v_{j+1} - v_{j-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{bh}{2}\right) v_{j-1} - 2v_j + \left(1 - \frac{bh}{2}\right) v_{j+1} \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, n_x, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\mathbf{v}' = A\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n_x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} & & & \\ 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 & 1 - \frac{bh}{2} \\ & & & 1 + \frac{bh}{2} & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{h} = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{bh}{2}\right) \varphi_0(t) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \left(1 - \frac{bh}{2}\right) \varphi_1(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

6. **[10 marks]** Let  $n_x = 300$ ,  $T = 0.003$ ,  $b = 100$ ,  $\varphi_0(t) = 0 = \varphi_1(t)$  and  $u(x, 0) = e^{-300(x-0.3)^2}$ , then solve the ODE system in question 5 with an error tolerance of  $10^{-4}$  using any ODE solver that's available in your programming language of choice. Plot the solution at  $t = 0$  and  $t = T$  on the same set of axes.

### Solution

```
using LinearAlgebra, Plots, OrdinaryDiffEq

nx = 300
x = range(0,1,nx+2)
f = x -> exp(-300*(x-0.3).^2)
h = 1/(nx+1)
T = 0.003
b = 100
A = Tridiagonal(fill(1+b*h/2,nx-1),fill(-2.0,nx),fill(1-b*h/2,nx-1))/h^2
F = (v,p,t) -> A*v
x = range(0,1,nx + 2)
prob = ODEProblem(F, f.(x[2:end-1]), (0.0, T))
#soln = solve(prob, RK4(), abstol=1e-4)
#soln = solve(prob, Rodas4(), abstol=1e-4);
soln = solve(prob, Rodas4P(), abstol=1e-4)
@show nt = length(soln.t)
plot(x[2:nx+1],soln.u[nt],label = "t = 0.003")
plot!(x[2:nx+1],soln.u[1],label = "t = 0")

nt = length(soln.t) = 14
```

