

NAME**STATE****MESSAGE**

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Humensky-Miller, Brittany PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Karoue, Patricia

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Warkentine, Terry

NM

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Skinner, Russell

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bowman, Jason

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wade, Julia

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

S, C

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Groves, Latoya

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Koessel, Karl

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moyer, Stephen

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Manske, Jaya

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stewart, Anna

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Feinberg, Michael

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kusek, Peter

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kuether, Taylor

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thorson, Sara

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Magee, William

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gonzalez, Maria

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hawley, Deborah

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burton, Shelley

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Samaniego, Julia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. FINALLY, YOU ARE FILTH

Blundell, Peter

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Carrillo, Audrey

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Siddiki, Shoriful

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Razana, Leslie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gross, Camille

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sorbo, Deborah

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reau, Marcia

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cappetta, Mark

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Webster, Eva

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Woodell, Brittany

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Atallah, Laila

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Husby, Jason

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

polley, Daniel

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Haley, Nathan

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Clark, Alex

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Myron, Rick

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

E, jen

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ellsworth, Danny

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Obie, Christina

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Iverson, K

SD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Darlington, Beth

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hockett, Rachel

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moss, Ben

LA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reback, Mark

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kuebbing, Rose Marie

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Berg, Stan

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kuss, Cameron

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Barlow, Scott

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dunn, Lois

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

h, c

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lent, Kelli

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Judy, Scott

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Paz, Emanuel

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burgin, Shanna

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hodes, Harold T.

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Conor, Kim

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. HECK NO!

Boadi, Beverly

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Borgman, Tanesha

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stern, Richard

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hobrock, Sharon

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gao, Michael

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Prestigomo, Cathryn

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Newhouse, Henry

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Johnson, Paul

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dunn, Michael

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bellano, Greg

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Paden, Jeffrey

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shogren, Anthony

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Johnson, Janet

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wiggins, Kay

AR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

wilson, agnew

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kirkland, Dane

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Todd, Leah

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hunt, Howard

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wasserman, Linda

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mirmalek, Taliah

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Edgar, Elaine

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schaeffer, Alicia

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Akileswaran, Lakshmi

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Obidi, Ifunanya

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Laurin, Melanie

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Clauhs, Katherine

PA

Cole, Merrill

IL

As a professor at a public university and as a person still paying off his enormous Ph.D. debt, I resolutely oppose any policy changes that would take money away from public institutions of higher learning, or would make it easier for predatory for-profit institutions, which do great harm to students, to flourish. I also oppose any policy changes that would make it easier for banks to prey on me or my students. Secretary DeVos, your priorities are precisely backwards. You are doing great harm to our nation's students and to our educational system.

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects and mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook:

Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options.

Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, and this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications.

Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds eaten away by fees and ensure that students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plan to change these regulations, which protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Really, Ms. DeVos, why don't you admit that you're unqualified for your job and hostile to the function of the Department of Education and resign? It's

Hathaway, Susan

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reyes, Rafael

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Eisenberg, Paul

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Galdo, Querido

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Menezes, Savio

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

ImMasche, Sonia

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

English, David

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Richard, Cynthia

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hanlon, Susan

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. Please help students! I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nieland, Carolyn

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kohlstaedt, Lori

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Feichtinger, Dennis

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chambers, Linda

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mann, Edith

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. PS Loan forgiveness and Debt-free education now!

Englebrecht, Andrew IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Belknap, Robert

NC

I strongly OPPOSE the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bates, Gina

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

grievances, kathy

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. Please help students! I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nieland, Tom

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nye, Kathryn

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Leifer, Beverly

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Curry, Lamekia

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Foley, Erin

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Howard, Sarah

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Spencer, Jeremy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Graves, Caryn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McCarthy, Susan

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Goodwyn, Kahlil

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Williamson-Pecori, Beverly PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Boughan, Tom

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Drees, Heather "Heth" ND

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Clyde, Brooke

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Carey, Laura

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thomas Sr, Fred

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rivera, Nicholas

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Clyde, Brooke

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Christianson, Mary

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Keiser, Mackenzie

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Winfrey, Bobbiejo

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kuhl, Maureen

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wildman, Teena

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jones, Steve

NY

DON'T change Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greene, David

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kaplan, Kelsey

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Windham, Dallas

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gallo, Amanda

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mireles, Monica

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rondon, Sarai

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

LaFleur, Teresia

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stover, W. Andrew

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moss, Kari

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dawes, Marta

NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wakefield, Marie

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Eisenberg, Michael

NC

Do not open the floodgates to more predation on students. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Robins, William

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lindstrom, Kate

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. Please I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Thanks.

Paez Conlago, Maria

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yencich, Joseph A.

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lanning, Scott

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Johnston, Spencer

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brimecombe, Lynne

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wagner, Daniel

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

broadbeck, virginia

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burke, Bonnie Margay CA

I am completely opposed to what you are doing to destroy public education. You are a menace to deserving, hard working people who wish to get an education and not be left with massive debt. As a wealthy, privileged, entitled white woman, it is obvious you have no interest in and are completely out of touch with what is the reality that comes with not being a millionaire. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being

Siegel, Leni

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Krummert, Heidi

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Saade, Nadia

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Johnson, April

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fisch, Sara

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chrisman, Wendy

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Edwards, Donna

CA

I want you to know that I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chambers, Allegra

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hagan, Carrie

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Collazos, Juan

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Connell, Nancy

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ledbetter, Michael

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wood, Jessica

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Keitges, Katie

SD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Paape, Terrance

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

guttenberg, jessica

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

abraham, reuben

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cordier, Carol

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Duda, Tim

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Adeina, Dalia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cleaver, Melissa

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Barney, Heather

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brown, Robert

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sharp, Brian

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kasregis, Earle

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Palazzolo, Lauren

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Licklider, Anita

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Waskowitz, Hannah

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hauch, John

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vaught, Kevin

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dominguez, Sam

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cole, Charles

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Claridge, Jeanne

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schmedeke, Karen

CO

HELLO Betsy, I just got out of college and have started my first job as a software engineer in Massachusetts. The current state of the higher education system is extremely problematic, as well as the education system as a whole. What we focus on teaching children needs to reflect first and foremost our economy. With inflation and problematic and erratic changes in the political landscape, so too come the same changes in our economy. What we do know is that America has been and is becoming more-so an economy of intelligence as opposed to our history of industry. We are no longer in a time that we can forego higher ed and earn a job that can pay for ourselves, much less a family. Because of this, we need to focus on training and educating Americans for a career and future that they can not only survive, but thrive on. What does this mean? It means that we need to specialize how we distribute college/university loans by the government by investing in our scholars. A brilliant math mind interested in working in robotics is someone that is worth the money. Someone planning to major in Fitness, sadly isn't. It's hard to say these things, but we are no longer in a world in which a college education is something that is viable for those who don't need it for work. This makes this new initiative two-fold. We need to change the minds of corporate America as well as change and specify who we allow the government to invest in. Marketing companies need to act as carpenters used to - taking in apprentices and training them through that; you learn how to write from first grade through to high school, getting an English major isn't going to help more than just going into the real world and working. At the same time, high level math and sciences simply require more time and thus college and university make sense. If

Pearson, Wyatt

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wautier, Michael

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

V, Miranda

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Simpson, Gregory D

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cataldo, Curtis

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McGill, Charlotte

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fly, Carol

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Taylor, Orlando

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

LaFrance, Janine

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Whitmire, Elizabeth

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rouse, Frank

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ikeda, Scott

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nope, Ryan

MS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McFarland, Robert

FL

TREAT PEOPLE THE WAY YOU WANT TO BE TREATED!!!!!! Shove your friends and your money UP YOUR ASS!!!!!! I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hieber, L.D.

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

warstler, pat

IN

I watch financial regulation in education, and I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mayo, Nan

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shabazz, Adonai Q.

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Missimer Jr., Robert D. PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

shin, seaoon

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jones, Carol

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Abbott, Lawrence

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

buckley, nan

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McGarvey, Megan

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vogel, Steven

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Love, Jewel

SC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Verhoff, Debbie

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Macis, Sandra

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rolfes, Kevin

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Upp, James

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schuchman, David

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bergtholdt, Edward

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lucero, Pauline

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Butler, Casey

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Honigsblum, Alexander IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fenenbock, Lauren

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Day, Jonathan

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fogel, Denise

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gomez, Armando

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

MILLER, BRENTON

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Miller, Cody

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mascarenhas, Melissa NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Avalos, Marcela

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Long, Leland

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shutkin, Sara

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Littman, Rebeca

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hudson, Vivian

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moss-Racusin, Lauren

CT

Stefenel, Rudy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Logue, Carolene

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

shamblen, dean

NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Damm, Mahalia

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nadreau, Patricia

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bradley, Kathy

SC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Holmes, James

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sercombe, Sarah

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Davis, William

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McKinstry, Alvin

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cubeiro, Lisa

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stawinoga, Greg

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ramos, Rosa

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Connick, Cherie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

gutierrez, yadira

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fatusin, Femi

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Alexander, Allan

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hudson, Shayne

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Spillers, George

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lentz, Kelly

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Terry, Marie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Powell, Ashley

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dean, Keli

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jensen, Christina

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Manheim, Frank T

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Connors, Rebecca

RI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Naue, Michelle

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mildren, Nancy

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Aschettino, Amanda

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Polk, Nora

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. This, like numerous other issues (climate change, food labeling, gun regulation, immigration reform, prison reform, education reform, short-term lending regulation,

Klein, James

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brenner-Ward, Isis

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

St. Cyr, Susan

RI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greer, Helen

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Masani-Manuel, Nzingha MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

mckee, jenny

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Denman, Alexandra

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Markowitz, John

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dunn, Elmo

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Martin, Ashleigh

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Evans, Pamela

TX

I oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. Please do not change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McKay, Jana

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Whiteley, Kevin

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Velasquez-Cruz, Virginia CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Holloway, Tiy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Keller, Bob

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Heywood, Sarah

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Snodderly, Shondra

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. As a college professor for many first generation and low income students, I see how important education is - and how important that their degree puts them forward rather than

Seaman, Natasha

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kay, Lauren

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Meyer, Eric

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Van Leekwijck, Natalie NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

DEHNER, ALICE

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Torres, Manuel

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Carella, Len

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Scheurer, Steve

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wang, Jennifer

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bruce, Felicia

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sparks, Gregory

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kerrigan, Catherine

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Post, Sheryl

AK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Prince, Howard

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. I do not approve DeVos as the head of this department. She supports religious private schools using public schools money. This is entirely wrong to do. She should be fired.

Mosca-Clark, Vivianne OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wynne, David

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sabin, Alberta

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Margolis, David

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

W, Nicole

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

weiss, eric

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

zuckerman, michael

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ander, Ellen

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lasater, Ashley

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

pliess, catherine

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smeltzer, Carl

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Morales-Melendez, Marite FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Uprety, Manisha

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dahl, Linda

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Proo, Breann

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mitton, Darren

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vaughn, Cassia

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Morales-Melendez, Marite FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Enriquez, Toni

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

R., A.

RI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wells, Lasha

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schultz, Matthew

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chudzik, Alex

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Robison, Cheryl

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Beringer, Mark

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

DeNunzio, Maureen

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

engstrom, katrina

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Boyer, Hannah

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, Stephen

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

HOWARD, CELESTE

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gibson, Raymond

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mann, Sahiba

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bly, David

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cooper, Maddie

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rooney, Brian

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Johnson, Georgette

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kegebein, Dan

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rohit, Khamraj

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moonan, Lee

CA

your ignorant policies hurt students and their families. I am particularly concerned about your obvious hatred of public education as well as your unwillingness to enforce the provisions of Title IX that protect women and girls from sex harassment and sex assault on campus. That being said I also strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook:

Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options.

Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications.

Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department

Freed, Ann

HI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yannacanedo, Natasha NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Riley, Paul

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schwartz, Marjorie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Steadmon, Jason

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ghidina, Sarah

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Peasley, Austin

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Balmeria, Kaylyn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Flowers, Bobbie

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Saunders, Richard

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

DeForest, Jessica

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Henkes, Susan

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bonetti, Donna

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Magrath, Pat

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hagins, Thomas

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Abdich, Jennifer

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Corrales, Yma

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bleser, Robin

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

LEINOVA, AVERY

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Powers, Mark

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Blanchard, Jack

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fox, Marilyn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smock, Amanda

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ostos, Olga

NM

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bourgoin, Kathryn

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brooks, Danielle

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Farias, Vanessa

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lenchner, Nicholas

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Foley, Emily

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lindsey, Irvin

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Naylor, Adrienne

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zink, John

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lozow Cleary, Karen and WIN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

koo, rebecca

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dillon, Christi

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wheeler, Maureen

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stump, Rebecca

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Prell, Vanessa

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

PALAZZINI, LOUIS

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mory, Stephanie

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Younger, Lyn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, Della

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Phillips, Charles

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wells, Raymond

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schlatter, Jeanne

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Donegan, Chuck

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

belt-vahle, Catherine

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nichols, David S.

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lilling, Glenda

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cederberg, Bryan

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lull, Karen

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

King, Bill

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Holmes, Margaret

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations. But first of all, I can't believe that someone who is entrusted to protect the education of Americans can be trying to do everything possible to destroy education in the United States. But I realize that you are one of everyone who has been appointed to line the pockets of the most wealthy people in America at the expense of everyone. I realize that you are completely unqualified to be in your position as well as the other appointees. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of the Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and

Helm, Robert

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

west, mr.g.

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thomas, Jim

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Skolnick, Kate

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lemus, Alejandro

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rivera, Javier

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Leber, Susan

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

mccoy, joan

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Romero, Ana

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Howard, Kristen

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

La Serra, Stephen

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dlugosz, Janice

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Barrett, Danielle

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Riemer, Sharon

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moff, Jeremy

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burns, Samantha

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rasmussen, David

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook. This just doesn't make sense! Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to abandon any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Donnell, Kelly

RI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Parker, Vaughn

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

SKRZYPCZAK, LIDA

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Goldman, Mark

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hermann-Wu, Kate

MA

I spend a lot of my time at university applying for scholarships and working 3 part time jobs at the University of Pittsburgh. I still manage to have a 3.82 GPA as a rehabilitation science major, sociology minor and ASL certificate. Imagine what I could, and other students like me, could do if we did not have to worry about paying rent, groceries and then student loans. I am not apologizing for being born into a poor family, the rich should apologize for not donating more the money that they "earned" aka born into. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and

Heil, Raechel

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Anderson, Ryan

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stallings, Barbara

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt, and taxpayers on the hook:

Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options.

Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications.

Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not to get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bjork, Arthur

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reed, Mike

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

heyn, joyce

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Duncan, Sylvia

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greinke, Pamylle

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

crespi, sam

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kern, Edward

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jezek, Tom

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Turechek, Dennis

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bein, Ann

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Locke, Stephen

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Van Praag, Jane LeathermaTX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fulton, Nicole

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. As a 50 year old who is back in school myself while I have 2 college graduates and 1 in college right now with Stafford loans and Parent Plus Loans, I'm sick of hearing about what

Gates, Eric

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wyatt, Ariane

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

P, E

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gaylen, Gregory

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

ferguson, Ruth

NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Enzweiler, Stanley

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gallinger, Greg

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Boyce, Susan

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

D'Amelio, Marissa

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

W Spencer, Garry

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Meyer, Carol

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kistler, Andrew

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sabin, Alberta

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hallman, Janice

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Liberge, Marcel

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Williams, DeAnda

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Goldstein, Aaron

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rodriguez, Dorothy

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rosin, Berte

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Watson, Harold

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Malave, LILANA

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kowalik, Annette

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reisman, Emil

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rooney-Katsma, Lynne IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Iommel, Lois

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nosanow, Maria

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Berkowitz-Berliner, Jill NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations, which actually protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Thank you.

Costigan, Andrew

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Just another instance of DeVos being wrong. As she is overtime she involves herself in education. One must wonder about her own "education.:

Kemper, Michael

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Livingston, Elaine

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hinton, Elizabeth

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

stroke, marija

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Delorme, Cathy

LA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Krempa, Nancy

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Girolamo, Lucia

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lowe, Kimberly

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gibson, Jody

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schultz, Margaret

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shaak, Susan

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McCombs, Genie And Bob TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Peariso, Sharon

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bradford, James

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Messing, Mark

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

morris, jason

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

La Rosa, Tania

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

johnson, arnold

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Feeney, Sean

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Weissman, Ira

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Elison, Sage

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Henninger, Louise

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dowling, Gary

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ackon, Bridget

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moscatello, Brian

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

hopkins, herb

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Freilich, Steven

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Markham, John

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Davis, Corey

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cheney, G.W.

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Miller, Victoria

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Billionaires who have NO EXPERIENCE or QUALIFICATIONS for serving as Secretary of Education should NEVER have been confirmed since it has become abundantly clear

Ridenour, Patty

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gorsetman, Michael

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Flores, Deanne

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Freese, Daniel

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vo, Kristina

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Andrychowski, Steven CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Towner, Erline

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fremon, Suzanne

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kunkel, Chris

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Arevalo, Anna

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jones, Lani

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Polish, Bret

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Windrum, Ken

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Poteet, Earl

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kniat, Michael

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Albanese, Dawn

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cloud, Jarrett

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Colley, Belinda

OR

An uneducated or poorly educated electorate is the death of democracy. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Phelps, Julie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Korn, Meryle A.

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Silinonte, Lauren

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Tumarkin, Alexandra

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kovac, Rena

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Howell, Gail

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lalama, Alejandra

NJ

my sister has been a victim of the predatory tactics of Virginia College. She is now left with thousands of dollars of student loan debt. Most of her financial aid was used to cover excessive fraudulent fees. As a result she never had a refund nor easy access to the funds that she is now responsible for paying off. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their

FIELDS, TAMIKA

AL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cooley, Marian

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Villanova, Carolyn

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jeffery, Brian

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hohenshelt, Felicity

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

janes, mary

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lanagan, Pamela

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Donaldson, Jenn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brandes, Michael

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Durand, Victoria

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Corey, Amy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Grotzke, Mark

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Briskin, Lauren

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hiles, Dustin

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Erwin, Sheila

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Inkenbrandt, James

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Leibowitz, Susan

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Seff, Joshua

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Detert-Moriarty, Judith WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thomas, Joanie

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Robustelli, Joseph

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yousuf, Muhammad

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McMahon, Kathleen

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

D'Orazio, Corbin

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pratt, Don

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lacy, India

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Young, Patricia Jean

WV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, KM

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Szymczak, Melanie

NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sanders, Ellen

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

belcastro, frank

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hermann-Wu, Ailsa

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greensfield, Zvika

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lim, Cherry

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Scholl, Chris

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Caruso, Vicki

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Steffen, Heidi

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Weaver, Esther

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gilbert Sacks, Carol

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pilgrim, Carolyn

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pillinger, Hal

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brown, Lily

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Baker, Lauren

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Harper, Jenna

NM

you have no concept of what the average teacher and students must go through to secure an education, supplies, etc. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kornbau, Mary

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kopaskie, John

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Tempel, Carol

SC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Luiting, Faith

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bartkowicz, Richard

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pantier, Gina

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Houseman, David

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Noland, John

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ferguson, Vicki

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Koster, Kati

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gitow, Jessie

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Surprenant, Brian

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rothstein, Richard

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Baker, Arlene

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Amari, David

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cook, Paula

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

cador, W.A

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Katz, David

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Trowbridge, Abigail

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gilles, Karen

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Crawford, Licia

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hembrough, Renee ME

Please protect the college student instead of businesses. Making college more expensive and less friendly to the student will make our country less educated in future. Thank you for listening to our voices.

Cook, Spencer UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Weinstock, Stuart

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

gouge, gerald

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Silva, Diana

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Paske, Randall

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Martin, Julie

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lewandowski, Michael NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Howard, Milo

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Payden-Travers, Christine VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Roosa, Nat

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fast, William

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lalama, Lori

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Taylor, Stefan

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fantone, Mykela

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

LaBelle, Alyssa

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mahaney, Scott

WV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brichler, Sarah

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hood, Mary

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Feese, Craig

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hinkley, Spencer

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fooner, Andrea

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Futrell, Sherrill

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hong, Celeste

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wood, William

AK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Thank you for your consideration.

Hubbard, Linda

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Armstead, B A

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I strongly urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Phillips, George

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lincoln, Deb

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jordan, Michelle

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hernandez, Yulahlia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gibson, Gaybriel

SC

A past Amway distributor. I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Luke, Keth

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cowell, Dustin

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reilly, Christina

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kast, Michael

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hilgeman, Mary Ann

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Watson, Danny

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Werzinski, Joseph

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cochran, Shana

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Torres, Remigio

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fuller, Marilyn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kondratieff, Joanne

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nielsen, Susan

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dunal, Linda

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burlingame, Sharon

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Meister, Gail

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McLeod, Phoebe

SC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mitchell, Kristy

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Weston, Christopher

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jastromb, Virginia

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dion, Patricia

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Otten, Erin

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cucuzza, Drew

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cecil, Scott

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Luke, Linda

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vasquez, Frances

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Muzeni, Hope

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

DiMaria, Mark

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Green, Ed

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nyquist, Robert

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mueller, Ellen

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wong, Debi

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jason, Kathine

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Naihe, Kai

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Perry, Robert

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Becker, Elaine

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stout, Helene

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schwartz, Elizabeth

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rues, Alicia

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Alloway, Richard

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greenwood, Jennifer OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Long, Joseph

ME

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Katz, M

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, Shirley

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

North, Maureen

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chambers, Freddie

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

H, D

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greenstein, Becca

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Songalia, Elizabeth

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Why would you want to burden our young people with more debt? I am flabbergasted that you would hurt our students.

Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options.

Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications.

Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Baker, Johanna

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Minslow, Sarah

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Aultman, Reynolds

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Balmana, Marisa

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mudge, Jennifer

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Greiner, Tony

NM

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cook, Beth

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

A., Maggie

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gebre, Fnnot

DC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sells, Greg

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Comella, John

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hager, Jon

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schulman, Ken

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chachage, Kristeen

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

STEWART, LAURA

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Miller, Doris

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ramirez, Pam

CA

As a former Senate Education staffer who was involved in the drafting of the Every Student Succeeds Act, I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

B., Reba

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Redish, Maryellen

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Meyer, Carl

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

W, Jennifer

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brustad, Rachel

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Liou, Annabel

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shank, Ellen

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Scott, Raeann

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kahigian, Peter

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shook, Philip

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Burk, Robert

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dickeson, Landon

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hernandez, Alondra

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hamilton, Tina

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Berger, Karen

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Oline, Ashley

AR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Phillips, Melissa

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Walsh, Donald

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Medoff, Gena

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Presberry, Jenean

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sol, Shovon

NV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jennings, Scott

LA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Grenard, Mark Hayduke AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cunningham, JT

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cantu, Allysa

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

brazis, chris

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Honeycutt, JC

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Novkov, Russell

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Klein, Daniel

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rokas, John

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Winter, Erika

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sorrells, Ryan

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Navarro, Fabiola

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Robson, Eric

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chang, Patricia

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hutchinson, Carolyn

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lapetino, Carol

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Neft, Darrell

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nia, saideh

CA

My family, community and I FULLY OPPOSE the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Steiner, A.L.

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Halbert, Jen

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Tizard, Thomas

HI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kranowski, Steven

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

a, a

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Just because you have fortunes - don't penalize those less fortunate !

Libson, Aaron

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Powell, Jennifer

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Montoya, Jovan

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I am a third year college student who is paying for my education through financial aid and large private loans. I have three little sisters who will be doing the same in the next few years. It is essential for the health and future of our nation that the Department of Education create policies that put

Girouard, Allie

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gelinas, Kim

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Feder, Erik

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Haas, Erica

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jacus, Anna

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Baker, Fatima

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schilling, Kenneth

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mullins, Sarah

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Flores Malpica, Elaine

PR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Roberts, Elizabeth

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

La Flamme, Bethany

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Franco, Vanessa

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McKnight, Alan

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fong, Vigny

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Quist, Erin

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rotolo, L

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Randall, John

MT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lalama, Lori

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Basically, if you wanna screw the people of my generation, get a Tinder account. Not this bullhorn.

Holder, Campbell

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Merrill, Martha

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Roshay, Jacq

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Allman, Esther

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gioia, Deborah

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McCusker, Colleen

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

DelValle, Marc

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Freson, Neil

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Derrick, Sarah

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Takush, Kathie E

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

E, Esteban

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Diaz, Olivia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cantu, Roel

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bostock, Vic

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mulcare, James

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. We are all worried that college programs have tripled in price over the past 10 years. As a high school teacher I have been uncertain what to tell my remarkable students about their futures since college loans and scholarships have become so costly and jobs so few. Allowing these avaricious practices

Freshney, Pam

LA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Savageau, Bonnie

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Seager, Michael

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Howard, Carl

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Law, Patricia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wesley, F. Robert

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Albar, Mike

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Whipple, Wyman

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zale, Madalene

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

O'Kiersey, Mary E.

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jones, Timothy

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Spradlin, Karen

AL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Marx, Joe

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wilson, Karen

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Murphy, Bonnie

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Marshall, Rebecca

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nichols, Tiereneee

MS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shelby, sharon

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Stites, Jim

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Madigan, GERALYN

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schurr, Arthur

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shaikh, Usama

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Grandfield, Kate

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

JAPP, CHARLOTTE

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vargas, Karla

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Martin, Paul

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fast, Wendy

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bourassa, Veronica

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chischilly, Melanie

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Truran, Wendy

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Paschal, Phillip

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Robinson, Brendan

VT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ziemnik, Melanie

DC

How dare you sell off Education to the highest bidder? The Department of Education Regulations was meant to protect students and taxpayers from just such a predatory group of Lenders and Promoyers as you seem set to give 'free' reign to. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chapel, Robin

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Molero, Lia

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Henninger, Michael

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Thank you for your consideration.

Vescio, Pat

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

A Clark Jr, James

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Curtis, Robert

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sampson, Mia

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vivlamore, Tricia

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moss, Marva S.

NC

Dear Ms. DeVos, I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pahl, Leloba

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Weintraub, Gayle

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Mirkhani, Christine

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I personally am in debt for about \$35,000 which is student debt. I do not believe that others should be put in this same situation. This is why I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hepfer, Anne

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. I trust that my comments will be addressed and thoughtfully considered. Thank you.

Hartman, Nancy

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Chrol, Jenna

WV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Andarmani, Kristine

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hayden, Emily

MT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yam, Jane

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hollinrake, Mark

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Doyle, Loretta

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Espinal, Edgar

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rhoads, Jennifer

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Van Wasshenova, Christop MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Klimchak, Amre

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Perkins, Erica

RI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Meky, Moustafa

WV

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kilurden, Rilriia

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lubahn, Emily

CA

we need as many educated Americans as possible to contribute to our economy. Taking away programs that protect students from crippling debt and getting ripped off in general greatly weakens our economy. Drowning people in debt as they begin adulthood is a cynical way to make money, and only benefits greedy bank executives while destabilizing our country's economy. Please, don't take away key protections from predatory financial practices, they not only protect Americans, but our country's economic stability as well! Thank you for your time, here is the petition letter: I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR

Funes, Gabriel

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hensley, Bobbie

TN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Corazon, Ashley

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Innes, S

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically OPPOSE ANY ALTERATION of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department DO NOT change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kazaks, Maia

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

robinson, stewart

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yamagiwa, Courtney

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dutschke, Stephen

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Litzhoff, Andrea

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Moore, Lorraine

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lucas, Janie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ferguson, Christopher CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Annous, Layla

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Holmes, Margaret Jane WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sastre, Marina

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Magdovitz, Fox

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

muller, catherine

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ruiz, Peggy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Niemi, Carol

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hamid, Devin

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nelson, Scott

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Truszynski, Kellie

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Foster, Jean

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Owens, Janna

AL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zuckerman, Barry

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, Kellie

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rehn, Debra

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Arntson, David

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nieto, Y. Armando

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Murawski, Heather

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Smith, Valerie

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Reyes, Sr. Mary Sonia

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ghanbarpour, S

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

McLean, Matthew

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Williams, Julia

IA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Oh, William

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Curiel, Ariana

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Goyer, Meghan

GA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Freeman, Dale

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hanson, Dr. Jim

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wicht, Dan

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Grant, George

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

O'Donnell, Shawn

AK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cline, Daniel

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Atkin, Kari

NE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers.

****Put yourself in the place of a typical low to middle class student in this economy. Take time to imagine what it would be like. These times are not comparable to when you graduated from college. They won't be without many changes made to strengthen and build the middle class. Put the golden rule into action.*** I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like "pain funnels" to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access

Dover, Andrea

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bawa, Alicia

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shogren, Martha

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wilson, Judith

WY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Abbott, Daniel

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

English, Carolyn

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Levy, Kathleen

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Miller, Maria

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vertal, Diane

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hern, Monique

PR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cody, Keandrea

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cripps, Phillip

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Scott, Pamela

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lindenbaum, Joshua

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Nehring, Nathan

KS

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bishop, Cori

NJ

I very strongly believe that education opportunities should be in no way determined by financial standing. As someone coming from a family without a lot of money, and as someone who undoubtedly seeks to attend college after graduating high school, I find it ludicrous to funnel tax money to programs that simply don't work and to slash the regulations that shut them down. I have a job, and I pay taxes. I would sincerely much rather my money, earned by the valuable time and effort I put into my work, be used to fund programs that genuinely assist students and don't leave them with dozens to hundreds of thousands of dollars in loan debt. I don't need that to go to the salaries of recruiters: I need that to go toward getting me, my friends, my family, and my fellow Americans through school so that we actually stand a chance in this economy and way of life. And so I deeply urge you to reconsider your decision to pursue the actions you have taken to deregulate for-profit colleges: public institutions that shouldn't be for profit to begin with.

S, Sam

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Szmidt, Madison

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Buckingham, Bryan

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bartlett, Rachel

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Janusko, Robert

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

cook, richard

IN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bullock, Sydney

ID

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Madden, Molly

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Yorgason, Laurence

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thompson, Lauren

OR

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zemmel, Danielle

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sootoo, Margret

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cheney, G.W.

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dunsmoor, Frank

MO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Beattie, Evan

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lang, Lynn C.

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Dirkse, Jane

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Levine, Adam

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

sepanlou, mehry

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

a, a

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Grijalva, Antonio

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Pizzo, J

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Benitez, Elyse

SC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kling, Heather

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. In the end HECK NO!

Kensler-Prager, Kim

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Vasas, Ashley

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Godfrey, Josh

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Genova, Ashley

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Distefano, Anna

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Jay, Linda-Jean

WY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

O'Leary, Rachel

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Tann, Rosemary

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

SEYMOUR, NANCY

MN

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Abler, Mike

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Thank you for your attention to, and consideration of, this important issue.

Hartman, George

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bennett, Virginia

HI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Kerstetter, Don

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

sabatinelli, dan

MA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Graff, Gail

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Manske, Jill

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

White, Judy

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Garcia, Armando A

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Barber, Terry

KY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ross, Lilli

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bacorn, Tommy

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Camputaro, Carolyn

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Graver, Chuck

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Salas, Jan

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Crawford, Kathy

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

fullerton, david

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Schmerbeck, Andrea

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lanes, Susan

IL

I strongly OPPOSE the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically OPPOSE ANY alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I strongly urge the Department to RECONSIDER ANY plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hanson, Art

MI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Harkness, Roger

OK

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lewis, Katrina

VA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rhodes, Heidi

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Florentine, Caitlyn

MT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hamilton, Colleen

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

K, Colleen

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Newberg, Stephen and Rok CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Leach, Pamela

MT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. NO DeVos, ABSOLUTELY NO! We The People are sick to death with supporting all you miscreant, greedy muckies. You ARE officially on notice! You ARE NOT qualified, and

Peters, Marta

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. **We don't want to funnel more taxpayer dollars to predatory and for-profit programs.** Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. **We don't want to open a pathway for tuition dollars to go to college recruiters, instead of for academic resources.** Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. **We don't want to allow banks to take higher fees from college students' earned financial

Eberle, Martha

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ozga, Stefanie

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brown, Christopher

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Previte, Joe

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Rickert, Jerome

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Martin, Candice

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Caspari, April

NH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Linzmeier, Robert

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Engels, Marlo

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hill, Keely

DE

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lytle, Denise

NJ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Bissell, Mary

NM

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hubert, Ron

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lounsbury, Amanda

CT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Manner, Jennifer

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gonzales, Rachel

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Davis, Cody

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Loup, Sophie

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cole, Russell

TX

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wilson, Jacqueline

WI

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brewer, John

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ricciardi, Michelle

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cook, Christa

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Barton, Sarah

SC

As a current high school student beginning to look into higher education for myself, I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Macomber, Zoe

NC

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zenker, Rev. Elizabeth CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hull, Juanita

UT

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hale, Margaret

MD

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Davis, Heather

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Fetter, Sharon

WA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Lazar, Jodi

IL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Ibrahima Dadhi, Bah

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sturdivant, Doris

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Shaw, Donald

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Gracey, Kyle

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Zabala, Jennifer

GU

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Hughes, Michelle

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Brown, Gregory

OH

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Molnar, Karin

NJ

Thank you for taking the time to listen to those who have shared their experiences with you. In watching your announcement last week, the personal stories of individuals that you gave to the audience were hard to hear based on my experience working in higher education. I agree that some updates should be made regarding clarification of terms or definitions, and simplifying language. However, the examples you shared are not prevalent, and represent only a small percentage of the thousands of institutions and thousands of complaints made each year. Per my experience, institutions that are not following federal and state laws, and institutional policies, should be held responsible, which typically occurs when OCR fines the institution. This does not mean that the systems that are in place do not work, and that what is currently in place should be scrapped. It means a better job needs to be done, and better partnerships need to be created within every institution. Also, no additional monies should be allotted to for-profit programs. Students are the ones that need to benefit. They are your customers, not elected officials, for-profit programs, and the like.

Newbury, Krysten

CA

I strongly OPPOSE the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically OPPOSE any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, NOT get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers. Also, Betsy DeVos, your antihumanrights ultraconservative antiprogressive politics about treatments of human rights of victims of rape, GLBTIQA or LGBTIQA

Santic, Vel

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Thieme, Ruth

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Marshall, Heather

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Cohen, Nancy

PA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Wiley, Kimberly

NY

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

jordan, bro anthony

FL

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Tyree, D

AZ

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Sugarman, Stevie

CA

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

SMITH, MCLEAN

CO

I strongly oppose the elimination or weakening of Department of Education Regulations meant to protect students and taxpayers. I specifically oppose any alteration of the following regulations, which hold corporate interests accountable and stop taxpayer money from being used to support education programs that leave students with no good career prospects, mired in debt and taxpayers on the hook: Gainful Employment - 34 CFR 668 Subpart Q Gainful employment protects students and taxpayers by not allowing federal financial aid to go to programs where graduates earn too little compared to their debt. This rule allows good programs to persist while getting rid of those that do a poor job with students. If this regulation goes away, career training programs and schools will go back to ripping off students through low-quality options. Incentive compensation - 34 CFR 668.14 This rule prohibits colleges from paying bonuses or other commissions to recruiters in exchange for getting students to enroll. For-profit colleges make more money as they enroll more students, this regulation stops them from using tactics like “pain funnels” to enroll students regardless of their qualifications. Cash Management - 34 CFR 668 Subpart K Financial aid is for students to pay for college and necessary expenses, not get nickel-and-dimed by banks. These regulations protect students from having their financial aid refunds being eaten away by fees, and ensures students get easy access to their money. I urge the Department to reconsider any plans to change these regulations that protect millions of hardworking students and taxpayers.

Danahy, Thomas

FL