

60001

Advanced Computer Architecture
Imperial College London

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Course Structure and Logistics	2
2	Pipelining	3
2.1	Instruction Layout	3
2.2	Pipeline Structure	4
2.3	Pipeline Hazards	4
2.3.1	Structural Hazard	4
2.3.2	Data Hazard	5
2.3.3	Control Hazard	7
2.4	Simultaneous Multithreading	8
2.5	Pipelining Roundup	8
3	Caches	9
3.1	Why Caches	9
3.2	Locality	9
3.3	Cache Types	10
3.3.1	Directly Mapped Cache	10
3.3.2	Two Way Associative	11
3.3.3	N Way Associative & Block Placement	12
3.4	Block Identification	12
3.5	Block Replacement	12
3.6	Write Strategy	12
3.7	Miss Rate Reduction Using Hardware	12
3.7.1	Reducing Misses	13
3.7.2	Increase Block Size	13
3.7.3	Increase Associativity	13
3.7.4	Victim Cache	13
3.7.5	Skewed-Associative Caches	14
3.7.6	Hardware Prefetching	14
3.8	Miss Rate Reduction Using Software	15
3.8.1	Software Prefetching	15
3.8.2	Reducing Instruction Cache Misses	15
3.8.3	Storage Layout & Iteration Space Transformations	15
4	Dynamic Scheduling	17
4.1	Bypassing Stalls	17
4.2	Tomasulo's Algorithm	17
4.3	Precise Interrupts	19
4.4	Store Buffering	19
4.5	Register Update Unit	19
4.6	Register Alias Tables	20
5	Credit	21

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Course Structure and Logistics



Prof Paul Kelly

Teaching the entire course.


- Microprocessor design.
- Optimising software for hardware, and compiler design.
- Optimising hardware for specific software tasks.
- Challenges past, present & future.

Taught through pre-recorded lectures and live tutorial sessions.

This course is largely textbook based.

- 936 pages covering the course content and more.
- Useful appendices covering both introductory and advanced material.

The book is written by John Hennessy and David Patterson.



Computer Architecture:
A Quantitative Approach (6th Edition)



Chapter 1 - Part 1: Introduction

Chapter 2

Pipelining



Chapter 1 - Part 2: Pipelines

MIPS/Microprocessor without Interlocked Pipelined Stages

Definition 2.0.1

MIPS is a reduced instruction set (RISC) architecture originally developed for the R2000 microprocessor.

- 3 types of instruction layouts
- Load-store architecture
- Support for floating point arithmetic

2.1 Instruction Layout

The instructions set architecture (ISA) determines the layout of instructions. Here we consider the mips architecture.

Register Type

31	26 25	21 20	16 15	11 10	6 5	0
opcode	Register Source 2 (Rs)	Register Source 1 (Rt)	Register Destination (Rd)	Shift code (shamt)	Function Code (funct)	
6 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	6 bits	

5 bit register specifier
limits MIPS to 32 registers

Immediate Type

31	26 25	21 20	16 15	0
opcode	Register Source 2 (Rs)	Register Destination (Rd)	immediate operand	
6 bits	5 bits	5 bits	16 bits	

Opcode specifies how the fields will be interpreted by specifying the instruction type

Opcode specifies how the fields will be interpreted by specifying the instruction type

Jump Type

31	26 25	target
opcode		

6 bits

26 bits

Can use word-alignment (4 bytes - 2 bits) of instructions to address with 28 bits

Branch (Immediate Type)

31	26 25	21 20	16 15	0
opcode	Register Source 2 (Rs)	Branch operation	pc relative address	
6 bits	5 bits	5 bits	16 bits	

address is offset from the current PC, with word alignment of instructions considered

The size of fields in the instruction layouts determines characteristics such as:


- Maximum number of registers
- Maximum distance for a conditional jump
- Size of immediate operands
- Range of addresses that can be used.

MIPS Assembly

Extra Fun! 2.1.1

A basic guide listing of mips instructions can be found here [Basic MIPS instructions](#).

2.2 Pipeline Structure



- Execution of an instruction is split into stages
- Throughput is potentially increased by factor $1/\text{number of stages}$ (ideally)
- All stages work on an instruction simultaneously/in parallel (very little extra hardware required for the speedup advantage)

The speedup is reduced by

- Latency increased due to latches
- Pipeline rate limited by slowest stage (unbalanced stages / fragmentation)
- Time required to fill and drain the pipeline.
- Pipeline hazards which result in stalls (unable to dispatch another instruction in a given cycle).

2.3 Pipeline Hazards

2.3.1 Structural Hazard

Structural Hazard

Definition 2.3.1

Where hardware is unable to support a combination of instructions.

Multiple pipeline stages may need to access the same hardware resources:

- Register file (register operand fetch and register write back)
- Access to memory (RAM port in older machines, cache (SRAM) now)

Not enough ports!

Example Question 2.3.1


Given basic pipeline structure above, what structural hazard could occur between *Memory Access* and *instruction fetch* if there is only one RAM port?

No instruction can be fetched when the *Memory Access* stage is filled, this results in a stall.

The maximum potential speedup for a 5 stage pipeline is $5\times$, however due to the stalls we can see a recurring pattern:

Cycle:	$6n$	$6n + 1$	$6n + 2$	$6n + 3$	$6n + 4$	$6n + 5$
Instructions:	2	2	3	3	3	2

We would expect a $5\times$ speedup from this pipeline. However we are only getting a $2.5\times$ speedup due to the stalls.



2.3.2 Data Hazard

Data Hazard

Definition 2.3.2

Instruction is dependent on the result of a prior instruction still in the pipeline.

Most often caused by a dependency between instructions.

Forwarding Paths


Definition 2.3.3

Paths between pipeline stages to allow results from previous instructions (not yet written back) to be sent to instructions afterwards that are in the pipeline.

Result Used By Many Subsequent Instructions


```

li    $1, 100      # $1 = 100
addiu $2, $1, 100 # $1 += 100 # here onwards depends on $1
addiu $3, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
addiu $4, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
  
```



Chain of Results


```
li    $1, 100      # $1 = 100
addiu $2, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
addiu $3, $2, 100 # $1 += 100
addiu $4, $3, 100 # $1 += 100
```



Data Hazard Despite Forwarding

Here have a *load to use stall/delay*, forwarding paths will not work here as the memory access stage is 2 stages later than execute (where the instruction is required).

```
lw    $1, 0($2)    # $1 = *($2)
addiu $2, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
addiu $3, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
addiu $4, $1, 100 # $1 += 100
```



We can attempt to solve this issue using the compiler (e.g reorder instructions to put at least one non-dependent instruction between the load and the use).

Forwarding Paths

Software Scheduling

2.3.3 Control Hazard


Control Hazard

Definition 2.3.4

A stall created by the delay between getting the result of some branch/jump and fetching the next instruction using that data.

Instruction fetch (without branch prediction) requires the conditional branch result to be known. Hence the number of stages between instruction fetch and when the branch condition is determined is the size of the stall resulting from a conditional branch.

- This is also true for jumps/unconditional branches where the address is provided by some register and arithmetic (e.g jump with offset)
- Branch prediction can be done dynamically (in hardware) or statically (specific branch likely, branch unlikely instructions used by compiler).




Early Branch Determination

To decrease the number of cycles stalled in a branch, we can move the branch determination to earlier in the pipeline.

- Instruction decode determines branch.
- Still a one cycle delay in the MIPS example pipeline above.

2.4 Simultaneous Multithreading



We can eliminate stalls by interleaving the instructions of independent programs.

- Maintain two program counters for two programs, two sets of registers. Alternate between instructions from each program.
- No dependencies between adjacent stages, less forwarding, less complex instruction decode and control required.
- Each program sees half the clock frequency.

2.5 Pipelining Roundup

Pipelining offers increased throughput without much added hardware complexity by allowing execution stages to run in parallel as a pipeline.

- Simple 5 stage pipeline can run at $5 \rightarrow 9\text{GHz}$
- Limited by critical path through slowest pipeline stage
- Clock period is $330\text{ps} \approx 10$ gate delays at 3GHz (3 \rightarrow 5 FO4 for latches, 5 \rightarrow 8 FO4 for work).
- Memory access needs to be done in 5 \rightarrow 8 FO4 delays (large constraint).

FO4 Delays

Extra Fun! 2.5.1

The gate delay of a component with a fan-out (gate inputs driven by a gate's output) of 4.

Chapter 3

Caches

3.1 Why Caches

The difference between cycle time (time of a stage in a pipeline) and memory access time has continually increased.

Size	Access Time	Storage/Memory	Managed By	Transfer Unit
100Bs	< 1ns	Registers	programmer/compiler	1 – 16Bs
10Kbs	1ns	Cache (SRAM)	L1 L2 L3	cache controller 8 – 128Bs
100Kbs	10ns			
GBs	100ns 300ns	Main Memory (DRAM)	Operating System	4 – 8KBs
TBs	10ms	Secondary Storage (Disk, SSD, Flash)	user/operator	MBs
<i>unbounded seconds minutes</i>		Backup Storage (Tape)		

3.2 Locality

Programs typically access only a small part of their address space during a short time period.

Temporal Locality	Definition 3.2.1
Locality in time. The same location referenced is often referenced multiple times.	

Temporal Locality	Definition 3.2.2
	Locality in space. Locations near an accessed location tend to be referenced soon.


Most modern architectures are reliant on locality to determine when and what locations should be cached.

- Cache is a scarce resource.
- Cache misses are expensive.

3.3 Cache Types


3.3.1 Directly Mapped Cache

Associativity Conflicts	Definition 3.3.1
Where two or more locations are mapped to the same cache line/set of cache lines, and repeatedly replace each other.	<pre>/* Example with arrays, assume cache line is 256 bytes * and both arrays start at same cache index */ int array_a[64]; int array_b[64]; int some_function() { int sum = 0; for (int i = 0; i < 64; i++) { r += array_a[i] /* array_a moved into cache line */ + array_b[i]; /* array_b evicts array_a and replaces */ } return sum; }</pre>



- Index and byte select used to find entry. Then tag compared to determine hit/miss.
- We can see a pattern in memory of where locations can be cached based on the index.

- Block/line received before the hit/miss is known (recover later if miss).




Simplicity Simple indexing of cache & compare to determine hit/miss.
Fast Lookup Only one location where a cached value may be.

Associativity Conflicts As location can only be cached in one place, associativity conflicts are common.

3.3.2 Two Way Associative

Combine two directly mapped caches, and only cache a given location in one.



- Both caches searched in parallel.
- Only one hit possible, this is selected from result of both caches (selection is in the critical path)
- Cache block/line is available after the hit/miss is determined.

Fewer Assoc Conflicts Any location can now select two different locations in the cache, hence two addresses with the same index can both be cached.

Multiplexer Delay Complexity A multiplexer is added in the critical path
 Requires more comparators, and more complexity in placement & replacement.

3.3.3 N Way Associative & Block Placement

A generalisation of the directly mapped and two way associative caches. Block placement is restricted by the cache's associativity.

- Increasing associativity reduces associativity conflicts \Rightarrow better hit rate (with diminishing returns)
- Greater overhead in terms of multiplexers in the critical path and the hardware complexity
- Fully associative cache can place any location in any cache location, and uses parallel search of tag (index is 0 bits) to find entry
- More associative \Rightarrow less sensitivity to storage layout

Intel Pentium 4 Level 1 Cache (pre-prescott)	Extra Fun! 3.3.1
Capacity: 8KB Block/Line Size: 64B so $8K/64 = 128$ blocks Ways/Associativity: 4 Sets: 32 (128 blocks, but 4 way $\Rightarrow 128/4$) Index: 5 bits Tag: 21 bits	

Resulting access time is 2 cycles (6ns at 3GHz), with cache/memory being dual ported (load and store).

3.4 Block Identification

Index and tag identify a block.

- Increasing associativity decreases index size, increases tag size.
- Increasing block size decreases index size.

3.5 Block Replacement

When introducing a new location to the cache & possible locations are full.

- No choice in directly mapped.
- n choices for n -way associative.

The least recently used (LRU) evicts the oldest cache entry

- In practice only a marginal advantage over random eviction.
- Can be pathologically bad (e.g a loop accessing many locations may evict the first just before restarting the loop & accessing again).

3.6 Write Strategy

Write Through	Definition 3.6.1	Write Back	Definition 3.6.2
Write to cache, and to the block in lower-level memory.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combined with write buffers to prevent a wait on memory 	Only write back when evicting from cache.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Track write backs with a dirty bit • Absorb cost of repeated writes

Neither avoid the cache-coherence problem (inconsistent values for locations cached on multiple cores/processors).

3.7 Miss Rate Reduction Using Hardware

$$\text{Average Memory Access Time (AMAT)} = \text{Hit Time} + \text{Miss Rate} \times \text{Miss Penalty}$$

In order to reduce AMAT:

- Reduce Miss Rate.
- Reduce Miss Penalty.
- Reduce time to hit cache.

3.7.1 Reducing Misses

Compulsory	First access so not in cache, also called a <i>cold start miss</i> or <i>first reference miss</i> .
Capacity	Cache cannot contain all blocks needed during the execution of a program. A capacity miss occurs when a block discarded due to capacity is later retrieved.
Conflict	Where the block placement strategy results in blocks being discarded as too many are mapped to a set (associativity conflicts). Also called <i>collision misses</i> or <i>interference misses</i> .

Compulsory	Capacity	Conflict
Infinite Cache	Fully associative, finite cache	n -way associative, finite cache

Coherence Miss

Extra Fun! 3.7.1

A miss caused by cache coherence protocols. For example another core or an I/O device may invalidate a cache entry.

3.7.2 Increase Block Size

Spatial Locality	Larger block means more locations are speculatively cached.
Cold Misses	As more speculatively cached, fewer cold misses (cached speculatively before first access).
Wasted Space	More of the cache is wasted on speculatively cached but unused data.
Conflicts	larger Blocks \Rightarrow Fewer lines \Rightarrow increase capacity conflicts as there is potentially more contention over lines.
Loading	Larger blocks may take longer to load (increased miss penalty) or require a wider bus (expensive hardware).

3.7.3 Increase Associativity

Fewer Associativity Conflicts More ways \Rightarrow more ways to not conflict. This reduces the miss rate.

Cycle Time Comparators in the critical path

3.7.4 Victim Cache

The main cache is a large directly mapped cache. A victim cache is fully associative and smaller, and contains data discarded from the main cache.

- Checked in parallel.
- Rarely used for L1 cache, but often used for last-level caches.

This is an example of combining two strategies to avoid both's worst case behaviour.

Competitive Algorithms

Extra Fun! 3.7.2


Given two strategies, combining to create a good composite strategy.

- Ski Rental Problem (Combining the renting & buying strategies)
- Spinlocks vs context-switching (e.g spin before blocking?)
- Paging (replacement & eviction)
-

3.7.5 Skewed-Associative Caches

Given a two way set-associative cache.

- Use a different *hash function* for each cache (e.g one using regular (tag and index), other xors bits of index & tag and reorders)
- Associativity conflicts may be present in one cache, but not the other.



Associativity Conflicts Reduce Associativity

Can reduce associativity conflicts.
Fewer conflicts for a w -way set associativity means the same conflict rate can be achieved with lower associativity (less hardware complexity).

More Predictable Average

e.g with traversing two arrays and a non-skewed cache if we are "unlucky" and get an associativity conflict on one element, we will get it on all subsequent. With skewed the next element may not.

Some Conflicts

Its very difficult to write a program free of all conflicts.

More Decoders

Need an address decoder per way/cache rather than a single for all.

Hash Latency

Complex hash increases latency (it is in the critical path).

LRU

Difficult to implement LRU eviction policy (though this is not necessarily a good policy).

3.7.6 Hardware Prefetching

When a cache miss occurs, fetch the data (as required), but also pre-buffer the next block in a *stream buffer*.

- Prefetched blocks are placed in the cache (would pollute and potentially evict blocks to be used).
- Stream buffer checked in parallel with cache.
- Can add several prefetch buffers (multi-way stream buffer) to prefetch up w -way, fetch to w blocks ahead.
- Used in most high performance processors.

Sequential Access

Can avoid misses when traversing arrays.

Cache Untouched

Can use any type of cache design with this - an addition.

Memory Bandwidth	Need extra bandwidth to transfer block selected (cache miss) and block for pre-fetch.
-------------------------	---

Decoupled Access-Execute

Extra Fun! 3.7.3

Decouple the processor into an access and execution sides.

- Access side fetches data to provide to the execute side.
- Execute side takes data from access and runs arithmetic instructions on it.
- Access side can be far ahead of execute, streaming the required data to it at close to memory bandwidth.

3.8 Miss Rate Reduction Using Software

3.8.1 Software Prefetching

Many modern processors provide prefetching instructions.

- Rarely needed - hardware prefetching is good!
- Useful on simpler processors with less or no hardware prefetching.
- Care required to prevent unwanted side effects.
- Prefetch instructions may target addresses that result in a page fault/protection violation (here they silently fail).

3.8.2 Reducing Instruction Cache Misses

Associativity conflicts can occur in the instruction cache.

- We want to avoid hot loops calling functions who's code have an associativity conflict with each other.
- By using the caller graph, with each loop labelled, we can determine how to pack subroutines into the program binary to avoid associativity conflicts.
- Needs to consider the entire program, and the layout of all subroutines so must be done at link-time.

3.8.3 Storage Layout & Iteration Space Transformations

Merging Arrays

Improve spatial locality by merging two arrays into a single array of compound elements (i.e a zip). (Struct of Arrays vs Array of Structs)

Multidimensional Array Permutation

Match array layout to traversal order.

Loop Interchange

Change nesting of loops to access data in order stored in memory.

Loop Fusion

Combine independent loops that have the looping behaviour (e.g bounds) and overlapping variables. Sometimes this can then enable *Array Contraction*, where some array can be replaced by a scalar value.

Blocking

Improve temporal locality by accessing cache line sized blocks of data repeatedly instead of accessing columns or rows.

A traversal order for blocks.

- Split blocks into four.
- Traverse four blocks in Z shape, recursively.
- A texture caching layout used in some GPUs.

```
data QuadTree a = Single a |
    Quad {
        topLeft :: QuadTree a, topRight :: QuadTree a,
        bottomLeft :: QuadTree a, bottomRight :: QuadTree a
    }

{-
A----->B
  /
-----
/
C----->D
-}

morton :: (a -> b) -> (b -> b -> b -> b -> b) -> QuadTree a -> b
morton fun collect (Quad {tL, tR, bL, br})
    = collect (morton' tL) (morton' tR) (morton' bL) (morton' bR)
    where
        morton' :: QuadTree a -> b
        morton' = morton fun collect
morton fun _ (Single s) = fun s
```

Chapter 4

Dynamic Scheduling



Chapter 2 - Part 1: Tomasulo

4.1 Bypassing Stalls

The basic concept behind out of order scheduling is that instructions behind a stall can be allowed to continue provided data dependence/hazards allow.

- When an instruction stalls (e.g cache miss or forwarding not possible) save the state of that instruction.
- Instructions are issued in order, have dependencies analysed and can then be executed out of order.
- When operands are available allow execution of the stalled instruction to continue.

Read After Write / True Dependence

Definition 4.1.1

```
add $3, $2, $1 # $3 = $2 + $1 (Write $3)
sub $4, $3, $6 # $4 = $3 - $6 (Read $3) (needs previous instruction's value)
```

The output of one instruction is required as the input to another.

Write After Read / Anti Dependence

Definition 4.1.2

```
sub $4, $3, $6 # $4 = $3 - $6 (Read $3) (use $3 before the next instruction overwrites)
add $3, $2, $1 # $3 = $2 + $1 (Write $3)
```

Some instruction will overwrite an input to a preceding instruction.

Write after Write / Output Dependence

Definition 4.1.3

```
add $3, $2, $1 # $3 = $2 + $1 (Write $3)
sub $3, $4, $6 # $3 = $4 - $6 (Write $3)
addiu $7, $3, 100 # $7 = $3 + 100 (Read $3)
```

The writes have a dependency as they write to the same location, the correct value must be present in the location for subsequent reads.

4.2 Tomasulo's Algorithm

An out of order execution algorithm used to dynamically rename registers to bypass the limited number of floating-point registers in the IBM architecture specification, and allow faster computation on the IBM 360/91.

- Each register contains a tag. (null means the value is present, otherwise it is the identifier of the unit the result will come from)
- By adding tags register renaming (simple) is achieved

- A common data bus is used to broadcast the result of an operation, with its tag (unit it came from)

```
"""Super abbreviated pseudocode for the IBM360/91 Out of Order Execution """
class IBM36091:
    def issue_instruction(instruction: Instr):
        # for each argument, check if register value is present or waiting.

        unit: FunUnitId = get_unit_from_opcode(get_opcode(instruction))
        dest: Register = get_dest_register(instruction)
        operands: List[Register] = get_operands(instruction)

        # overwrite destination with new unit to take result from (all subsequent instructions use result
        dest.set_tag(unit)

        # Get arguments (some from registers, some )
        args: List[ArgType] = []
        for op in operands:
            if (tag := op.get_tag()) is not None:
                args.append(WaitFor(tag))
            else:
                args.append(Value(op.get_register_value()))

    class Unit:
        def broadcast(data):
            # Broadcast data to registers and other functional units via the common data bus
            common_data_bus.broadcast(self.unit_id, data)

        def receive(unit_id, data):
            # Given some data broadcast determine if it is needed, and if any instructions can be execute
            for instr in self.reservation_station:
                # Check if an instruction is waiting for the tag
                instr.take_args(unit_id, data)
                if instr.is_ready():
                    instr.queue_execute()

    class Register:
        def receive(unit_id, data):
            # Check if the data broadcast is for the register.
            if self.tag == unit_id:
                self.tag = None
                self.value = data
```

Complexity Led to delays in design, hardware overhead to overcome an ISA issue.

Limited by CDB CBD must go through all functional units, and only one instruction can write to bus per cycle.

No Precise Interrupts As instructions are executed out of order, we cannot clearly define a point in the *in-order* program text where the processor is at at any given time.

It is possible to overlap loop iterations:

- (Effectively) Register renaming allows for different physical destinations (e.g ignore register and straight to functional unit).
- Reservation stations can buffer old values to avoid write after read / anti dependence stalls.



4.3 Precise Interrupts

In order to use precise interrupts we need a consistent state.

- All instructions up to some point have committed changes to machine state (registers & memory).
- No instructions past have committed.
- Hence on an interrupt (e.g page fault, syscall) we can easily save state, and restart where the interrupt suspended execution of a program.
- This is also important for branches (need to undo prevent committing work executed speculatively)

Hence we want to make a *speculative tomasulo algorithm*

1. Issue/Dispatch (Get instruction from buffer of fetched instructions, send operands & reorder buffer number to destination)
2. Execution (Out of order execution of issued instructions)
3. Write Back (in order to common data bus and waiting functional units)
4. Commit (Update register with reorder result, reorder buffer takes completed instructions, puts in issue order and updates state)

This requires several additions

- Commit unit to manage reorder buffer
- Issue side registers for execution
- Commit side registers for the committed results
- Ability to flush the reorder buffer on a branch mispredict

4.4 Store Buffering

Stores are an issue as they cannot be completed until committed, but succeeding loads can be executed straight away.

- We could stall all preceding loads until the store is complete
- We can buffer uncommitted stores, associated with addresses, and check these for any load (to get the nearest hit, or on miss go to memory). Loads must be stalled until all possibly aliasing store addresses are resolved

Loads and stores use computed addresses (not always known at issue time)

- Can speculate, and forward a store's result to a load
- Must recover when the computed address is not the speculated

Hence we can add a *forwarding predictor* to determine if a store should be forwarded to some load behind it in the pipeline.

Dependence Prediction

Extra Fun! 4.4.1

More can be read about predicting the dependence of a load on another store instruction
<https://jilp.org/vol2/v2paper13.pdf>.

4.5 Register Update Unit

An alternative to reservation stations and the reorder buffer.

- A single table of instructions after fetch, acting as a reservation station.
- Once the operands are found, the instruction can be issued (hence functional unit determined after operands, unlike in Tomasulo's)
- RUU entries are committed to update the commit side registers.

- | | |
|-----------------|---|
| Monitors | In Tomasulo's every reservation station and reorder buffer entry needs to have a comparator and monitor the common data bus. With the RUU strategy, fewer comparators are required. |
| Tags | With RUU the tags are ROB entries. Furthermore the RUU is indexed by the tag. |

4.6 Register Alias Tables

UNFINISHED!!!

Chapter 5

Credit

Image Credit

Front Cover Intel i386 die shot by Pauli Rautakorpi on wikimedia here.

Content

Based on the architecture course taught by Prof Paul Kelly.

These notes were written by Oliver Killane.