## Muscle Models

### 1 Linear Model

A third order linear differential equation model was of optimal order for fitting the muscle force over a range of pulse inputs [6]. Therefore, a linear model of third order is used.

$$\theta_3 \ddot{F}(t) + \theta_2 \dot{F}(t) + \theta_1 F(t) = \theta_0 u(t)$$

Where F(t) is the muscle force as a function of time and  $\theta$ , j=1,...,4, are the model parameters. The model has 4 parameters  $\theta_3$ ,  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_0$  with units of  $s^3$ ,  $s^2$ , s and dimensionless, respectively, for the case where the force is normalised.

The starting values for the model parameters are:  $\theta_3 = 1$ ,  $\theta_2 = 25$ ,  $\theta_1 = -1$  and  $\theta_0 = 14$ .

#### 2 Wiener Model

A second-order linear differential equation is used to describe the linear dynamics, and the static nonlinearity is assumed to have a similar form to that of existing models [3, 4].

$$\theta_3 \ddot{q}(t) + \theta_2 \dot{q}(t) + \theta_1 q(t) = \theta_0 u(t)$$
$$f(t) = \frac{q(t)^m}{q(t)^m + k^m}$$

This model has 5 parameters  $\theta_2$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_0$ , m and k with units of  $s^2$ , s, dimensionless, dimensionless and dimensionless, respectively, for the case where the force is normalised. A dummy variable q(t) is used to couple the nonlinear to the linear model.

The starting values for the model parameters are:  $\theta_3=1,\,\theta_2=25,\,\theta_1=-1,\,\theta_0=14$  and A=100.

# 3 Adapted Model

The model of [2] was adapted to give a model of similar, yet simpler form to that of [1]. This new, Adapted model is presented in [5].

$$\dot{C}_N(t) + \frac{C_N(t)}{\tau_c} = u(t)$$

$$\dot{F} + \frac{F(t)}{\tau_1} = AC_N(t)$$

This model has 3 parameters,  $\tau_c$ ,  $\tau_1$  and A. For the case where F(t) is normalised, the time constants  $\tau_c$  and  $\tau_1$  have units of s, A units of  $s^{-1}$ .

The starting values for the model parameters are:  $\tau_c = \tau_1 = 1$  and A = 100.

#### References

- J. Bobet and R. B. Stein. A simple model of force generation by skeletal muscle during dynamic isometric contractions. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 1010–1016, 1998.
- [2] J. Ding, A. Wexler, S. Binder-Macleod. A mathematical model that predicts the forcefrequency relationship of human skeletal muscle. *Muscle and Nerve*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 477–485, 2002.
- [3] R. M. Ghigliazza, and P. Holmes. Towards a neuromechanical model for insect locomotion: hybrid dynamical systems. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 193, 2005.
- [4] R. Riener and J. Quientern. A physiologically based model of muscle activation verified by electrical stimulation. *Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 257–264, 1997.
- [5] E. Wilson, E. Rustighi, B. Mace and P. Newland. Modelling the isometric force response to multiple pulse stimuli in locust skeletal muscle. *Biological Cybernetics*, vol. 104, no. 1-2, pp. 121–136, 2011.
- [6] E. Wilson, E. Rustighi, B. Mace and P. Newland. A comparison of models of the isometric force of locust skeletal muscle in response to pulse train inputs. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, vol. 11, no. 3-4, pp. 519–532, 2012.