



Star Key

# Web Wallet Audit

April 26, 2024

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	1
Overview	3
Project Details	3
Audit Checklist	4
Risk Classification	5
Finding Classification	5
Finding Details	6
High	6
Private Key and Secret Key Phrase Exposure	6
Secret Key Phrase Stored in Significant Text in Memory	8
Medium	. 11
In recovery-phrase screen, choice words should be selected randomly,	
not shuffling	. 11
Use a More Secure Password-Based Key Derivation Mechanism	. 13
Login Password Invalidation is weakness about dictionary attack	. 15
Low	. 19
The logic of adding the newly added Network name as the token name	
in customNetwork.tsx is incorrect	. 19
Cannot go to next step when creating new account in the case that you	
backed from selecting security type to password setting	. 21
There is no validation for Address Input Field in SearchAddress	0.4
Component	24

	In the window of "Import Account", the input of private should be	
	typed as hidden character so that others cannot read it	. 25
r	ıfo	. 27
	In Settings page, links of Feedback and Help don't work	. 27
	In the page of Edit Account, if you reopen edit window and click	
	close after changing avatar, the avatar will be reset as default	. 28
	In Address page, if you input address and then select network,	
	already input address is removed	. 29
	When delete registered address, it would be better to ask confirm	. 30
	Pin Dependencies to Specific Versions	. 31
	Make Significant Code Comments	. 32
	Make Documentation	. 33
	Write valid chain id in shared\data\networks.json	. 34
	There is no management for NFTs, so this needs to be added	. 35
	After adding new token, it would be better to using loading	
	state when loading the price of added token	. 36

## **Overview**

This document describes the result of auditing the Star Key Web Wallet project. This project is a web extension that provides the functionalities of managing assets and transactions for several chains.

This project is developed using react library and can be built using webpack as web browser extension.

This auditing is performed against the entire project and built extension's workflow in web browsers including its transactions and interacting with chain.

## **Project Details**

Project Name	Star Key
Project Type	Web Wallet Extension
Audit Period	April 12 ~ April 19


## **Audit Checklist**

Audit Class	Audit Subclass	Review Status
	Signature	✓
Transfer Security	Deposit / Transfer	✓
	Transaction Broadcast	✓
	Secret Key Generation	✓
	Secret Key Storage	✓
Sooret Voy Soorrity	Secret Key Usage	✓
Secret Key Security	Secret Key Backup	✓
	Secret Key Destruction	✓
	Cryptography Security	✓
	Wallet Lock	✓
Architecture and Business	Business Logic	✓
Security	Architecture Design	✓
	DoS (Denial of Service)	✓
Eventend Security	Cross-Site Scripting	✓
Frontend Security	HTTP Response Header	✓
Components Security	Third-Party Components Security	<b>√</b>
Communication Security	Communication Encryption	<b>√</b>
	Password Complexity Requirements	<b>√</b>
User Interaction Security	Contact Whitelisting	<b>√</b>
	WYSIWYS	<b>√</b>

## **Risk Classification**

		Difficulty		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	High	High	Medium
Severity	Medium	High	Medium	Low
	Low	Medium	Low	Low

## **Finding Classification**



## **Finding Details**

## High

## **Private Key and Secret Key Phrase Exposure**

#### **Severity**

High

#### **Difficulty**

Medium

#### **Impact**

An attacker can use the secret key phrase or private key to initialize a different extension and take control of all the assets in the wallet.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

#### **Preconditions**

The victim must be logged into the wallet or show private key while visiting a malicious page. If the victim clicks on a malicious item in the page, the Copy to Clipboard button will be triggered, and the secret key phrase or private key will be available to the attacker in the navigator.clipboard object.

#### Feasibility

The attack is easy to create, although the user would need to visit the malicious site and perform a single action. Given that an attacker is highly incentivized, they would be motivated to reach as many potential victims as possible.

The Copy to Clipboard button will store the secret key phrase in plaintext in the navigator.clipboard object. A malicious site can run a function that checks the contents of this object periodically, and if it recognizes a valid key phrase or private key, it can then exfiltrate the key phrase or private key to a remote location without the victim being aware.

The clickjacking attack facilitates getting the key phrase or private key into the clipboard. As a result, while it can be considered a stage in the overall attack, mitigating clickjacking attacks will not solve the problem of the key phrase being stored in the navigator.clipboard object.

#### **Recommendation & Mitigations**

Protection against clickjacking attacks is traditionally implemented by utilizing the X-Frame-Options HTTP Header. Since the extension pages are not loaded from an HTTP server, research by the Star Key team can be conducted to assess how this could be implemented in the context of a browser extension. Utilizing Content Security Policies (CSP) that prevent framing resources would be a possible solution.

To protect against the clipboard attack, it would be best to prevent the key phrase or private key from ever being accessible to the clipboard available to the browser. One solution is to disable selection of the text and force users to download a file. Another option would be to investigate if the clipboard object can be disabled for that page entirely. This latter option might prove unfeasible, as users will want to be able to copy and paste addresses.

## Secret Key Phrase Stored in Significant Text in Memory

#### Severity

High

#### **Difficulty**

Medium

#### **Impact**

With knowledge of the secret key phrase, an attacker can instantiate a clone of the wallet and gain control of all of its assets.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

#### **Preconditions**

An attacker will need to be able to dump the memory from the extension's process. This would require either physical access to the browser or a post-exploitation condition on the victim's machine.

#### Feasibility


The attack is trivial to perform if the preconditions listed above are satisfied. Given the incentive for attackers, common malwares could incorporate a check to dump memory from browsers and search for strings in the format of a secret key phrase for exfiltration.

With the extension open in the browser, open Chrome Developer Tools, select Memory, click "Take Snapshot", and then "Save". With the downloaded file, run the strings command, and for quick results grep for a word in the secret key phrase to view in clear text.

```
    ■ Heap-20240425T021744.heapsnapshot
    ■ TS location.ts

                            omministation / bioministation reagreesers/เกราะหากครามส่วนกระหากครามสามารถ
cmnome-extension://hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh/fullpage.html#/onboarding/choo > qif
"chrome-extension://hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh/fullpage.html#/onboarding/choo > qif
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 Aa <u>ab</u> * No results
                            "/fullpage.html",
                            "weapon solid indicate tumble lady crucial dune gain city paddle sniff six",
                            "Create account",
                            "The account name is only stored locally and will never be shared with Star Key or any third parties",
"Your account name will become the name of your main account and the prefix of your sub-accounts. You can change the account names at any t
                            "Set Password",
                           "tabindex",
                           "Confirm Password".
                            "I agree to the"
                           "Terms of Service".
                            "z-0 group relative inline-flex items-center justify-center box-border appearance-none select-none whitespace-nowrap subpixel-antialiased o
                            "Account name must be at least 3 characters",
                            "[a-z0-9!#$%%'*+/=?^_`{|}~.-]+@[a-z0-9](?:[a-z0-9-]{0,61}[a-z0-9])?(?:\\.[a-z0-9]([a-z0-9-]{0,61}[a-z0-9])?)*",
                           "Password must between 9 to 24 characters, one capital letter, one digit, and one special character",
1501770 "Qdfdfdd1995(#",
1501771 "Passwords don\u2019t match
                            "z-0 group relative inline-flex items-center justify-center box-border appearance-none select-none whitespace-nowrap subpixel-antialiased on
                            "Set up new password",
                           "chrome-extension://hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh/scripts/../images/robot.png",\\
                            "Congratulations!",
                             "Your wallet has been imported",
                            "chrome-extension://hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh/fullpage.html#/",
                            "chrome-extension://hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh/scripts/../images/Avatar.png", in the context of the con
```

Wallet Password Exposed in Memory



Secret Phrase exposed in Memory

#### **Recommendation & Mitigations**

While removing the key phrase from memory entirely is not possible, it is recommended that the key phrase be encrypted when not immediately in use, and that neither the encrypted key phrase nor encryption key be stored in a text format that will appear in the output of the strings command. We recommend using a binary object instead. While this would not prevent the key from appearing in a binary search, it would create significant obstacles for an attacker to overcome.

## **Medium**

In recovery-phrase screen, choice words should be selected randomly, not shuffling.

#### **Severity**


High

## **Difficulty**

Low

#### **Impact**

In recovery-phrase screen, choice words should be selected randomly, not just shuffling. And whenever clear all, should change order. If you possible, make user should type the words directly in the input.



#### **Vulnerability Details**

When verifying the recovery phrase, they are using all mnemonic as the candidate words just with changing order.

## RecoveryPhrase.tsx

```
useEffect(() => {
    if (wallet) {
        // eslint-disable-next-line @typescript-eslint/restrict-plus-operands
        const words = wallet.mnemonic.phrase.split(' ').map((name: any, id: number))
        => ({ id: id + 1, name }))
        // eslint-disable-next-line @typescript-eslint/no-unsafe-argument
        setPhrase(words) // set phrase to get name as per words indexes
@> setChips(shuffle(words))
    }
    }, [wallet])
```

This may harm safety to prevent private information of wallet from being public to the malicious crackers.

#### **Recommendation & Mitigations**

They should use randomly selected words as candidate words by adding real some phrases into them.

In addition, it would be better to select candidates words again whenever click "Clear All" to prevent using several types of automatic bot.

## **Use a More Secure Password-Based Key Derivation Mechanism**

#### Severity

Medium

#### **Difficulty**

Medium

#### **Impact**

In this instance, the Star Key Wallet Extension may accept messages with a correct signature, created using a key under the control of the attacker.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

#### **Preconditions**

The attacker needs to be able to circumvent other security restrictions on crosstab messaging by the browser.

#### Feasibility

Attacks on browsers are not uncommon, but can usually be quickly patched. The feasibility mostly depends on the users update regimen and information from the development team.

It is feasible to significantly speed up dictionary attacks on CPU bound hashes (e.g., using FPGAs) because the task is easily parallelizable. As a result, using CPU-bound key derivation for passwords has been advised against in favor of memory-hard functions like Argon2. These are more difficult to parallelize, because RAM and fast access to it is expensive to build in hardware.

#### **Recommendation & Mitigations**

Argon2 comes in multiple variants optimized for different attack models, but the balanced Argon2id variant would be well suited in this instance. Section 4 of the Argon2 RFC provides a procedure for choosing good parameters for specific use cases. When deciding on the maximum allowed time the derivation is allowed to take place, keeping in mind that it is slower in the browser, there is still a speedup for an attacker who tries to brute-force it using native code.

We recommend making use of key derivation functions based on memory-hard functions such as Argon2, which is a more favorable and secure alternative.

## Login Password Invalidation is weakness about dictionary attack.

#### Severity

Medium

#### **Difficulty**

Medium

#### **Impact**

The attacker can guess the password of wallet by dictionary attack.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

#### **Preconditions**

The attacker gains full access to a user's account by brute-forcing an insecure password, which may result in total loss of user funds.

#### Feasibility

Easy. Password attacks are increasingly common. Furthermore, it may be that Blank's anonymous withdrawals feature is an additional incentive to conduct such an attack.

In case the attacker accessed victim's pc, the attacker can open wallet by dictionary attack.

In case the attacker have a chrome profile which includes wallet info, then attacker can get encryptedPrivateKey from:

C:\Users\Administrator\AppData\Local\Google\Chrome\User Data\Profile 1
\Local Extension Settings\hcjhpkgbmechpabifbggldplacolbkoh\000003.log.



**Encrypted Private Key Exposed** 

Then the attacker can try dictionary attack to get real private key from encryptedPrivateKey.

After get private key, the attacker can control all assets on wallet.

## **Recommendation & Mitigation**

Make a validation process for password making modal.

I recommend using the dropbox/zxcvbn library for a password composition policy intended to prevent the use of passwords obtained from previous breaches, common passwords, and passwords containing repetitive or sequential characters, as recommended by the NIST guidelines. I suggest requiring that all passwords meet zxcvbn's strength rating of 4.

When transmitting, the evaluation of gas fee and expected transmission time is incorrect.

#### Severity

Medium

#### **Difficulty**

Medium

#### **Impact**

By showing false information to the user regarding token transfer, it makes the user feel rejected towards the wallet.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

#### Send.tsx

```
useEffect(() => {
  const fetchTransactionCost = async () => {
    try {
      const cost = await estimatedTransactionCost
      setEstimatedEthersFees(cost || 0)
    } catch (error) {
      console.error('Failed to fetch transaction cost:', error)
      setEstimatedEthersFees(0)
    }
}

// eslint-disable-next-line @typescript-eslint/no-floating-promises
  fetchTransactionCost()
}, [estimatedTransactionCost])
```

#### useGas.ts

```
const estimatedTransactionTime = React.useMemo(() => {
   switch (gasOption) {
    case GasOption.Low:
      return '30 seconds'
   case GasOption.Market:
      return '30 seconds'
```

```
case GasOption.Aggressive:
    return '15 seconds'
}
}, [gasOption])
```

As shown in the code, we only get information about the gas fee and block addition time in the Ethereum network.

## **Recommendation & Mitigations**

Depending on which network you are transmitting from, you must obtain and display the exact gas fee and block creation time.

#### Low

The logic of adding the newly added Network name as the token name in customNetwork.tsx is incorrect.

#### Severity

Low

#### **Difficulty**

Low

#### **Impact**

This reduces users' confidence in the system.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

The logic of adding the newly added Network name as the token name in customNetwork.tsx is incorrect.

When adding a new network in Wallet, if the function that obtains token information does not obtain the information properly, the name of the token is set to the name of the network, which is incorrect.

#### customNetwork.tsx

```
if (network.name !== 'unknown') {
    methods.setValue('name', network.name)

const tokenInfo = await getTokenInfoBySymbol(network.name)
    if (tokenInfo) {
        newToken = {
               ...newToken,
                image: tokenInfo.image,
                shortName: tokenInfo.symbol.toUpperCase(),
                title: tokenInfo.symbol.toUpperCase(),
                 subTitle: tokenInfo.name,
                 coingeckoTokenId: tokenInfo.id,
```

} } }

Part of the handleFetchNetworkInfo function in customeNetwork.tsx is as follows. As you can see in the code, when a user adds a new Network, token information is retrieved from the Network name. If there is no information about the token, the name is set to the Network name. This means that the name for the token may be incorrect.

## **Mitigations**

When adding a new network, you must create an input field so that you can add the name of the token used when paying fees on the network.

Cannot go to next step when creating new account in the case that you backed from selecting security type to password setting.

## **Severity**

Low

## **Difficulty**


Medium

## **Impact**


Users cannot go to next step when creating new account in the case that he backed from selecting security.

## **Vulnerability Details**

To create account, users will input their account name, password and confirm password, then check the "Terms of Service" and click the "Next" button.



After that, when the user click the back button (e.g. to change the account name), then the user cannot click "Next" button again even he input all requirements correctly.



That's because the component of checkbox for "Terms of Service" is hidden in this case.

Therefore, the user should reinstall the wallet again to overcome this problem.

It needs to modify the filtering checkbox component by onboardingBy state.

#### CreateAccount.tsx

There is no validation for Address Input Field in SearchAddress Component.

#### **Severity**

Low

#### **Difficulty**

Low

#### **Impact**

Sending a 'token' to an invalid address may result in loss of funds.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

In the getWalletAddressRegex function of constant.ts, validation of three types of addresses, "Supra", "SOL", and "default", is performed, so the wallet is designated as a bitcoin network and coins must be transferred to another bitcoin address. In this case, validation cannot proceed.

#### Mitigation

Validity checks must be performed on different types of addresses.

In the window of "Import Account", the input of private should be typed as hidden character so that others cannot read it.

## **Severity**


Medium

## **Difficulty**

Low

## **Vulnerability Details**

To prevent critical information such as private key to be public, you should hidden its strings so that others cannot read it.



However, in the window of "Import Account", the typed or copied private key is shown as real strings itself.

It may harm the security of user private information.

## Info

In Settings page, links of Feedback and Help don't work.


## **Severity**

Info

## **Impact**

Users cannot browser contents of feedback and help of this wallet.

## **Vulnerability Details**



In Settings of the wallet, there are 2 links of "Feedback" and "Help", but their links don't work now.

In the page of Edit Account, if you reopen edit window and click close after changing avatar, the avatar will be reset as default.

## **Severity**


Info

## **Impact**

Users will have issue to change their avatar images of star key wallet.

## **Vulnerability Details**

In the page of "Edit Account", if you reopen edit window and click close after changing avatar, the avatar will be reset as default.



In Address page, if you input address and then select network, already input address is removed.

#### **Severity**

Info

#### **Impact**


Users will have issue to input and save their contract information.

## **Vulnerability Details**

When type address before changing chain, the content of typed address will be removed and user should type it again.

It will be uncomfortable for the case that type same address between EVM chains.

To keep user experience, it would be better to check address validation for selected network, then decide whether remove it or not.



## When delete registered address, it would be better to ask confirm.

## **Severity**


Info

#### **Impact**

It needs to show confirm message when a user delete his address.

## **Vulnerability detail**

When, a user try to delete the address in his book, it is deleted instantly. To keep user experience, it would be better to ask confirm message that check if user really wants to delete it.



## **Pin Dependencies to Specific Versions**

#### Severity

Info

#### **Impact**

This will prevent unwanted versions from being inadvertently installed, thus minimizing the attack surface.

#### **Vulnerability Details**

Many dependencies are not pinned to a specific version, instead they are pinned to a closed range of releases (e.g. package.json is set to accept major and minor changes). Pinning dependencies to exact versions is a sound approach to retaining more control over when and where upgrades take place. This will prevent unwanted versions from being inadvertently installed, thus minimizing the attack surface. In addition, this will help both developers and reviewers to identify new vulnerabilities discovered in dependencies, which is paramount to the security of the codebase.

Upgrading pinned versions of dependencies in accordance with an internal review to assess whether an upgraded version introduces compatibility issues adheres to best practices. In doing so, the necessary changes can be made to the Stacks Wallet Extension code base to mitigate against potential and existing issues. In addition, it's critical to check if the upgraded version of the dependency has reported vulnerabilities. This will allow for informed decisions to use a more secure alternative.

## **Make Significant Code Comments**

## **Severity**

Info

#### **Impact**

This will make modifying and maintenance will be easy and raise readability.

## **Vulnerability Details**

All codes have sufficient code comments.

## **Mitigation**

I recommend that code comments coverage is comprehensive and consistent throughout all packages of the code base, as they highlight key information and contribute to easier readability and understanding of the code for both users and checkers.

## **Make Documentation**

## Severity

Low

## **Impact**

There is no document so I had a trouble in auditing.

## Mitigation

Must make significant documentation for deep audit.

## Write valid chain id in shared\data\networks.json

## Severity

Low

## **Impact**

The chain id of SUI was wrong written by 1. Must have to be:

21/103 (Mainnet/Testnet)

The chain id of Solana and Aptos are wrong written. Must have to be correct.

## Mitigation

	Mainnet	Testnet
SUI chain id:	21	103
Solana chain id:	900	901
Aptos chain id:	1	2

## There is no management for NFTs, so this needs to be added

## Severity

Info

## **Impact**

There is no management for NFTs.

## Mitigation

Should have NFT management interface on code.

After adding new token, it would be better to using loading state when loading the price of added token

## **Severity**

Info

## **Impact**

While loading latest price of the token after it is added, the price is shown as 0. This may harm user experience in wallet usage.

### **Vulnerability Detail**

To keep user experience and quality, it would be better to change the price component as loading component while loading latest price of newly added token.

