

Manuscript Draft : Aim3 Leaf Traits

Bolívar Aponte Rolón A. Elizabeth Arnold
Sunshine A. Van Bael

2023-11-06

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

3 Methods

3.1 Field

Growth and host plant inoculation seven tropical tree species was conducted at the greenhouses in the Gamboa Research Station, Smithsonian Tropical Research institute, Republic of Panama. The species, *Theobroma cacao*, *Dypterix* sp., *Lacmellea panamensis*, *Apeiba membranacea*, *Heisteria concinna*, *Chrysophyllum caimito*, and *Cordia alliodora* were chosen due to their variance in leaf traits (J.Wright unpublished data) and the availability of seeds in January- April 2019. Seeds of tree species were collected from the forest floor and grown in the greenhouse. Seedlings were kept in a chamber made out of PVC and clear plastic

16 to prevent inoculation from spore fall inside the greenhouse. NEEDS INFORMATION ON
17 THE SOIL MIXTURE AND AUTOCLAVING PROTOCOL. Seedlings reached a minimum
18 of 4 true leaves before endophyte inoculation. Then 10 individual plants of each species were
19 exposed to 10 nights of spore fall to achieve a high endophyte load (E+) and 10 homologous
20 plants were kept inside the greenhouse plastic chamber to maintain a low endophyte load (E-)
21 (Fig. ? MAKE A DIRAGRAM?). Plants exposed to spore fall were placed near (~10 m) the
22 forest edge at dusk (~18:00 hours) and returned to the greenhouse at dawn (~07:00 hours)
23 (Bittleston et al. 2011).

24 **3.1.1 Leaf trait measurements**

25 Three mature leaves were haphazardly collected from each of the individual plants in each
26 treatment (E+, E-) within 7-10 days after inoculation treatment. Anthocyanin content and
27 leaf thickness were measured while the leaf was still attached to the plant. We measured
28 anthocyanin content with ACM-200plus (Opti-Sciences Inc. Hudson, New Hampshire, U.S.A.)
29 on three haphazardly selected locations (working from the petiole out to the leaf tip) on the
30 leaf surface of three haphazardly selected leaves for a total of nine measurements per plant
31 (Tellez et al., 2022). The ACM-200 calculates an anthocyanin content index (ACI) value from
32 the ratio of % transmittance at 931 nm/% transmittance at 525 nm (Tellez et al., 2016, CITE
33 COMPANY) . On compound leaves (i.e., *Dypterix* sp.) we measured at three different leaflets.
34 Leaf thickness was measured with METER MODEL HERE following the same manner as the
35 anthocyanin measurements. After anthocyanin and leaf thickness measurements were com-

36 pleted, we removed the leaves from their stems, placed them inside a plastic bag (i.e. Ziploc),
37 place in an ice chest and moved them to the lab for further measurements. Leaf toughness
38 was measured with METER MODEL HERE following PROTOCOL XXXX (or measurements
39 were taken by puncturing a 2 mm diameter hole in various locations on the leaf surface. We
40 punctured the leaf lamina at the base, mid-leaf and tip on both sides of the mid-vein, avoid-
41 ing minor leaf veins when possible (CITE). Once leaf toughness was measured, we used a 7
42 mm diameter punch hole to puncture disks for leaf mass per area (LMA) measurements. We
43 collected one three disks per leaf (see S? material for details). The disk punches dried at 60
44 °C for 48-72 hours. before being weighed.

45 **3.1.2 Leaf tissue preparation for molecular work**

46 The selected leaves were also used to profile endophyte community composition, abundance,
47 and richness via amplicon sequencing (Illumina MiSeq). The leaf tissue remaining after the leaf
48 trait measurements had the main vein and margins excised so that only the lamina remained.
49 The lamina was haphazardly cut into 2 x 2 mm segments, enough to obtain a total of 16, and
50 surface sterilized by sequential rinsing in 95% ethanol (10 s), 0.5 NaOCl (2 mins) and 70%
51 ethanol (2 mins), as per (Arnold et al., 2003; Higgins et al., 2014; Tellez et al., 2022). After,
52 leaves were air-dried briefly under sterile conditions. Sixteen leaf segments per leaf, a total of
53 forty-eight leaf segments per plant, were plated in 2% malt extract agar (MEA), sealed with
54 Parafilm M (Bemis Company Inc., U.S.A.) and incubated at room temperature. The cultured
55 leaf segments were used to estimate endophyte colonization of E+ and E- leaves. The presence

56 or absence of endophytic fungi in the leaf cuttings was assessed 7 days after plating. The
57 remaining sterilized leaf lamina was preserved in sterile 15 mL tubes with ~ 10 mL CTAB
58 solution (1 M Tris-HCl pH 8, 5 M NaCl, 0.5 M EDTA, and 20 g CTAB). Leaf tissue in CTAB
59 solution was used for amplicon sequencing (described in detail below). All leaf tissue handling
60 was performed in a biosafety cabinet with all surfaces sterilized by exposure to UV light for
61 30 minutes and cleaned sequentially in between samples with 95% ethanol, 0.5% NaOCl and
62 70% ethanol to prevent cross contamination.

63 **3.2 Amplicon sequencing**

64 Leaf tissue in CTBA solution was stored for 2 months at room temperature prior to being
65 placed at -80 C for 3 months before extracting DNA. In preparation for DNA extraction,
66 we decontaminated all instruments, materials, and surfaces with DNAway (Molecular
67 BioProducts Inc., San Diego, CA, United States), 95% Ethanol, 0.5 % NaOCl, and 70 %
68 Ethanol, and subsequently treated with UV light for 30 minutes in biosafety cabinet. We
69 then transferred 0.2 – 0.3 g of leaf tissue into duplicate sterile 2mL tubes, resulting in 2
70 subsamples. Total genomic DNA from subsamples was extracted as described in U'Ren &
71 Arnold (2017). In brief, added two sterile 3.2 mm stainless steel beads to each tube and
72 proceeded to lyophilize samples for 72 hours to fully remove CTAB content from tissue. After
73 this period, we submerged the sample tubes in liquid nitrogen for 30s and proceeded to
74 homogenize samples to a fine powder for 45 s in FastPrep-24 Tissue and Cell Homogenizer
75 (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA). Afterwards, we repeated the decontamination procedure

described before and used QIAGEN DNeasy 96 PowerPlant Pro-HTP Kit (U'Ren & Arnold, 2017) (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA). After all genomic DNA was extracted, we pooled the subsamples for each individual sample before amplification. We used sterile equipment and pipettes with aerosol-resistant tips with filters in all steps before amplification. We followed a two-step amplification approach previously described by Sarmiento et al. (2017) and U'Ren & Arnold (2017). We used primers for the fungal ITSrDNA region, ITS1f (5'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') with modified universal consensus sequences CS1 and CS2 and 0–5 bp for phase-shifting. Every sample was amplified in two parallel reactions containing 1–2 μ L of DNA template (U'Ren & Arnold, 2017; see also Tellez et al., 2022). We visualized PCR (PCR1) reactions with SYBR Green 1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) on 2% agarose gel (Oita et al., 2021). Based on the electrophoresis band intensity, we combined parallel PCR1 reactions and diluted 5 μ L of amplicon product with molecular grade water to standardize to a concentration of 1:15 (Sarmiento et al., 2017 for details; Tellez et al., 2022). We included DNA extraction blanks and PCR1 negatives in this step. We used a separate set of sterile pipettes, tips, and equipment to reduce contamination. We used a designated PCR area to restrict contact with pre-PCR materials (Oita et al., 2021).

We used 1 μ L of PCR1 product from samples and negative control for a second PCR (PCR2) with barcode adapters (IBEST Genomics Resource Core, Moscow, ID, USA). Each PCR2 reaction (total 15 μ L) contained 1X Phusion Flash High Fidelity PCR Master Mix, 0.075 μ M

of barcoded primers (forward and reverse pooled at a concentration of 2 μ M) and 0.24mg/mL of BSA following (Sarmiento et al., 2017; U'Ren & Arnold, 2017). Before final pooling for sequencing, we purified the amplicons using Agencourt AMPure XP Beads (Beckman Coulter Inc, Brea, CA USA) to a ratio of 1:1 following the manufacturer's instructions. The products were evaluated with Bio Analyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) ((Tellez et al 2022) Tellez et al., 2022). We quantified the samples through University of Arizona Genetics Core, and subsequently diluted them to the same concentration to prevent over representation of samples with higher concentration, see (CITATION). Amplicons were normalized to 1 ng/ μ L, then pooled 2 μ L of each for sequencing. No contamination was detected visually or by fluorometric analysis. To provide robust controls we combined 5 μ L of each PCR1 negative and the DNA extraction blanks and sequenced them as samples. Ultimately, we combined samples into a single tube with 20 ng/ μ L of amplified DNA with barcoded adapters for sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq platform with Reagent Kit v3 (2 \times 300 bp) following protocols from the IBEST Genomics Resource Core at the University of Idaho, USA. Again, we included the DNA extraction blanks and two PCR1 negatives and sequenced with samples. Sequencing yielded 3,778,081 total ITS1 reads.

3.2.1 Mock Communities

We processed and sequenced two mock communities following the methods described above. This allowed us to assess the quality of our NGS data set. We used two mock communities that consisted of PCR product from DNA extractions of 31 phylogenetically distinct fungi,

117 representing lineages that are typically observed as endophytes (Oita et al., 2021; see Daru et
118 al., 2019 for details). In brief, we used a mock community with equimolar concentrations of
119 DNA from all 31 fungal taxa and a second mock community with tiered concentrations of DNA
120 from the same fungal taxa (Daru et al., 2019). Each mock community was sequenced five times
121 (i.e., five replicates) (Oita et al., 2021). The read abundance from the tiered community was
122 positively associated with the expected read number (with replicate as a random factor: $R2Adj$
123 $= 0.87$, $P = XXXX$, see Supplementary material). Accordingly, we used read abundance as a
124 relevant proxy for biological abundance (Taylor et al. 2016; U'Ren et al. 2019)

125 **3.2.2 Bioinformatic analyses**

126 We used forward reads (ITS1) for downstream bioinformatics and statistical analyse due to
127 their high quality, rather than reverse reads (ITS4). We followed Sarmiento et al. (2017)
128 for bioinformatic analyses. In short, for post-sequencing quality control, we used FastQC
129 (CITATION). We used VSEARCH for demultiplexing, dereplication and sequence alignment.
130 VSEARCH is an open-source alternative to USEARCH that uses an optimal global aligner
131 (full dynamic programming Needleman-Wunsch), resulting in more accurate alignments and
132 sensitivity (VSEARCH CITATION). We trimmed reads to a length of 250 bp and filtered
133 them at a maximum expected error of 1.0. The result was XXXX total reads after sequencing
134 quality control. HOW TO MAKE ZOTUS. eXPLAIN HOW WE MADE ZOTUS.
135 and 569 Ascomycota OTUs (See Supplementary Methods for details).

136 3.2.3 Ant-endophyte interaction assays

137 A fresh fourth leaf was used in ant assays. To assess leaf-cutter ant damage, we introduced
138 one detached leaf per plant per treatment to an actively foraging leaf-cutter ant colony for a
139 two-hour assay. We presented leaf-cutter ant colonies with a choice of an E+ or an E- leaf on
140 a disposable plastic plate next to an active nest trail. Carefully, we collected and placed debris
141 from the trail leading up to the plate to lure ants into the plate. We initiated the ant assay as
142 soon as an ant entered the plate and explored the leaf contents (for ~ 10-20 seconds). Every
143 five minutes we took a digital photo of the choice arena until about 75% of the leaf content of
144 one of the leaves was consumed. We used the digital photo at time zero and at the end of trial
145 to quantify the leaf area removed using ImageJ (VERSION?). Ant recruitment was estimated
146 by counting individuals in choice arena throughout trial event.

147 3.2.4 Pathogen assays

148 For the pathogen assays, we introduced an agar plug inoculated with hyphae of *Calonectria* sp.
149 (P+ treatment), and an agar plug without the pathogen (P- control) to similarly aged/sized
150 leaves within 10-14 days after endophyte inoculations. Leaves with the P+ or P- treatment
151 were misted with sterile water two times a day (morning and afternoon) to maintain moisture.
152 After four days, we removed the plugs and took digital photos to analyze leaf area damage
153 using ImageJ (CITE).

3.2.5 Statistical Analyses

we used a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) for the all the tree species using the “manova” function in the “stats” package in R (The R Core Team, 2013). A MANOVA allows for an analysis of variance with two or more covariates (i.e., endophyte load (E_load) and tree species)) and multiple dependent variables (i.e., ACI, LMA, Thickness, Toughness). With the “summary.aov” function and argument “split” set to “list (“E_load:Species”)” I determined how independent variables, E_load and tree species, influenced variance of my covariates: Thickness, Toughness, LMA, and ACI. I computed Wilk’s tests statistic for MANOVA where in the closer to zero the statistic is, the variable in question contributes more to the model, hence we reject the null hypothesis if zero. Additionally, to determine which interactions of E_load and tree species are significant in regard to abundance, I performed two way ANOVAs using “aov” function and post-hoc Tukey tests using “TukeyHSD” function in the “stats” package in R (The R Core Team, 2013). This allows for a more in-depth look at interactions between and among groups, not necessarily apparent from MANOVA tests.

To test for H2, I used a general linear mixed model (GLM). First, to determine which fixed effects to include in my model I created a correlation matrix with “cor()” function in R statistical software. Covariates (Thickness, Toughness, LMA, ACI, E_load, and Tree Species) with correlation coefficients greater than 0.25 were not included in linear model analyses. Secondly, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to determine underlying interactions among covariates that could influence fungal endophyte abundance in seedlings (hereafter, abundance). The PCA was computed using the “prcomp” function in R statistical software

175 (The R Core Team, 2013). The PCA was computed using the following covariates: Thickness,
 176 Toughness, LMA, and ACI, to test the effect of ACI, Species, Toughness, Thickness, LMA,
 177 endophyte load (E_load) as my independent variables (fixed effects) on the abundance of en-
 178 dophytes, dependent variable. The PCA was used to inform which covariates to include in
 179 linear model analyses. From PCA and correlation analysis, Thickness, Toughness and E_load
 180 were chosen to compute an initial linear model with interaction. Linear model was computed
 181 using “lm” function from the “stats” package in R (The R Core Team, 2013). I selected the
 182 best fit model using “stepAIC” function in the “MASS” package with “direction” argument set
 183 to “both” for backward and forward selection (The R Core Team, 2013). The best fit model
 184 was achieved when all covariates had a significant P-value. Results Results support my first
 185 hypothesis: H1) endophyte abundance will be significantly different across tree species and
 186 endophyte treatments. The results from the MANOVA for E_load ($p\text{-value} < 0.0001$), Species
 187 ($p\text{-value} < 0.0001$) and the interaction between E_load and Species ($P\text{-value} < 0.0001$) sup-
 188 port this hypothesis, with a Wilk’s test statistic of 0.44, 0.02, and 0.75 respectively, hence the
 189 null hypothesis is rejected (Fig. 2 and 3). Multiple interactions between endophyte abundance
 190 and endophyte treatment and tree species were significantly different. A two-way ANOVA and
 191 post-hoc Tukey test of these interactions show in detail which interaction between and among
 192 groups are significant (see Table 4 in supplementary materials).

193 Principal Component Analysis revealed how covariates (LMA, ACI, Thickness and Toughness)
 194 interact. I overlapped tree species groups on the PCA axes to show how the variance in the data
 195 is explained by PC1 (60%) and PC2 (27%) (Fig. 4). This is indicative of correlation among

196 covariates. Thickness and toughness were orthogonal to each other in PCA, indicative of low
197 correlation. Correlation analysis revealed that these covariates were negatively correlated to
198 each other ($r = -0.12$). Additionally, both Toughness and Thickness had the greatest loadings
199 on PC1 and PC2, respectively (Fig. 4).

200 The best fit model that resulted from using “stepAIC” function includes Toughness and E_load
201 as fixed effects as well as their interaction effect (F-statistic=180.6, $df = 463$, p-value: $< 2.2e-$
202 16). The AIC value for the best fit model is -108.1. I did not include in further analyses
203 covariates that were above the 0.25 correlation cut-off established (see Table 1 in supplementary
204 materials). Finally, two equations resulted from the multivariate regression analysis of the
205 best fit model: for E- plants and for E+ plants (Fig. 5). Plants exposed E+ treatment
206 have a negative correlation with leaf toughness. That is, as Toughness increased endophyte
207 abundance decreased. Plants exposed to E- treatment had a negative correlation as well, albeit
208 the slope of the line was not as steep (Fig. 5). No random effects were modeled.

209 4 References

210 5

- 211 Arnold, A. E., Mejía, L. C., Kylo, D., Rojas, E. I., Maynard, Z., Robbins, N., & Herre, E.
212 A. (2003). Fungal endophytes limit pathogen damage in a tropical tree. *Proceedings of the*
213 *National Academy of Sciences*, 100(26), 15649–15654.
- 214 Daru, B. H., Bowman, E. A., Pfister, D. H., & Arnold, A. E. (2019). A novel proof of

concept for capturing the diversity of endophytic fungi preserved in herbarium specimens.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 374(1763), 20170395.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0395>

Higgins, K. L., Arnold, A. E., Coley, P. D., & Kursar, T. A. (2014). Communities of fungal endophytes in tropical forest grasses: Highly diverse host- and habitat generalists characterized by strong spatial structure. *Fungal Ecology*, 8(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2013.12.005>

Oita, S., Ibáñez, A., Lutzoni, F., Miadlikowska, J., Geml, J., Lewis, L. A., Hom, E. F. Y., Carbone, I., U'Ren, J. M., & Arnold, A. E. (2021). Climate and seasonality drive the richness and composition of tropical fungal endophytes at a landscape scale. *Communications Biology*, 4(1), 313. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01826-7>

Sarmiento, C., Zalamea, P. C., Dalling, J. W., Davis, A. S., Simon, S. M., U'Ren, J. M., & Arnold, A. E. (2017). Soilborne fungi have host affinity and host-specific effects on seed germination and survival in a lowland tropical forest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(43), 11458–11463. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706324114>

Tellez, P. H., Arnold, A. E., Leo, A. B., Kitajima, K., & Van Bael, S. A. (2022). Traits along the leaf economics spectrum are associated with communities of foliar endophytic symbionts. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 927780. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.927780>

Tellez, P. H., Rojas, E., & Van Bael, S. (2016). Red coloration in young tropical leaves associated with reduced fungal pathogen damage. *Biotropica*, 48(2), 150–153. <https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12303>

237 U'Ren, J. M., & Arnold, A. E. (2017). 96 well DNA Extraction Protocol for Plant and Lichen
238 Tissue Stored in CTAB. *Protocols.io*, 1–5.