

# Value Objects

**Prof. Dr. Dirk Riehle**

**Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg**

**ADAP C06**

Licensed under [CC BY 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

# Agenda

1. Values vs. objects
2. Implementing value types
3. QuantityUnit value type
4. Value type constructors
5. Value types in practice

# 1. Values vs. Objects

# Values

- Are timeless abstractions
  - No life-cycle, no birth or death, no change
  - No identity, cannot be counted, there is only “one copy”
- Consequences for programming
  - Often implemented as immutable objects
  - Object state changes by assigning values to attributes
- Values are instances of value types
  - Also often called “data” and “data types”
  - We avoid the potential confusion

# Objects

- Are virtual or physical entities from the modeled world
  - Exist in time, have a life-cycle
  - Can be created, changed, shared, destroyed
  - Have identity independent of internal structure
- Consequences for programming
  - Can be implemented as traditional classes with mutable state
  - Leads to side-effects a.k.a. aliasing and source of bugs
- Objects are instances of object types (classes)

# Examples of Value Types

- So-called “primitive data types”
  - Numbers, strings, characters, ...
- Common general value types
  - Names, coordinates, postal codes, ...
- Domain-specific value types
  - SI unit (quantity units), ranges, restrictions, ...
  - Currency, monetary amount, interest rate, stock ticker symbol, ...
  - Protocol names, URLs, HTTP return codes, ...
  - ...

# Value and Object Representations

Shared inter-subjective immaterial reality

2 : Integer

EUR 10 : Money

sa12 : SavingsAccount

Shared material “physical” reality

“II” on paper

“EUR 10” on statement

sa12 as booklet

“zwei” on paper

€10 as cash

sa12 in audit trail

Software systems

10

“2”

2.0

10

€

10.0

sa12 : SA

sa12 : SA

# Benefits of Domain-Specific Value Types

- Brings program closer to problem domain
- Restrains a major source of possible bugs (aliasing)
- May enhance system performance (see implementation)



# Quiz: Modeling PostalAddress

- How would you model a PostalAddress class?
  - As a value type
  - As an object type
  - As something else

# Answer: Modeling PostalAddress

- How would you model a PostalAddress class?
  - As a value type
    - Yes: A postal address does not have a life-cycle and does not change
    - No. May be too heavyweight, with little sharing possible
  - As an object type
    - Conceptually no, pragmatically yes; see above

# Object Identifiers / References

- Plain main memory reference
- Handle (specialized pointers)
- External object identifiers
- Primary key to relation

## 2. Implementing Value Types

# Implementation 1 / 4: General Semantics

- Implement java.lang.Object equality contract correctly

```
public boolean equals(Object o) {
    if ((o == null) || !(o instanceof Name)) return false;

    Name n = (Name) o;
    int noComponents = getNoComponents();
    if (n.getNoComponents() != noComponents) return false;

    for (int i = 0; i < noComponents; i++) {
        if (!getComponent(i).equals(n.getComponent(i))) return false;
    }

    return true;
}
```

```
public int hashCode() {
    return asString().hashCode();
}
```

# Implementation 2 / 4: Immutability

- Value types as classes defining immutable objects
  - Do not change the state of the object; rather return a new one
    - Affects the interface; no mutation methods of return type void
    - Use Java's final fields to ensure immutability
    - Adjust client code to accept new object

```
public Name remove(int i) {  
    assertIsValidIndex(i);  
    ...  
    Name result = doRemove(i);  
    ...  
}
```

```
protected Name doRemove(int index) {  
    int newSize = getNoComponents() - 1;  
    String[] newComponents = new String[newSize];  
    ... // copy components skipping component at index  
    return getName(newComponents);  
}
```

# Implementation 3 / 4: Sharing

- Value types as classes defining shared objects

```
public Name getName(String[] components) {  
    return getStringArrayName(component);  
}
```

```
public StringArrayName getStringArrayName(String[] components) {  
    String nameString = NameHelper.asNameString(components);  
    StringArrayName result = allStringArrayNames.get(nameString);  
    if (result == null) {  
        synchronized (this) {  
            result = allStringArrayNames.get(nameString);  
            if (result == null) {  
                result = new StringArrayName(components);  
                allStringArrayNames.put(nameString, result);  
            }  
        }  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```

# Benefits of Sharing Value Objects

- Trivial equality contract implementation
- More difficult if you have different implementation classes

```
public boolean equals(Object o) {  
    return this == o;  
}
```

```
public int hashCode() {  
    return super.hashCode();  
}
```



# Implementation 4 / 4: Handle / Body Idiom

- Handle / Body Idiom [C95]
  - Pass around only the handle, which holds the body
  - Forward all method calls from handle to body
- Copy-on-write (mutation method call)
  - Upon mutation method call to handle, copy body
  - This way, the client gets isolated from source context
- Benefits of handle / body idiom
  - Protects client from aliasing effects
  - Minimizes memory consumption

# Implementation Benefits of Value Objects

- Immutable objects are
  - Safe and perform well for concurrency
- Shared objects
  - Make equality easy to implement
  - Minimize memory consumption
  - But require overhead when created
- No identity of value objects allows for free copying
  - Database benefit: No need for separate database table
  - Serialization benefit: Value object can be serialized in-line
  - Distributed systems benefit: No cross-process reference

# Quiz: Implementing Base Contracts

- How to implement on `java.lang.Object`
  - For either a value or an object type
    - These comparison methods ...
      - `boolean isSame(Object o)`
      - `boolean equals(Object o)`
    - These creation methods ...
      - `Object clone()`
      - Constructor
    - These other methods ...
      - `int hashCode()`
      - `getId()`

# Answer: Implementing Base Contracts

- Value types

- These comparison methods ...
  - boolean isSame(Object o)
    - N/A
  - boolean equals(Object o)
    - By attribute comparison
- These creation methods ...
  - Object clone()
    - Create deep clone
  - Constructor
    - Is hidden when sharing values
- These other methods ...
  - int hashCode()
    - Calculate hash function
  - getId()
    - return ids.get(hashCode())

- Object types

- These comparison methods ...
  - boolean isSame(Object o)
    - return this == o;
  - boolean equals(Object o)
    - return isSame(o);
- These creation methods ...
  - Object clone()
    - Create shallow clone
  - Constructor
    - Whatever
- These other methods ...
  - int hashCode()
    - return super.hashCode()
  - getId()
    - return hashCode();

# Value Types in Java

- Not yet, but ... probably never. Oh well, updated in 2019, but nothing final yet



## JEP 169: Value Objects

[OpenJDK FAQ](#)  
[Installing](#)  
[Contributing](#)  
[Sponsoring](#)  
[Developers' Guide](#)

[Mailing lists](#)  
[IRC · Wiki](#)

[Bylaws · Census](#)  
[Legal](#)

### JEP Process

### Source code

[Mercurial](#)  
[Bundles \(6\)](#)

### Groups

[\(overview\)](#)  
[2D Graphics](#)  
[Adoption](#)  
[AWT](#)  
[Build](#)  
[Compiler](#)  
[Conformance](#)  
[Core Libraries](#)  
[Governing Board](#)  
[HotSpot](#)  
[Internationalization](#)  
[JMX](#)  
[Members](#)  
[Networking](#)

<i>Owner</i>	John Rose
<i>Created</i>	2012/10/22 20:00
<i>Updated</i>	2014/09/23 18:58
<i>Type</i>	Feature
<i>Status</i>	Draft
<i>Component</i>	hotspot
<i>Scope</i>	SE
<i>Discussion</i>	mlvm dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net
<i>Effort</i>	L
<i>Duration</i>	L
<i>Priority</i>	4
<i>Issue</i>	<a href="#">8046159</a>

### Summary

Provide JVM infrastructure for working with immutable and reference-free objects, in support of efficient by-value computation with non-primitive types.

### Goals

- Support user-defined and library-defined abstract data types with performance profiles similar to Java primitive types.

### 3. QuantityUnit Value Type

# Design Exercise

1. Design a function that accepts a distance and a speed as input
2. Return the time it takes to go that distance at that speed

# Missing Information

- Functional properties
  - Precision of calculation? (Assume double)
  - What types of units? (Assume metric system)
  - Handling of decimal multiples (Assume no)
  - ...
- Non-functional properties
  - Speed of calculation? (Assume as fast as possible)
  - Concurrent computations? (Assume yes)
  - Behavior in boundary cases? (Assume ignore)
  - ...




# Solution in Pseudo Code

```
function calculate_duration
  in: speed
  in: distance
  out: duration

begin
  duration = distance / speed
end
```

# QuantityUnit



# Base Units (of the Metric System)

Quantity	Base Unit	Symbol
Length	meter	m
Mass	kilogram	kg
Time	second	s
Electric Current	ampere	A
Thermodynamic Temperature	kelvin	K
Luminous Intensity	candela	cd
Amount of Substance	mole	mol

# State Pattern vs. enum

```
public enum BaseUnit {  
    m(0),  
    kg(1),  
    s(2),  
    A(3),  
    K(4),  
    cd(5),  
    mol(6);  
}
```

# Unit (of Measure)

```
public class Unit {  
    // example: m/s = { 1.0, 0, -1.0, 0, 0, 0, 0 }  
    protected double exponents[7];  
    ...  
  
    public Unit multiply(Unit ou) {  
        double resultArray[] = new double[7];  
        for (int i = 0; i < 7; i++) {  
            resultArray[i] = exponents[i] + ou.exponents[i];  
        }  
        return new Unit(resultArray);  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

# Quantities with Units

```
public class QuantityUnit {  
    protected double quantity;  
    protected Unit unit;  
    ...  
}
```

## 4. Value Type Constructors

# Value Type Constructors

- Arrays
- Enumerations
- Parameterized types
  - Quantity units (SI units)
  - Ranges and range bounds
  - Expressions, restrictions
  - ...



# Enums as Value Type Constructors

- Enumerations provide shared values
  - Constructors can only be private
  - Fields can be mutable, however

# Parameterized Types as Value Type Constructors

```
public class RangeRestriction<T extends Comparable<T>>
    extends Restriction<T> {

    protected Range<T> range;

    public RangeRestriction(T lowerBound, T upperBound) {
        this(new Range<T>(lowerBound, upperBound));
    }

    public RangeRestriction(Range<T> range) {
        this.range = range;
    }

    @Override
    public boolean isSatisfiedBy(T value) {
        return range.includes(value);
    }
}
```

## 5. Value Types in Practice

# Reality Check [B+97]

- Large financial system (1997)
  - More than 2500 (regular) C++ classes
- Utilization of value object (classes)
  - About 50 unique value types (implemented as classes)
  - About 20 unique value type constructors
  - More than 200 code (enum) like value types

# The JValue Value Object Framework

- JValue, originally a framework for value objects in Java
  - Since 1998 but in its third incarnation
  - On <http://github.com/jvalue/value-objects>
  - Also new version for TypeScript in development
- **Contributions are welcome; final theses possible as well**

# Summary

1. Values vs. objects
2. Implementing value types
3. QuantityUnit value type
4. Value type constructors
5. Value types in practice

# Thank you! Questions?

[dirk.riehle@fau.de](mailto:dirk.riehle@fau.de) – <https://oss.cs.fau.de>

[dirk@riehle.org](mailto:dirk@riehle.org) – <https://dirkriehle.com> – [@dirkriehle](#)

# Legal Notices

- License
  - Licensed under the [CC BY 4.0 International](#) License
- Copyright
  - © 2012-2021 Dirk Riehle, some rights reserved