

Chapter 16 Solusion

github.com/frc123/CLRS

1/10/2022

16.1

16.1-1

```
1  void OutputAux(const std::vector< std::vector<int> >& dp_selection,
2      int i, int j, std::list<int>& output)
3  {
4      if (dp_selection[i][j] > 0)
5      {
6          OutputAux(dp_selection, i, dp_selection[i][j], output);
7          output.push_back(dp_selection[i][j] - 1);
8          OutputAux(dp_selection, dp_selection[i][j], j, output);
9      }
10 }
11
12 // assume intervals are sorted by finish time
13 std::list<int> DpActivitySelector(const std::vector<Activity>& intervals)
14 {
15     int n, i, j, l, k, l_size;
16     n = (int)(intervals.size());
17     // dp_size index start by 1
18     std::vector< std::vector<int> > dp_size(n + 2, std::vector<int>(n + 2, 0)),
19         dp_selection(n + 2, std::vector<int>(n + 2, -1));
20     // compute
21     for (l = 2; l <= n + 1; ++l)
22     {
23         for (i = 0; i <= n + 1 - l; ++i)
24         {
25             j = i + l;
26             for (k = i + 1; k <= j - 1; ++k)
27             {
```

```

28         if ((i == 0 || intervals[k - 1].s >= intervals[i - 1].f) &&
29             (j == n + 1 || intervals[k - 1].f <= intervals[j - 1].s))
30         {
31             l_size = dp_size[i][k] + dp_size[k][j] + 1;
32             if (dp_size[i][j] < l_size)
33             {
34                 dp_size[i][j] = l_size;
35                 dp_selection[i][j] = k;
36             }
37         }
38     }
39 }
40 }
41 // output
42 std::list<int> output;
43 OutputAux(dp_selection, 0, n + 1, output);
44 return output;
45 }
```

The dynamic-programming algorithm runs in $O(n^3)$.

16.1-2

```

1 // assume intervals are sorted by start time
2 std::list<int> GreedyActivitySelector(const std::vector<Activity>& intervals)
3 {
4     int k, m, n;
5     std::list<int> activities;
6     n = (int)(intervals.size());
7     activities.push_front(n - 1);
8     k = n - 1;
9     for (m = n - 2; m >= 0; --m)
10    {
11        if (intervals[k].s >= intervals[m].f)
12        {
13            activities.push_front(m);
14            k = m;
15        }
16    }
17    return activities;
18 }
```

Claim 1. Consider any nonempty subproblem S_k , and let a_m be an activity in S_k with the latest start time. Then a_m is included in some maximum-size subset of mutually compatible activities of S_k .

Proof. Let A_k be a maximum-size subset of mutually compatible activities in S_k , and let a_j be the activity in A_k with the latest start time. If $a_j = a_m$, we are done, since we have shown that a_m is in some maximum-size subset of mutually compatible activities of S_k . If $a_j \neq a_m$, let the set $A'_k = A_k - \{a_j\} \cup \{a_m\}$ be A_k but substituting a_m for a_j . The activities in A'_k are disjoint, which follows because the activities in A_k are disjoint, a_j is the last activity in A_k to start, and $s_m \geq s_j$. Since $|A'_k| = |A_k|$, we conclude that A'_k is a maximum-size subset of mutually compatible activities of S_k , and it includes a_m . \square

16.1-3

1. selecting the compatible activity of least duration

i	1	2	3
s_i	1	3	4
f_i	4	5	7

By this approach, the solution will be $\{a_2\}$. However, the optimal solution is $\{a_1, a_3\}$.

2. selecting the compatible activity that overlaps the fewest other remaining activities

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
s_i	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	6
f_i	2	3	3	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7

By this approach, the solution will include a_7 . However, the optimal solution is $\{a_1, a_6, a_8, a_{11}\}$, and a_7 is not compatible with the optimal solution.

3. selecting the compatible activity with the earliest start time

i	1	2	3
s_i	2	3	1
f_i	3	4	5

By this approach, the solution will be $\{a_3\}$. However, the optimal solution is $\{a_1, a_2\}$.

16.1-4

```
1 struct Element
2 {
3     int interval;
4     std::list< std::list<int> >::iterator list;
5
6     Element(int interval, std::list< std::list<int> >::iterator list)
7         : interval(interval), list(list) {}
8 };
```

```
9
10 // assume intervals are sorted by finish time
11 std::list< std::list<int> > IntervalGraphColoring(const std::vector<Activity>& intervals)
12 {
13     int i, n;
14     n = (int)(intervals.size());
15     std::list< std::list<int> > collection;
16     auto heap_cmp = [&intervals](const Element& a, const Element& b) {
17         return intervals[a.interval].s < intervals[b.interval].s;
18     };
19     std::priority_queue<Element, std::vector<Element>, decltype(heap_cmp)> heap(heap_cmp);
20     std::list< std::list<int> >::iterator curr_list;
21     collection.emplace_front();
22     curr_list = collection.begin();
23     curr_list->push_front(n - 1);
24     heap.emplace(n - 1, curr_list);
25     for (i = n - 2; i >= 0; --i)
26     {
27         if (intervals[i].f <= intervals[heap.top().interval].s)
28         {
29             curr_list = heap.top().list;
30             curr_list->push_front(i);
31             heap.pop();
32         }
33         else
34         {
35             collection.emplace_front();
36             curr_list = collection.begin();
37             curr_list->push_front(i);
38         }
39         heap.emplace(i, curr_list);
40     }
41     return collection;
42 }
```

16.1-5

This algorithm is actually a revision from 16.1-1.

```
1 // assume intervals are sorted by finish time
2 std::list<int> DpActivitySelector(const std::vector<Activity>& activities)
```

```
3  {
4      int n, i, j, l, k, l_size;
5      n = (int)(activities.size());
6      // dp_size index start by 1
7      std::vector< std::vector<int> > dp_size(n + 2, std::vector<int>(n + 2, 0)),
8          dp_selection(n + 2, std::vector<int>(n + 2, -1));
9      // compute
10     for (l = 2; l <= n + 1; ++l)
11     {
12         for (i = 0; i <= n + 1 - l; ++i)
13         {
14             j = i + l;
15             for (k = i + 1; k <= j - 1; ++k)
16             {
17                 if ((i == 0 || activities[k - 1].s >= activities[i - 1].f) &&
18                     (j == n + 1 || activities[k - 1].f <= activities[j - 1].s))
19                 {
20                     l_size = dp_size[i][k] + dp_size[k][j] + activities[k - 1].v;
21                     if (dp_size[i][j] < l_size)
22                     {
23                         dp_size[i][j] = l_size;
24                         dp_selection[i][j] = k;
25                     }
26                 }
27             }
28         }
29     }
30     // output
31     std::list<int> output;
32     OutputAux(dp_selection, 0, n + 1, output);
33     return output;
34 }
```

16.2

16.2-1

Suppose that items are sorted by value per pound from high to low.

$$v_1/w_1 \geq v_2/w_2 \geq v_3/w_3 \geq \cdots \geq v_{n-1}/w_{n-1} \geq v_n/w_n \quad .$$

Denote a_i as the item i . Let $S_k = \{a_i : k \leq i \leq n\}$.

Claim 2. Consider any nonempty subproblem S_k . Then as much as possible a_k is included in some maximum-value fractional knapsack composed by some items in S_k where the items in the knapsack weigh at most W pounds.

Proof. Let A_k be a maximum-value fractional knapsack composed by some items in S_k where the items in the knapsack weigh at most W pounds. Let $\alpha = \max(a_k, W)$. Let β be the number of pounds of a_k in A_k . Note that $\alpha \geq \beta$ must be true. If $\alpha = \beta$, then we are done. If $\alpha > \beta$, then we replace any $\alpha - \beta$ pounds non- a_k items in A_k with the same amount of a_k . Now we have a knapsack that contains at least the value of the original knapsack (actually, the knapsack after replacement must have the same value as the original knapsack; otherwise, we have a contradiction). \square

16.2-2

Denote a_i as the item i . Let $S_k = \{a_i : k \leq i \leq n\}$. Denote $c[i, j]$ as the maximum value in the 0-1 knapsack composed by some items in S_i where the items in the knapsack at most j pounds. We have the following recursive solution

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } j < w_i, \\ v_i & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } j \geq w_i, \\ c[i + 1, j] & \text{if } i < n \text{ and } j < w_i, \\ \max(c[i + 1, j], c[i + 1, j - w_i] + v_i) & \text{if } i < n \text{ and } j \geq w_i. \end{cases}$$

```

1  std::list<int> ZeroOneKnapsack(const std::vector<Item>& items, int maximum_weight)
2  {
3      int n, i, j;
4      n = (int)(items.size());
5      std::vector< std::vector<int> > dp_value(n, std::vector<int>(maximum_weight + 1));
6      std::vector< std::vector<bool> > dp_put(n, std::vector<bool>(maximum_weight + 1));
7      std::list<int> output;
8      // compute
9      i = n - 1;
10     for (j = 0; j <= maximum_weight; ++j)
11     {
12         if (j >= items[i].w)
13         {
14             dp_value[i][j] = items[i].v;
15             dp_put[i][j] = true;
16         }
17         else
18         {

```

```

19         dp_value[i][j] = 0;
20         dp_put[i][j] = false;
21     }
22 }
23 for (i = n - 2; i >= 0; --i)
24 {
25     for (j = 0; j <= maximum_weight; ++j)
26     {
27         if (j >= items[i].w &&
28             dp_value[i + 1][j - items[i].w] + items[i].v > dp_value[i + 1][j])
29         {
30             dp_value[i][j] = dp_value[i + 1][j - items[i].w] + items[i].v;
31             dp_put[i][j] = true;
32         }
33         else
34         {
35             dp_value[i][j] = dp_value[i + 1][j];
36             dp_put[i][j] = false;
37         }
38     }
39 }
40 // output
41 j = maximum_weight;
42 for (i = 0; i < n; ++i)
43 {
44     if (dp_put[i][j])
45     {
46         output.push_back(i);
47         j -= items[i].w;
48     }
49 }
50 return output;
51 }
```

16.2-3

Suppose that items are sorted by value from high to low.

$$v_1 \geq v_2 \geq v_3 \geq \dots \geq v_{n-1} \geq v_n \quad .$$

Then we have

$$w_1 \leq w_2 \leq w_3 \leq \dots \leq w_{n-1} \leq w_n \quad .$$

Denote a_i as the item i . Let $S_k = \{a_i : k \leq i \leq n\}$.

Claim 3. Consider any nonempty subproblem S_k with some nonempty solution. Then a_k is included in some maximum-value subset (0-1 knapsack) of S_k where all the items in the subset weigh at most W pounds.

Proof. Let A_k be a maximum-value subset of S_k where all the items in the subset weigh at most W pounds. Let a_j be the item in A_k with the largest value. Then a_j has the smallest weight among the items in A_k . If $a_j = a_k$, then we are done. If $a_j \neq a_k$, let the set $A'_k = A_k - \{a_j\} \cup \{a_k\}$. Since items are sorted by value from high to low, a_k has the largest value and the smallest weight among the items in S_k . Since $A_k, A'_k \subseteq S_k$, the total values in A'_k must be greater than or equal to (actually, must be equal to) A_k , and the total weights in A'_k must be smaller than or equal to (actually, must be equal to) A_k , which means all the items A'_k weigh at most W pounds also. We conclude that A'_k is a maximum-value subset of S_k where all the items in the subset weigh at most W pounds, and $a_k \in A'_k$. \square

```
1  // assume v mono decreasing and w mono increasing
2  std::list<int> ZeroOneKnapsack(const std::vector<Item>& items, int maximum_weight)
3  {
4      int k, n;
5      std::list<int> output;
6      n = (int)(items.size());
7      for (k = 0; k < n; ++k)
8      {
9          if (items[k].w <= maximum_weight)
10         {
11             maximum_weight -= items[k].w;
12             output.push_back(k);
13         }
14         else
15         {
16             break;
17         }
18     }
19     return output;
20 }
```

16.2-4

We start at the beginning and go as far as possible each time. In other words, keep going until the water is not enough to get to the next stop.

Denote a_i as the i th place at which he can refill his water. Denote d_i as distance from the starting point to a_i . Assume that

$$d_1 < d_2 < d_3 \cdots < d_{n-1} < d_n \quad .$$

Let $S_k = \{a_i : k < i \leq n\}$, which is the subproblem of minimize the number of stops from a_k . Assume $m > 0$. Let $C_k = \{a_i \in S_k : d_i \leq d_k + m\}$, which contains the candidates to the next stop from a_k .

Claim 4. Consider any nonempty subproblem S_k where $k \neq n$, and let a_l be the stop in C_k with the greatest distance from a_k . Then a_l is included in some minimum-size subset B of S_k such that $a_k, a_n \in B$ and

$$\forall a_i \in B, \exists a_j \in B \text{ such that } |d_j - d_i| \leq m \quad .$$

Proof. Let A_k be a minimum-size subset of S_k such that $a_k, a_n \in A_k$ and

$$\forall a_i \in A_k, \exists a_j \in A_k \text{ such that } |d_j - d_i| \leq m \quad .$$

Let a_t be the stop in A_k with the smallest distance from a_k . If $a_t = a_l$, then we are done. If $a_t \neq a_l$, let the set $A'_k = A_k - \{a_t\} \cup \{a_l\}$. By the definition of C_k , we have $a_t \in C_k$, so $d_t < d_l$. We claim that $a_t \neq a_n$ since

$$a_t = a_n \implies d_t = d_n \implies d_n < d_l$$

which leads to a contradiction. Since $a_l \in C_k$, $d_l - d_k \leq m$. Since $a_t \in A_k$, there exists a_j such that $d_j - d_t \leq m$, so $d_j - d_l \leq m$. We conclude that A'_k is a minimum-size subset of S_k such that $a_k, a_n \in A'_k$ and

$$\forall a_i \in A'_k, \exists a_j \in A'_k \text{ such that } |d_j - d_i| \leq m \quad .$$

□

16.2-5

Let the left endpoint of the first interval be the leftmost point in the set, let the left endpoint of the second interval be the leftmost point in the set that is not contained by the first interval, let the left endpoint of the third interval be the leftmost point in the set that is not contained by the first interval and the second interval, and so forth.

Assume x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are strictly monotonous increasing (by sorting and removing repeated elements):

$$x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n \quad .$$

Let $S_k = \{x_i : k \leq i \leq n\}$, which is the subproblem of minimize the number of set of unit-length closed intervals that contains all points in S_k .

Claim 5. Consider any nonempty subproblem S_k . Then $[x_k, x_k + 1]$ is included in some minimum-size set of unit-length closed intervals that contains all points in S_k .

Proof. Actually, $[x_k, x_k + 1]$ must be included in any set of unit-length closed intervals that contains all points in S_k . Otherwise, x_k cannot be contained, which leads to a contradiction. □

16.2-6

We apply weighted selection in worst-case linear time to this problem.

```
1  // return list of pair where the first element of the pair is
2  // the index of item in the container after the function returns
3  // and the second element of the pair is the weight of the item in the knapsack
4  std::list< std::pair<int, int> > FractionalKnapsack
5      (std::vector<Item>& items, int maximum_weight)
6  {
7      std::list< std::pair<int, int> > output;
8      int weight_sum = 0;
9      for (Item& item : items)
10     {
11         weight_sum += item.w;
12     }
13     if (weight_sum <= maximum_weight)
14     {
15         // put all items into knapsack
16         for (size_t i = 0; i < items.size(); ++i)
17         {
18             output.emplace_back(i, items[i].w);
19         }
20     }
21     else
22     {
23         for (Item& item : items)
24         {
25             item.v_div_w = (double)(item.v) / (item.w);
26         }
27         // note that a partition around the pivot will be performed also
28         cotl::WeightedSelect(items.begin(), items.end(), maximum_weight - 1,
29             [](const Item& a) { return a.w; },
30             [](const Item& a, const Item& b) { return b.v_div_w - a.v_div_w; });
31         for (size_t i = 0; i < items.size(); ++i)
32         {
33             int pick_weight = std::min(maximum_weight, items[i].w);
34             maximum_weight -= pick_weight;
35             output.emplace_back(i, pick_weight);
36             if (maximum_weight == 0)
37                 break;
```

```
38         }
39     }
40     return output;
41 }
```

16.2-7

We put each of A and B into an array and sort these two arrays.

Claim 6. If $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1} \leq a_n$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-1} \leq b_n$, then $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b_i}$ is the maximum payoff.

Proof. Suppose that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1} \leq a_n$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-1} \leq b_n$. Suppose that $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b_i}$ is not the maximum payoff, for the purpose of contradiction. Then there exists a sequence (b'_n) difference to (b_n) such that $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b'_i}$ is the maximum payoff, which means $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b'_i} > \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b_i}$. Then there exists integers $i, j \in [1, n]$ such that $a_i < a_j$ and $b'_i > b'_j$. Consider the difference between $a_i^{b'_i} a_j^{b'_j}$ and $a_i^{b'_j} a_j^{b'_i}$. Since $a_i^{b'_i} a_j^{b'_j} = a_i^{b'_j} a_j^{b'_i} a_i^{b'_i - b'_j}$ and $a_i^{b'_j} a_j^{b'_i} = a_i^{b'_j} a_j^{b'_i} a_j^{b'_i - b'_j}$, we have $a_i^{b'_i} a_j^{b'_j} < a_i^{b'_j} a_j^{b'_i}$, which contradicts to $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b'_i}$ is the maximum payoff. \square

Updating...