

Chapter 21 Solusion

<https://github.com/frc123/CLRS>

1/3/2022

21.1

21.1-1

Edge processed	Collection of disjoint sets										
initial sets	{a}	{b}	{c}	{d}	{e}	{f}	{g}	{h}	{i}	{j}	{k}
(d, i)	{a}	{b}	{c}	{d, i}	{e}	{f}	{g}	{h}		{j}	{k}
(f, k)	{a}	{b}	{c}	{d, i}	{e}	{f, k}	{g}	{h}		{j}	
(g, i)	{a}	{b}	{c}	{d, g, i}	{e}	{f, k}		{h}		{j}	
(b, g)	{a}	{b, d, g, i}	{c}		{e}	{f, k}		{h}		{j}	
(a, h)	{a, h}	{b, d, g, i}	{c}		{e}	{f, k}				{j}	
(i, j)	{a, h}	{b, d, g, i, j}	{c}		{e}	{f, k}					
(d, k)	{a, h}	{b, d, f, g, i, j, k}	{c}		{e}						
(b, j)	{a, h}	{b, d, f, g, i, j, k}	{c}		{e}						
(d, f)	{a, h}	{b, d, f, g, i, j, k}	{c}		{e}						
(g, j)	{a, h}	{b, d, f, g, i, j, k}	{c}		{e}						
(a, e)	{a, e, h}	{b, d, f, g, i, j, k}	{c}								

21.1-2

Proof. By contents in B.4, we know that the connected components of a graph are the equivalence classes of vertices under the “is reachable from” relation. The collection of the disjoint sets is exactly the quotient set of $G.V$ by the “is reachable from” relation. It is not hard to find out that CONNECTED-COMPONENTS construct such the quotient set since the procedure unions vertices based on all edges, and edges connect two reachable vertices with the smallest length of the path (recall that a equivalence relation must be transitive). Two vertices are in the same connected component if and only if they are reachable from each other. \square

21.1-3

FIND-SET: $2 \cdot |E|$

UNION: $|V| - k$

21.2

21.2-1

```
1  struct Set
2  {
3      Node *head;
4      Node *tail;
5      int size;
6  };
7
8  struct Node
9  {
10     int key;
11     Set *set;
12     Node *next;
13 };
14
15 void MakeSet(Node *x)
16 {
17     x->next = nullptr;
18     x->set = new Set; // need to be freed
19     x->set->head = x;
20     x->set->tail = x;
21     x->set->size = 1;
22 }
23
24 Node* FindSet(Node *x)
25 {
26     return x->set->head;
27 }
28
29 void Union(Node *x, Node *y)
30 {
31     Node *node;
32     if (x->set->size < y->set->size)
33     {
34         Union(y, x);
35     }
36     else
```

```
37     {
38         node = y->set->head;
39         x->set->size += y->set->size;
40         x->set->tail->next = node;
41         x->set->tail = y->set->tail;
42         delete y->set;
43         while (node)
44         {
45             node->set = x->set;
46             node = node->next;
47         }
48     }
49 }
```

21.2-2

collection before line 3:

$$\{\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_4\}, \{x_5\}, \{x_6\}, \{x_7\}, \{x_8\}, \{x_9\}, \{x_{10}\}, \{x_{11}\}, \{x_{12}\}, \{x_{13}\}, \{x_{14}\}, \{x_{15}\}, \{x_{16}\}\}$$

collection before line 5:

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5, x_6\}, \{x_7, x_8\}, \{x_9, x_{10}\}, \{x_{11}, x_{12}\}, \{x_{13}, x_{14}\}, \{x_{15}, x_{16}\}\}$$

collection before line 7:

$$\{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8\}, \{x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}\}, \{x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{15}, x_{16}\}\}$$

collection before line 8:

$$\{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8\}, \{x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}\}, \{x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{15}, x_{16}\}\}$$

collection before line 9:

$$\{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8\}, \{x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{15}, x_{16}\}\}$$

collection before line 10:

$$\{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{15}, x_{16}\}\}$$

Hence $\text{FIND-SET}(x_2)$ and $\text{FIND-SET}(x_{11})$ return a pointer points to x_1 .

21.2-3

Lemma 1. Using the linked-list representation of disjoint sets and the weighted-union heuristic, a sequence of h UNION operations on a disjoint set that has never been operated UNION takes $O(h \lg h)$ time.

Proof. We claim that, after h UNION operations, the largest set has at most $h + 1$ members. Notice that the number of sets decreases by one each time UNION is called. Suppose that we have n sets in which each set contains one member in the beginning. After the h UNION operations, we have $(n - h)$ sets. Note that each set must contain one member. In order to maximize the number of members in the the largest set, we let $(n - h - 1)$ sets contains one member, and let the remaining set contains all remaining members. Then the remaining set contains

$$n - (n - h - 1) = h + 1$$

members.

We claim that each object's pointer back to its set object is updated at most $\lceil \lg h \rceil$ times over all the UNION operations. Let x be an arbitrary object. By the similar approach in the proof of Theorem 21.1, we know that for any $k \leq h + 1$, after x 's pointers has been updated $\lceil \lg k \rceil$ times, the resulting set must have at least k members. Since the largest set has at most $h + 1$ members, each object's pointer is updated at most $\lg(h + 1)$ times over all the UNION operations.

We claim that there are h elements have been updated their pointers back to their set objects at least once. Consider a set contains k members. Then within this set, there are $(k - 1)$ members have been updated their pointers back to their set objects at least once since there must exists exactly $(k - 1)$ members updated their pointers from the initial pointer to the current one. Let \mathcal{S} be our collection of sets. Then after the h UNION operations, the number of elements have been updated their pointers is

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} (|A| - 1) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} |A| - |\mathcal{S}| = n - (n - h) = h$$

Since each object's pointer is updated at most $\lceil \lg h \rceil$ times and there are h elements have been updated their pointers, we conclude h UNION operations on a disjoint set that has never been operated UNION takes $O(h \lg h)$ time. \square

Claim 2. The amortized time of MAKE-SET and FIND-SET is $O(1)$, and the amortized time of UNION is $O(\lg n)$.

Proof. Suppose that we performed h UNION operations. Since n MAKE-SET operations are performed, we know $(m - n - h)$ FIND-SET operations are performed. By the lemma, we know that the total actual cost of UNION is $O(h \lg h)$. Hence the total actual cost of the sequence is

$$O(\underbrace{n}_{\text{MAKE-SET}} + \underbrace{(m - n - h)}_{\text{FIND-SET}} + \underbrace{h \lg h}_{\text{UNION}}) = O(m - h + h \lg h)$$

The total amortized cost of the sequence is

$$O(\underbrace{n}_{\text{MAKE-SET}} + \underbrace{(m - n - h)}_{\text{FIND-SET}} + \underbrace{h \lg n}_{\text{UNION}}) = O(m - h + h \lg n)$$

Since $h < n$, we have showed the claim successfully. \square

21.2-4

In the i th UNION operation, we call $\text{UNION}(x_{i+1}, x_i)$. At this time, the size of set contains x_i contains i members, and the size of set contains x_{i+1} contains 1 members. Then we notice, for all $i \geq 2$, we append the list contains x_{i+1} onto the list contains x_i with the weighted-union heuristic, and this only takes $\Theta(1)$ time for each operation. We operate n times MAKE-SET and $(n - 1)$ times UNION, so the sequence takes $\Theta(n + (n - 1)) = \Theta(n)$ time.

21.2-5

```
1  struct Node
2  {
3      int key;
4      Node *next;
5      // let the tail element be the set's representative
6      union
7      {
8          Node *tail; // for non-tail elements
9          Node *head; // for the tail element
10     } representative;
11     int size; // only for the tail element
12 };
13
14 void MakeSet(Node *x)
15 {
16     x->next = nullptr;
17     x->representative.head = x;
18     x->size = 1;
19 }
20
21 Node* FindSet(Node *x)
22 {
23     return x->next ? x->representative.tail : x;
24 }
25
26 void Union(Node *x, Node *y)
27 {
28     Node **node, *x_head, *y_head, *x_representative, *y_representative;
29     if (x->representative.tail->size < y->representative.tail->size)
30     {
31         Union(y, x);
```

```
32     }
33     else
34     {
35         x_representative = FindSet(x);
36         y_representative = FindSet(y);
37         x_head = x_representative->representative.head;
38         y_head = y_representative->representative.head;
39         x_representative->size += y_representative->size;
40         node = &y_head;
41         while (*node)
42         {
43             (*node)->representative.tail = x_representative;
44             node = &((*node)->next);
45         }
46         *node = x_head;
47         x_representative->representative.head = y_head;
48     }
49 }
```

21.2-6

```
1  struct Set
2  {
3      Node *head;
4      int size;
5  };
6
7  struct Node
8  {
9      int key;
10     Set *set;
11     Node *next;
12 };
13
14 void MakeSet(Node *x)
15 {
16     x->next = nullptr;
17     x->set = new Set; // need to be freed
18     x->set->head = x;
19     x->set->size = 1;
```

```
20 }
21
22 Node* FindSet(Node *x)
23 {
24     return x->set->head;
25 }
26
27 void Union(Node *x, Node *y)
28 {
29     Node **node, *x_second;
30     if (x->set->size < y->set->size)
31     {
32         Union(y, x);
33     }
34     else
35     {
36         x->set->size += y->set->size;
37         x_second = x->next;
38         x->next = y->set->head;
39         node = &(x->next);
40         delete y->set;
41         while (*node)
42         {
43             (*node)->set = x->set;
44             node = &((*node)->next);
45         }
46         *node = x_second;
47     }
48 }
```

Updating...