

Incorporating biological structure into machine learning models in biomedicine

This manuscript ([permalink](#)) was automatically generated from [greenelab/biopriors-review@2b2b4b5](#) on October 11, 2019.

Authors

- **Jake Crawford**

 [0000-0001-6207-0782](#) ·  [jjc2718](#) ·  [jjc2718](#)

Graduate Group in Genomics and Computational Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA · Funded by National Human Genome Research Institute (R01 HG010067)

- **Casey S. Greene**

 [0000-0001-8713-9213](#) ·  [cgreene](#) ·  [greenescientist](#)

Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; Childhood Cancer Data Lab, Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation. Philadelphia PA · Funded by [The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF 4552), 'National Human Genome Research Institute (R01 HG010067)', 'National Cancer Institute (R01 CA237170)', "Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (CCDL)"]

Abstract

Introduction

It can be challenging to distinguish signal from noise in biomedical datasets, and machine learning methods are particularly hampered when the amount of available training data is small. Incorporating biomedical knowledge into machine learning models can reveal patterns in noisy data [1] and aid model interpretation [2]. Biological knowledge can take many forms, including genomic sequences, pathway databases, gene interaction networks, and knowledge hierarchies such as the Gene Ontology [3]. However, there is often no canonical way to encode these structures as real-valued predictors. Modelers must creatively decide how to encode biological knowledge that they expect will be relevant to the task.

Biomedical datasets often contain more input predictors than data samples [4,5]. A genetic study may genotype millions of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in thousands of individuals, or a gene expression study may profile the expression of thousands of genes in tens of samples. Thus, it can be useful to include prior information describing relationships between predictors to inform the representation learned by the model. This contrasts with non-biological applications of machine learning, where one might fit a model on millions of images [6] or tens of thousands of documents [7], making inclusion of prior information unnecessary.

We review approaches that incorporate external information about the structure of desirable solutions to learn from biomedical data. One class of commonly used approaches learns a representation that considers the context of each base pair from raw sequence data. For models that operate on gene expression data or genetic variants, it can be useful to incorporate networks or pathways describing relationships between genes. We also consider other examples, such as neural network architectures that are constrained based on biological knowledge.

There are many complementary ways to incorporate heterogeneous sources of biomedical data into the learning process, which have been covered elsewhere [8,9]. These include feature extraction or representation learning prior to modeling and/or other data integration methods that do not necessarily involve customizing the model itself.

Sequence models

Early neural network models primarily used hand-engineered sequence features as input to a fully connected neural network [10,11]. As convolutional neural network (CNN) approaches matured for image processing and computer vision, researchers leveraged biological sequence proximity similarly. CNNs are a neural network variant that groups input data by spatial context to extract features for prediction. The definition of “spatial context” is specific to the input: one might group image pixels that are nearby in 2D space, or genomic base pairs that are nearby in the linear genome. In this way, CNNs consider context without making strong assumptions about exactly how much context is needed or how it should be encoded; the data informs the encoding. A detailed description of how CNNs are applied to sequences can be found in Angermueller et al. [12].

Applications in regulatory biology

Many early applications of deep learning to biological sequences were in regulatory biology. Early CNNs for sequence data predicted binding protein sequence specificity from DNA or RNA sequence [13], variant effects from noncoding DNA sequence [14], and chromatin accessibility from DNA sequence [15].

Recent sequence models take advantage of hardware advances and methodological innovation to incorporate more sequence context and rely on fewer modeling assumptions. BPNNet, a CNN that predicts transcription factor binding profiles from DNA sequences, accurately mapped known locations of binding motifs in mouse embryonic stem cells [16]. BPNNet considers 1000 base pairs of context around each position when predicting binding probabilities with a technique called dilated convolutions [17], which is particularly important because motif spacing and periodicity can influence binding. cDeepbind [18] combines RNA sequences with information about secondary structure to predict RNA binding protein affinities. Its convolutional model acts on a feature vector combining sequence and structural information, using context for both to inform predictions. APARENT [19] is a CNN that predicts alternative polyadenylation (APA) from a training set of over 3 million synthetic APA reporter sequences. These diverse applications underscore the power of modern deep learning models to synthesize large sequence datasets.

Models that consider sequence context have also been applied to epigenetic data. DeepSignal [20] is a CNN that uses contextual electrical signals from Oxford Nanopore single-molecule sequencing data to predict 5mC or 6mA DNA methylation status. MRCNN [21] uses sequences of length 400, centered at CpG sites, to predict 5mC methylation status. Deep learning models have also been used to predict gene expression from histone modifications [22,23]. Here, a neural network model consisting of long short-term memory (LSTM) units was used to encode the long-distance interactions of histone marks in both the 3' and 5' genomic directions. In each of these cases, proximity in the linear genome helped model the complex interactions between DNA sequence and epigenome.

Applications in variant calling and mutation detection

Identification of genetic variants also benefits from models that include sequence context. DeepVariant [24] applies a CNN to images of sequence read pileups, using read data around each candidate variant to accurately distinguish true variants from sequencing errors. CNNs have also been applied to single molecule (PacBio and Oxford Nanopore) sequencing data [25], using a different sequence encoding that results in better performance than DeepVariant on single molecule data. However, many variant calling models still use hand-engineered sequence features as input to a classifier, including current state-of-the-art approaches to insertion/deletion calling [26,27]. Detection of somatic mutations is a distinct but related challenge to detection of germline variants, and has also recently benefitted from use of CNNs [28].

Network- and pathway-based models

Rather than operating on sequences, many machine learning models in biomedicine operate on inputs that lack intrinsic order. Models may make use of gene expression data matrices from RNA sequencing or microarray experiments in which rows represent samples and columns represent genes. To account for relationships between genes, one might incorporate known interactions or correlations when making predictions or generating a low-dimensional representation of the data. This is comparable to the manner in which sequence context pushes models to consider nearby base pairs similarly.

Applications in transcriptomics

Models built from gene expression data can benefit from incorporating gene-level relationships. One form that this knowledge commonly takes is a database of gene sets, which may represent biological pathways or gene signatures for a biological state of interest. PLIER [29] uses gene set information from MSigDB [30] and cell type markers to extract a representation of gene expression data that corresponds to biological processes and reduces technical noise. The resulting gene set-aligned representation accurately decomposed cell type mixtures. MultiPLIER [31] applied PLIER to the

recount2 gene expression compendium [32] to develop a model that shares information across multiple tissues and diseases, including rare diseases with limited sample sizes. PASNet [33] uses MSigDB to inform the structure of a neural network for predicting patient outcomes in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) from gene expression data. This approach aids interpretation, as pathway nodes in the network with high weights can be inferred to correspond to certain pathways in GBM outcome prediction.

Gene-level relationships can also be represented with networks. Network nodes typically represent genes and real-valued edges may represent interactions or correlations between genes, often in a tissue or cell type context of interest. netNMF-sc [34] incorporates coexpression networks [35] as a smoothing term for dimension reduction and dropout imputation in single-cell gene expression data. The coexpression network improves performance for identifying cell types and of cell cycle marker genes, as compared to using raw gene expression or other single-cell dimension reduction methods. Combining gene expression data with a network-derived smoothing term also improved prediction of patient drug response in acute myeloid leukemia [36] and detection of mutated cancer genes [37]. PIMKL [38] combines network and pathway data to predict disease-free survival from breast cancer cohorts. This method takes as input both RNA-seq gene expression data and copy number alteration data, but can also be applied to gene expression data alone.

Gene regulatory networks can also augment models for gene expression data. These networks describe how the expression of genes is modulated by biological regulators such as transcription factors, microRNAs, or small molecules. creNET [39] integrates a gene regulatory network, derived from STRING [40], with a sparse logistic regression model to predict phenotypic response in clinical trials for ulcerative colitis and acute kidney rejection. The gene regulatory information allows the model to identify the biological regulators associated with the response, potentially giving mechanistic insight into differential clinical trial response. GRRANN [41], which was applied to the same data as creNET, uses a gene regulatory network to inform the structure of a neural network. Several other methods [42,43] have also used gene regulatory network structure to constrain the structure of a neural network, reducing the number of parameters to be fit and facilitating interpretation.

Applications in genetics

Approaches that incorporate gene set or network structure into genetic studies have a long history [44,45]. Recent applications include expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) mapping studies, which aim to identify associations between genetic variants and gene expression. netReg [46] implements a graph-regularized dual LASSO algorithm for eQTL mapping [47] in a publicly available R package. This model smooths regression coefficients simultaneously based on networks describing associations between genes (target variables in the eQTL regression model) and between variants (predictors in the eQTL regression model). eQTL information is also used in conjunction with genetic variant information to predict phenotypes, in an approach known as Mendelian randomization (MR). In [48], a smoothing term derived from a gene regulatory network is used in an MR model. The model with the network smoothing term, applied to a human liver dataset, more robustly identifies genes that influence enzyme activity than a network-agnostic model. As genetic datasets grow, we expect that researchers will continue to develop models that leverage gene set and network databases.

Other models incorporating biological structure

Knowledge about biological entities is often organized in an ontology, which is a directed graph that encodes relationships between entities. The Gene Ontology (GO) [3] describes the relationships between cellular subsystems and other attributes describing proteins or genes. DCell [49] uses GO to inform the connectivity of a neural network predicting the effects of gene deletions on yeast growth. DCell performs comparably to an unconstrained neural network for this task. Additionally, it is easier to interpret: a cellular subsystem with high neuron outputs under a particular gene deletion can be

inferred to be strongly affected by the gene deletion, providing a putative genotype-phenotype association. DeepGO [50] uses a similar approach to predict protein function from amino acid sequence with a neural network constrained by the dependencies of GO. However, a follow-up paper by the same authors [51] showed that this hierarchy-aware approach can be outperformed by a hierarchy-naïve CNN, which uses only amino acid sequence and similarity to labeled training set proteins. This suggests a tradeoff between interpretability and predictive accuracy for protein function prediction.

Phylogenetic trees, or hierarchies describing the evolutionary relationships between species, can be useful for a similar purpose. glmmTree [52] uses a phylogenetic tree describing the relationship between microorganisms to improve predictions of age based on gut microbiome data. The same authors combine a similar phylogeny smoothing strategy with sparse regression to model caffeine intake and smoking status based on microbiome data [53]. Phylogenetic trees can also describe the relationships between subclones of a tumor, which are fundamental to understanding cancer evolution and development. Using a tumor phylogeny inferred from copy number aberration (CNA) sequencing data as a smoothing term for deconvolving tumor subclones provided more robust predictions than a phylogeny-free model [54]. The tree structure of the phylogeny and the subclone mixture model are fit jointly to the CNA data.

Depending on the application, other forms of structure or prior knowledge can inform predictions and interpretation of the model's output. CYCLOPS [55] uses a circular node autoencoder [56] to order periodic gene expression data and estimate circadian rhythms. The authors validated the method by correctly ordering samples without temporal labels and identifying genes with known circadian expression. They then applied it to compare gene expression in normal and cancerous liver biopsies, identifying drug targets with circadian expression as candidates for chronotherapy. NetBiTE [57] uses drug-gene interaction information from GDSC [58], in addition to protein interaction data, to build a tree ensemble model with splits that are biased toward high-confidence drug-gene interactions. The model predicts sensitivity to drugs that inhibit critical signaling pathways in cancer, showing improved predictive performance compared to random forests, another commonly used tree ensemble model.

Conclusions and future directions

As the quantity and richness of biomedical data has increased, resources such as sequence repositories and interaction databases have expanded and become more robust. This has created unique opportunities for integrating these resources into machine learning models in a way that considers their structure. Going forward, there is an outstanding need for benchmarks comparing these approaches across diverse datasets and prediction problems, along the lines of the evaluation in [59] but updated and expanded to include recent methods and applications. Ideally, improved benchmarking will lead to a better understanding of which datasets can benefit from which approaches, guiding application of similar models to new datasets. Many of the methods described in this review have open-source implementations available; however, increased availability of performant and extensible implementations of the models and algorithms described in this review would also facilitate further use and development. In the future, we foresee that incorporating structured biomedical data will become commonplace for improving model interpretability and boosting performance when sample size is limited.

References

1. Network propagation: a universal amplifier of genetic associations

Lenore Cowen, Trey Ideker, Benjamin J. Raphael, Roded Sharan
Nature Reviews Genetics (2017-06-12) <https://doi.org/gbhkwn>
DOI: [10.1038/nrg.2017.38](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.38) · PMID: [28607512](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28607512/)

2. Visible Machine Learning for Biomedicine

Michael K. Yu, Jianzhu Ma, Jasmin Fisher, Jason F. Kreisberg, Benjamin J. Raphael, Trey Ideker
Cell (2018-06) <https://doi.org/gdqcd8>
DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.056) · PMID: [29906441](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29906441/) · PMCID: [PMC6483071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6483071/)

3. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong *Nucleic Acids Research* (2018-11-05) <https://doi.org/gf63mb>

DOI: [10.1093/nar/gky1055](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1055) · PMID: [30395331](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30395331/) · PMCID: [PMC6323945](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6323945/)

4. Machine Learning in Genomic Medicine: A Review of Computational Problems and Data Sets

Michael K. K. Leung, Andrew Delong, Babak Alipanahi, Brendan J. Frey
Proceedings of the IEEE (2016-01) <https://doi.org/f75grb>
DOI: [10.1109/jproc.2015.2494198](https://doi.org/10.1109/jproc.2015.2494198)

5. Diet Networks: Thin Parameters for Fat Genomics

Adriana Romero, Pierre Luc Carrier, Akram Erraqabi, Tristan Sylvain, Alex Auvolat, Etienne Dejoie, Marc-André Legault, Marie-Pierre Dubé, Julie G. Hussin, Yoshua Bengio
arXiv (2016-11-28) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09340v3>

6. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database

Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, Li Fei-Fei
2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2009-06) <https://doi.org/cvc7xp>
DOI: [10.1109/cvpr.2009.5206848](https://doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2009.5206848)

7. Learning Word Vectors for Sentiment Analysis

Andrew Maas, Raymond E. Daly, Peter T. Pham, Dan Huang, Andrew Y. Ng, Christopher Potts
(2011-06) <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P11-1015/>

8. To Embed or Not: Network Embedding as a Paradigm in Computational Biology

Walter Nelson, Marinka Zitnik, Bo Wang, Jure Leskovec, Anna Goldenberg, Roded Sharan
Frontiers in Genetics (2019-05-01) <https://doi.org/gf8rdf>
DOI: [10.3389/fgene.2019.00381](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00381) · PMID: [31118945](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31118945/) · PMCID: [PMC6504708](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6504708/)

9. Machine learning for integrating data in biology and medicine: Principles, practice, and opportunities

Marinka Zitnik, Francis Nguyen, Bo Wang, Jure Leskovec, Anna Goldenberg, Michael M. Hoffman
Information Fusion (2019-10) <https://doi.org/gf7rj8>
DOI: [10.1016/j.inffus.2018.09.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2018.09.012) · PMID: [30467459](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30467459/) · PMCID: [PMC6242341](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6242341/)

10. DEEP: a general computational framework for predicting enhancers

Dimitrios Kleftogiannis, Panos Kalnis, Vladimir B. Bajic
Nucleic Acids Research (2014-11-05) <https://doi.org/gcgk83>
DOI: [10.1093/nar/gku1058](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1058) · PMID: [25378307](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25378307/) · PMCID: [PMC4288148](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4288148/)

11. The human splicing code reveals new insights into the genetic determinants of disease

H. Y. Xiong, B. Alipanahi, L. J. Lee, H. Bretschneider, D. Merico, R. K. C. Yuen, Y. Hua, S. Gueroussov, H. S. Najafabadi, T. R. Hughes, ... B. J. Frey

Science (2014-12-18) <https://doi.org/f6wzj2>

DOI: [10.1126/science.1254806](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254806) · PMID: [25525159](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25525159/) · PMCID: [PMC4362528](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4362528/)

12. Deep learning for computational biology

Christof Angermueller, Tanel Pärnamaa, Leopold Parts, Oliver Stegle

Molecular Systems Biology (2016-07) <https://doi.org/f8xtvh>

DOI: [10.15252/msb.20156651](https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20156651) · PMID: [27474269](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27474269/) · PMCID: [PMC4965871](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4965871/)

13. Predicting the sequence specificities of DNA- and RNA-binding proteins by deep learning

Babak Alipanahi, Andrew DeLong, Matthew T Weirauch, Brendan J Frey

Nature Biotechnology (2015-07-27) <https://doi.org/f7mkrd>

DOI: [10.1038/nbt.3300](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3300) · PMID: [26213851](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26213851/)

14. Predicting effects of noncoding variants with deep learning-based sequence model

Jian Zhou, Olga G Troyanskaya

Nature Methods (2015-08-24) <https://doi.org/gcgc8g>

DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.3547](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3547) · PMID: [26301843](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26301843/) · PMCID: [PMC4768299](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4768299/)

15. Basset: learning the regulatory code of the accessible genome with deep convolutional neural networks

David R. Kelley, Jasper Snoek, John L. Rinn

Genome Research (2016-05-03) <https://doi.org/f8sw35>

DOI: [10.1101/gr.200535.115](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.200535.115) · PMID: [27197224](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27197224/) · PMCID: [PMC4937568](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4937568/)

16. Deep learning at base-resolution reveals motif syntax of the cis-regulatory code

Žiga Avsec, Melanie Weilert, Avanti Shrikumar, Amr Alexandari, Sabrina Krueger, Khyati Dalal, Robin Froepf, Charles McAnany, Julien Gagneur, Anshul Kundaje, Julia Zeitlinger

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2019-08-21) <https://doi.org/gf64fc>

DOI: [10.1101/737981](https://doi.org/10.1101/737981)

17. Multi-Scale Context Aggregation by Dilated Convolutions

Fisher Yu, Vladlen Koltun

arXiv (2015-11-23) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07122v3>

18. cDeepbind: A context sensitive deep learning model of RNA-protein binding

Shreshth Gandhi, Leo J. Lee, Andrew DeLong, David Duvenaud, Brendan J. Frey

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2018-06-12) <https://doi.org/gf68nk>

DOI: [10.1101/345140](https://doi.org/10.1101/345140)

19. A Deep Neural Network for Predicting and Engineering Alternative Polyadenylation

Nicholas Bogard, Johannes Linder, Alexander B. Rosenberg, Georg Seelig

Cell (2019-06) <https://doi.org/gf66nc>

DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.046) · PMID: [31178116](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31178116/) · PMCID: [PMC6599575](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6599575/)

20. DeepSignal: detecting DNA methylation state from Nanopore sequencing reads using deep learning

Peng Ni, Neng Huang, Zhi Zhang, De-Peng Wang, Fan Liang, Yu Miao, Chuan-Le Xiao, Feng Luo, Jianxin Wang

Bioinformatics (2019-04-17) <https://doi.org/gf66qw>

DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btz276](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz276) · PMID: [30994904](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30994904/)

21. MRCNN: a deep learning model for regression of genome-wide DNA methylation

Qi Tian, Jianxiao Zou, Jianxiong Tang, Yuan Fang, Zhongli Yu, Shicai Fan

BMC Genomics (2019-04) <https://doi.org/gf48g6>

DOI: [10.1186/s12864-019-5488-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5488-5) · PMID: [30967120](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30967120/) · PMCID: [PMC6457069](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6457069/)

22. Attend and Predict: Understanding Gene Regulation by Selective Attention on Chromatin

Ritambhara Singh, Jack Lanchantin, Arshdeep Sekhon, Yanjun Qi

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2018-05-25) <https://doi.org/gf66qz>

DOI: [10.1101/329334](https://doi.org/10.1101/329334)

23. DeepDiff: DEEP-learning for predicting DIFFerential gene expression from histone modifications

Arshdeep Sekhon, Ritambhara Singh, Yanjun Qi

Bioinformatics (2018-09-01) <https://doi.org/gd9mk4>

DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/bty612](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty612) · PMID: [30423076](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30423076/)

24. A universal SNP and small-indel variant caller using deep neural networks

Ryan Poplin, Pi-Chuan Chang, David Alexander, Scott Schwartz, Thomas Colthurst, Alexander Ku, Dan Newburger, Jojo Dijamco, Nam Nguyen, Pegah T Afshar, ... Mark A DePristo

Nature Biotechnology (2018-09-24) <https://doi.org/gd8gkf>

DOI: [10.1038/nbt.4235](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4235) · PMID: [30247488](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30247488/)

25. A multi-task convolutional deep neural network for variant calling in single molecule sequencing

Ruibang Luo, Fritz J. Sedlazeck, Tak-Wah Lam, Michael C. Schatz

Nature Communications (2019-03-01) <https://doi.org/gf4c37>

DOI: [10.1038/s41467-019-09025-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09025-z) · PMID: [30824707](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30824707/) · PMCID: [PMC6397153](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6397153/)

26. SICaRiO: Short Indel Call filteriNg with bOosting

Md Shariful Islam Bhuyan, Itsik Pe'er, M. Sohel Rahman

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2019-04-07) <https://doi.org/gf68d6>

DOI: [10.1101/601450](https://doi.org/10.1101/601450)

27. Machine learning-based detection of insertions and deletions in the human genome

Charles Curnin, Rachel L. Goldfeder, Shruti Marwaha, Devon Bonner, Daryl Waggott, Matthew T. Wheeler, Euan A. Ashley,

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2019-05-05) <https://doi.org/gf68d7>

DOI: [10.1101/628222](https://doi.org/10.1101/628222)

28. Deep convolutional neural networks for accurate somatic mutation detection

Sayed Mohammad Ebrahim Sahraeian, Ruolin Liu, Bayo Lau, Karl Podesta, Marghoob Mohiyuddin, Hugo Y. K. Lam

Nature Communications (2019-03-04) <https://doi.org/gf68f8>

DOI: [10.1038/s41467-019-09027-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09027-x) · PMID: [30833567](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30833567/) · PMCID: [PMC6399298](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6399298/)

29. Pathway-level information extractor (PLIER) for gene expression data

Weiguang Mao, Elena Zaslavsky, Boris M. Hartmann, Stuart C. Sealfon, Maria Chikina

Nature Methods (2019-06-27) <https://doi.org/gf75g6>

DOI: [10.1038/s41592-019-0456-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0456-1) · PMID: [31249421](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31249421/)

30. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles

A. Subramanian, P. Tamayo, V. K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B. L. Ebert, M. A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S. L.

Pomeroy, T. R. Golub, E. S. Lander, J. P. Mesirov
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2005-09-30) <https://doi.org/d4qbh8>
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.0506580102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102) · PMID: [16199517](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16199517/) · PMCID: [PMC1239896](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC1239896/)

31. MultiPLIER: A Transfer Learning Framework for Transcriptomics Reveals Systemic Features of Rare Disease

Jaclyn N. Taroni, Peter C. Grayson, Qiwen Hu, Sean Eddy, Matthias Kretzler, Peter A. Merkel, Casey S. Greene

Cell Systems (2019-05) <https://doi.org/gf75g5>
DOI: [10.1016/j.cels.2019.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2019.04.003) · PMID: [31121115](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31121115/) · PMCID: [PMC6538307](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6538307/)

32. Reproducible RNA-seq analysis using recount2

Leonardo Collado-Torres, Abhinav Nellore, Kai Kammers, Shannon E Ellis, Margaret A Taub, Kasper D Hansen, Andrew E Jaffe, Ben Langmead, Jeffrey T Leek

Nature Biotechnology (2017-04) <https://doi.org/gf75hp>
DOI: [10.1038/nbt.3838](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3838) · PMID: [28398307](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28398307/) · PMCID: [PMC6742427](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6742427/)

33. PASNet: pathway-associated sparse deep neural network for prognosis prediction from high-throughput data

Jie Hao, Youngsoon Kim, Tae-Kyung Kim, Mingon Kang

BMC Bioinformatics (2018-12) <https://doi.org/gf75g9>
DOI: [10.1186/s12859-018-2500-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2500-z) · PMID: [30558539](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30558539/) · PMCID: [PMC6296065](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6296065/)

34. netNMF-sc: Leveraging gene-gene interactions for imputation and dimensionality reduction in single-cell expression analysis

Rebecca Elyanow, Bianca Dumitrascu, Barbara E. Engelhardt, Benjamin J. Raphael

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (2019-02-08) <https://doi.org/gf386x>
DOI: [10.1101/544346](https://doi.org/10.1101/544346)

35. COEXPEDIA: exploring biomedical hypotheses via co-expressions associated with medical subject headings (MeSH)

Sunmo Yang, Chan Yeong Kim, Sohyun Hwang, Eiru Kim, Hyojin Kim, Hongseok Shim, Insuk Lee

Nucleic Acids Research (2016-09-26) <https://doi.org/f9v38k>
DOI: [10.1093/nar/gkw868](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw868) · PMID: [27679477](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27679477/) · PMCID: [PMC5210615](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5210615/)

36. Learning Explainable Models Using Attribution Priors

Gabriel Erion, Joseph D. Janizek, Pascal Sturmfels, Scott Lundberg, Su-In Lee

arXiv (2019-06-25) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10670v1>

37. A novel network regularized matrix decomposition method to detect mutated cancer genes in tumour samples with inter-patient heterogeneity

Jianing Xi, Ao Li, Minghui Wang

Scientific Reports (2017-06-06) <https://doi.org/gcq9j7>
DOI: [10.1038/s41598-017-03141-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03141-w) · PMID: [28588243](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28588243/) · PMCID: [PMC5460199](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5460199/)

38. PIMKL: Pathway-Induced Multiple Kernel Learning

Matteo Manica, Joris Cadow, Roland Mathis, María Rodríguez Martínez

npj Systems Biology and Applications (2019-03-05) <https://doi.org/gf8ck6>
DOI: [10.1038/s41540-019-0086-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41540-019-0086-3) · PMID: [30854223](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30854223/) · PMCID: [PMC6401099](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6401099/)

39. Robust phenotype prediction from gene expression data using differential shrinkage of co-regulated genes

Kourosh Zarringhalam, David Degras, Christoph Brockel, Daniel Ziemek

Scientific Reports (2018-01-19) <https://doi.org/gcwzdn>
DOI: [10.1038/s41598-018-19635-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19635-0) · PMID: [29352257](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29352257/) · PMCID: [PMC5775343](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5775343/)

40. STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life

Damian Szklarczyk, Andrea Franceschini, Stefan Wyder, Kristoffer Forslund, Davide Heller, Jaime Huerta-Cepas, Milan Simonovic, Alexander Roth, Alberto Santos, Kalliopi P. Tsafou, ... Christian von Mering

Nucleic Acids Research (2014-10-28) <https://doi.org/f64rfn>
DOI: [10.1093/nar/gku1003](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1003) · PMID: [25352553](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25352553/) · PMCID: [PMC4383874](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4383874/)

41. A biological network-based regularized artificial neural network model for robust phenotype prediction from gene expression data

Tianyu Kang, Wei Ding, Luoyan Zhang, Daniel Ziemek, Kourosh Zarringhalam

BMC Bioinformatics (2017-12) <https://doi.org/gf8cm6>
DOI: [10.1186/s12859-017-1984-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1984-2) · PMID: [29258445](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29258445/) · PMCID: [PMC5735940](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5735940/)

42. Using neural networks for reducing the dimensions of single-cell RNA-Seq data

Chieh Lin, Siddhartha Jain, Hannah Kim, Ziv Bar-Joseph

Nucleic Acids Research (2017-07-31) <https://doi.org/gcnzb7>
DOI: [10.1093/nar/gkx681](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx681) · PMID: [28973464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28973464/) · PMCID: [PMC5737331](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5737331/)

43. Genetic Neural Networks: an artificial neural network architecture for capturing gene expression relationships

Ameen Eetemadi, Ilias Tagkopoulos

Bioinformatics (2018-11-19) <https://doi.org/gfnks6>
DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/bty945](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty945) · PMID: [30452523](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30452523/)

44. Nonparametric pathway-based regression models for analysis of genomic data

Z. Wei, H. Li

Biostatistics (2006-06-13) <https://doi.org/fdmgqm>
DOI: [10.1093/biostatistics/kxl007](https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxl007) · PMID: [16772399](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16772399/)

45. Network-constrained regularization and variable selection for analysis of genomic data

C. Li, H. Li

Bioinformatics (2008-03-01) <https://doi.org/fk8n4b>
DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btn081](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn081) · PMID: [18310618](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18310618/)

46. netReg: network-regularized linear models for biological association studies

Simon Dirmeyer, Christiane Fuchs, Nikola S Mueller, Fabian J Theis

Bioinformatics (2017-10-25) <https://doi.org/gcg9xq>
DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btx677](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx677) · PMID: [29077797](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29077797/) · PMCID: [PMC6030897](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6030897/)

47. Graph-regularized dual Lasso for robust eQTL mapping

Wei Cheng, Xiang Zhang, Zhishan Guo, Yu Shi, Wei Wang

Bioinformatics (2014-06-11) <https://doi.org/f58j6m>
DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu293](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu293) · PMID: [24931977](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24931977/) · PMCID: [PMC4058913](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4058913/)

48. Integrative analysis of genetical genomics data incorporating network structures

Bin Gao, Xu Liu, Hongzhe Li, Yuehua Cui

Biometrics (2019-04-29) <https://doi.org/gf8f9q>
DOI: [10.1111/biom.13072](https://doi.org/10.1111/biom.13072) · PMID: [31009063](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31009063/)

49. Using deep learning to model the hierarchical structure and function of a cell

Jianzhu Ma, Michael Ku Yu, Samson Fong, Keiichiro Ono, Eric Sage, Barry Demchak, Roded Sharan, Trey Ideker

Nature Methods (2018-03-05) <https://doi.org/gc46jp>

DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.4627](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4627) · PMID: [29505029](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29505029/) · PMCID: [PMC5882547](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5882547/)

50. DeepGO: predicting protein functions from sequence and interactions using a deep ontology-aware classifier

Maxat Kulmanov, Mohammed Asif Khan, Robert Hoehndorf

Bioinformatics (2017-10-03) <https://doi.org/gc3nb8>

DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btx624](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx624) · PMID: [29028931](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29028931/) · PMCID: [PMC5860606](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5860606/)

51. DeepGOPlus: improved protein function prediction from sequence

Maxat Kulmanov, Robert Hoehndorf

Bioinformatics (2019-07-27) <https://doi.org/gf84d8>

DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btz595](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz595) · PMID: [31350877](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31350877/)

52. Predictive Modeling of Microbiome Data Using a Phylogeny-Regularized Generalized Linear Mixed Model

Jian Xiao, Li Chen, Stephen Johnson, Yue Yu, Xianyang Zhang, Jun Chen

Frontiers in Microbiology (2018-06-27) <https://doi.org/gdtz4z>

DOI: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.01391](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01391) · PMID: [29997602](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29997602/) · PMCID: [PMC6030386](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6030386/)

53. A Phylogeny-Regularized Sparse Regression Model for Predictive Modeling of Microbial Community Data

Jian Xiao, Li Chen, Yue Yu, Xianyang Zhang, Jun Chen

Frontiers in Microbiology (2018-12-19) <https://doi.org/gf8qcc>

DOI: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.03112](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03112) · PMID: [30619188](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30619188/) · PMCID: [PMC6305753](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6305753/)

54. Tumor Copy Number Deconvolution Integrating Bulk and Single-Cell Sequencing Data

Haoyun Lei, Bochuan Lyu, E. Michael Gertz, Alejandro A. Schäffer, Xulian Shi, Kui Wu, Guibo Li, Liqin Xu, Yong Hou, Michael Dean, Russell Schwartz

Lecture Notes in Computer Science (2019) <https://doi.org/gf8qck>

DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-17083-7_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17083-7_11)

55. CYCLOPS reveals human transcriptional rhythms in health and disease

Ron C. Anafi, Lauren J. Francey, John B. Hogenesch, Junhyong Kim

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2017-04-24) <https://doi.org/f9796k>

DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1619320114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619320114) · PMID: [28439010](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28439010/) · PMCID: [PMC5441789](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5441789/)

56. Circular Nodes in Neural Networks

Michael J. Kirby, Rick Miranda

Neural Computation (1996-02-15) <https://doi.org/ffcww8>

DOI: [10.1162/neco.1996.8.2.390](https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1996.8.2.390)

57. Network-based Biased Tree Ensembles (NetBiTE) for Drug Sensitivity Prediction and Drug Sensitivity Biomarker Identification in Cancer

Ali Oskoei, Matteo Manica, Roland Mathis, Maria Rodriguez Martinez

arXiv (2018-08-18) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.06603v2>

58. Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells

Wanjuan Yang, Jorge Soares, Patricia Greninger, Elena J. Edelman, Howard Lightfoot, Simon Forbes,

Nidhi Bindal, Dave Beare, James A. Smith, I. Richard Thompson, ... Mathew J. Garnett

Nucleic Acids Research (2012-11-22) <https://doi.org/f4jrdn>

DOI: [10.1093/nar/gks1111](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1111) · PMID: [23180760](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23180760/) · PMCID: [PMC3531057](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3531057/)

59. A Critical Evaluation of Network and Pathway-Based Classifiers for Outcome Prediction in Breast Cancer

Christine Staiger, Sidney Cadot, Raul Kooter, Marcus Dittrich, Tobias Müller, Gunnar W. Klau, Lodewyk F. A. Wessels

PLoS ONE (2012-04-27) <https://doi.org/gf8rgw>

DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0034796](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034796) · PMID: [22558100](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22558100/) · PMCID: [PMC3338754](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3338754/)