#### **Definition 1.** Strings

For a set X, we define strings over X to be elements of the free monoid over X, called  $X^*$ , with  $\varepsilon \in X^*$  denoting the 0 element. The set  $X^*$  will be ranged over by the metavariable xs.

We use the notation  $\cdot: (X^* \times X^*) \to X^*$  to mean the concatenation of strings.

```
The operator fold: ((X \times A \to A) \times A \times X^*) \to A is defined fold(f, a, \varepsilon) = a, fold(f, a, (x \in X) \cdot xs) = f(x, fold(f, a, xs)).
```

Strings are equivalent to finite sequences and so will be indexed. For an i in  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup 0$ ,  $xs_i$  is equal to the ith index and is otherwise undefined.

# **Definition 2.** Alphabet

Let  $\Sigma$  be an arbitrary, finite set. This document will call  $\Sigma$  the Alphabet. We will let c be a metavariable ranging over  $\Sigma$ , and s be a metavariable ranging over  $\Sigma^*$ , ie, strings of  $\Sigma$ .

### **Definition 3.** Parsing Expression Grammars

For a set of labels Lab, we define the set Peg(Lab) via the following bnf formula, overloading the operator  $\cdot$ .

Figure 1: Parsing Expression Grammar Syntax

These rules are called character, sequence, choice, and possesive star respectively.

# **Definition 4.** Regular Expressions

We define the set Reg(Lab) via the following bnf formula, again overloading the operator  $\cdot$ . Lab is a set of labels, and l will be a metavariable ranging over these.

Figure 2: Regular Expression Grammar Syntax

These rules are empty, character, wildcard, empty, sequence, union, intersection, negation, and kleene star respectively.

```
Definition 5. Parsing Expression Grammar Matching Letting Matched = \Sigma^* and Remainder = \Sigma^*,
```

We inherit the partial function  $pegMatch : (Peg \times \Sigma^*) \nrightarrow (Matched \times Remainder) \uplus \{\bot\}$ 

from the paper "Towards a Typed Semantics for Parsing Expression Grammars".

#### **Definition 6.** Regular Expression Matches

When matched against a string, a regular expression  $r \in Reg(Lab)$  will give a structured result, Match(Lab).

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{m} & \in & \mathit{Match}(\mathit{Lab}) & ::= & \mathsf{Emp}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \varepsilon) \\ & & | & \mathsf{Char}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{c}) \\ & & | & \mathsf{Any}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{c}) \\ & | & \mathsf{Seq}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}, \mathsf{right} \colon \mathbf{m}_1, \mathsf{left} \colon \mathbf{m}_2) \\ & | & \mathsf{JoinL}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}, \mathsf{subexpr} \colon \mathbf{m}) \\ & | & \mathsf{JoinR}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}, \mathsf{subexpr} \colon \mathbf{m}) \\ & | & \mathsf{Meet}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}, \mathsf{left} \colon \mathbf{m}_1, \mathsf{right} \colon \mathbf{m}_1) \\ & | & \mathsf{Neg}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}) \\ & | & \mathsf{StarBase}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}) \\ & | & \mathsf{StarRec}(\mathsf{lab} \colon \mathit{l}, \mathsf{string} \colon \mathit{s}, \mathsf{left} \colon \mathbf{m}, \mathsf{right} \colon) \end{array}
```

Figure 3: Regular Expression Matches

 $Fields,\ e.g.$  .lab, are maps from Match(Lab) with obvious semantics. The field .submatch is identity where otherwise undefined.

The function  $\cdot_*: Match(Lab) \to Match(Lab) \to Match(Lab)$  concatenates a star recursion to a match and is defined

```
\cdot_*(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{m}_2) = \mathtt{StarRec}(\mathtt{lab} \colon \mathbf{m}_2 . lab, \mathtt{string} \colon \mathbf{m}_1 . string \cdot \mathbf{m}_2 . string, \mathtt{left} \colon \mathbf{m}_1, \mathtt{right} \colon \mathbf{m}_2)
```

#### **Definition 7.** Character Of

For a set X with elements  $x \in X$ , we say that x is a character of the string  $x \in X^*$  iff

```
\exists (prf, suf \in X^*), xs = prf \cdot x \cdot suf
```

We use the notation x char-of xs to mean x is a character of the string xs.

#### **Definition 8.** Regular Expression Matching

```
\label{eq:wedgeneral} We \ define \ regMatch: (Reg(Lab) \times \Sigma^*) \rightarrow Pow(Match(Lab)) \ recursively: \\ regMatch(l: \varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \{ \operatorname{Emp}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: \varepsilon) \} \\ regMatch(l: \varepsilon, c \cdot s) = \emptyset \\ regMatch(l: c, c) = \{ \operatorname{Char}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: c) \} \\ regMatch(l: c, s) \ where \ s \neq c = \emptyset \\ regMatch(l: ., c) = \operatorname{Any}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: c) \\ regMatch(l: ., c \cdot c' \cdot s) = \emptyset \\ regMatch(l: ., c \cdot c' \cdot s) = \emptyset \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cdot r_2, s) = \{ \operatorname{Seq}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{right: m_1}, \operatorname{left: m_2}) \\ \mid \exists (s', s'' \in \Sigma^*), s' \cdot s'' = s \wedge \operatorname{m_1} \in regMatch(\operatorname{r_1}, s') \wedge \operatorname{m_2} \in regMatch(\operatorname{r_2}, s'') \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cup r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinL}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_1}, s) \} \cup \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{r_2}, s) \} \\ \} \\ regMatch(l: r_1 \cap r_2, s) = \\ \{ \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \mid \operatorname{m} \operatorname{regMatch}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{subexpr: m}) \} \\ + \operatorname{JoinR}(\operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{string}: s, \operatorname{lab}: l, \operatorname{lab}: l,
```

```
\{\texttt{Meet(lab:}\ l, \texttt{string:}\ s, \texttt{left:}\ m_1, \texttt{right:}\ m_1) \mid m_1 \in regMatch(r_1, s) \land m_2 \in regMatch(r_2, s)\}
```

$$regMatch(l : \neg \mathbf{r}, s) = \begin{cases} match(regMatch(\mathbf{r}, s)) \equiv \emptyset & \left\{ \text{Neg(lab: } l, \text{string: } s) \right\} \\ \\ otherwise & \emptyset \end{cases}$$

```
\begin{split} regMatch(l: \mathbf{r}^*, s) &= \\ \{fold(\cdot_*, \mathtt{StarBase}(\mathtt{lab}: l), ms \in Match(Lab)^*) \\ \mid \exists ss \in \Sigma^{**}, \bullet ss = s \land \forall i, ms_i \in regMatch(\mathbf{r}, ss_i)\} \end{split}
```

While most rules are self explanatory, the rule for concatenation and star may not be.

Concatenation splits the string into two halves, the first of which matches to the left regex, and the second to the right.

Star considers all possible splits of the string, and requires that the constituent strings of the split are each matched by the subexpression.

It follows from the definitions alone that for arbitrary (r, s), and for an arbitrary match m in regMatch(r, s), m.string = s.

#### **Definition 9.** Well Founded Pairs

We define labelToExpr:  $Peg(Lab_1) \cup Reg(Lab_2) \rightarrow (Lab_1 \cup Lab_2 \rightarrow Pow(Peg(Lab_1) \cup Reg(Lab_2)))$  recursively on the syntax of  $Peg(Lab_1)$  and  $Reg(Lab_2)$ , collecting the parsing expression grammar corresponding to the label.

A parsing expression grammar p or regular expression r is in the set of well formed expressions, WF(Lab), iff for every label  $l \in Lab$ , label ToExpr(p)(l) (resp label ToExpr(r)(l)) has no more than one element.

A pair  $(p,r) \in Peg(Lab_1) \times Reg(Lab_2)$  is in the set of well formed pairs  $WF2(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2)$  if for every label, the pointwise union labelToExpr(p) and labelToExpr(r) applied to the label has no more than one element.

WF and WF2 informally mean expressions and pairs where each label is different.

#### **Definition 10.** Parsing Expression Grammar Translation

On input (p,r), the function pegreg produces a regular expression with the characters consumed by p removed. It is defined in mutual recursion with the negate function, which on input p, generates a regular expression corresponding to the set of characters that cause p to match  $\bot$ .

In order to define the output set, we need to have a labelling scheme for combining these; so the labelling scheme will first be detailed, followed by the definition of the function.

Figure 4: Labelling Scheme

Below, the labels in the output will be given implicitly, with examples shown in more detail to make it clear how the labels are formed.

$$pegreg: (Peg(Lab_1) \times Reg(Lab_2)) \to Reg(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2))$$

$$pegreg(c, r) = c \cdot r$$
(1)

$$pegreg(p_1 \cdot p_2, r) = pegreg(p_1, pegreg(p_2, r))$$
(2)

$$pegreg(p_1/p_2, r) = pegreg(p_1, r) \cup (pegreg(p_2, r) \cap negate(p_1) \cdot .^*)$$
(3)

$$pegreg(p^*, r) = pegreg(p, \varepsilon)^* \cdot (r \cap negate(p) \cdot .^*)$$
(4)

$$negate: Peg(Lab_1) \to Reg(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2))$$
  
 $negate(c) = . \cap \neg c$  (5)

$$negate(p_1 \cdot p_2) = negate(p_1) \cup pegreg(p_1, negate(p_2))$$
 (6)

$$negate(p_1 / p_2) = negate(p_1) \cap negate(p_2)$$
 (7)

$$negate(p^*) = \bot$$
 (8)

To make it clear how the labels are formed, let .lab be, by abuse of notation, a projection from regular expressions to their labels, and see the case for char in more detail:

$$pegreg: (Peg(Lab_1) \times Reg(Lab_2)) \rightarrow Reg(PegregLab(Lab))$$
  
 $pegreg(c, r) = PRLabSeq(r. lab): (PRLabChar(r. lab): c) \cdot r$ 

For another example, consider the choice case. If viewed in the order from the innermost subexpression to the outermost, the recursion constructs a wildcard, then a kleene star with this subexpression, then  $negate(p_1)$ , then the concatenation of the two, etc.

So  $negate(p_1)$  is first computed, then  $PRLabUnionWildcard(negate(p_1).lab)$  is used to give a label to the expression that matches any character. This pattern of computing new, unique labels from the subexpressions continues until the entire expression is labelled.

This labelling scheme will give pegreg the property that it maps WF2 pairs to WF regular expressions.

# **Definition 11.** The function

 $extract_{peg}: (Peg(Lab_1) \times Match(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2))) \rightarrow Match(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2))$  applied to a pair (p, m) extracts the part corresponding to the peg match.

For any parsing expression grammar p, any regular expression r, any string s, and any match m in regMatch(pegreg(p,r),s), extract<sub>peq</sub> is total.

Similarly, extract<sub>reg</sub>:  $(Reg(Lab_1) \times Match(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2))) \rightarrow Match(PegregLab(Lab_1 \cup Lab_2)))$  is defined.

#### **Proposition 1.** Translation Correspondance

Let (p,r) be an arbitrary pair of parsing and regular expressions, in WF2(Lab<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$  Lab<sub>2</sub>). Then the following will hold:

- 1. Let s be an arbitrary string, and m be an arbitrary match in regMatch(pegreg(p, r), s). Then  $m.string = extract_{peg}(p, m).string \cdot extract_{reg}(r, m).string$ .
- 2. Let s be an arbitrary string. Suppose  $pegMatch(p, s) = \bot$ . Then the set regMatch(negate(p), s) contains at least one element, and for all matches m in regMatch(negate(p), s), the following holds: m.string =  $extract_{peg}(p, m).string = s$ .
- 3. Let s be an arbitrary string. Suppose regMatch(negate(p), s) is not empty. Then it contains one element, and  $pegMatch(p, s) = \bot$ .
- 4. Let s be an arbitrary string. For all matches  $m \in regMatch(pegreg(p, r), s)$ ,  $pegMatch(p, s) = (extract_{peg}(m), extract_{reg}(r, m))$  and  $extract_{reg}(r, m) \in regMatch(r, extract_{reg}(r, m).string)$ .

In the above proposition, the first property requires that matches from *pegreg* split the string into a peg portion and a reg portion.

The second property gives forward correctness for *negate*. The third property gives backward correctness for *negate*. The final property gives correctness for *pegreg*.

*Proof.* I think we can do a proof by induction. I'll have to take the leap and try them out.  $\Box$